# Issues

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| # | Description | Assigned To | Status |
| 1 | Replacement provider identifiers will function differently in MPSE than in MMIS. MPSE will retain and display a history of all prior NPIs. It is common in MMIS that prior NPI are deleted and the new NPI is added. The MMIS NPI history is a SharePoint site with change requests. The MPSE interface to MMIS may send only the current NPI thus avoiding the MMIS limitations. |  | Closed |
| 2 | Is there any reason for the user to click the "use UMPI indicator" for facility location services that require an UMPI? No, the system can create the provider identifier and add the UMPI after it is generated by MMIS. |  | Closed |
| 3 | The requirement is that the effective date of initial provider identifiers is the start date of the facility location service.  Since the start date of the facility location service can be changed until it is in MMIS, this use case does not include the logic to default the effective date of the initial provider identifier. The logic will appear in the use case for sending the information to MMIS. |  | Closed |
| 4 | Prior to versioning, the requirement was that an NPI be unique across provider profiles. How does versioning impact this requirement? The basic logic for a duplicate will not change. An NPI must be unique across all versions of provider enrollments (unless releases – see available for reuse). |  | Closed |
| 5 | Does this use case require a view flow? Scenario is that a user wants to review the services (and provider identifiers) that were performed at an inactive facility location. No, the user must use the provider profile report (UC111). |  | Closed |
| 6 | Is it possible for the same facility location, with the same service, to have two different UMPI? No, do not allow this to occur. |  | Closed |

# Description

This use case describes managing the provider identifiers of a facility location service.

# Primary actor(s)

1. User

# Main flow: Maintain provider identifiers

## Precondition(s)

1. The facility location is active

Note: If the facility location is closed, this use case cannot be invoked. Information is available via UC111 Provider profile report. See issue 5 in this use case for additional information.

## Steps

1. User invokes option to manage the provider identifiers of a facility location service
2. System displays a list of the provider identifiers for the facility location service
3. If the facility location service requires an NPI or the NPI is optional user can add, update, or delete – see alternate flows
4. Use case ends

Note: If the facility location service require an UMPI, the alternate flows cannot be invoked. The "list of provider identifiers" must display the UMPI so it is exposed to users.

## Alternate flow: Create initial provider identifier

This flow begins after step 2 of the main flow.

1. User invokes option to create the initial provider identifier of a facility location service
2. System displays the NPI field and optionally the use UMPI indicator field – see NPI R/O/D column of ServiceHierarcy.xls
3. User enters data
4. System validates – see business rules 49, 101, 102, 52, 53, 57, 54, 156, 40
5. Continue with step 2 of the main flow

## Alternate flow: Create replacement provider identifier

This flow begins after step 2 of the main flow.

1. User invokes option to create a replacement provider identifier for the facility location service where:
   1. The facility location service has at least one provider identifier that is in MMIS
   2. And the facility location service has no provider identifiers not in MMIS
2. System displays the NPI, effective date, notes fields and optionally displays the use UMPI indicator field – see NPI R/O/D column of ServiceHierarcy.xls
3. User enters data
4. System validates – see business rules 49, 101, 102, 52, 53, 57, 54, 156, 40
5. Continue with step 2 of the main flow

## Exception flow: Cannot create provider identifier

When any of the following are true, the user cannot create a provider identifier:

1. The facility location service requires an UMPI
2. The facility location service has a provider identifier that is not in MMIS

## Alternate flow: Update provider identifier not "in MMIS"

This flow begins after step 2 of the main flow.

1. User selects and invokes update option for a provider identifier that is not in MMIS
2. System displays fields (see create initial and create replacement flows for list of fields)
3. User updates data
4. System validates – see business rules 49, 101, 102, 52, 53, 57, 54, 156, 40
5. Continue with step 2 of the main flow

## Exception flow: Cannot update provider identifier "in MMIS"

When the provider identifier is in MMIS, the provider identifier cannot be updated.

## Alternate flow: Delete replacement provider identifier not "in MMIS"

This flow begins after step 2 of the main flow.

1. User selects and invokes delete option for a replacement provider identifier that is not in MMIS
2. System displays warning
3. User chooses to continue
4. System deletes the provider identifier
5. Continue with step 2 of the main flow

## Exception flow: Cannot delete provider identifier

When any of the following are true, the user cannot delete the provider identifier:

1. The provider identifier is an initial provider identifier
2. The provider identifier is in MMIS
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