

1 Integrated multi-omics analysis reveals Lactobacillus
2 anti-inflammatory process in vaginal tissue

3 A demonstration of Rmarkdown using Herman Bumpus' data

4 Author One¹, Author Two², Author Three^{1,2}

5 March 12, 2021

6 **1 Abstract**

7 Abstract
8 Abstract
9 Abstract
10 Abstract
11 Abstract
12 Abstract
13 Abstract
14 Abstract
15 Abstract
16 Abstract
17 Abstract
18 Abstract
19 Abstract
20 Abstract
21 Abstract
22 Abstract
23 test test

¹University of Nowhere

²University of Somewhere

³University of Lalaland

²⁴ 2 Introduction

- ²⁵ Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction
- ²⁶ Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction
- ²⁷ Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction
- ²⁸ Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction
- ²⁹ Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction (¹), Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction
- ³⁰ Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction
- ³¹ Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction
- ³² Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction **Introduction** **Introduction** *Introduction*
- ³³ Introduction (^{2,3}) .
- ³⁴ Problem / question to answer

35 3 Results

36 Joint analysis of vaginal microbiome reveals distinct patient subgroups

37 To understand the longitudinal and tissue-specific microbiome profile in vaginal samples, 111 adult female sex
38 workers were enrolled in [...]. Among those, 14 were previously tested positive for HIV during the cohort's
39 sampling procedure. [Describe here what was done and when, which samples, which tissues].

40 To be able to better understand the differences in microbiome profile across all datasets collected, we performed
41 a joint graph-based clustering analysis in order to identify co-regulated bacterial communities (see "Methods"
42 section for details). A total of 15 bacterial communities were identified.

43 Noticeably, bacterial community NA consisted only of Lactobacillus species (**).

44 Patients were thus subdivided into 8 groups,

45 Results
46 Results
47 Results
48 Results
49 Results
50 Results
51 Results
52 Results
53 Results
54 Results
55 Results
56 Results
57 Results
58 Results
59 Results
60 Results
61 Results
62 Results
63 Results
64 Results
65 Results
66 Results
67 Results
68 Results Results

69 Results
70 Results
71 Results
72 Results
73 Results
74 Results
75 Results
76 Results Results

77 Identification of bacterial communities metabolic processes linked to Lactobacilli

78 Results
79 Results
80 Results
81 Results
82 Results
83 Results
84 Results
85 Results
86 Results
87 Results
88 Results
89 Results
90 Results
91 Results
92 Results
93 Results
94 Results
95 Results
96 Results
97 Results
98 Results
99 Results
100 Results
101 Results
102 Results Results Results Results Results Results Results Results Results Results

103 Identification of bacterial communities metabolic processes linked to Lactobacilli

104 Results
105 Results
106 Results
107 Results
108 Results
109 Results
110 Results
111 Results
112 Results
113 Results
114 Results
115 Results
116 Results
117 Results
118 Results
119 Results
120 Results
121 Results
122 Results
123 Results
124 Results
125 Results
126 Results
127 Results
128 Results Results Results Results Results Results Results Results Results Results

129 4 Discussion

130 I have analysed data collected by Herman Bumpus³ on the relationship between sparrow (*Passer domesticus*)
131 total length and survival following an unusually severe storm. I found that sparrows that died in the storm
132 were longer than sparrows that survived, which suggests that higher sparrow body length decreased survival.
133 Of course, it is not possible to definitively conclude a causal relationship between any aspect of body size and
134 sparrow survival, and even the available data collected by Bumpus would permit a more thoughtful analysis
135 than that conducted in this study (see [Appendix Table 1](#)).

136 Overall, this document demonstrates how high quality, professional looking documents can be written using
137 Rmarkdown. The [underlying code](#) for this manuscript is publicly available, along with [accompanying notes](#)
138 to understand how it was written. By using Rmarkdown to write manuscripts, authors can more easily use
139 version control (e.g., git) throughout the writing process. The ability to easily integrate citations through
140 BibTeX, LaTeX tools, and dynamic R code can also make writing much more efficient and more enjoyable.
141 Further, obtaining the benefits of using Rmarkdown does not need to come with the cost of isolating colleagues
142 who prefer to work with Word or LaTeX because Rmarkdown can easily be converted to these formats (in
143 the case of Word, with the push of a button). By learning all of the tools used in this manuscript, readers
144 should have all of the necessary knowledge to get started writing and collaborating in Rmarkdown.

145 **5 Methods**

146 6 References


- 147 1. Johnston, R. F., Niles, D. M. & Rohwer, S. A. Hermon bampus and natural selection in the house sparrow
148 *Passer domesticus*. *Evolution* **26**, 20–31 (1972).
- 149 2. Darwin, C. *The origin of species*. 495 (Penguin, 1859).
- 150 3. Bumpus, H. C. Eleventh lecture. The elimination of the unfit as illustrated by the introduced sparrow,
151 *Passer domesticus*. (A fourth contribution to the study of variation.). *Biological Lectures: Woods Hole*
152 *Marine Biological Laboratory* 209–225 (1898).

153 7 Appendix Table 1

¹⁵⁴ An example table is shown below, which includes all of the variables collected by ³ for the first 10 measured
¹⁵⁵ sparrows. The full data set can be found online in [GitHub](#).


156 **8 FIGURES (MAIN)**


157 **8.1 Figure 1**



158 **Figure 1. Identification of patient groups.** (a) Schematic representation of ##### . (b)
159 Schematic representation of ##### . (c) Schematic representation of ##### . (d)
160 Schematic representation of ##### . (e) Schematic representation of ##### .
161 Schematic representation of ##### .


162 8.2 Figure 2







165


166 **Figure 2. Identification and characterization of vaginal bacterial communities.** (a) Schematic
167 representation of ##### . (b) Schematic representation of ##### . (c) Schematic
168 representation of ##### . (d) Schematic representation of ##### .




169


170 8.3 Figure 3







178 **9 FIGURES (SUPPL)**179 **9.1 Figure S1**

180



181 **9.2 Figure S2**

183 **9.3 Figure S2 v2**


185 9.4 Figure S2 v3




186

187 **9.5 Figure S3****a****b**

188


189 **9.6 Figure S4**




190

191 9.7 Figure S5


192

193 9.8 Figure S6





195 **9.9 Figure S7****a****b****c**



196

9.10 Figure S8

¹⁹⁹ **9.11 Figure S9**

200 9.12 Figure S10





204 **10 TABLES (MAIN)**

205 **10.1 Table 1**

206 **10.2 Table 2**

207 **10.3 Table 3**

208 **11 TABLES (SUPPL)**

209 **11.1 Table S1**

210 **11.2 Table S2**

211 **11.3 Table S3**