



# SciLifeLab


---

## Integration of different types of genomics data

Jakub Orzechowski Westholm

SciLifeLab (Long-term Bioinformatics Support)

Epigenomics Data Analysis course, November 27 2020




# What is data integration

- Combine data from different studies and different technologies, e.g.
  - RNA-seq
  - ATAC-seq
  - DNA-methylation
  - Spatial transcriptomics
  - Proteomics
  - Metabolomics
  - ..
- The goal: Learn something you couldn't learn from looking at each individual data set independently

# Discuss!

- What can you learn from integrating different data sets? **What types of questions can you answer?**
- **Examples of good (and bad) applications?**
- Discuss in breakout rooms, for 10 minutes.
- Then give a short summary about your discussions.



# Some data integration applications



- See how different data sets correlate, e.g. TF binding vs gene expression, two TF binding data sets, gene expression vs open chromatin (descriptive analysis).
- Learn something about one data set, from looking at another (common for single cell data, e.g. cell type composition, label transfer, deconvolution).
- Find important patterns in the data (unsupervised learning).
- Use several data sets to predict phenotype (supervised learning).
- ...

This lecture will be a pretty general discussion on what data integration can be used for, and some methods to do this.

# How do we want to combine data?

- Combine data sets with the **same features** in **different samples**.

	Sample 1	Sample 2	...	Sample M
Gene 1				
Gene 2				
..				
Gene N				

	Sample M+1	Sample M+2	...	Sample M+K
Gene 1				
Gene 2				
..				
Gene N				

- Combine data sets with **different features** in the **same samples**.




	Sample 1	Sample 2	...	Sample M
Gene 1				
Gene 2				
..				
Gene N				

	Sample 1	Sample 2	...	Sample M
CpG 1				
CpG 2				
..				
CpG L				

← Makes some analysis harder


# Things to consider

- Not trivial how to combine data from different assays
  - Different number of features
  - Different biases
  - Different distributions



# “Curse of dimensionality”

- Having too many features compared to samples ( $P>N$ ) will cause problems for modeling and prediction.
- Also makes clustering hard.



Data points end up far from each other and equidistant from each other in high dimensions.


The differences between closest and furthest data point neighbors disappears in high-dimensional spaces – can't cluster.

# **Descriptive analysis/Comparing data sets**




# Integration of genomic ranges

- Many types of data can be represented as regions across the genome
  - Genes
  - Promoters
  - CpG islands
  - Open chromatin
  - Transcription factor binding sites
  - SNPs
- R package to manipulate genomic ranges, **GRanges**.
  - Underlies a lot of tools in R.
  - We will look at **GRanges** in the exercise.
  - Bedtools has similar functionality




# Integration of genomic ranges II

- A common application is to test if the overlap between two data sets is statistically significant (e.g. greater than expected by chance).
  - If the binding sites of two transcription factors overlap
  - If a protein preferably binds around transposable elements, promoter regions, CpG islands etc.
  - ...
- We will look at this in an exercise today.




# Integration of genomic ranges III

- Many ways to do this, many ways to model what is expected by chance.
    - Theoretical (fast) vs empirical (many randomizations, slow)
    - Takes region size into account?
    - Takes distances between regions into account?
    - Takes linkage disequilibrium into account?
    - Do the lengths of the overlaps influence the result?
  - This can have a big impact on results.




# Integration of genomic ranges IV

- The most important difference between methods is the null distribution, i.e. how much overlap do we expect by chance?
- This depends a lot on the choice of universe, e.g. the whole genome or just promoters.




Big universe (e.g. whole genome)  
→ significant overlap



Small universe (e.g. promoters)  
→ same overlap is not significant

- A common application is to combine genomic regions (e.g. ChIP-seq peaks) and gene expression data, to see if the expression of genes near the region changes. For this we need
  - Annotation of each region, the nearest gene. (This might not be optimal..)
  - Gene expression data, processed in some useful format (TPM, log ratio etc.)



