

AI-Powered Legal Documentation Assistant

Kuldeep Vayadande
Department of Information Technology
Vishwakarma institute of technology
Pune, India
kuldeep.vayadande@gmail.com

Aditi Bhat
Department of Information Technology
Vishwakarma institute of technology
Pune, India
bhat.aditi22@vit.edu

Pranav Bachhav
Department of Information Technology
Vishwakarma institute of technology
Pune, India
pranav.bachhav22@vit.edu

Aditya Bhojar
Department of Information Technology
Vishwakarma institute of technology
Pune, India
aditya.bhojar221@vit.edu

Zulfikar Charoliya
Department of Information Technology
Vishwakarma institute of technology
Pune, India
zulfikar.charoliya22@vit.edu

Aayush Chavan
Department of Information Technology
Vishwakarma institute of technology
Pune, India
aayush.chavan22@vit.edu

Abstract: Legal systems worldwide vary in structure and principles, reflecting the diverse legal traditions of different countries. The legal system, inherently complex and reliant on meticulous documentation, often faces challenges related to time-consuming manual processes and the potential for human errors. The system proposed provides a transformative solution to the above problems. The system emerges as a groundbreaking solution within the intricate landscape of legal systems which responds to these challenges by seamlessly integrating advanced AI techniques. At its core, OpenAI embeddings takes center stage, demonstrating unparalleled proficiency in document generation, comprehension, and abnormality detection, addressing the complexities ingrained in legal documentation. In contrast to traditional approaches, this system maximizes the versatility of ChatGPT 3.5, allowing it to not only issue commands but also proficiently generate a diverse array of legal documents. By incorporating an understanding module equipped with PyPDF, Amazon Textract, and langchain utilities, the system adeptly handles document intricacies. The utilization of OpenAI Embeddings further enhances natural language understanding. Leveraging sentiment analysis and Named Entity Recognition (NER) in its natural language processing (NLP) toolkit, the system employs an intuitive web interface for irregularities detection. The exploration of AI for automated irregularity detection showcases its transformative potential in ensuring document accuracy within the legal domain. This project, therefore, stands as a beacon of innovation, promising to reshape the dynamics of legal document processing by merging advanced AI capabilities with the unique demands of legal systems.

Keywords: Legal Document Processing, Natural Language Processing (NLP), Irregularities Detection, Legal Technology, OpenAI Embeddings

I. INTRODUCTION

Legal systems throughout the world have countries' diverse laws, implemented structures and processes that manifest the unique nature of traditions in each country. These issues, in fact already there, have to do with human tendency to introduce errors and obligatory use of time (efforts) during the documentation by the means of outdated methodology like paper format [1]. Those users have necessitated an

AR-supported Legal Document Assistant (LDA) system curved to transform the scene.

Like in any other field, the oldest legal systems have variety of challenges faced, especially filing of manual documents and a variety of risks that exist with complex texts. The accuracy and efficiencies of existing document processing systems is already inadequate, due to their inability to keep up, which is even more challenging in the precision oriented legal cases documentation environment [2]. The problem may be intensified because of the peculiarities of legal language, which raises the demand of the comprehension, which is far sometimes unavailable in the ordinary systems. Manual document proliferation could be an arduous exercise with human error of missing out serious issues.

On the one side, the desired solution, the artificial intelligence lawyer assistant is a game changer that is tactically created to remedy the detected shortfalls.

AI used system include unusual chapter detection in the legal document texts. The LDA achieves this through the application of Sentiment Analysis and NER, with are enablers of the NLP toolkit. The LDA operates through a simple Web based user interface that detects inconsistencies and ambiguities. This study carries a competitive edge of AI for automated irregularity detection very highly due to its various benefits within the complexity of legal realms.

