Testing R code

Brendan Clarke, NHS Education for Scotland, brendan.clarke2@nhs.scot 07/08/2024



Welcome

- this session is for ** intermediate R users
- we'll get going properly at 15.05
- you'll need R & Rstudio / posit.cloud / Posit Workbench to follow along
- if you can't access the chat, you might need to join our Teams channel: tinyurl.com/kindnetwork
- you can find session materials at tinyurl.com/kindtrp



The KIND network

- a social learning space for staff working with **k**nowledge, **i**nformation, and **d**ata across health, social care, and housing in Scotland
- we offer social support, free training, mentoring, community events, ...
- Teams channel / mailing list



R training sessions

Session	Date	Area	Level
Hacker Stats (AKA Resampling Methods)	14:00-15:00 Wed 14th August 2024	R	** advanced-level
Flexdashboard	13:00-14:30 Thu 15th August 2024	R	: intermediate-level



Session outline

- introduction: why test?
- informal testing
- unit testing
 - introduction why automate your tests?
 - testthat walkthrough



Introduction: why test?

- code goes wrong
 - functions change
 - data sources change
 - usage changes
- testing guards against the commonest problems
- that makes for more reliable code
 - more reliable code opens the way to nicer development patterns



A note

- most discussions about testing come about as part of package development
 - we'll avoid that area here, but please see the three excellent chapters in the R packages book for guidance
 - we'll also steer clear of Shiny/Rmarkdown/Quarto, as things can be a bit more tricky to test there
- we also won't talk about debugging here (although do look out for the future training session on that)



- a real-world example: Teams transcripts
- Teams transcripts can be very useful data-sources
- but they're absolutely horrible to work with:

```
WEBVTT
2 01::>
3 00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:14.080
4 <v Brendan Clarke> this was the first question in the transcript
6 00:00:14.080 --> 00:00:32.180
  <v Someone Else> then someone replied with this answer
  02::>
10 00:00:32.180 --> 00:00:48.010
11 <v Brendan Clarke> then there was another question
13 00:00:48.010 --> 00:00:58.010
14 <v Someone Else> and another tedious response
                                                                                             Learning Network
```

- imagine that you've written a (horrible) Teams transcript parser:
- how would you test this code to make sure it behaves itself?

```
1 file <- "data/input.txt"</pre>
 3 readLines(file) |>
       as tibble() |>
       filter(!value == "") |>
       filter(!value == "WEBVTT") |>
       mutate(question = str extract(value, "^(Q.*?)::>$")) |>
       fill(question, .direction = 'down') |>
       filter(!str detect(value, "^(Q.*?)::>$")) |>
       mutate(ind = rep(c(1, 2), length.out = n())) >
       group by(ind) |>
11
       mutate(id = row number()) |>
12
       spread(ind, value) |>
14
       select(-id) |>
       separate("1", c("start time", "end time"), " --> ") |>
15
       separate("2", c("name", "comment"), ">") |>
16
                                                                                               Learning Network
17
       mutate(source = str remove all(file, "\\.txt"),
```

question	start_time	end_time	name	comment	source
Q1	00:00:00.000	00:00:14.080	Brendan Clarke	this was the first question in the transcript	data/input
Q1	00:00:14.080	00:00:32.180	Someone Else	then someone replied with this answer	data/input
Q2	00:00:32.180	00:00:48.010	Brendan Clarke	then there was another question	data/input
Q2	00:00:48.010	00:00:58.010	Someone Else	and another tedious response	data/input
					KIND Learning Network

- we could change the inputs, and look at the outputs
 - so twiddle our input file, and manually check the output
- maybe we could also change the background conditions
 - change the R environment, or package versions, or whatever
- but that gets tedious and erratic very quickly



Unit testing = automated, standardised, testing

• the best place to start is with testthat:

```
1 library(testthat)
```

- helpful man pages
- nice vignette
- more ambitious guide to ad hoc testing with testthat



First steps with testthat

- built for R package developers
- but readily usable for non-package people

```
1 test_that("multiplication works", {
2 expect_equal(2 * 2, 4)
3 })
```

Test passed 🎳



Functions and testthat

- testthat works best when you're testing functions
- functions in R are easy:

```
1 function_name <- function(arg1 = default1, arg2 = default2){
2     arg1 * arg2 # using our argument names
3 }</pre>
```

or include the body inline for simple functions:

```
1 function_name <- function(arg1 = default1, arg2 = default2) arg1 * arg2
```



Transform your code into functions

```
1 multo <- function(n1, n2) {
2    n1 * n2
3 }</pre>
```