# Integration of single cell data




# Integration of single cell data

- 
- It is often useful to integrate different single cell data sets. Could be
    - from different batches
    - from different studies
    - your data vs public atlas
    - RNA-seq vs ATAC-seq
  - Often: Look at the same features, but combine cells.
  - Some version of this is used in many/most single cell studies.


# Integration of single cell data, II

- Goal: Integrate data so that cells from different experiments (and –omics) can be analyzed together.
  - Make sure measurements are on the same format
  - Align data sets
  - Label transfer: Use cell type annotations from one data set (e.g. RNA-seq), to annotate another data set (e.g. ATAC-seq).



# Data integration with Seurat


- There are many methods for integrating single cell data, but one of the most used (and one of the easiest to use) is **Seurat**.
- How Seurat integrates two data sets, A and B, without getting too technical:
  1. Normalize both data sets
  2. Use CCA method to project both data sets to a common space
  3. Find “anchors”, e.g. pair of cells from A and B that are mutual nearest neighbors (MNNs)
  4. Filter the MNNs, so we only keep high confidence pairs.



(Stuart et al. 2019, Cell.)


# Data integration with Seurat, II

5. Get a correction vector for each anchor, which is the difference between the two cells in the pair.
6. Use the correction vectors to move the cells from data set A



# Data integration with Seurat, III

7. Use cell type labels from B to assign cell type to cells in A.



(Stuart et al. 2019, Cell.)

You will try Seurat to integrate scATAC-seq with scRNA-seq data, and do label transfer in an exercise today.

# Data integration example

- Say that you would have scRNA-seq, scATAC-seq and spatial transcriptomics data.
- If you would successfully integrate these data sets you would have the following information for each cell type:
  - Which genes are expressed (from RNA-seq)
  - Where the open chromatin is, and which TFs bind there (from ATAC-seq)
  - Where these cells are located (from spatial transcriptomics)


# Unsupervised methods

# Why unsupervised methods



- Unsupervised methods are used to find patterns in data, without relying on e.g. a phenotype.
  - Principal Component Analysis (PCA) is an unsupervised method.
- Can be used for
  - Finding important patterns in data (e.g. clusters, trajectories, outliers)
  - Finding features (genes, regions etc.) behind these patterns
  - Imputation
  - Data integration
- Hypothesis-free, good for finding unexpected things!

# MOFA I

- Multi-Omics Factor Analysis (MOFA)
- “MOFA can be viewed as a versatile and statistically rigorous generalization of principal component analysis (PCA) to multi-omics data”
- Compared to PCA:
  - Can handle several types of data at once
    - Each factor can contain all data types
  - Can handle different distributions of data
    - PCA assumes Gaussian distributions
  - Can handle missing values




- Example: scNMT-seq (single-cell nucleosome, methylation and transcription sequencing)
  - 1828 mouse cells
  - 3 developmental stages
  - RNA expression
  - DNA methylation
  - chromatin accessibility
- Factors capture differentiation, without having access to this information.
- Looking at the weights, we can see how gene expression, chromatin accessibility and DNA methylation interact.





- Build models that can combine several data sets to predict an outcome.
  - Example: Use RNA-seq + DNA methylation + H3K27me3 to predict disease outcome
  - Is prediction using all data sets together better than prediction with each individual data set?
  - The model itself can be interesting to investigate: which features are important, which features interact.
- Curse of dimensionality
  - Often many features and few samples
  - Feature selection crucial
- Many different types of methods
  - Random Forest
  - LASSO/Ridge regression etc.
  - PLS/PLS-DA/OPLS
  - Neural networks
- This is a very big topic, outside the scope of this course.



# Summary

- Many different goals of data integration, and many ways to do it
  - Simple comparisons between data sets (how well do the data sets agree?)
  - Integration of single cell data (label transfer etc.)
  - Unsupervised integration (find major patterns in data)
  - Supervised patterns in data (find features informative of a phenotype)
- This is a big subject
  - We have a whole course on data integration if you want to learn more
  - Next occasion: April 19 – 23, 2021
  - [https://nbisweden.github.io/workshop\\_omics\\_integration/schedule](https://nbisweden.github.io/workshop_omics_integration/schedule)

*That's all Folks!*