

# Muss\_PCA\_GLM\_DMx\_Benthic

*Rachael Blake*

*September 16, 2016*

## Regional Scale Analyses

**H1:** Mussel recruitment (via abundance) is associated with strong wind stress periods (monthly average - and some metric of oscillations? freq?).


**H2:** Mussel recruitment (via abundance) is associated with high Chl years - specifically the spring bloom.

**H3:** Mussel recruitment (via abundance) is driven by extreme air temperatures – meaning degree heating days type of threshold plus time (needs to include tidal threshold).


## Importance of components:


##	PC1	PC2	PC3	PC4	PC5	PC6
## Standard deviation	2.7750	2.4142	2.1503	1.69243	1.64942	1.45696
## Proportion of Variance	0.2406	0.1821	0.1445	0.08951	0.08502	0.06634
## Cumulative Proportion	0.2406	0.4228	0.5673	0.65678	0.74180	0.80813
##	PC7	PC8	PC9	PC10	PC11	PC12
## Standard deviation	1.32199	1.21262	0.98046	0.91070	0.57210	0.52208
## Proportion of Variance	0.05461	0.04595	0.03004	0.02592	0.01023	0.00852
## Cumulative Proportion	0.86275	0.90870	0.93874	0.96466	0.97489	0.98340
##	PC13	PC14	PC15	PC16	PC17	
## Standard deviation	0.46590	0.40358	0.31085	0.2335	4.259e-16	
## Proportion of Variance	0.00678	0.00509	0.00302	0.0017	0.000e+00	
## Cumulative Proportion	0.99019	0.99528	0.99830	1.0000	1.000e+00	

**everything**



**everything**





### Scenario 1 - Region

NOTE:

chose to retain Spring Freshwater over LAG Annual Freshwater  
 chose to retain PDO over ENSO  
 chose to retain log Chla spring over PDO Winter  
 chose to retain Freshwater Yearly over Neo-Odon algae  
 chose to retain Upwelling Annual over Water Temp (buoys)  
 chose to retain Fucus over BLOY Adults, Upwelling spring, Red algae perennial, and Red algae TOTAL  
 Then had to reduce to 17 variables, since we have only 17 observations at the Region level.

## Scenario 2 - Region

### Scenario 3 - Region

## Scenario 4 - Region

## Scenario 5 - Region

## Scenario 6 - Region

## Scenario 7 - Region

Scenario 8 - Region

Scenario 9 - Region

Scenario 10 - Region

Scenario 11 - Region

Scenario 12 - Region

Scenario 13 - Region

Scenario 14 - Region

Scenario 15 - Region

Scenario 16 - Region

Scenario 17 - Region

AIC values for all Regional models

##	Model	AIC
## 1	Sce_4	-42.250807
## 2	Sce_11	-27.189837
## 3	Sce_1	-8.063201
## 4	Sce_3	-7.330222
## 5	Sce_17	-5.999331
## 6	Sce_8	-3.619279
## 7	Sce_2	-2.667597
## 8	Sce_15	5.043078
## 9	Sce_16	7.039943
## 10	Sce_9	17.811782
## 11	Sce_13	18.220283
## 12	Sce_12	19.332962
## 13	Sce_10	19.932915
## 14	Sce_7	22.402045
## 15	Sce_14	23.140452
## 16	Sce_5	25.586733
## 17	Sce_6	25.616772

## Coefficients for model(s) with lowest AIC scores

```
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = mussel_Anom ~ ., family = gaussian, data = BN_reg_sub_df)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
##      1      2      3      4      5      6
## -0.011458  0.017121 -0.017631  0.033480 -0.028880 -0.007644
##      7      8      9     10     11     12
##  0.009384 -0.014288  0.021616  0.021407 -0.037159 -0.009105
##     13     14     15     16     17
##  0.001735  0.043250  0.057698 -0.025575 -0.053948
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)      0.674279   0.514566   1.310  0.28136
## log_TotChlA_micgL_AnnMn 0.585141   0.111815   5.233  0.01358 *
## FWDisc_MeanYearly_ft3s1 0.045352   0.007061   6.423  0.00765 **
## ENSO_anul_mn      -0.274059   0.052309  -5.239  0.01353 *
## NPGO_anul_mn      -0.073817   0.052785  -1.398  0.25643
## UpWelAnom_anul_mn  -0.026043   0.003219  -8.089  0.00395 **
## Hobo_WaterTemp_AnnMn -0.208477   0.023969  -8.698  0.00320 **
## Bare_Sub_Per_Cov     0.010559   0.012090   0.873  0.44674
## Whelk_Sum_n_m2      -0.002969   0.002585  -1.148  0.33404
## S0tt_AnnMnEngRec    -0.015802   0.018342  -0.862  0.45231
## barnacle_Per_Cov     0.007455   0.004630   1.610  0.20577
## Fuc_dist_Per_Cov     0.014268   0.005876   2.428  0.09348 .
## Brwn_alg_ann_Per_Cov -0.027166   0.008636  -3.146  0.05144 .
## Green_alg_ann_Per_Cov -0.016807   0.004887  -3.439  0.04126 *
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for gaussian family taken to be 0.004732397)
##
##      Null deviance: 2.902831  on 16  degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 0.014197  on  3  degrees of freedom
## AIC: -42.251
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 2
```

