Introduction

About This Report

This report is for the Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council (MAFMC). The purpose of this report is to synthesize ecosystem information to allow the MAFMC to better meet fishery management objectives, and to update the MAFMC's Ecosystem Approach to Fishery Management (EAFM) risk assessment. The major messages of the report are synthesized on pages 1 and 2, with highlights of 2024 ecosystem events on page 3. The information in this report is organized into two main sections; performance measured against ecosystem-level management objectives (Table 1), and potential risks to meeting fishery management objectives (Table 2: climate change and other ocean uses). A final section highlights notable 2024 ecosystem observations.

Report structure

A glossary of terms[1], detailed technical methods documentation[2], indicator data[3], and detailed indicator descriptions[4] are available online. We recommend new readers first review the details of standard figure formatting (Fig. **??**a), categorization of fish and invertebrate species into feeding guilds (Table **??**), and definitions of ecological production units (EPUs, including the Mid-Atlantic Bight, MAB; Fig. **??**b) provided at the end of the document.

The two main sections contain subsections for each management objective or potential risk. Within each subsection, we first review observed trends for indicators representing each objective or risk, including the status of the most recent data year relative to a threshold (if available) or relative to the long-term average. Second, we identify potential drivers of observed trends, and synthesize results of indicators related to those drivers to outline potential implications for management. For example, if there are multiple drivers related to an indicator trend, do indicators associated with the drivers have similar trends, and can any drivers be affected by management action(s)? We emphasize that these implications are intended to represent testable hypotheses at present, rather than "answers," because the science behind these indicators and syntheses continues to develop.

Table 1: Ecosystem-scale fishery management objectives in the Mid-Atlantic Bight

Objective categories	Indicators reported
Objectives: Provisioning and Cultural Services	
Seafood Production	Landings; commercial total and by feeding guild; recreational harvest
Commercial Profits	Revenue decomposed to price and volume
Recreational Opportunities	Angler trips; recreational fleet diversity
Stability	Diversity indices (fishery and ecosystem)
Social & Cultural	Community fishing engagement and social vulnerability status
Protected Species	Bycatch; population (adult and juvenile) numbers; mortalities
Potential Drivers: Supporting and Regulating Services	
Management	Stock status; catch compared with catch limits
Biomass	Biomass or abundance by feeding guild from surveys
Environment	Climate and ecosystem risk indicators listed in Table 2

[1] https://noaa-edab.github.io/tech-doc/glossary.html
[2] https://noaa-edab.github.io/tech-doc/
[3] https://noaa-edab.github.io/ecodata/
[4] https://noaa-edab.github.io/catalog/index.html

Table 2: Risks to meeting fishery management objectives in the Mid-Atlantic Bight

Risk categories	Observation indicators reported	Potential driver indicators reported
Climate and Ecosystem Risks		
Risks to Managing Spatially	Managed species (fish and cetacean) distribution shifts	Benthic and pelagic forage distribution; ocean temperature, changes in currents and cold pool
Risks to Managing Seasonally	Managed species spawning and migration timing changes	Habitat timing: Length of ocean summer, cold pool seasonal persistence
Risks to Setting Catch Limits	Managed species body condition and recruitment changes	Benthic and pelagic forage quality & abundance: ocean temperature & acidification
Other Ocean Uses Risks		
Offshore Wind Risks	Fishery revenue and landings from wind lease areas by species and port	Wind development speed; Protected species presence and hotspots

Performance Relative to Fishery Management Objectives

In this section, we examine indicators related to broad, ecosystem-level fishery management objectives. We also provide hypotheses on the implications of these trends—why we are seeing them, what's driving them, and potential or observed regime shifts or changes in ecosystem structure. Identifying multiple drivers, regime shifts, and potential changes to ecosystem structure, as well as identifying the most vulnerable resources, can help managers determine whether anything needs to be done differently to meet objectives and how to prioritize upcoming issues/risks.

Seafood Production

Indicators: Landings; commercial and recreational

This year, we present updated indicators for total commercial landings, (includes seafood, bait, and industrial landings), U.S. seafood landings, and Council-managed U.S. seafood landings. Total commercial landings within the Mid-Atlantic have declined over the long term, and both total U.S. and Mid-Atlantic managed seafood landings are near their all time low (Fig. 1).



Figure 1: Total commercial landings (black), total U.S. seafood landings (blue), and Mid-Atlantic managed U.S. seafood landings (red), with significant decline (purple) in total landings.