

Coral thermal stress and bleaching enrich and restructure reef microbial communities via altered organic matter exudation

Wesley J. Sparagon^{1*}, Milou G.I. Arts^{2*}, Zachary Quinlan^{3,4}, Linda Wegley Kelly^{3,4}, Irina Koester³, Jacqueline Comstock⁵, Jessica A. Bullington¹, Craig A. Carlson⁵, Pieter C. Dorrestein⁶, Lihini I. Aluwihare³, Andreas F. Haas^{2,4} and Craig E. Nelson¹

¹ Daniel K. Inouye Center for Microbial Oceanography: Research and Education, Department of Oceanography and Sea Grant College Program, School of Ocean and Earth Science and Technology, University of Hawai'i at Mānoa, Honolulu, HI 96822; ² Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research, Department of Marine Microbiology and Biogeochemistry, Texel, The Netherlands; ³ Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, USA; ⁴ San Diego State University, San Diego, USA; ⁵ University of California Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, USA; ⁶ University of California San Diego, San Diego, USA.

* contributed equally

Corresponding author: Wesley Sparagon, email: sparagon@hawaii.edu

Keywords: Coral Bleaching, Untargeted Metabolomics, Dissolved Organic Matter, Microbialization, Bacterioplankton, Bacterial Community

ABSTRACT

Coral bleaching is a well-documented and increasingly widespread phenomenon in reefs across the globe, yet there has been relatively little research on the implications for reef water column microbiology and biogeochemistry. A mesocosm heating experiment and bottle incubation compared how unbleached and bleached corals alter dissolved organic matter (DOM) exudation in response to thermal stress and subsequent effects on microbial growth and community structure in the water column. Thermal stress of healthy corals tripled DOM flux relative to ambient corals and DOM exudates from stressed corals (heated and/or previously bleached) were compositionally distinct from healthy corals and significantly increased growth of bacterioplankton, enriching copiotrophs and putative pathogens. Together these results demonstrate how the impacts of both short-term thermal stress and long-term bleaching may extend into the water column, with altered coral DOM exudation driving microbial feedbacks that influence how coral reefs respond to and recover from mass bleaching events.

INTRODUCTION

Coral reef ecosystems are engineered by benthic primary producers via interactions with the water column, and unraveling these interactions is a critical step in understanding how healthy reefs function and how to prevent their degradation. Corals and algae influence the surrounding water column biogeochemistry (Atkinson, 1987; Lewis, 1977; Moberg & Folke, 1999; Odum & Odum, 1955; Smith et al., 2013) by providing fixed carbon substrates to primary consumers through dissolved organic matter (DOM) exudation (Crossland, 1987; Ferrier-Pages et al., 1998; Ferrier-Pagès et al., 2000; van Duyl & Gast, 2001). Reef benthic primary producers can release upwards of 30% of their daily photosynthate into the water column in the form of DOM, which can serve as a carbon and nutrient source for bacterioplankton (Ducklow, 1990; Haas et al., 2011). Coral DOM exudates have unique fluorescent DOM signatures (fDOM), dissolved combined neutral sugars (DCNS) compositions, and organic compound mass spectrometry profiles compared to DOM exudates from the surrounding seawater and other benthic primary producers (Nelson et al., 2013; Quinlan et al., 2018; Wegley Kelly et al., 2022). Beyond DOM serving as an energy and nutrient source for bacterioplankton, the unique quality of different DOM exudates may elicit distinct physiological changes in bacterioplankton communities as they respond to the chemical cues exuded by different benthic primary producers.

Coral DOM exudation facilitates the interaction between the coral holobiont and the surrounding bacterioplankton. Coral reef bacterioplankton exhibit chemotactic responses to a variety of DOM released by corals, including dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) (Tout et al., 2015). DOM exudates from coral also support the growth and activity of distinct heterotrophic bacterioplankton communities (Haas et al., 2011, 2013; Nakajima et al., 2018; Nelson et al., 2013; Silveira et al., 2017). *In situ* studies have identified unique metabolites and microbial communities adjacent to corals compared to the surrounding seawater (Silveira et al., 2017; Tout et al., 2014; Walsh et al., 2017; Weber et al., 2019). These microbial communities are

enriched in genes related to chemotaxis, motility, and signal transduction, suggesting that these regions surrounding corals contain bacterioplankton with the metabolic capacity to directly interact with corals (Ochsenkühn et al., 2018; Silveira et al., 2017; Tout et al., 2014; Walsh et al., 2017). This zone of interaction is an essential area for feedback loops between the benthos and the water column in the coral reef ecosystem, as changes in bacterioplankton may influence coral physiology (Barott et al., 2012). Despite the burgeoning knowledge of how healthy corals influence bacterioplankton via DOM exudation, relatively little is known about if and how this relationship changes when corals are stressed.