NOTE: If other scales come up with other “best” models, test it all all scale levels.

Also, test scenario 13 at other scales.

Test model performance of the “best” model at each level on all levels.

Test all scenarios from Region data at lower scales.


Scenario WINNER of the Site-level analysis

```
## [1] 3.583126
```

**Scenario WINNER from the Transect-level analysis**

**## [1] -3.868123**





#### Scenario 4 - Region best model




```
##      mussel_Anom
## [1,]  0.9975516
```

```
## Warning in sqrt(crit * p * (1 - hh)/hh): NaNs produced
```

```
## Warning in sqrt(crit * p * (1 - hh)/hh): NaNs produced
```









```
##### Scenario 11
##      mussel_Anom
## [1,] 0.9892622
```

Scenario 1




```
##      mussel_Anom
## [1,] 0.9836239
```

Site winner




```
##      mussel_Anom
## [1,]  0.8893864
```


# Transect winner



```
##      mussel_Anom
## [1,]  0.9514561
```



## Warning: Removed 8 rows containing missing values (geom\_point).





## Site-level Analysis

```
## Importance of components:
##          PC1      PC2      PC3      PC4      PC5      PC6
## Standard deviation    2.4326 2.3555 1.9987 1.72857 1.56065 1.41809
## Proportion of Variance 0.1793 0.1681 0.1211 0.09054 0.07381 0.06094
## Cumulative Proportion 0.1793 0.3475 0.4685 0.55906 0.63286 0.69380
##          PC7      PC8      PC9     PC10     PC11     PC12
## Standard deviation    1.34953 1.21544 1.17473 1.0655 0.98443 0.8768
## Proportion of Variance 0.05519 0.04477 0.04182 0.0344 0.02937 0.0233
## Cumulative Proportion 0.74899 0.79376 0.83558 0.8700 0.89935 0.9226
##          PC13     PC14     PC15     PC16     PC17     PC18
## Standard deviation    0.78482 0.68975 0.60441 0.5233 0.49164 0.46059
## Proportion of Variance 0.01866 0.01442 0.01107 0.0083 0.00732 0.00643
## Cumulative Proportion 0.94131 0.95572 0.96679 0.9751 0.98242 0.98885
##          PC19     PC20     PC21     PC22     PC23     PC24
## Standard deviation    0.33346 0.30367 0.26417 0.22011 0.15256 0.13393
## Proportion of Variance 0.00337 0.00279 0.00211 0.00147 0.00071 0.00054
## Cumulative Proportion 0.99221 0.99501 0.99712 0.99859 0.99930 0.99984
##          PC25     PC26     PC27     PC28     PC29     PC30
## Standard deviation    0.06460 0.03278 1.169e-15 3.407e-16 3e-16 2.46e-16
## Proportion of Variance 0.00013 0.00003 0.000e+00 0.000e+00 0e+00 0.00e+00
## Cumulative Proportion 0.99997 1.00000 1.000e+00 1.000e+00 1e+00 1.00e+00
##          PC31     PC32     PC33
## Standard deviation    2.382e-16 2.036e-16 1.722e-16
## Proportion of Variance 0.000e+00 0.000e+00 0.000e+00
## Cumulative Proportion 1.000e+00 1.000e+00 1.000e+00
```







