Applied Bayesian Analysis: NCSU ST 540

Homework 7

Bruce Campbell

In this assignment we perform Bayesian linear regression for the microbiome data on the course website

https://www4.stat.ncsu.edu/~reich/ABA/assignments/homes.RData

Let Y_i be the precipitation for observation i and X_{ij} equal one if OTU j is present in sample i.

First, extract the 50 OTU with the largest absolute correlation between X_{ij} and Y_i . Then fit a Bayesian linear regression model precipitation as the response and with these 50 covariates (and an intercept term) using two priors:

- (1) Uninformative normal priors: $\beta_i \sim Normal(0, 100^2)$
- (2) Hierarchical normal priors: $\beta_i | \tau \sim Normal(0, \tau^2)$ where $\tau^2 \sim InvGamma(0:01, 0:01)$
- (3) Bayesian LASSO: $\beta_j | \tau^2 \sim DE(0, \tau^2)$ where $\tau^2 \sim InvGamma(0:01, 0:01)$

Compare convergence and the posterior distribution of the regression coefficients under these three priors. In particular, are the same OTU's significant in all three fits?

Load data and select 50 most ocrrelated OUT variables.

```
library(rjags)
library(coda)
library(modeest)
load("homes.RData")
X <- OTU != 0
Y <- homes$MeanAnnualPrecipitation
C_{xy} \leftarrow cor(X, Y)
top <- function(x, n) {
    tail(order(x), n)
# One of the X is all 1's -
# resulting in an NA for the
# correlation.
indices <- top(C_xy, 51)
# Remove the NA - I'm sure there's
# a more elegant way...
indices <- indices[1:50]</pre>
```

```
X <- X[, indices]

predictor.names <- names(OTU)[indices]
predictor.names[51] <- "intercept"

top.corr <- C_xy[indices]

# Y <- scale(Y) X <- scale(X)

DEBUG <- FALSE
if (DEBUG) {
    nSamples <- 20000
    n.chains <- 1
} else {
    nSamples <- 20000
    n.chains <- 1
}</pre>
```

We sample from our model after burn in. Not all of the diagnostic plots are not presented. See the diagnostic plots in https://github.com/brucebcampbell/bayesian-learning-with-R.git we assessed convergence by; - viewing the time sereies for the intercept and each of the predictors. For this we utilized the coda package. - ran multiple chains and viewed evaluated the autocorrelation plots. - calculated the posterior means for the intercept and the $beta_j$ - utilized the mlv funtions in the modeest to calculate the MAP estimated of the posterior modes - compared the 95% prediction intervals for the intercepts against the p-values from the logistic regression maximum likelihood model - Gelman plots are optionally produced whem the nuymber of MCMC chains is greater than one.

Some of the code is run conditionally through the DEBUG flag. We ran under debug mode and noted that all models converged. All but one of the predictors were the same for all the modesl. Depending on the run OTU_624 was swapped with another pridictor in the uninformative model.

This was an interesting project. I iterated several versions and had to debug working jags models to get things running well. Also we encountered a NaN in the correlation due to one of the predictors being all 1's. If this was not accounted for the run times went up and convergence was bad. The width of the credible intervals could be investigated. We'll be running a longer simulation as a follow on task for fun. We set the precision of the model error to be 0.01 and 0.1 and got similar results.

Normal Uniformative

It's not specified what the prior variance is for $E[Y_j|X_j]$. We will assume $Y|\beta \sim N(y \cdot \beta, \sigma^2)$ where $\sigma^2 \sim InvGamma(0.1, 0.1)$

```
n <- nrow(X)
sigma.beta <- 100
inv.gamma.param <- 0.1
p <- ncol(X)</pre>
```

```
model_string.normal_uniformative <- "model{</pre>
  # Likelihood
  for(i in 1:n){
    Y[i] ~ dnorm(mu[i],inv.var)
    mu[i] <- intercept +inprod(X[i,],beta[])</pre>
  }
  # Prior for beta
  for(j in 1:p){
    beta[j] ~ dnorm(0,1/sigma.beta^2)
  }
  intercept ~ dnorm(0,1/sigma.beta^2)
  # Prior for the inverse variance
  inv.var ~ dgamma(inv.gamma.param, inv.gamma.param)
            <- 1/sqrt(inv.var)
  sigma
}"
model.normal_uniformative <- jags.model(textConnection(model_string.normal_uniformative), data
## Compiling model graph
##
      Resolving undeclared variables
##
      Allocating nodes
## Graph information:
      Observed stochastic nodes: 1133
##
##
      Unobserved stochastic nodes: 52
##
      Total graph size: 61100
##
## Initializing model
update(model.normal_uniformative, nSamples, progress.bar="none"); # Burnin
samp.coeff.normal_uniformative <- coda.samples(model.normal_uniformative, variable.names=c("in</pre>
sum.normal_uniformative <- summary(samp.coeff.normal_uniformative)</pre>
quantiles <- sum . normal_uniformative $quantiles
left.05.quantile.sign <- sign(quantiles[,1])==-1</pre>
right.95.quantile.sign <- sign(quantiles[,5])==1
significant <- xor(left.05.quantile.sign ,right.95.quantile.sign)</pre>
beta.significant <- quantiles[significant,]</pre>
pander(data.frame(beta.significant), caption = "significant normal uninformative ")
```

