**NASA DEVELOP National Program**

**Geoinformatics Archiving Worksheet**

**ORCAA**

**Summer 19, Fall 19**

*Optical Reef and Coastal Area Assessment*

**Relevant Tags***: Google Earth Engine, Sentinel-2, Landsat 8, MODIS, MAIN, Turbidity, NDCI, CDOM, chlorophyll, sea surface temperature, time series analysis*

**Status Log**

*Each time this code is evaluated add a new bullet to the Status Log. Include date, current status (I.e. Zone 1, Zone 2, Zone 3) based on your evaluation, and any relevant notes about bugs, updates, etc. Descriptions for each zone are listed below.*

* **Date & Reviewer:** 12/08/2020, Erica Carcelen
  + **Status:** Zone 2
  + **Notes:**
    - Scientific Basis
      * Code does allow you to select area and time period, layers do appear, time series charts show up, export button also works
      * Well documented, citations for parameter algorithms throughout
    - Code Novelty
      * Code is novel
    - Code Functionality
      * Some errors with some pre-loaded polygons
        + Error: “Cannot read property ‘coordinates’ of null in onClick(), line 3851”

Belize – St. George’s Cay

Belize – Gladden Spit and Silk Cayes

Belize – Hopkins Wetlands

Belize – Dangriga

Shown in Feature Collection, not in drop down menu

Honduras – Rio Platano

Honduras – Blanca Jeannette Kawas National Park

Honduras – Cayos Miskitos

Honduras – Laguna de Guaimoreto

* + - * + Some polygons appear as slivers, polygon issue or related to methodology?

Roatan Island

* + - * Draw your own asset & Upload your own asset producing errors
        + Error: “centerObject() requires a Geometry or Element. Got: undefined in onClick()”
* **Date & Reviewer:** 12/14/2020, Erica Carcelen
  + **Status:** Zone 1A
  + **Notes:**
    - Edited lines 1281-1289 to fix bugs with Upload your own asset and Draw your own asset options
    - Fixed bugs with pre-loaded polygons, all options working
    - There are cases where result from pre-loaded polygon are only portions of the polygon (ex. Roatan Island), but this is likely a result of imagery availability and masking.
* **Date & Reviewer:**
  + **Status:**
  + **Notes:**

***Zone Key***

* **Zone 1A:** Code is novel, runs without issues, and produces expected outputs. It is written efficiently with a logical flow and a strong scientific basis. Should be posted on GitHub for future reference and public external use following software release.
* **Zone 1B**: Code is posted to the GitHub for partner handoff for 60 days. The partner should be emailed with instructions for download and notified of the time limit and any relevant functionality issues. After 60 days, the code status updates to Zone 2 or 3. It will be removed from the GitHub and archived on the Gitlab server.
* **Zone 2**:The code has issues compiling due to asset restrictions, package updates, or general bugs. Code may not do what it is supposed to do or has a faulty logical and/or weak scientific basis. Should be evaluated to see if issues can be corrected and updated to Zone 1(A/1B) or 3 following the evaluation.
* **Zone 3:** Code is not novel, does not run, or has a false logical and/or very weak scientific basis. Should be archived on the Gitlab server for internal reference only.

**Archiving Worksheet Directions**

*This worksheet will “live” with its accompanying code and will be updated during curation or other events such as software release, public inquiry, etc.*

***Scientific Basis***

*Even if the code compiles, the output may not be what the description says it is or may be based on faulty logic.*

* **Does the code do what it’s supposed to do?**
  + **Yes:** No action is needed.
  + **No:** Update status to Zone 2. Work to identify the logic errors. Provide a detailed description in the notes section of this document. Notify Dr. Ross of code issues and evaluate if changes can be made to generate the expected outcomes. If not, make a clear note to provide to the partners about code output issues. If partners still want code, update to Zone 1B after software release, but move to Zone 3 after the 60 days.
* **Is the scientific basis for the code strong?**
  + **Yes:** The code was well-documented and included citations for indices and techniques used. Models were well-developed and correctly constructed. No action needed.
  + **No:** Update Status to Zone 2. Provide a detailed description in the notes section of this document. Notify Dr. Ross of science issues and evaluate if changes can be made to correct issues. If not, make a clear note to provide to the partners about scientific basis. If partners still want code, update to Zone 1B after software release, but move to Zone 3 after the 60 days.

***Code Novelty***

* **Is the code a novel contribution or does it do the same thing as previous DEVELOP codes?** 
  + **Yes:** After software release, the code should be uploaded to the DEVELOP GitHub. Upload any known bugs or issues. Update status to Zone 1.
  + **No, but partners want it:** After software release, upload to DEVELOP GitHub for 60 days. Notify partner that code has been posted and will be available for next 60 days. Ask for confirmation when code and assets have been downloaded. Update to Zone 1, but move to Zone 3 after the 60 days.
  + **No:** After software release, archive internally with this sheet for teams to have access to for reference. Update status to Zone 3.

***Code Functionality***

*These questions address the code’s ability to run and produce expected outputs.*

* **Does the code compile?**
  + **Yes:** After Software release, if the code is novel, upload to DEVELOP GitHub. Update status to Zone 1A. If code is not novel, archive for internal use and update status to Zone 3.
  + **Yes, but warnings:** After software release, if the code is novel, update status to Zone 2 and try to resolve warnings. If warnings resolve, upload to DEVELOP GitHub. If warnings don’t resolve, upload note about warnings along with code. Update status to Zone 1A. If code is not novel, archive for internal use and update status to Zone 3.
  + **No, not all assets are present:** Detail missing assets in the notes section and update status to Zone 2. Reach out to the code POC (cc’ing the geoinformatics email) requesting assets. Give a week deadline. After a week, send reminder. Repeat once more, either transferring assets or assuming/confirming assets are not available. If assets are not available, archive for internal use and update status to Zone 3. Once assets are updated, review the code again to check for package issues and bugs and update the Status Log accordingly.
  + **No, a package is out of date:** Detail package issues in the notes section of the Status Log and update status to Zone 2. After software release, if bugs were not resolved, add to Zone 2 queue to resolve. Once packages are updated/resolved, if the code is novel, upload to DEVELOP GitHub. Update status to Zone 1A. If code is not novel, archive for internal use and update status to Zone 3.
  + **No, there are bugs:** Detail bugs in the notes section of the Status Log and update status to Zone 2. After software release, if bugs were not resolved, add to Zone 2 queue to resolve. Once bugs are resolved, if the code is novel, upload to DEVELOP GitHub. Update status to Zone 1A. If code is not novel, archive for internal use and update status to Zone 3.
  + **No, not sure what is going on:** If code is unintelligible, archive for internal use and update status to Zone 3. If code was supposed to be handed off to partner, follow handoff protocol for Zone 3 codes and manage partner expecations appropriately.
* **Are there any other concerns about the code?** 
  + **Yes, it isn’t optimally coded:** Add a note in this document with suggestions for optimization using best practices for future coders to employ.
  + **No:** No action is needed.