**Scenario 7 - Site**

**Scenario 8 - Site**

**Scenario 9 - Site**

**Scenario 10 - Site**

**Scenario 11 - Site**

**Scenario 12 - Site**

**Scenario 13**

**Scenario 14 - Site**

**Scenario 15 - Site**

**Scenario 16 - Site**

**Scenario 17 - Site**

**Scenario 18 - Site**

**Scenario 19 - Site**

**Scenario 20 - Site**

**Scenario 21 - Site**

**Scenario 22 - Site**

**Scenario 23 - Site**

**Scenario 24 - Site**

**Scenario 25 - Site**

**Scenario 26 - Site**

**Scenario 27 - Site**

## Scenario 28 - Site

### AIC values for all Site models

##	Model	AIC
## 1	Sce_28_s	71.84565
## 2	Sce_27_s	75.69550
## 3	Sce_24_s	75.88648
## 4	Sce_18_s	77.83488
## 5	Sce_26_s	79.30016
## 6	Sce_25_s	79.53424
## 7	Sce_15_s	80.17677
## 8	Sce_13_s	80.92781
## 9	Sce_14_s	81.19983
## 10	Sce_17_s	81.83946
## 11	Sce_22_s	81.89060
## 12	Sce_16_s	82.10818
## 13	Sce_7_s	82.12386
## 14	Sce_8_s	82.15145
## 15	Sce_23_s	82.17674
## 16	Sce_12_s	82.33071
## 17	Sce_11_s	82.40448
## 18	Sce_21_s	82.47318
## 19	Sce_9_s	83.81996
## 20	Sce_19_s	84.21813
## 21	Sce_10_s	86.58349
## 22	Sce_2_s	90.31254
## 23	Sce_6_s	93.21779
## 24	Sce_5_s	93.31026
## 25	Sce_20_s	93.77724
## 26	Sce_3_s	95.30850
## 27	Sce_1_s	95.67174
## 28	Sce_4_s	97.50154

### Coefficients for model(s) with lowest AIC scores

```
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = mussel_Anom ~ ., family = gaussian, data = BN_reg_sub_df)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -0.96008  -0.31516   0.06693   0.40606   0.96437
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)    -3.49332     0.92487  -3.777 0.000493 ***
## log_TotChlA_micgL_AnnMn  0.74706     0.30031   2.488 0.016913 *
## WaterTmp_C_AnnMn        0.24357     0.09192   2.650 0.011303 *
## S0tt_AnnMnEngRec        0.15546     0.03605   4.312 9.59e-05 ***
## Brwn_alg_ann_Per_Cov    -0.02810     0.01413  -1.988 0.053363 .
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for gaussian family taken to be 0.2340812)
##
##      Null deviance: 17.7483  on 46  degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance:  9.8314  on 42  degrees of freedom
## AIC: 71.846
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 2
```


### Scenario WINNER from Region-level analysis

```
## [1] 79.35655
```





### Scenario WINNER from the Transect-level analysis


```
## [1] 67.49646
```

### Scenario 28 - Site best model




```
##      mussel_Anom
## [1,]    0.6678819
```






# Transect Winner



```
##      mussel_Anom
## [1,]  0.7453487
```



## Scenario 27





```
##      mussel_Anom
## [1,]    0.6692033
```


## Warning: Removed 49 rows containing missing values (geom\_point).



## Transect-level Analyses (Within-Site)

```
## Importance of components:
##          PC1    PC2    PC3    PC4    PC5    PC6    PC7
## Standard deviation  2.3243 2.2666 1.880 1.75237 1.51768 1.40138 1.28093
## Proportion of Variance 0.1589 0.1511 0.104 0.09032 0.06775 0.05776 0.04826
## Cumulative Proportion 0.1589 0.3100 0.414 0.50430 0.57204 0.62980 0.67806
##          PC8    PC9    PC10    PC11    PC12    PC13
## Standard deviation  1.17652 1.16452 1.09472 1.00543 0.94818 0.92039
## Proportion of Variance 0.04071 0.03989 0.03525 0.02973 0.02644 0.02492
## Cumulative Proportion 0.71877 0.75866 0.79391 0.82364 0.85008 0.87500
##          PC14    PC15    PC16    PC17    PC18    PC19
## Standard deviation  0.8668 0.82128 0.80497 0.75685 0.69455 0.57301
## Proportion of Variance 0.0221 0.01984 0.01906 0.01685 0.01419 0.00966
## Cumulative Proportion 0.8971 0.91693 0.93599 0.95284 0.96703 0.97669
##          PC20    PC21    PC22    PC23    PC24    PC25
## Standard deviation  0.56059 0.42225 0.34843 0.30241 0.25208 0.14636
## Proportion of Variance 0.00924 0.00524 0.00357 0.00269 0.00187 0.00063
## Cumulative Proportion 0.98593 0.99117 0.99474 0.99743 0.99930 0.99993
##          PC26    PC27    PC28    PC29    PC30
## Standard deviation  0.04792 1.119e-14 6.301e-15 4.905e-15 4.007e-15
## Proportion of Variance 0.00007 0.000e+00 0.000e+00 0.000e+00 0.000e+00
## Cumulative Proportion 1.00000 1.000e+00 1.000e+00 1.000e+00 1.000e+00
##          PC31    PC32    PC33    PC34
## Standard deviation  3.353e-15 3.338e-15 4.985e-16 2.289e-16
## Proportion of Variance 0.000e+00 0.000e+00 0.000e+00 0.000e+00
## Cumulative Proportion 1.000e+00 1.000e+00 1.000e+00 1.000e+00
```