One major stressor corals experience is ocean warming, with marine heatwaves occurring more frequently due to global climate change (Arias et al., 2021; Cooley et al., 2022; Levitus et al., 2012). Thermal stress harms corals via bleaching, a well-documented and widespread phenomenon in which the symbiosis between corals and Symbiodinaceae breaks down as corals are exposed to elevated temperatures for an extended period of time (Brown, 1997). Mass coral bleaching has been recorded since 1979 and has increased in frequency, with a 3-fold increase in bleaching events in 2006-2012 compared to 1985-1991, restricting the time for coral reefs to recover between bleaching events (Cooley et al., 2022; Heron et al., 2016; van Hooidonk et al., 2016). Although corals can recover from bleaching, they will die if thermal stress persists (Hoegh-Guldberg, 1999). Even at sub-lethal levels, coral bleaching alters the coral holobiont's metabolism (Innis et al., 2021), its chemistry (Roach et al., 2021), and microbiota (Bourne et al., 2016; Liang et al., 2022; Littman et al., 2011; McDevitt-Irwin et al., 2017; Oppen & Blackall, 2019; Thurber et al., 2009).

Recent research suggests that coral-water column interactions are altered during periods of elevated temperatures (Garren et al., 2014; Niggli et al., 2009; Tremblay et al., 2012). Niggli *et al.* (2009) found that bleaching corals released elevated levels of particulate nitrogen (PN) and particulate organic carbon (POC) into the water column. In another study, heated *Stylophora pistillata* corals showed a change in total organic carbon (TOC) flux direction,

transitioning from negative flux in healthy corals (uptake) to positive flux in heated/bleached corals (release) (Tremblay et al., 2012). These alterations to water column chemistry likely shift the bacterioplankton community composition as specific taxa respond to metabolites released by stressed corals. In one study, the bacterial pathogen *Vibrio coralliilyticus* responded to DMSP as a chemotactic cue when it was released at elevated concentrations by heat stressed coral (Garren et al., 2014). Even under ambient conditions, coral mucus elicits a rapid chemokinetic and transcriptional response in *Vibrio coralliilyticus*, further suggesting that this pathogen uses coral chemical cues to trace and target its host (Gao et al., 2021). Given that healthy corals exude DOM into the surrounding seawater and influence subsequent bacterioplankton dynamics, we hypothesized that 1) thermal stress and bleaching can dramatically alter DOC exudation rates and DOM composition with cascading effects on bacterioplankton growth and community structure and 2) short-term thermal stress and long-term bleaching will have different effects. These different effects will help elucidate how the succession of bleaching, from onset to recovery or death, influences the succession of DOM and bacterioplankton *in situ*.

RESULTS

Experimental Design

We tested these hypotheses during a mass bleaching event on Mo'orea, French Polynesia. In April 2019, the reefs of Mo'orea bleached after a prolonged period of high water temperatures (Leinbach et al., 2021; Speare et al., 2021) (Figure 1). In May 2019, immediately following this thermal stress event, we leveraged the natural distributions of recently bleached and unbleached corals to elucidate the independent and combined impacts of experimentally-induced thermal stress and recent bleaching on coral DOM exudation and subsequent bacterioplankton remineralization and growth. In brief, coral nubbins from three different species (*Pocillopora verrucosa*, *Acropora pulchra*, and *Porites rus*) assigned to both bleached and unbleached phenotypes were collected and exposed to six days of either ambient (28.6 °C) or elevated water temperatures (32 °C +/- 0.2 °C) and ambient light levels in flow through aquaria (n=3 per treatment) with unfiltered water sourced from a depth of 6 m directly adjacent to the Gump Station fringing reef (Fig 1A.I). Rather than test for species-specific differences in DOM release and bacterioplankton response, we opted to combine the 3 coral species in individual aquaria to mimic the natural composition of coral communities on Mo'orea (Edmunds, 2022) and assess the general coral community response to thermal stress and bleaching. The combination of bleaching level and temperature yielded four treatments representing a factorial cross of prior bleaching phenotype and temperature: "Control", "Heated", "Bleached", and "Bleached + Heated" (Fig 1 A.II). Additionally, two water-only control aquaria, one for each temperature treatment, were included ("Negative Control" and "Negative Control + Heated"). After seven days of pretreatment, the water was changed to filtered (0.22 µm) seawater and corals were left to exude DOM for 3 hours. Coral community DOM exudates from each of the aquaria were collected (Fig 1.A.III) and used as growth media for dark incubation dilution cultures (Fig 1 A.IV). Unfiltered back-reef seawater was used as an inoculum representative of ambient back-reef bacterioplankton communities (Nelson et al., 2013). Dilution cultures were conducted in 1 L 10%