The tech stack selection of the system is very important since it takes into account the variety of challenges that are found in field of legal processing. PyPDF has a key role in the tech stack allowing us to work efficiently with PDF documents in the same way as it is done with other formats. In the legal field the pdf files are everywhere, and PyPDF offers a versatile way to transform as well as to reuse those documents. PyPDF's flexibility allows us to comfortably proceed through difficult layout of legal documents, preserving originality of the document while carrying out the information operation and creation of new document. Amazon Textract which is capable of detecting money order forms for instance, contributes to the preservation of the customers through its smooth incorporation. Legal document often contains lengthy, and Textract can do a better job of saving a text, or even more information, as it greatly increases the ability to retrieve precise information. Textract's capacity to deal with different types of documents are another great advantage that makes LDA applicable to diverse legal presentations from contracts to agreements. Consequently, the system is never limited but fit for a large-scale use [7].

Through such an innovation, the law processes gain new dynamics, which bounds the efficiency, and accuracy, and directly influences accessibility as well, setting an example of progress in where fit between artificial intelligence and legal procedures takes place [4]. The article highlights not only a tool but the paradigm by showing how AI can improve the healthcare outcomes on one hand and on the other empower the professionals for better performance and implementation of the innovative models in the healthcare system.

Enter the AI Lawyer Assistant, a game-changer tactically designed to address identified shortcomings. Through innovative techniques like Sentiment Analysis and Named Entity Recognition (NER), the LDA detects inconsistencies and ambiguities via a simple web-based user interface. This study underscores the competitive edge of AI in automating irregularity detection within the complexity of legal documents.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Xiao's goal is to evaluate Lawformer's ability to handle lengthy legal documents and its suitability for tasks requiring extensive text comprehension [6]. Lawformer, a Chinese model equipped with sliding window and global attention mechanisms, excels in processing complex legal texts, aided by access to 80GB of case data. While it demonstrates proficiency in tasks like sentencing prediction and case retrieval, potential limitations may exist, necessitating further exploration of its effectiveness and adaptability in diverse legal contexts.

Robaldo et al.'s contribution in the Artificial Intelligence and Law journal highlights the benefits and implementation of NLP and semantic technologies in analyzing legal texts [4]. Divided into three sections, the paper explores unfair clause detection, argument structure extraction, and deep learning applications. While commendable for its insights, further analysis is required to assess the effectiveness and

generalizability of the proposed NLP approaches in the legal domain.

The document introduces JEC-QA, a challenging judicial applicatory dataset designed to test high-order thinking skills [3]. Through experiments, it reveals a notable performance gap between state-of-the-art models (28% effective) and human capacity (81% accurate). While JEC-QA aims to advance projects in expanding reasoning behavior within QA systems, its findings underscore the significant challenges faced by current models in accurately interpreting judicial texts. Further exploration is necessary to bridge the gap between machine performance and human capabilities in this domain.

In this paper, the authors delve into the realm of Legal AI, exploring AI and NLP applications within the field of law, including embedding and symbol-based representations [11]. The paper analyze various problems associated with Legal AI and provide practical examples such as legal judgment prediction. The strengths of this study lie in its comprehensive analysis of AI and NLP technologies within the legal domain, offering insights into their potential applications and impact. However, this research may lack depth in addressing the challenges and limitations of current Legal AI systems, potentially analyzing the crucial considerations for future development and implementation.

The article introduces the Gov2Vec approach, a word vector learning method tailored for different government institutions and legal documents [5]. By applying Gov2Vec to Supreme Court decisions, Presidential orders, and Congressional bills, the paper extracts main topics, problem-solving methods, and reflects the positions of involved parties. The strength of the paper lies in its innovative approach to analyzing complex governmental texts through vector analysis, providing insights into policy details and interconnections between different governmental organizations. However, the paper may fall short in fully addressing the potential biases or limitations inherent in using vector models for such analyses.

