

# CS171 HW8

Q1.1) Construction of  $B$  that solves  $mCDH(n, G, B)$ :

(i) Challenger of  $mCDH$  samples  $(G, q, g) \leftarrow \mathcal{G}(1^n)$  and  $x \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$ , then sends to  $B$  the inputs  $(G, q, g, g^x)$

(ii)  $B$  gives  $(G, q, g, g^x, g^y = g^x)$  to  $A$ , as if these inputs were given by challenger of  $CDH(n, G, A)$

(iii)  $A$  outputs  $h \in G$  such that  $h = g^{x \cdot y} = g^{x \cdot x} = g^{x^2}$

(iv)  $B$  outputs the same  $h$

Because  $B$  simulates the environment for  $CDH(n, G, A)$  successfully, the probability  $\Pr[mCDH(n, G, A) \rightarrow 1] = \Pr[CDH(n, G, B) \rightarrow 1]$  which is non-negligible.

Q1.2) Construction of  $A$  that solves  $CDH(n, G, B)$ :

(i) Challenger of  $CDH$  samples  $(G, q, g) \leftarrow \mathcal{G}(1^n)$  and  $x, y \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$ , then sends to  $A$  the inputs  $(G, q, g, g^x, g^y)$

(ii)  $A$  use  $B$  to find  $\left\{ \begin{matrix} g^{x^2} \\ g^{y^2} \\ g^{(x+y)^2} \end{matrix} \right\}$  via inputs  $\left\{ \begin{matrix} (G, q, g, g^x) \\ (G, q, g, g^y) \\ (G, q, g, g^x \cdot g^y = g^{x+y}) \end{matrix} \right\}$

(iii)  $A$  outputs  $h = g^{x \cdot y} = \frac{g^{x^2} \cdot g^{2xy} \cdot g^{y^2}}{2 \cdot g^{x^2} \cdot g^{y^2}} = \frac{g^{(x+y)^2}}{2g^{x^2} \cdot g^{y^2}}$

Because  $A$  simulates the environment for  $mCDH(n, G, B)$  successfully, the  $\Pr[CDH(n, G, B) \rightarrow 1] = \Pr[mCDH(n, G, A) \rightarrow 1]$  which is non-negligible.

Q2e) 
$$y = \frac{x_t \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} a_j x_j - x'_t \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} a_j x'_j}{x'_i - x_i} \quad \text{for } j \neq i$$



Q2 Proof) If A breaks the collision-resistance of H, then we have

$$H^s(x_1 \dots x_t) = H^s(x'_1 \dots x'_t)$$

$$g^{x_t} \cdot \left( \prod_{j=1}^{t-1} (g^{a_j x_j}) \right) \cdot h^{x_t} = g^{x'_t} \cdot \left( \prod_{j=1}^{t-1} (g^{a_j x'_j}) \right) \cdot h^{x'_t}$$

which rearranges to our expression for y in the previous part  
 $\therefore$  B solves the dlog with same prob as A breaking CRHF

Q3. If there exists A' that breaks unforgeability of  $\Pi'$ , we can construct A that uses A' to break unforgeability of  $\Pi$

A is constructed:

(i) When A' requests a signature on message m from challenger of  $\Pi'$ , A samples  $r \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$  and request  $\sigma_0 = \text{Sign}(sk, m \oplus r)$  &  $\sigma_1 = \text{Sign}(sk, r)$  from challenger of  $\Pi$ , then give

$\sigma = (r, \sigma_0, \sigma_1)$  to A'

(ii) When A' outputs  $m^*$  &  $\sigma^* = (r^*, \sigma_0^*, \sigma_1^*)$ , A outputs  $m^* \oplus r^*$  &  $\sigma_0^*$  to challenger of  $\Pi$

If A' succeeds, then  $\text{Verify}(pk, m^* \oplus r^*, \sigma_0^*) = 1$ , and so as A should succeed.

$$\text{The } \Pr[\text{Forge}_{A, \Pi} = 1] = \Pr[\text{Forge}_{A', \Pi'} = 1] - \Pr[(m^* \oplus r^*) \in M_{\Pi}]$$

$$\downarrow \quad \downarrow$$

$$\text{by assumption, } \geq \text{negl}(n) \quad \leq \text{negl}$$

For A' to be successful, it must not have queried  $m^*$  before, so  $\Pr[(m^* \oplus r^*) \in M_{\Pi}]$  is as small as  $\frac{|M|}{2^n}$  which could be assumed to be negligible

$\therefore \Pr[\text{Forge}_{A, \Pi} = 1]$  is non-negligible if  $\Pr[\text{Forge}_{A', \Pi'} = 1]$  is non-negligible, a contradiction.