Test your function

- then test. We think that multo(2,2) should equal 4, so we use:
 - test_that() to set up our test environment
 - expect_equal() inside the test environment to check for equality

```
1 # then run as a test
2
3 test_that("multo works with 2 and 2", {
4  expect_equal(multo(2, 2), 4)
5 })
```

Test passed 😇



Raise your expectations

we can add more expectations

```
1 test_that("multo works in general", {
2    expect_equal(multo(2, 2), 4)
3    expect_identical(multo(2, 0.01), 0.02)
4    expect_type(multo(0,2), "double")
5    expect_length(multo(9,2), 1)
6    expect_gte(multo(4,4), 15)
7 })
```

Test passed 🍪



Equal and identical

beware the floating point error

```
  \begin{bmatrix}
    1 & 3 - 2.9 \\
    1 & 3 - 2.9 == 0.1
  \end{bmatrix}

[1] FALSE
```

happily, there's a sufficiently sloppy way of checking equality:

```
1 test_that("pedants corner", {
2   expect_equal(multo(2, 0.01), 0.020000001)
3   expect_identical(multo(2, 0.01), 0.02)
4 })
```





Beyond single values

• if you want to work with vectors, there are a number of tools for checking their contents:

```
1 x <- rownames(as.matrix(eurodist, labels=TRUE)) # odd built in dataset
2
3 test_that("check my vec", {
4    expect_equal(x[1:2], c("Athens", "Barcelona"))
5 })</pre>
```

Test passed 👸



Beyond single values

• you can get **much** more fancy with a bit of set theory (not really set theory):

```
1 y <- x
2
3 test_that("check my vec sets", {
4    expect_success(expect_setequal(x, y)) # all x in y
5    expect_failure(expect_mapequal(x, y)) # same names, y is proper subset x) # all x in y)
6    show_failure(expect_contains(x[1:19], y)) # y proper subset x)
7    expect_success(expect_in(x, y)) # x proper subset y
8 })</pre>
```

```
Failed expectation:
x[1:19] (`actual`) doesn't fully contain all the values in `y` (`expected`).

* Missing from `actual`: "Stockholm", "Vienna"

* Present in `actual`: "Athens", "Barcelona", "Brussels", "Calais", "Cherbourg", "Cologne", "Copenhagen", "Geneva", "Gibraltar", ...

Test passed 😜
```



Beyond single values

```
1 y <- sample(x, length(x)-2)
2
3 test_that("check my vec sets", {
4    expect_failure(expect_setequal(x, y)) # all x in y
5    expect_failure(expect_mapequal(x, y)) # same names, y is proper subset x) # all x in y)
6    expect_success(expect_contains(x, y)) # y proper subset x)
7    expect_failure(expect_in(x, y)) # x is a proper subset y
8 })</pre>
```

Test passed 🏇



Testing tibbles

• because most of the tests are powered by waldo, you shouldn't have to do anything fancy to test on tibbles:

```
1 library(palmerpenguins)
2
3 my_pengs <- penguins
4
5 test_that("penguin experiments", {
6    expect_equal(my_pengs, penguins)
7 })</pre>
Test passed
```



Types and classes etc

• one *massive* corollary to that: if you don't do a lot of base-R, expect a fiercely stringent of your understanding of types and classes.

```
1 typeof(penguins)
[1] "list"
          1 class (penguins)
                 "tbl"
[1] "tbl df"
                               "data.frame"
          1 is.object(names(penguins)) # vectors are base types
[1] FALSE
          1 attr(names(penguins), "class") # base types have no class
NULL
          1 is.object(penguins) # this is some kind of object
[1] TRUE
          1 attr(penguins, "class") # so it definitely does have a class
                               "data.frame"
    "tbl df"
                 "tbl"
```

Learning Network

Testing tibbles

```
1 test_that("penguin types", {
2    expect_type(penguins, "list")
3    expect_s3_class(penguins, "tbl_df")
4    expect_s3_class(penguins, "tbl")
5    expect_s3_class(penguins, "data.frame")
6    expect_type(penguins$island, "integer")
7    expect_s3_class(penguins$island, "factor")
8 })
```

Test passed 👺

• there's also an expect_s4_class for those with that high clear mercury sound ringing in their ears



Last tip

you can put bare expectations in pipes if you're looking for something specific

```
1 penguins |>
2 expect_type("list") |>
3 pull(island) |>
4 expect_length(344)
```



Feedback and resources

• please can I ask for some feedback - takes less than a minute, completely anonymous, helps people like you find the right training for them

Session	Date	Area	Level
Hacker Stats (AKA Resampling Methods)	14:00-15:00 Wed 14th August 2024	R	advanced- level
Flexdashboard	13:00-14:30 Thu 15th August 2024	R	: intermediate- level