acid-washed, triple milliQ rinsed (hereafter termed “acid washed”) polycarbonate bottles in the dark at ambient temperatures for 36 hours and sampled at the beginning (n=3 per treatment) and end (n=3 per treatment) of the incubation. We used a combination of bulk DOC measurements, flow cytometry, 16S amplicon sequencing, and untargeted metabolomics to assess differences in the composition of DOM exudates and how these exudates altered microbial growth and community structure (Fig 1 A.V).

Symbiodiniaceae Densities

Symbiodiniaceae cell densities were used to assess individual and aquaria-wide bleaching levels of corals collected in the field and after the seven day pre-treatment, respectively. At collection, bleached corals had significantly lower Symbiodiniaceae cell densities (two-way ANOVA, $F=45.552$, $p=2.67e-08$). Coral species also had a significant effect on Symbiodiniaceae densities (two-way ANOVA, $F=4.738$, $p=0.0137$), as well as the interaction between coral species and bleaching (two-way ANOVA, $F=4.287$, $p=0.0199$) (Figure 1C).

After seven days of incubation at ambient and elevated temperatures, the average Symbiodiniaceae densities showed that the four coral treatments had varying degrees of bleaching (Figure 1D). Bleached corals had significantly lower Symbiodiniaceae densities (two-way ANOVA, $F=6.584$, $p=0.0333$). Heating did not have a significant effect on Symbiodiniaceae cell densities ($F=0.001$, $p=0.9727$), and neither did the interaction between heating and bleaching (two-way ANOVA, $F=1.284$, $p=0.2901$). Therefore the Control treatment had the highest Symbiodiniaceae densities during the exudation experiment. Heated aquaria exhibited slightly lower Symbiodiniaceae cell densities consistent with some paling, yet still had higher cell densities than their Bleached and Bleached + Heated counterparts.

Dissolved Organic Carbon

Control corals exuded roughly $5 \mu\text{M C}\cdot(\text{dm}^2)^{-1}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ while Heated corals and Bleached corals exhibited roughly 289% (mean $13.22 \mu\text{M C}\cdot(\text{dm}^2)^{-1}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$) and 177% (mean $8.10 \mu\text{M C}\cdot(\text{dm}^2)^{-1}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$) higher DOC fluxes. Bleached + Heated corals exhibited undetectable DOC flux. Although DOC exudation appeared to be affected by treatment, we were unable to elucidate significant differences in areal DOC flux rates possibly due to small sample size and short exudation times (Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared=4.1667, $p=0.244$) (Figure 2A). Coral treatments generally had higher raw DOC concentrations than the water controls, although this effect was not significant (Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared=9.3187, $p=.09$) (Figure S2).

Microbial Growth

Flow cytometry revealed distinct microbial growth patterns between the DOM treatments. Two-way ANOVAs were used to test the effect of both timepoint and treatment on bacterioplankton cell concentrations. We found that bacterioplankton concentrations significantly increased through time in the dark bottle incubations (two-way ANOVA, $F=104.372$, $p<2.2\text{E-}16$) and differed significantly between treatments (two-way ANOVA, $F=53.685$, $p<2.2\text{E-}16$) (Figure 2B). All coral treatments had significantly higher cell concentrations than both the Negative Control and the Negative Control + Heated (Tukey post-hoc test, $p<0.05$). Within the coral DOM treatments, Heated exudates yielded significantly higher bacterioplankton concentrations than the Control (Tukey post-hoc test, $p<0.05$), with bacterioplankton grown on Heated DOM reaching concentrations of 3,160,000 cells/mL ($\pm 790,000$), nearly double that of the Control (1,562,000 cells/mL $\pm 137,000$) (Figure 2B). Bacterioplankton specific growth rate also differed significantly between the treatments (one-way ANOVA, $F=6.363$, $p=0.005$) (Figure S3).