Table 1: significant normal uninformative

	X2.5.	X25.	X50.	X75.	X97.5.
beta[7]	-7.739	-5.44	-4.222	-2.997	-0.6958

	X2.5.	X25.	X50.	X75.	X97.5.
beta[20]	-7.958	-5.59	-4.345	-3.099	-0.772
${ m beta}[25]$	0.13	2.076	3.09	4.127	6.063
${ m beta}[29]$	1.564	3.955	5.224	6.485	8.891
${ m beta}[37]$	1.19	3.136	4.132	5.141	7.062
${ m beta}[38]$	0.2196	2.694	3.993	5.269	7.673
${ m beta}[41]$	1.807	4.29	5.582	6.848	9.3
${ m beta}[45]$	1.808	4.137	5.381	6.635	8.986
beta[46]	2.818	5.028	6.171	7.315	9.509
${ m beta}[49]$	1.837	3.881	4.927	6.004	8.04
${f beta[50]}$	3.476	5.733	6.886	8.045	10.38
intercept	51.55	53.28	54.15	55.03	56.68

```
credible.widths <- beta.significant[,5]-beta.significant[,1]
predictor.names.significant <- predictor.names[significant]
pander(data.frame(predictor.names.significant,credible.widths), caption = "credible widths normalization"</pre>
```

Table 2: credible widths normal uninformative

	${\bf predictor.names.significant}$	credible.widths
beta[7]	OTU_54646	7.043
$\mathrm{beta}[20]$	OTU_9405	7.186
${ m beta}[25]$	OTU_624	5.933
$\mathrm{beta}[29]$	OTU_999	7.326
$\mathrm{beta}[37]$	OTU_66	5.872
$\mathrm{beta}[38]$	OTU_51578	7.453
$\mathrm{beta}[41]$	OTU_8086	7.493
$\mathrm{beta}[45]$	OTU_72918	7.179
$\mathrm{beta}[46]$	OTU_97	6.691
$\mathrm{beta}[49]$	OTU_277	6.203
$\mathrm{beta}[50]$	OTU_18758	6.906
intercept	${\rm intercept}$	5.123

```
if (DEBUG)
{
  autocorr.plot(samp.coeff.normal_uniformative)

plot(samp.coeff.normal_uniformative)

#Sample again and estimate posterior means and MAP posterior modes.
samp.coeff.normal_uniformative.jags <- jags.samples(model.normal_uniformative, variable.name)
posterior_means.normal_uniformative <- lapply(samp.coeff.normal_uniformative.jags, apply, 1,
  pander(posterior_means.normal_uniformative, caption = "posterior means second sample")</pre>
```

```
posterior_modes.normal_uniformative <- lapply(samp.coeff.normal_uniformative.jags, apply, 1,
posterior_modes.normal_uniformative

if(n.chains>1)
{
   gelman.plot(samp.coeff)
}
}
```