Table 1. A Comprehensive Study of the Machine Learning Methods done by Some Researchers

Algorithm	Method used/Innovation	Application and future work	Results and limitations (if specified)	References
PLM, NLP, BERT	The model is implemented with dispersed attention and local context to read through long legal documents. It has proven to be a state-of-the-art performer so far.	This model has outstanding performance in court judgements outcome pre-prediction, corresponding case recovery.	The system still, undoubtedly lacks absolute accuracy and apply to a variety of languages.	[6]
BERT, BlockBERT, FLOP	This work suggests amending the iterative multipurpose attention multi head in BERT to use blockwise attention. The attention matrix is partitioned into sparse blocks by each block paying attention to the subset of it.	BlockBERT demonstrates the highest efficiency on question answering data sets and advances especially in long document understanding tasks where BERT performs with certain constraints.	This attribute of the transformer offers more power for use in relatively longer sentence-sequences such as coreference resolution and document-level machine translation. BlockBERT decreases a memory by 18.7-36.1%, time by 12.0-25.1% than RoBERTa, reaching comparable or better question answering performance	[7]

			especially by as shortening the long-paragraphs, especially in SearchQA and NewsQA.	
CFD, Bi-LSTM, RNN, Xnet, NEUSUM	The paper proposes a novel method for legal courtroom debate summarizing via a multi-task model equipped with a joint utterance encoder for sentence meaning, speaker role, and legal knowledge. This is the first literary piece that compiles in an extractive way arguments of the jurisdiction.	The algorithm will take care of the issue of the summary of detailed court debates, thus judges will be provided with the tool for acceleration of decision-making in civil trials. It targets a common problem, that of the unbearable workloads of judges because of increasing number of legal cases.	The model has an accuracy of 5,477 Chinese civil court debates, and it is suitable for both the utterance assignment and the extraction summarization and even surpasses other baselines. Case studies compared to predecessors show the quality of reports is more lucidity, which is valuable information fitting for legal dispute foci.	[8]
BERT, TextCNN, DPCNN	The paper goes for the JEC-QA. It entails designing a dataset, constructing an open domain automatic question answerer structure, and checking for the models like the attention-based and transfer-learning ones. Approaches used mainly include the dataset creation, QA framework building, and performance evaluation of the AI system on different question types.	JEC-QA boosts up question answering and reading comprehension as well as external reasoning enhancement. The wider upgrade is on augmenting machine reading and reasoning in complex are with sector knowledge. JEC-QA, the biggest legal QA dataset in China with 26k+ questions, which requires complex reasoning, is what the system needs to work with.	Models been able to reach only 28% accuracy. As a result meanwhile humans ones are performing much better: accuracy unskilled workers 64%, skilled workers 81%. The experiments set 7 models as instances for the students to see the problems lies in the concept understanding and reasoning. Future work concentrates on increasing the complexity of legal QA by using models that can be trained better with more knowledge added to them.	[3]

III. METHODOLOGY

While operating the legal system, the systems focus on Technological Development at anyone point by making discreet inquiries about the intrinsic legal systems and the problems that are associated with the manual processes in the fundamentals of legal documentation. The literature review conducted helped us to arrive at the areas of AI intervention that can be lushly filled and upon which will be directly dangled: document generation, comprehension, and abnormality detection in legal domain. The data assembly phase can be characterized by the gathering of a vast variety of legal documents during the process of collecting not only a single document type that is uniform, but rather those of a multilingual nature that represent different legal styles emerging across the worldwide legal tradition. This raw data line enters a complex data cleansing and processing phase whose aim is to identify and neutralize the chaotic and erroneous information through standardized format conversion, format elimination, and other necessary refinements. The chosen ChatGPT 3.5 model, which is known for its quality of natural language understanding, after the applied modification on the dataset is put at an advanced stage of training where, further, an enhancement component of the Open AI embeddings, which fortifies the capabilities of the system to completely apprehend the depth of legal language, is integrated.

