

# Assignment for EP16: Missing Values in Clinical Research

## Multiple Imputation

14 – 18 May, 2018

### Deadline & submission:

Assignments need to be submitted via Canvas no later than **xx june 2018**

### Task:

Impute and analyze the dataset you have been assigned and provide documentation that explains all steps performed and allows to reproduce them.

You may either provide

- R syntax, output and textual explanations **combined in one document**, for example using rmarkdown or knitr (submit the `.Rnw` or `.Rmd` syntax file and the resulting `.pdf` or `.html` file), or
- **two separate documents**, where
  1. one document is a report explaining all steps you have performed, supported by relevant plots and output (which could be a standard microsoft word document or a PDF), and
  2. one R syntax file (with ending `.R`; do NOT copy R syntax into a word document!)

### Report:

The report needs to demonstrate

- that you are aware of all the characteristics of your data
- that you know what those characteristics imply for the imputation
- that you chose an appropriate imputation approach: you may use the packages we have used for imputation in complex settings (**JointAI**, **smcfcs** or **jomo**), but using **mice** for imputation is sufficient for this assignment
- that you are aware of pro's and con's of the imputation approach you chose (mention limitations and, where possible, name approaches that could overcome these limitations could be overcome)
- that you did not just automatically/blindly accept the default settings
- that you properly checked that the imputation was successful
- which adjustments you made to the imputation procedure to correct problems that have occurred, and that these adjustments fixed the problem

Moreover

- Use plots and other R output (this you may also copy into the microsoft word document, if necessary) to support your decisions.
- If you run into issues that you cannot fix, document that as well. (e.g., if R throws any warning messages include them in the report and, if possible, explain why they can be ignored or that you could not find a way to prevent them)
- Textual explanations can be brief, but should be written in complete sentences to be readable.

For example:

“Histograms of the continuous variables (see figure below) show that all continuous covariates, except for `xxx`, are approximately normally distributed, hence, we chose `method1` to impute `xxx` and `method2` to impute the other continuous variables.”

- The analysis model of interest is given for each dataset, but you need to decide yourself
  - if additional variables should be used as auxiliary variables
  - if variables need to be re-coded or re-scaled
- You do not need to check standard model assumptions in the final analysis model.

## Syntax file

The syntax file needs to follow the same structure as the report, so that the steps explained in the report can be followed in the R syntax in the same order.

Use sections in the report and provide the same sections in comments in the R syntax file (if you use a separate .R syntax file).

For example, if you have a structure

1. first section
2. second section
  - 2.1. first subsection
  - 2.2. second subsection

your syntax file you could look like this:

```
#####  
# 1. first section #  
#####  
...  
  
#####  
# 2. second section #  
#####  
  
# 2.1 first subsection -----  
3 + 3  
  
# transform with log  
log(3) + log(3)  
  
# 2.2 second subsection -----  
...
```