Microbial Community Structure

The DOM derived from the six treatments yielded distinct microbial communities after 36 hours of growth. There was a significant change in microbial communities from the start to the end of the bottle incubations, indicating that communities changed through the bottle incubation and were not simply reflective of the starting communities (PERMANOVA, $F=72.033$, $R^2=0.71$, $p \leq 0.001$, Figure S4). After 36h, bacterioplankton grown on coral exudates were significantly different from those grown on water negative controls (PERMANOVA $F=8.679$, $R^2=0.40$, $p \leq 0.001$), and overall DOM treatment had a significant effect on microbial community structure (PERMANOVA $F=4.637$, $R^2=0.72$, $p \leq 0.001$) (Figure 3A). Within the coral treatments, Controls maintained distinct community structure from the Heated, Bleached, and Bleached + Heated communities, hereafter collectively referred to as “stressed” (PERMANOVA $F=2.822$, $R^2=0.59$, $p=0.009$). There was overlap within the stressed communities and a consistent shift in ordination space away from the Controls, indicating a potentially conserved change that occurs in microbial communities in response to stressed coral exudates.

Many eutrophic coastal-associated bacterial families were overrepresented in the negative controls including Nitrospiraceae and Methylophagaceae (Francis et al., 2021), as well as the common coral reef associated family Rhodobacteraceae (Apprill et al., 2021; Comstock et al., 2022; Haas et al., 2016; Kelly et al., 2019; Nelson et al., 2011). Coral DOM microbial communities were dominated by the families Alteromonadaceae and Rhodobacteraceae, although there was substantial variation between the four treatments (Figure 3B, Table S1). Control corals maintained distinct microbial communities from both negative controls and stressed corals, overrepresented in the families Cryomorphaceae, Litoricolaceae, and Halieaceae. Alteromonadaceae, a common marine copiotrophic family (Baumann et al., 1972), was lowest in communities grown on Control exudates (28.3%) and substantially higher in the communities growing on the stressed coral exudates (46.6%-38.6%). Pseudoalteromonadaceae also increased in abundance from Control communities to stressed communities (3.3% in Control, and a mean of 9.1% in stressed). The common coral reef-associated family

Rhodobacteraceae was higher in the Control (21.3%) and lower in the three stressed treatments (13.7%-19.4%). Another common coral reef associated family, Cryomorphaceae, was abundant in the Control treatment (13.0%) and then reduced in abundance in the stressed treatments (5.6%-7.2%). Microbial community alpha diversity metrics (observed sequences, Chao diversity, Shannon diversity, and Shannon's Evenness) were assessed after 36 hours of growth (Figure S5), with none of these indices being significantly affected by treatment (one-way ANOVA, $p \geq .05$).

Bacterial Taxa Differential Abundance Analysis

Multivariate analysis demonstrated that stressed corals enriched distinct bacterioplankton communities compared to their Control counterparts. In order to directly elucidate which specific bacterial taxa were driving these differences, we performed DESeq2, (see supplementary methods) on a subset of the data that only included the four coral DOM treatments (Love et al., 2014), yielding a final count of 159 OTUs to be run through DESeq2. The log₂ fold-change of OTU abundances was calculated between the three coral stress treatments and the coral controls to elucidate OTUs that were differentially abundant when corals were bleached and/or heated (Table S2) (Figures 3C and S6).

A total of 31 significantly differentially abundant bacterial OTUs (19.5%) were identified by DESeq2 (DESeq2, log₂ fold-change > 0, $p \leq .05$ after FDR adjustment, Table 1, Figures 3C, S6, and S7). These OTUs belonged to four bacterial and one archaeal class: Alphaproteobacteria, Gammaproteobacteria, Bacteroidia, Deltaproteobacteria, and Thermoplasmata, with the majority (64.5%) of differentially abundant OTUs belonging to Gammaproteobacteria. Within Gammaproteobacteria, there was a significant enrichment of numerous abundant Alteromonadaceae and Pseudoalteromonadaceae OTUs in at least one of the three treatments (75% of all differentially abundant Gammaproteobacteria OTUs).