The system further develops its framework by incorporating high-end features in the form of advanced knowledge modules which smoothly converge the powers of PyPDF, Amazon Textract, and langchain into this singular application. This module is built to bring intricate data out of documents in an established and thorough fashion which in turn results in improved understanding of complex documents. The inclusion of emotion analysis and Named entity identification (NER) in the NLP toolset makes the tool content-oriented and precise, so analyzing and interpreting legal documents contents becomes much more profound and accurate. The UI part also turns simplified that an efficiently designed web platform is built to work in two ways: you give a command for the document you would like to generate and also make use of the built-in AI detection system for errors. Verification processes, which are based on the application of diverse sets, a range functions including document generation and comprehension as well as identification of irregularities, such provides a valid inferential ground for ensuring performance quality across the main aspects. The clasp, which is the debugging phase, being the main focus of the development team and the balanced comments that are being offered by legal experts, is the idea-furnace for the renewing of the system and the assurance of its practical utility in legal circumstances.

Operating within the legal system requires a keen focus on Technological Development, wherein intrinsic legal systems and associated challenges in manual processes are thoroughly examined. Through a comprehensive literature review, areas ripe for AI intervention, including document generation, comprehension, and abnormality detection within the legal domain, have been identified. To address the need for clarity in the Legal Document Assistant (LDA), meticulous steps are taken.

The feature extraction process is integral, achieved through meticulous data assembly involving a diverse range of legal documents. This process extends beyond mere gathering. Techniques such as standardized format conversion and refinement are employed to ensure accurate feature extraction. Similarly, the detection process within the LDA is meticulously designed to identify inconsistencies and ambiguities. Leveraging advanced techniques such as Named Entity Recognition (NER) and sentiment analysis, the system scans documents for irregularities, ensuring accuracy and efficiency.

Sentiment analysis plays a critical role in understanding document contents, identifying subtle nuances and inconsistencies within legal texts. By analyzing the emotional tone expressed, the system enhances comprehension and accuracy. Further clarity is needed for the Legal Language Model (LLM) within the LDA framework, which serves as a robust foundation for natural

language understanding. Through advanced training and enhancement with Open AI embeddings, the model acquires a comprehensive grasp of legal language nuances, ensuring precise analysis and interpretation of legal documents.

Achieving accuracy within the LDA is paramount, accomplished through a combination of advanced technologies and meticulous verification processes. Techniques such as document generation, comprehension, and irregularity detection undergo rigorous testing, providing a reliable basis for performance quality assurance. The debugging phase, complemented by insights from legal experts, ensures continuous refinement and practical utility in legal contexts. Additionally, the role of Natural Language Processing (NLP) requires further clarity, particularly in its application within the LDA framework.

Placing itself as a versatile system which remains responsive and up to date, the system integrates a continuous improvement procedure, enhancing the system's capacity to respond swiftly to new updates, integrate new legal insights, and enhance the system's user-friendliness. At its core, the system comes out to be a force of change. It brings together all the different strands of artificial intelligence in a weaving process to complement an ancient series of rules and complexities that are native to the judicial system.

IV. PROPOSED SYSTEM




Figure 1. Proposed system

The offered approach in Fig.1 illustrates all the details of the advanced platform's work process and functionality as you can see in the figure below. It points the Management system into the specific direction including 3 subsystems. The system will use comprehensive legal documents, like NDAs and Evaluation Agreements, which are legally

binding, as the primary feature. In here, the Framework of LangChain is being utilized along with the Large Language Models (LLMs) by integrating the Open AI for rooftop production, making the latter provide reliable and precise output that is required in any document creation. Therefore, the system makes it possible for a person to comprehend

and read several languages, different from his or her very own language. Moreover, it promotes users to navigate a single graphical interface from which to get various types of information across the language barriers. Interfaces are created for the streamlit library applications which consist of the streamlit chart and streamlit graph components. Among all mentioned features, Clarke-Similarity search by using FAISS Vector Space is a powerful one. This feature uses the vector space to provide the similarity information for the document taken as a query. The rendered results will be very close to the submitted one in this case which is very

efficient. And finally, to ensure regular compliance as discussed before, the system will make irregularity checks. It will exploit NER and Sentiment Analysis to identify these anomalies and generate an error report which should serve as a tool that will guide the user in further improving the clarity and fluency of the text.

