

Tutorial sheet: problem 3: FA

WS 15/16

= homework
sheet
WS 16/17

$$p(z) = \mathcal{N}(z | 0, I)$$

↓ ↓
L L x L

$$p(x|z, \theta) = \mathcal{N}(x | Wz + \mu, \gamma)$$

↓ ↓ ↓ ↓
D x L L D D x D

diagonal!

Why? We want
the z to explain
the correlation btw.
the x.

If we allow γ to be
a general covariance
 $\Rightarrow \gamma$ explains the
correlation

$$p(x|\theta) = \int dz \, p(x, z|\theta)$$

$$= \int dz \, p(x(z, \theta)) \, p(z|\theta)$$

$$= \mathcal{N}(x | \mu, \gamma + WW^T)$$

(eq. 4.126)
(Murphy)

$\{x_i\}_{i=1}^N$ Training data

* Why can we start from prior? $p(z|\theta) = \mathcal{N}(z|0, I)$?

If we start from $p(z|\theta) = \mathcal{N}(z|\mu_0, \Sigma_0)$ we

get:

$$p(x|\theta) = \int dz \ p(x|z, \theta) p(z|\theta)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{(eq 4.126)}}{=} \mathcal{N}(x | w\mu_0 + \mu, \Sigma + W\Sigma_0W^T)$$

(Murphy)

absorb μ_0 : We learn μ , so we can as well

learn $\tilde{\mu} = w\mu_0 + \mu$ (or re-parameterize
 $\mu \rightarrow \mu - w\mu_0$)

absorb Σ_0 : We learn W , so we can as well

$$\text{learn } \tilde{W} = W\Sigma_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (\Sigma_0^{-1} = \Sigma_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Sigma_0^{-\frac{1}{2}})$$

$$(\Sigma_0 = \Sigma_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Sigma_0^{-\frac{1}{2}})$$

eg. via Cholesky
 decomp.)

We then have:

$$\Psi^+ W \Sigma_0 \tilde{W}^T = \Psi^+ +$$

$$= \Psi^+ W \Sigma_0^{-1} \tilde{\Sigma}_0 \Sigma^{-\frac{T}{2}} W$$

$$= \Psi^+ W \Sigma_0^{-1} \tilde{\Sigma}_0 \tilde{\Sigma}_0^{-\frac{T}{2}} \Sigma_0^{-\frac{T}{2}} W$$

$$= \Psi^+ W W^T$$

Now: if we have transformed input data

$$y = Ax$$

What happens?

* General formula for linear transformations
of Gaussians:

$$X \sim \mathcal{N}(x|\mu, \Sigma) ; Y = AX + b$$
$$\Rightarrow Y \sim \mathcal{N}(A\mu + b, A\Sigma A^T)$$

$$\Rightarrow X \sim \mathcal{N}(x|\mu, \Sigma + \omega\omega^T) ; Y = AX$$

$$\Rightarrow Y \sim \mathcal{N}(A\mu, A\Sigma A^T + A\omega\omega^T A)$$

Assume the Max. Likelihood solutions (eg. from
via EM) for the FA-Model for X are

$$\Theta_x^{ML} = (\mu_x, W_x, \Psi_x)$$

\Rightarrow The Max. Likelihood solutions for $Y = AX$

$$\text{are } \Theta_y^{ML} = (A\mu_x, AW_x, A\Psi_x A^T)$$

\Rightarrow * case general FA: If A is diagonal: Ψ_y is anyway,
the characteristics of FA are preserved for Y :

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} A\mu_x : \text{scaling of components of } \mu_x \end{array} \right.$

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} AW_x : \text{scaling rows of } W_x \text{ with the } a_i (A = \text{diag}\{a_i\}) \end{array} \right.$

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} A\Psi_x A^T : \text{scaling entries in } \Psi_x \text{ with } a_i^2, \text{ still diag} \end{array} \right.$

* Case 2: A orthogonal and $Y = \Delta^2 I$;
 (PPCA) the characteristics of $PP(A)$ are also
 preserved for Y :

{ $A \mu_x$: Rotation of μ_x (Model is
 determined up to a rotation
 only)
 $A W_x$: again only a rotation applied
 after $W \rightarrow \checkmark$
 $A \psi_x A^T$: still diagonal $\rightarrow \checkmark$

① Tutorial sheet : $PP(A) \xrightarrow{\sigma \rightarrow 0} PCA$

\Rightarrow $\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \rightarrow 0$

$PP(A)$: FA with W orthonormal ($WW^T = I$) and $\gamma = \sigma^2 I$

FA: $p(z_i | x_i) \stackrel{\text{(slides)}}{=} \mathcal{N}(z_i | m_i, \Sigma)$
 $\left(\text{eq. 9.125} \right)$
 $\left(\text{Murphy} \right)$

with

$$m_i = \Sigma (W^T \gamma^{-1} (x_i - \mu))$$

$$\Sigma = (I + W^T \gamma^{-1} W)^{-1}$$

$$\Sigma = (I + \sigma^{-2} W^T W)^{-1} \xleftarrow{PP(A)} \gamma = \sigma^2 I$$

$$= \sigma^2 (\sigma^2 I + W^T W)^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow m_i = \sigma^2 (\sigma^2 I + W^T W)^{-1} (W^T (\sigma^2 I)^{-1} (x_i - \mu))$$

$$= (\sigma^2 I + W^T W)^{-1} (W^T (x_i - \mu))$$

Now = using ML solution for PPA for W:
(closed form, no EM necessary):

$$W^{ML} = L(A - \sigma^2 I)^{-\frac{1}{2}} R$$

* L : $D \times L$ matrix; (L is the dimension of the latent space)

Orthogonal because
the first L eigenvectors of the empirical covariance

Matrix $S = \frac{1}{N} X^T X$ for mean

free X
 $N \times D$

Symmetric
Real matrix

Square matrix

$$A = M_1^T M_2^T$$

via
e.g. singular decomposition

* $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_L)$: λ_i eigenvalues of L .

* R : arbitrary rotation matrix

$$\Rightarrow W^M = L \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} R$$

$$\Rightarrow m_i \stackrel{\sigma \rightarrow 0}{=} (W^T W)^{-1} W^T (x_i - \mu)$$

$$= ((L \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} R)^T L \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} R)^{-1} (L \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} R)^T (x_i - \mu)$$

$$= (R^T \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \underbrace{L^T L}_{I} \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} R)^{-1} R^T \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} L^T (x_i - \mu)$$

$$\stackrel{\sigma \rightarrow 0}{=} R^T \Lambda^{-1} R \quad \cancel{R^T \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} L^T (x_i - \mu)}$$

$$= R^T \Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} L^T (x_i - \mu)$$

$R=I$: Same projection on L -dim
subspace as in PCA

scaling

Projection