

1 Integrated multi-omics analysis reveals *Lactobacillus*
2 anti-inflammatory process in vaginal tissue
3 A demonstration of Rmarkdown using Herman Bumpus' data

4 Author One¹, Author Two², Author Three^{1,2}

5 December 16, 2020

6 **1 Abstract**

7 Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract
8 Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract
9 Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract
10 Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract
11 Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract
12 Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract
13 Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract
14 Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract
15 Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract
16 Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract
17 Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract
18 Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract
19 Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract
20 Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract
21 Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract
22 Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract Abstract

¹University of Nowhere
²University of Somewhere
³University of Lalaland

2 Introduction

Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction
Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction
Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction
Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction
Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction (¹), Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction
Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction
Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction
Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction **Introduction Introduction** *Introduction*
Introduction (^{2,3}) .

Problem / question to answer

3 Results

To understand the longitudinal and tissue-specific microbiome profile in vaginal samples, 113 adult female sex workers were enrolled in [...]. Among those, 14 were previously tested positive for HIV during the cohort's sampling procedure. [Describe here what was done and when, which samples, which tissues].

Noticably, bacterial community 11 consisted only of Lactobacillus species (*Anaerococcus hydrogenalis*, *Atopobium deltae*, *Bifidobacterium adolescentis*, *Bifidobacterium bifidum*, *Bifidobacterium longum/breve*, *Brevibacterium paucivorans*, *Brevibacterium ravensturnense*, *Campylobacter hominis*, *Chlamydia trachomatis*, *Corynebacterium aurimucosum*, *Corynebacterium genitalium*, *Corynebacterium imitans*, *Corynebacterium jeikeium*, *Corynebacterium pseudogenitalium*, *Corynebacterium simulans/striatum*, *Corynebacterium sp/amycolatum*, *Corynebacterium spheniscorum*, *Corynebacterium sundsvallense/thomsseni*, *Dermabacter hominis*, *Dorea formicigenerans*, *Enterococcus villorum/hirae/faecium/faecalis/canintestini/canis/dispar/durans*, *Gemmiger formicilis*, *Lactobacillus coleohominis*, *Lactobacillus crispatus*, *Lactobacillus crispatus/acidophilus*, *Lactobacillus gasseri/johnsonii/taiwanensis*, *Lactobacillus iners*, *Lactobacillus jensenii*, *Lactobacillus mucosae*, *Lactobacillus reuteri*, *Lactobacillus reuteri/oris/frumenti/antri*, *Lactobacillus salivarius*, *Megasphaera elsdenii*, other *Bacilli*, other *Bifidobacteriaceae*, other *Clostridia*, other *Corynebacterium*, other *Ezakiella*, other *Gemella*, other *Gordonia*, other *Lactobacillales*, other *Lactobacillus*, other *Listeria*, other *Mobiluncus*, other *Mogibacterium*, other *Murdochella*, other *Nesterenkonia*, other *Paraeggerthella*, other *Rhizobium*, *Peptoniphilus asaccharolyticus*, *Prevotella denticola*, *Staphylococcus saccharolyticus/capitis/hominis/caprae/lugdunensis/epidermidis/simiae*, *Streptococcus dysgalactiae*, *Streptococcus lutetiensis/equinus*, *Ureaplasma parvum*, *Brevundimonas mediterranea/intermedia/poindexterae*, *Corynebacterium appendicis/imitans*, *Corynebacterium freneyi/sp/amycolatum*, *Enterococcus villorum/hirae/faecium/faecalis/canintestini/canis/saccharolyticus/ratti/dispar/gallinarum/durans*, other *Bacteroides*, other *Gammaproteobacteria*).

[illegible]

4

94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119

[illegible]

120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145

[illegible]

4 Discussion

I have analysed data collected by Herman Bumpus³ on the relationship between sparrow (*Passer domesticus*) total length and survival following an unusually severe storm. I found that sparrows that died in the storm were longer than sparrows that survived, which suggests that higher sparrow body length decreased survival. Of course, it is not possible to definitively conclude a causal relationship between any aspect of body size and sparrow survival, and even the available data collected by Bumpus would permit a more thoughtful analysis than that conducted in this study (see [Appendix Table 1](#)).

Overall, this document demonstrates how high quality, professional looking documents can be written using Rmarkdown. The [underlying code](#) for this manuscript is publicly available, along with [accompanying notes](#) to understand how it was written. By using Rmarkdown to write manuscripts, authors can more easily use version control (e.g., git) throughout the writing process. The ability to easily integrate citations through BibTeX, LaTeX tools, and dynamic R code can also make writing much more efficient and more enjoyable. Further, obtaining the benefits of using Rmarkdown does not need to come with the cost of isolating colleagues who prefer to work with Word or LaTeX because Rmarkdown can easily be converted to these formats (in the case of Word, with the push of a button). By learning all of the tools used in this manuscript, readers should have all of the necessary knowledge to get started writing and collaborating in Rmarkdown.

162

5 Methods

6 References

1. Johnston, R. F., Niles, D. M. & Rohwer, S. A. Hermon bumpus and natural selection in the house sparrow *Passer domesticus*. *Evolution* **26**, 20–31 (1972).
2. Darwin, C. *The origin of species*. 495 (Penguin, 1859).
3. Bumpus, H. C. Eleventh lecture. The elimination of the unfit as illustrated by the introduced sparrow, *Passer domesticus*. (A fourth contribution to the study of variation.). *Biological Lectures: Woods Hole Marine Biological Laboratory* 209–225 (1898).

7 Appendix Table 1

An example table is shown below, which includes all of the variables collected by 3 for the first 10 measured sparrows. The full data set can be found online in [GitHub](#).

8.1 Figure 1




Figure 1. Identification of patient groups. (a) Schematic representation of #####. (b) Schematic representation of #####. (c) Schematic representation of #####. (d) Schematic representation of #####.

8.2 Figure 2

Figure 2. Identification and characterization of vaginal bacterial communities. (a) Schematic representation of #####. (b) Schematic representation of #####. (c) Schematic representation of #####. (d) Schematic representation of #####.

8.3 Figure 3

Figure 1. Identification of patient groups. (a) Schematic representation of #####. (b) Schematic representation of #####. (c) Schematic representation of #####. (d) Schematic representation of #####.

187 **9 FIGURES (SUPPL)**

188 **9.1 Figure S1**

189 **9.2 Figure S2**

190 **9.3 Figure S3**

191 **9.4 Figure S4**

192 **10 TABLES (MAIN)**

193 **10.1 Table 1**

194 **10.2 Table 2**

195 **10.3 Table 3**

196 **11 TABLES (SUPPL)**

197 **11.1 Table S1**

198 **11.2 Table S2**

199 **11.3 Table S3**