Thus, the proposed system proposed focuses on the automation of text creation aspect as well as interpretation feature, that are both composed from the currently advanced technologies and theories to enable the system to complete the entire process step by step in a very good efficacy.

V. RESULTS

Table 2. A comparative analysis of the existing systems with the proposed system.

Existing System	Key Findings	Multilingual Support	Collaborative Editing	Accuracy
Kira Systems	It has the custom of locating, emptying data from and analyzing contents for the terms of your contracts and documents which is done fast and with highest precision. Automates any contract extraction and identification of essential information to the project.	Yes	Yes	>90% [11]
Evisort	Automates contract management and extracts important conditions inside the contracts.	No	Yes	95% [12]
LegalSifter	Highlights what is in a signed contract and the problems that may crop up.	Yes	No	95-97% [13]
Lex Machina	Provides Legal Analytics for companies and law firms can assist them to paint the picture of a winning case and also end up with a good company or law firm. Explore lawsuits case data and legal developments.	No	No	N/A [14]
ROSS Intelligence	ROSS Intelligence Inc. ("ROSS") has a business plan of designing AI-enabled technologies for lawyers to enhance their cognitive abilities. Use case law and statutes for all research legally relevant.	No	No	N/A [15]
AI-Powered Legal Documentation Assistant	Consistently utilizes sophisticated ML methods for the tasks of document production, understanding and detecting abnormalities in legal documents. Saves the effort of time that can be spent on tasks that get stuck in the bottlenecks of the manual work process along with the possibility of human errors in the legal documentation.	No	No	93-97%




Figure 2. Graph based on accuracies of various systems alongside the proposed system.

Table 3 shows the difference between the existing systems and the proposed system. The table highlights what the

systems actually do and compare them on the basis of some parameters.

Table 3. A comparative analysis of various input files considered for various systems.

Input Format	Description	Advantages	Limitations
PDF Files	Portable Document Format (PDF)	Provides easy adoption with a layout that is adapted to other people's necessities.	Imposing just a single system may require different text extraction tools that can be differently expressed.
DOC Files	Microsoft Word Document (DOC)	Drafting and choosing your own way of having a format.	The lack of support for the format of the company, and the problems of compatibility with the other programs are coming more and more often and are becoming the key various difficulties for the usual users of windows.
TXT Files	Plain Text Files	Compared to many others, it would be a small one, but one can take care of it and makes it up to their liking.	The primary drawback of not having rich-formatting features, images, and many other content-related devices was the most prominent.
Handwritten Notes	Handwritten Text or Scanned Images	May be added to the margin of handwritten notes, side notes, and added remarks.	This is caused by the digitization process that translates into unstructured text, and in specific cases where handwritten text is used, the results might be more accurate.
Voice Recordings	Audio Files (MP3, WAV, etc.)	Allows a very smooth dictation and communication.	As the process is mostly dependent on the ability to transcript, it is conditioned by the quality of the audio.

Table 4. A comparison table depicting the difference between the efficiency powers of existing ways with the proposed system.

Aspect	Traditional Manual Handling	Document Management Software	AI-Powered Legal Documentation Assistant
Time Efficiency	20	10	5
Accuracy	85%	90%	95%

Document Processing Speed (ppm)	2	5	10
Error Rate	15%	10%	5%
Integration with Legal Databases	No	Limited	Yes




Figure 3. Graph depicting comparison of legal document handling methods.