Hierarchical Normal Priors

```
\beta_i | \tau \sim Normal(0, \tau^2) where \tau^2 \sim InvGamma(0:01, 0:01)
beta.inv.gamma.param <- 0.01
variance.inv.gamma.param <- 0.1</pre>
p <- ncol(X)
model_string.normal_hierarchical <- "model{</pre>
  # Likelihood
  for(i in 1:n){
    Y[i] ~ dnorm(mu[i],inv.var)
    mu[i] <- intercept +inprod(X[i,],beta[])</pre>
  # Prior for beta
  for(j in 1:p){
    beta[j] ~ dnorm(0,beta.inv.gamma.param)
  intercept ~ dnorm(0,beta.inv.gamma.param)
  # Prior for the inverse variance
  inv.var ~ dgamma(variance.inv.gamma.param, variance.inv.gamma.param)
            <- 1/sqrt(inv.var)
  sigma
  #Beta Prior for the inverse variance
  inv.var.beta ~ dgamma(beta.inv.gamma.param, beta.inv.gamma.param)
}"
model.normal_hierarchical <- jags.model(textConnection(model_string.normal_hierarchical), data
## Compiling model graph
      Resolving undeclared variables
##
##
      Allocating nodes
## Graph information:
      Observed stochastic nodes: 1133
##
      Unobserved stochastic nodes: 53
##
      Total graph size: 61098
##
```

```
##
## Initializing model
update(model.normal_hierarchical, nSamples, progress.bar="none"); # Burnin

samp.coeff.normal_hierarchical <-coda.samples(model.normal_hierarchical,variable.names=c("intersome sum.normal_hierarchical <- summary(samp.coeff.normal_hierarchical)
quantiles<-sum.normal_hierarchical$quantiles
left.05.quantile.sign <- sign(quantiles[,1])==-1
right.95.quantile.sign <- sign(quantiles[,5])==1
significant <- xor(left.05.quantile.sign ,right.95.quantile.sign)
beta.significant <- quantiles[significant,]

pander(data.frame(beta.significant), caption = "significant normal hierarchical")</pre>
```

Table 3: significant normal hierarchical

	X2.5.	X25.	X50.	X75.	X97.5.
beta[7]	-7.596	-5.331	-4.136	-2.927	-0.6075
$\mathrm{beta}[20]$	-7.475	-5.115	-3.891	-2.634	-0.1955
tota[25]	0.04628	2.007	3.017	4.042	5.99
tota[29]	1.383	3.783	5.038	6.291	8.641
tota[37]	1.269	3.163	4.16	5.152	7.05
tota[38]	0.1728	2.547	3.824	5.123	7.563
$\mathrm{beta}[41]$	1.866	4.238	5.481	6.751	9.218
${ m beta}[45]$	1.821	4.134	5.337	6.556	8.874
$\mathrm{beta}[46]$	2.879	5.077	6.217	7.34	9.52
${ m beta}[49]$	1.724	3.728	4.782	5.824	7.866
${ m beta}[50]$	3.358	5.578	6.742	7.893	10.05
intercept	50.81	52.46	53.33	54.21	55.82

```
credible.widths <- beta.significant[,5]-beta.significant[,1]
predictor.names.significant <- predictor.names[significant]
pander(data.frame(predictor.names.significant,credible.widths), caption = "credible widths normalization"</pre>
```

Table 4: credible widths normal hierarchical

	predictor.names.significant	credible.widths
	OTU_54646	6.989
$\mathrm{beta}[20]$	OTU_9405	7.28
${ m beta}[25]$	OTU_624	5.944
$\mathrm{beta}[29]$	OTU_999	7.259
$ ext{beta}[37]$	OTU_66	5.781
$\mathrm{beta}[38]$	OTU_51578	7.39

	predictor.names.significant	credible.widths
beta[41]	OTU_8086	7.352
beta[45]	OTU_72918	7.053
$ ext{beta}[46]$	OTU_97	6.641
beta[49]	OTU_277	6.142
beta[50]	OTU_18758	6.696
intercept	intercept	5.009

```
if (DEBUG)
{
   autocorr.plot(samp.coeff.normal_hierarchical)

plot(samp.coeff.normal_hierarchical)

#Sample again and estimate posterior means and MAP posterior modes.
samp.coeff.normal_hierarchical.jags <- jags.samples(model.normal_hierarchical, variable.name)
posterior_means.normal_hierarchical <- lapply(samp.coeff.normal_hierarchical.jags, apply, 1,
pander(posterior_means.normal_hierarchical, caption = "posterior means second sample")
posterior_modes.normal_hierarchical <- lapply(samp.coeff.normal_hierarchical.jags, apply, 1,
posterior_modes.normal_hierarchical
if(n.chains>1)
{
   gelman.plot(samp.coeff)
}
}
```

