Selection of sites for bryophyte sampling

FSU

August 31, 2016

Summary of Analysis

For all sites presented in this report, except UNDERC (details below), data used for analysis of bryophyte abundance came from site characterization diversity surveys conducted within vegetation plots located in the NEON tower airshed. For each plot surveyed, percent cover of mat-forming bryophytes was estimated at each of $8 \text{ } 1\text{m}^2$ sampling areas.

The first table in each site specific section below indicates whether a site qualifies for bryophyte sampling. A qualifying site must have a mean percent cover of 20% bryophytes across all plots; if this threshold is not met, implementation of the bryophyte protocol will not occur at the specified site.

If a site qualifies (i.e., result = "sample"), each plot surveyed is assessed for bryophyte abundance. A plot with < 20% bryophyte cover is disqualified from sampling (sampleBry = "no"), and plots with $\ge 20\%$ bryophyte cover are accepted (sampleBry = "yes").

Treehaven (TREE)

site	numPlots	numMoss	${\it expected Num Moss}$	meanMoss	result
TREE	20	150	160	4.6	don't sample

UNDERC (UNDE)

Site characterization was conducted according to a differen protocol than was utilized for all other sites in this report, percent cover of moss was recorded in diversity sureveys of tower plots. Analysis of moss abundance has been completed on 2015 diversity sampling bout. These results represt estimated moss abundance across the entire site rather than just within the Tower airshed.

site	numPlots	numMoss	${\it expected Num Moss}$	meanMoss	result
UNDE	31	216	248	24	sample

plotID	count	meanMoss	sampleBry
UNDE_037	4	18.1	No
$UNDE_038$	8	58.4	Yes
$UNDE_043$	7	2.7	No

Steigerwaldt Land Services (STEI)

site	numPlots	numMoss	${\it expected Num Moss}$	meanMoss	result
STEI	17	134	136	2.6	don't sample

Healy (HEAL)

site	numPlots	numMoss	${\it expected Num Moss}$	meanMoss	result
HEAL	30	238	240	51	sample

plotID	count	meanMoss	sampleBry
HEAL_045	7	29.9	Yes
$HEAL_046$	8	65.9	Yes
$HEAL_047$	8	25.8	Yes
$HEAL_048$	8	12.6	No
$HEAL_049$	8	34.6	Yes
${\rm HEAL}_{050}$	8	44.8	Yes
${\rm HEAL_051}$	8	73.8	Yes
${\rm HEAL_052}$	8	62.5	Yes
${\rm HEAL_053}$	8	64.0	Yes
$HEAL_054$	8	57.1	Yes
${\rm HEAL}_{055}$	8	22.6	Yes
${\rm HEAL_056}$	8	57.5	Yes
${\rm HEAL_057}$	8	71.8	Yes
${\rm HEAL}_058$	8	54.4	Yes
${\rm HEAL}_059$	8	50.0	Yes
$HEAL_060$	8	43.4	Yes
$HEAL_061$	8	42.4	Yes
${\rm HEAL}_062$	8	65.5	Yes
$HEAL_063$	7	59.0	Yes
$HEAL_064$	8	54.5	Yes
${\rm HEAL_065}$	8	46.0	Yes
$HEAL_066$	8	43.7	Yes
$HEAL_067$	8	50.2	Yes
$HEAL_068$	8	57.6	Yes
$HEAL_069$	8	58.2	Yes
HEAL_070	8	24.4	Yes
${\rm HEAL}_071$	8	24.9	Yes
${\rm HEAL}_072$	8	73.2	Yes
${\rm HEAL}_073$	8	75.8	Yes
HEAL_074	8	81.9	Yes

