₹ 0.03 0.02 0.01 -400 -200 0 400 600 -600 200 Frequency (Hz) 3. Cosine Also here we considered the time transformation during creating the function: cosine = cos(2*pi*f_0*(n/Fs)); where (n/Fs) = t (time) and $f_0=200$. Below you can see that the peaks of our speactrum are exactly at +/- 200 as it should be because of f_0=200: Signal cosine 0.5 x[n] 0 -0.5 -0.1 -0.2 -0.15 0.2 -0.05 0 0.05 0.1 0.15 time (ms) **Amplitude Spectrum of signal cosine** 0.5 0.4 € 0.3 × 0.2 0.1 -400 -600 -200 0 200 400 600 Frequency (Hz) DC = ones(N,1);4. cos^2 -pulse We reused our knowledge which we needed for the triangular pulse. **Pseudo code:** $cos^2 pulse = cos^2 \left(rac{\pi \cdot t}{2 \cdot border}
ight)$; Signal cosine² pulse 8.0 드 ^{0.6} × _{0.4} 0.4 0.2 -0.2 -0.15 -0.1 -0.05 0.05 0.1 0.15 0.2 0 time (ms) Amplitude Spectrum of signal cosine² pulse 0.5 0.4 € 0.3 × 0.2 0.1 -400 600 -600 -200 0 200 400 Frequency (Hz) b) Showing linearity property of DFT by comparing the spectrum of two added signals with the sum of two spectra of two single signals where the same functions are used. **Remark for DFT_example.m:** When you only want to make 2a) set the varaible task_b = true; to false. However, you also have to change the used signals when you want the ones from above. graphic 1: Signal (cosine + cosine² pulse) 1.5 x[n] 0.5 -0.15 -0.2 -0.1 -0.05 0.05 0.1 0.15 0.2 time (ms) graphic 2: Amplitude Spectrum of signal (cosine + cosine² pulse) 0.5 0.4 © 0.3 × 0.2 0.1 0 400 -600 -400 -200 200 600 Frequency (Hz) graphic 3: Summed spectra of signals cosine and cosine² pulse 0.5 0.4 € 0.3 × 0.2 0.1 0 200 -600 -400 -200 400 600 Frequency (Hz) We created a png-file which shows us if the linearity porperty holds. We have chosen the signals cosine and $cosine^2pulse$ because their spectra are easy to add up and the addition can be visually really easy seen by human eye. graphic 1 simply shows us the new signal which is a sum of the two chosen signals in time domain. graphic 2 gives us the spectrum of graphic 1. graphic 3 is interesting now. For this graphic we converted the two signals separately into spectra. Then we summed up the two spectra. The graphic looks on first glance exactly like graphic 2 which would support the linarity assumption of DFT. To go sure we have graphic 4 which illustrates abs(graphic2-graphic3). So, here you can see how much the two spectra differ. The values of y-axis are very small. You can interpret them as rounding errors from resuting from the computations of graphic 2 and 3. Therfore, we can assume that linearity of DFT holds. 3. Task **Window Effects of the DFT** a) Generate a given signal x, calculate its spectrum and plot its absolute value. Please see the file assignment5_3.m for implementation details. The result is shown below: Magnitude Spectrum: Rectangular Window 30 25 20 <u>[3]</u> 15 10 5 60 70 10 20 30 40 50 0 b) a) Generate a windowed signal x_w using the Hamming window, calculate its spectrum and plot its absolute value. Please see the file assignment5_3.m for implementation details. The result is shown below: Magnitude Spectrum: Hamming Window 18 16 14 12 2 10 20 30 70 40 0 50 60 c) The Hamming window places the zero-crossing at frequency $\frac{5pi}{N-1}$ cancelling the first sidelobe of the Hann window, but resulting in a smaller height, as can be seen in the diagram. Compared to the rectangular windows, some frequencies are strechted. d) The DTFT equals the DFT if and only if the signal is periodic, i.e. the ratio $\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1}$ is rational. For example, see the graph below. In this case, the signal period and signal length are coprime. Magnitude Spectrum: Rectangular Window 70

Digital Signal Processing SS 2022: 5. Assignment

1 ms = 0.001 s in which 100 samples. Therefore, we have $f_s=\frac{100~\mathrm{samples}\cdot100}{s}$ (which is number of samples per second). DSP08, p. 56: $\Delta f=\frac{f_s}{N_{FFT}}=\frac{\frac{100000}{s}}{100}=\frac{1000}{s}$ or $\Delta f=\frac{1}{MeasurementTimeSec}=\frac{1}{0.001s}=\frac{1000}{s}$

We have a 100-point DFT/FFT. So, after 100 points in frequnecy domain we get a repetition of the pattern before. Therefore: T = 100 (samples)

We need 2^c where c is in this case 7. The reason is that we need a even number of inputs for the FFT because they are pairwaise combined to get the input for the next stage of the FFT procedure. Then it goes on in the same kind of pattern.

a) Select four signals from the given table. Convert them into frequency domain by using DFT. For this purpose make use of the file DFT_example.m

The points are now closer together in the DFT spectrum and there are more of them within the same interval. However, we added no further information because the added points are DFT curve restricted. We only get a nicer visualization when we make one.

which creates a signal array of length N with only 1-s as elements. When converting the x-axis indices from sample indices into time we don't need to change here the values of DC because it stays always the same (is independent of time). The graphic gives us the correct

DFT, FFT and STFT

a) Give frequency resolution

b) period of DFT spectrum in terms of ...