### Scenario 1 - Transect PCA-informed model

\*NOTE:

- chose NPGO annual over ENSO fall
  - chose Green algae over LAG annual Chla
  - chose Bare Substrate over Barnacles and Sea Otter eng rec
  - chose PDO Annual over PDO Winter
  - chose Brown algae over ENSO Spring
  - chose HOBO Water Temp over PDO Spring
  - chose Water Temp Annual Buoys over Upwelling Spring and Neo-Odon algae
  - chose Freshwater Yearly over Fucus and LAG Freshwater Yearly
  - chose Water Temp Winter over Freshwater Spring and Red algae TOTAL
- Also removed Upwelling Fall and Upwelling Winter Anomaly due to singularities

### Scenario 2 - Transect

### Scenario 3 - Transect

### Scenario 4 - Transect

### Scenario 5 - Transect

Scenario 6 - Transect

Scenario 7 - Transect

Scenario 8 - Transect

Scenario 9 - Transect

Scenario 10 - Transect

Scenario 11 - Transect

Scenario 12 - Transect

Scenario 13 - Transect

Scenario 14 - Transect

Scenario 15 - Transect

Scenario 16 - Transect

Scenario 17 - Transect

Scenario 18 - Transect

Scenario 19 - Transect

Scenario 20 - Transect

Scenario 21 - Transect

Scenario 22 - Transect

Scenario 23 - Transect

Scenario 24 - Transect

Scenario 25 - Transect

Scenario 26 - Transect

### Scenario 27 - Transect

### Scenario 28 - Transect

### Scenario 29 - Transect

##	Model	AIC
## 1	Sce_22_t	1894.785
## 2	Sce_4_t	1906.363
## 3	Sce_25_t	1909.536
## 4	Sce_24_t	1911.617
## 5	Sce_2_t	1912.064
## 6	Sce_23_t	1913.050
## 7	Sce_3_t	1914.627
## 8	Sce_21_t	1915.102
## 9	Sce_1_t	1915.375
## 10	Sce_19_t	1928.582
## 11	Sce_20_t	1928.722
## 12	Sce_29_t	1930.670
## 13	Sce_14_t	1932.327
## 14	Sce_16_t	1935.349
## 15	Sce_18_t	1939.148
## 16	Sce_10_t	1939.583
## 17	Sce_13_t	1943.470
## 18	Sce_9_t	1954.246
## 19	Sce_28_t	1961.932
## 20	Sce_27_t	1962.033
## 21	Sce_26_t	1963.111
## 22	Sce_12_t	1963.636
## 23	Sce_5_t	1966.002
## 24	Sce_17_t	1966.002
## 25	Sce_7_t	1966.490
## 26	Sce_11_t	1968.511
## 27	Sce_15_t	1970.527
## 28	Sce_6_t	1991.004
## 29	Sce_8_t	1992.993

### Coefficients for model(s) with lowest AIC scores

```
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = mussel_Anom ~ ., family = gaussian, data = BN_reg_sub_df)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -2.75951  -0.75829  -0.00292   0.73898   3.06782
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)    0.966532   0.197848   4.885 1.30e-06 ***
## UpWelAnom_fal_mn  0.007773   0.002285   3.401 0.000711 ***
## UpWelAnom_anul_mn -0.028077   0.003029  -9.269 < 2e-16 ***
## Hobo_WaterTemp_AnnMn -0.064289   0.024489  -2.625 0.008862 **
## Bare_Sub_Per_Cov  -0.007725   0.002375  -3.253 0.001203 **
## Red_alg_TOT_Per_Cov  0.001950   0.001201   1.624 0.104928
## Brwn_alg_ann_Per_Cov -0.020686   0.004148  -4.988 7.85e-07 ***
## Green_alg_ann_Per_Cov -0.003052   0.001658  -1.841 0.066133 .
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for gaussian family taken to be 1.018094)
##
##      Null deviance: 805.11  on 659  degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 663.80  on 652  degrees of freedom
## AIC: 1894.8
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 2
```

### Scenario Winner from Region-level analysis above


```
## [1] 1906.746
```

### Scenario Winner from Site-level analysis above





```
## [1] 1910.221
```




# Scenario 22 - Best Model



```
##      mussel_Anom
## [1,]    0.4189486
```




##### Region winning scenario



```
##      mussel_Anom
## [1,] 0.4190068
```

# Site winning scenario



```
##      mussel_Anom
## [1,] 0.3850979
```

## Warning: Removed 588 rows containing missing values (geom\_point).