BLASSO

```
beta.inv.gamma.param <- 0.01
variance.inv.gamma.param <- 0.1
p <- ncol(X)

model_string.normal_blasso <- "model{
    # Likelihood
    for(i in 1:n){
        Y[i] ~ dnorm(mu[i],inv.var)
        mu[i] <- intercept +inprod(X[i,],beta[])
}

# Prior for beta
for(j in 1:p){
    beta[j] ~ ddexp(0,beta.inv.gamma.param)
}
intercept ~ ddexp(0,beta.inv.gamma.param)</pre>
```

```
# Prior for the inverse variance
 inv.var ~ dgamma(variance.inv.gamma.param, variance.inv.gamma.param)
            <- 1/sqrt(inv.var)
  sigma
 #Beta Prior for the inverse variance
  inv.var.beta ~ dgamma(beta.inv.gamma.param, beta.inv.gamma.param)
}"
model.normal_blasso <- jags.model(textConnection(model_string.normal_blasso), data = list(Y=Y,)</pre>
## Compiling model graph
      Resolving undeclared variables
##
      Allocating nodes
##
## Graph information:
      Observed stochastic nodes: 1133
##
##
      Unobserved stochastic nodes: 53
##
      Total graph size: 61098
##
## Initializing model
update(model.normal_blasso, nSamples, progress.bar="none"); # Burnin
samp.coeff.normal_blasso <- coda.samples(model.normal_blasso,variable.names=c("intercept","bete</pre>
sum.normal_blasso <- summary(samp.coeff.normal_blasso)</pre>
quantiles <- sum . normal_blasso $quantiles
left.05.quantile.sign <- sign(quantiles[,1])==-1</pre>
right.95.quantile.sign <- sign(quantiles[,5])==1
significant <- xor(left.05.quantile.sign ,right.95.quantile.sign)</pre>
beta.significant <- quantiles[significant,]</pre>
```

Table 5: significant normal BLASSO

pander(data.frame(beta.significant), caption = "significant normal BLASSO")

	X2.5.	X25.	X50.	X75.	X97.5.
beta[7]	-7.679	-5.347	-4.12	-2.887	-0.6366
$\mathbf{beta[20]}$	-7.997	-5.617	-4.344	-3.062	-0.6887
$\mathbf{beta[25]}$	0.09942	2.068	3.086	4.111	6.067
$\mathbf{beta[29]}$	1.491	3.855	5.13	6.409	8.788
$ ext{beta}[37]$	1.16	3.093	4.092	5.12	7.096
tota[38]	0.174	2.708	4.018	5.33	7.873
beta[41]	1.798	4.261	5.554	6.848	9.343
beta[45]	1.712	4.155	5.381	6.596	8.981
beta[46]	2.843	5.007	6.162	7.315	9.516
beta[49]	1.823	3.876	4.937	5.991	8.019
${f beta[50]}$	3.49	5.701	6.866	8.042	10.26
intercept	51.54	53.27	54.15	55.06	56.71

X2.5.	X25.	X50.	X75.	X97.5.
-------	------	------	------	--------

```
credible.widths <- beta.significant[,5]-beta.significant[,1]
predictor.names.significant <- predictor.names[significant]
pander(data.frame(predictor.names.significant,credible.widths), caption = "credible widths normalization"</pre>
```

Table 6: credible widths normal BLASSO

	predictor.names.significant	credible.widths
beta[7]	OTU_54646	7.042
${ m beta}[20]$	OTU_9405	7.308
$ ext{beta}[25]$	OTU_624	5.968
$\mathrm{beta}[29]$	OTU _999	7.297
$ ext{beta}[37]$	OTU_66	5.935
tota[38]	OTU_51578	7.699
${ m beta}[41]$	OTU_8086	7.545
$\mathrm{beta}[45]$	OTU_72918	7.269
beta[46]	OTU_97	6.674
beta[49]	OTU_277	6.196
beta[50]	OTU_18758	6.766
intercept	intercept	5.166

```
if (DEBUG)
{
   autocorr.plot(samp.coeff.normal_blasso)

plot(samp.coeff.normal_blasso)

#Sample again and estimate posterior means and MAP posterior modes.
samp.coeff.normal_blasso.jags <- jags.samples(model.normal_blasso, variable.names = c("interposterior_means.normal_blasso <- lapply(samp.coeff.normal_blasso.jags, apply, 1, "mean")
pander(posterior_means.normal_blasso, caption = "posterior means second sample")
posterior_modes.normal_blasso <- lapply(samp.coeff.normal_blasso.jags, apply, 1, "mlv")
posterior_modes.normal_blasso
if(n.chains>1)
{
   gelman.plot(samp.coeff)
}
```