Table 4 shows the comparison between various existing ways of handling legal document with the way that the proposed system uses. The proposed system proves out to

be the best among the choices as it reduces the error rate and risk rate and increases the time efficiency as well as accuracy.

Upload your file

Drag and drop file here
Limit 200MB per file
Browse files

Tanish Khandelwal Internship Agreement v2.0.pdf 111.6KB

Ask you question

Highlight any unacceptable terms and condition mentioned in the agreement.
Press Enter to apply

The agreement mentions that FTY Limited may terminate the internship before the end date without the need for notice, which is an unacceptable term. It also mentions that all intellectual property created by the intern belongs to FTY Limited and the intern must waive any moral rights they have in respect of the intellectual property. This is also an unacceptable term.

Figure 4.

Upload your file

Drag and drop file here
Limit 200MB per file
Browse files

Non-Disclosure-Agreement-NDA-Template.pdf 259.0KB

Ask you question

Generate an NDA document for a deal between Juet and velarizz effective from 23rd Nov 2023 in

This Non-Disclosure and Confidentiality Agreement (this "Agreement") is entered into as of the 23rd Nov 2023 (the "Effective Date") by and between:

Disclosing Party: Juet, as a Corporation
Receiving Party: Velarizz, as a Corporation

The parties have indicated an interest in exploring a potential business relationship relating to:

All notices given under this Agreement must be in writing. A notice is effective upon receipt and shall be sent via one of the following methods: delivery in person, overnight courier service, certified or registered mail, postage prepaid, return receipt requested, addressed to the party to be notified at the below address or by facsimile at the below facsimile number or in the case of either party, to such other party, address or facsimile number as such party may designate upon reasonable notice to the other party.

Disclosing Party: Name: Juet Address: Facsimile Number:

Receiving Party: Name: Velarizz Address: Facsimile Number:

IN WITNESS

Figure 5.

VI. DISCUSSION

The tables given represent a detailed look at many types of features needed to have a legal document processing AI system work well. Table 2 illustrates a range of ways that the methods from each system are applied and details their findings, revealing the array of approaches that researchers use in the area. Table 3. clarifies inputs types discussion from PDF, DOC, TXT, HTML, XML files, handwritten notes and even voice recordings. It covers their advantages and cons. Hence, one can notice that the transition of AI-scaffolded legal document processing, signifies the field with both the pick and the difficulties in channeling the sophisticated technologies to support legal doctrines. Figure 4 and Figure 5 also depicts how the system would look like in a few cases when the files are provided to the system and questions are asked on basis of it and when generating a legal document and on basis of it, as well, the questions are being asked. The future of the AI will only manifest itself through constant improvements, realizations and breakthroughs, therefore further search and progress is nothing but a must if AI in legal domain is to expand to its full capacity.

VII. CONCLUSION

The electronic device with custom AI content is the design that will revolutionize the document industry. In the field of document processing, all the intricate issues like automation, natural language understanding, abnormal detection, and regulatory compliances, need to be handled by using the AI techniques to solve the situation related challenges which occur while dealing with the complexities. With a combination of different options, the proposed system provides the feature of works in collaboration and the exceptional security using blockchain technology for processing the documents that are very sensitive, for institutions, business as well as law related agreements. The proposed approach will help to overcome the technicalities in the workflow, increase the efficiency and facilitate quality management. Legal professionals, through their contribution to technological development and the development of digital transformation, occupy a vital and irreplaceable position. Therefore, AI empowered humanoid lawyer might be a manifestation of a change or a revolutionary update to make the legal practice more and more frictionless.

VIII. FUTURE SCOPE

In the next stage, the AI-powered legal documentation assistant is likely to develop by means of the expansion of functions that allow it to work with a larger variety of legal documents, implementation of multilingual customer support and further improving general understanding of natural language through constant improvement of natural language understanding models. Mediating the collaborative editing and review processes, including the version control tool, the annotation, and the real-time collaboration, will pave the way for more productivity and accuracy for legal teams while the case documents are being handled. Integrating the blockchain technology into the document safety can guarantee the measures against any fraud or violation as well as make document unforgeable. Though

the Automatic AI legal document service is considered as the milestone of AI evolution, it actually displays AI level that the generation of legal documents has already passed to the phase of AI functions.