Delta Junction (DEJU)

site	numPlots	numMoss	${\it expected Num Moss}$	meanMoss	result
DEJU	20	159	160	40.6	sample

plotID	count	${\rm meanMoss}$	sampleBry
DEJU_045	8	1.6	No
$DEJU_046$	8	37.6	Yes
DEJU_047	8	71.2	Yes
$DEJU_048$	8	68.8	Yes
$DEJU_049$	8	62.5	Yes
$DEJU_050$	8	20.8	Yes
$DEJU_051$	8	69.1	Yes
DEJU_052	8	1.1	No
$DEJU_053$	8	61.4	Yes
$DEJU_054$	8	43.7	Yes
$DEJU_055$	8	21.7	Yes
$DEJU_056$	8	0.8	No
$DEJU_057$	8	40.6	Yes
$DEJU_058$	8	74.4	Yes
$DEJU_059$	8	10.0	No
$DEJU_060$	7	82.3	Yes
$DEJU_061$	8	10.3	No
$DEJU_062$	8	48.8	Yes
$DEJU_063$	8	61.0	Yes
DEJU_064	8	30.0	Yes

Toolik Lake (TOOL)

site	numPlots	numMoss	${\it expected Num Moss}$	meanMoss	result
TOOL	30	236	240	54.8	sample

plotID	count	${\rm meanMoss}$	sampleBry
TOOL_046	8	63.1	Yes
$TOOL_047$	8	61.2	Yes
$TOOL_048$	8	56.9	Yes
$TOOL_042$	8	57.5	Yes
$TOOL_049$	8	56.9	Yes
$TOOL_050$	8	58.8	Yes
$TOOL_051$	8	55.0	Yes
$TOOL_052$	8	60.0	Yes
$TOOL_053$	8	65.0	Yes
$TOOL_054$	8	71.9	Yes
$TOOL_055$	8	62.5	Yes
$TOOL_056$	8	39.4	Yes
$TOOL_057$	8	46.2	Yes
$TOOL_058$	8	43.2	Yes
$TOOL_059$	8	76.2	Yes
$TOOL_060$	8	66.2	Yes
$TOOL_061$	6	44.7	Yes
$TOOL_062$	8	62.5	Yes
$TOOL_063$	8	61.0	Yes
$TOOL_064$	8	46.9	Yes
${\rm TOOL_065}$	8	55.6	Yes

plotID	count	meanMoss	sampleBry
TOOL_066	7	30.3	Yes
$TOOL_067$	7	53.9	Yes
$TOOL_068$	8	57.5	Yes
$TOOL_069$	8	23.6	Yes
$TOOL_043$	8	63.8	Yes
$TOOL_070$	8	73.1	Yes
$TOOL_044$	8	63.1	Yes
$TOOL_041$	8	20.6	Yes

Caribou Creek - Poker Flats Watershed (BONA)

Site characterization has not yet been completed at this site. Analysis for bryophyte abundance and recommendations for sampling will be completed once data are available.

Barrow Environmental Observatory (BARR)

site	numPlots	$\operatorname{numMoss}$	${\it expected Num Moss}$	${\rm meanMoss}$	result
BARO	30	240	240	57.4	sample

plotID	count	${\rm meanMoss}$	${\rm sampleBry}$
BARR_062	8	76.0	Yes
$BARR_063$	8	32.9	Yes
$BARR_053$	8	79.4	Yes
$BARR_051$	8	30.5	Yes
$BARR_064$	8	78.8	Yes
$BARR_065$	8	71.0	Yes
$BARR_066$	8	40.0	Yes
$BARR_067$	8	83.8	Yes
$BARR_068$	8	33.9	Yes
$BARR_069$	8	48.8	Yes
$BARR_070$	8	81.9	Yes
$BARR_071$	8	61.5	Yes
$BARR_072$	8	69.4	Yes
$BARR_073$	8	50.8	Yes
$BARR_074$	8	33.2	Yes
$BARR_075$	8	59.0	Yes
$BARR_076$	8	42.5	Yes
$BARR_077$	8	79.2	Yes
$BARR_054$	8	48.1	Yes
$BARR_078$	8	48.6	Yes
$BARR_079$	8	47.5	Yes
$BARR_080$	8	98.8	Yes
$BARR_055$	8	84.5	Yes
$BARR_056$	8	77.2	Yes
$BARR_057$	8	27.2	Yes
$BARR_052$	8	43.1	Yes

plotID	count	${\rm meanMoss}$	sampleBry
BARR_058	8	20.2	Yes
$BARR_059$	8	53.8	Yes
$BARR_060$	8	54.5	Yes
$BARR_061$	8	65.0	Yes