... normalized angular frequency

c) Why 128 samples chosen?

d) Give new frequency resolution

Furthermore, 128 is the closest upper option to 100 when we make use of 2^c .

When we make a 128-point DFT/FFT, then we get $\Delta f=rac{f_s}{N_{FFT}}=rac{rac{100000}{s}}{128}=rac{781.25}{s}$

We will also show parts of our code here because they are integral parts of this task.

Let's get started with a simple signal which is always one. Its spectrum should be a dirac function. For this purpose we used the code

Signal DC

0

time (ms)

Amplitude Spectrum of signal DC

0

Frequency (Hz)

Signal triangular pulse

0 time (ms)

Amplitude Spectrum of signal triangular pulse

0.05

200

This pulse was more complicated to implement because we had to consider the x-axis convertion from sample indices n to time. Firstly, we created an array only containing 0-s:

0.05

0.1

0.15

0.2

0.1

0.15

400

Then we looked at a window around half of the array. Here the pulse should get values forming the shape of a triangle. For this purpose, we looped over that area. The border of the area was described by (not one to one code here)

where already the time transformation was done with "/Fs". During the looping process as mentioned above, we assigned new values where $triangular(currentIndex) = 1 - \frac{\text{absolute sample index time transferred}}{\text{bending transferred}}$, in our code:

0.2

600

e) Interpretation of changed frequency resolution

DSP03, p. 8: $\Omega = rac{2\pi f_0}{f_s} = rac{2\pi rac{1}{100}}{100000}$

Group number 34

1. Task

... samples

... frequency

 $f_0=rac{1}{T}=rac{1}{100}$

2. Task

Chosen signals:

2. Triangular pulse

1. DC

1. DC

DC = ones(N,1);

spectrum for DC, the dirac function:

1.1

1.05

0.95

0.9

8.0

0.2

-600

€ 0.6 × 0.4 0.4

2. Triangular pulse

triangular = zeros(N,1);

n_final_ind = **n(N/2-10)/Fs**;

8.0

0.4

0.2

0.05

0.04

60

50

20

10

4. Task

Audio Signal Synthesis

0.8

0.6

0

-0.2

-0.6

-0.8

0.9

8.0

0.7

0.6 0.5 0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

8.0

0.6

0.2

0

1000

2000

f / [Hz]

3000

4000

Magnitudes of Short-Term DFT Spectra

DFT Spectra

8.0

0.6

Amplitude Scaled to [-1, +1]

20

40

60

80

Piece of Music

Time in s

second harmonic. This indeed significantly improves the audio quality. Again, the concrete implementation can be inspected in audiosynth.m.

6000

7000

8000

t/[s]

The spectrogram reveals that the resulting frequencies for a given time step are widely correlated with the sheet music, which gives a similar picture considering the peak values in magnitude.

• Vary the FFT-length parameter (e.g. 256 or 512) and report any changes in terms of frequency and time resolution. For instance, an FFT length of M=256 leads to the following spectrogram:

5000

please see the edited versions of audiosynth.m as well as adsr_profile.m.

plots that are generated by following the afore-mentioned steps:

2000

1000

3000

4000

f/[Hz]

100

120

140

• Familiarize yourself with the program audiosynth.m . This is achieved by running the default script without setting any parameters. The resulting audio of the pure sine wave is pretty underwhelming and requires additional tweaking.

• Expand the program to include graphical output of the piece of music on the time domain. For the implementation, please refer to audiosynth.m. The final plot of the piece is shown below, which looks indeed convincing, though a little cluttered:

• Try different settings for the sampling frequency f_s , the time scale T as well as for the parameters of the ADSR profile. After some trial and error, the following settings and parameters are chosen: $f_s = 16 \, \mathrm{kHz}$ (so-called "wideband frequency"), $T = 1.75 \, \mathrm{s}$,

• Create a WAV file from your final piece of music. Using MATLAB's audiowrite function, a WAV file is generated and saved under the name assignment5_4.wav. The audio file is inlcuded in the submission and can be listened to.

 $t_A=0.20,\,t_D=0.25,\,t_S=0.95,\,E_D=0.75$ and $E_S=0.45$. Though this configuration does not deviate strongly from the initial values, it already allows for smooth transitions between notes and thus leads to a rather natural listening experience. For details,

• Further enhance the sound impression by adding harmonics. To that end, the second and third harmonics are added to the original signal before applying the ADSR profile. The harmonics are weighted by 2.75 and 1.50, respectively, placing greater emphasis on the

• Call the program short analysis.m and read the previously created audio file. Choose an FFT length of M=4096 and an overlap of OL=2. Rotate the spectrogram to observe the frequency content over time and compare it to the sheet music. Find below the

-0.2

드 0.6 × 0.4

-0.2

-0.15

-400

triangular(index) = 1 - (abs(n(val)/Fs)/abs(n_final_ind));

-0.1

-0.05

-200

(Attention: Only parts of code mentioned here. For the full picture simply have a look at DFT_example.m)

-0.1

-0.15

-0.05

×[n]

3. cosine 4. cos^2 -pulse

Magnitudes of Short-Term 0.2 1000 2000 3000

f / [Hz] 4000 t/[s] The general trend appears to be that the peaks in magnitude become narrower with respect to time, the smaller the FFT length is chosen. The frequencies, however, seem largely unchanged.