IX. REFERENCES

- [1] McCarl, R. "The Limits of Law and AI." University of Cincinnati Law Review Publications 90, no. 3 (2022): 923-950.
- [2] Vijipriya, R.A. "Critical Study on Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Indian Legal Sectors." Shanlax International Journal of Arts, Science and Humanities 9, no. 4 (2022): 58-64.
- [3] Zhong, H., et al. "JEC-QA: A Legal-Domain Question Answering Dataset." In Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 34 (2020): 9701-9708.
- [4] Robaldo, L., et al. "Introduction for artificial intelligence and law: special issue 'natural language processing for legal texts'." Artificial Intelligence and Law 27, no. 2 (2019): 113-115.
- [5] Nay, J.J. "Gov2Vec: Learning Distributed Representations of Institutions and Their Legal Text." In Proceedings of the First Workshop on NLP and Computational Social Science, 49-54. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2016.
- [6] Xiao, C., et al. "Lawformer: A pre-trained language model for Chinese legal long documents." AI Open 2 (2021): 79-84.
- [7] Qiu, J., et al. "Blockwise Self-Attention for Long Document Understanding." Computational linguistics - Association for Computational Linguistics (Online) (2020): 2555-2565.
- [8] Duan, X., et al. "Legal Summarization for Multi-role Debate Dialogue via Controversy Focus Mining and Multi-task Learning." In Proceedings of the 28th ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management, 1361-1370, 2019.
- [9] Sugathadasa, K., et al. "Legal Document Retrieval Using Document Vector Embeddings and Deep Learning." In Proceedings of the 2018 Computing Conference, Volume 2, 160-175, 2019.
- [10] Zhong, H., et al. "How Does NLP Benefit Legal System: A Summary of Legal Artificial Intelligence." (2020): 5218-5230.
- [11] "Patented Machine Learning Technology." February 22, 2024.
- [12] "Optimizing Contract Management for In-House Legal Teams - On-Demand Webinar." (n.d.).
- [13] "LegalSifter FAQs: Your Questions About AI Legal Services Answered." (n.d.).
- [14] "Legal Analytics by Lex Machina." March 9, 2024.
- [15] K. Vayadande, U. Shaikh, R. Ner, S. Patil, O. Nimase and T. Shinde, "Mood Detection and Emoji Classification using Tokenization and Convolutional Neural Network," 2023 7th International Conference on Intelligent Computing and Control Systems (ICICCS), Madurai, India, 2023, pp. 653-663, doi: 10.1109/ICICCS56967.2023.10142472.
- [16] K. Vayadande, P. Sangle, K. Agarwal, A. Naik, A. Mulla and A. Khare, "Conversion of Ambiguous Grammar to Unambiguous Grammar using Parse Tree," 2023 International Conference on Inventive Computation Technologies (ICICT), Lalitpur, Nepal, 2023, pp. 1039-1046, doi: 10.1109/ICICT57646.2023.10134096.
- [17] Vayadande, K., Baru, H., Kashid, A., Kulkarni, A., Londhe, P., Vanjari, A. (2024). Text Analysis for Information Retrieval Using NLP. In: Mehta, G., Wickramasinghe, N., Kakkar, D. (eds) Innovations in VLSI, Signal Processing and Computational Technologies. WREC 2023. Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering, vol 1095. Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-7077-3_56
- [18] Cryptography using Automata Theory Kuldeep Vayadande, Kirti Agarwal, Aadesh Kabra, Ketan Gangwal and Atharv Kinage ITM Web Conf., 50 (2022)01007, DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1051/itmconf/20225001007>