In all three stress treatments there was a significant enrichment of two *Pseudoalteromonas* OTUs (1224 and 1296), one *Alteromonas* OTU (734), and one unclassified Saprospiraceae OTU (322). All three stress treatments also showed an enrichment of a highly abundant *Alteromonas* OTU (2), although this was only statistically significant in the Bleached and Heated treatments. There were 12 differentially abundant OTUs found only to be significant in the Bleached treatment including significant enrichment in members of the genus *Psuedoalteromonas* (OTUs 75 and 85), unclassified Saprospiraceae (OTU 101), and *Phaeocystidibacter* (OTU 480), and significant reductions in members of the genus *Litoricola* OTUs 27 and 419) and unclassified Alteromonadaceae (OTUs 368, 1012, and 1023). The two heated treatments had significant enrichment in OTUs of the genus *Psuedoalteromonas* (OTU 853), *Aestuariibacter* (OTU 48), and an unclassified Flavobacteriaceae (OTU 277). The two bleached treatments showed significant enrichment of OTUs in the genera *Pseudoalteromonas* (OTU 823) and *Thalassotalea* (OTU 649). Visualization of pairwise co-occurrence patterns of OTUs from the final time point reinforces these conclusions (Figure S8). OTUs enriched in the coral stress treatments including Alteromonadaceae, Pseudoalteromonadaceae, and Saprospiraceae clustered together in the upper portion of the network and showed a high degree of connectivity with each other (i.e. OTU 1224 and OTU 75), yet limited significant positive correlations with OTUs in the network that were enriched in coral controls and/or negative water controls.

Metabolomes

Another potential driver of bacterioplankton enrichment in this study, beyond DOC concentration, was compositional differences in the DOM exudates. Untargeted metabolomics was performed to assess the impact of DOM quality on microbial community structure. The exo-metabolomes consisting of the extracted ion-chromatograms (XIC values) of each metabolite were used to generate a Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix to test multivariate differences between

the six treatments at time point T= 0. The exo-metabolomes indicated that different treatments produced compositionally distinct DOM exudates following the three hour DOM exudation (PERMANOVA, $F=1.7847$, $R^2=0.44788$, $p\leq 0.001$) (Figure 4A). Consistent with the bacterioplankton data, coral samples clustered separately from the water negative controls and within the coral treatments, coral Controls maintained distinct metabolomes compared to the three stress treatments (one-way PERMANOVA $F=1.3799$, $R^2=0.37162$, $p=0.005$). Multivariate comparisons between the 16S rDNA and metabolomics distance matrices confirmed that DOM composition significantly correlated with bacterioplankton community structure (Procrustes correlation=0.8612, significance=0.001; Mantel $R=0.5993$, significance=0.001) (Figure 4B), indicating that bacterioplankton community changes may be a response to shifts in DOM exudate quality as well as quantity.

DISCUSSION

This study presents a comprehensive assessment of how short-term thermal stress and long-term bleaching, separately and in combination, influence reef bacterioplankton via DOM exudation **by coral communities**. Our results indicate that thermally-induced bleaching events both increase and alter coral **community** DOM exudation with cascading impacts on reef bacterioplankton dynamics that potentially hamper coral resistance to and recovery from bleaching.

Thermally stressed and bleaching corals release DOM that increases microbial load

Heated corals had the highest DOC fluxes of roughly $15 \mu\text{M C} \cdot (\text{dm}^2)^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$, marking a substantial contribution to reef DOC that is between 8.4 and 13.6 times higher than previously documented coral DOC release rates in Mo'orea (Haas et al., 2011, 2013). Photosynthesis reactions, which contribute to a portion of the DOC released by corals, are sensitive to increases in temperature (Oakley et al., 2014; Ros et al., 2020) and elevated temperatures are known to speed up enzymatic reaction rates. It is possible that maintenance of intact coral-algal symbiosis in the face of elevated temperatures may lead to higher rates of carbon fixation and higher DOC release (Oakley et al., 2014). This is supported by Hillyer et al., 2017 who found that glucose, a major product of dinoflagellate-cnidarian symbiosis (Burriesci et al., 2012; Streamer et al., 1993; Whitehead & Douglas, 2003), appears to increase in thermally stressed corals.

Both Bleached and Bleached + Heated corals had lower DOC release rates that were **not significantly** different to DOC release rates of coral Controls. Without their endosymbionts, corals are known to catabolize internal carbon stores, especially lipids, to meet their energetic demands that are no longer satisfied by photoautotrophy (Grottoli et al., 2004, 2006; Grottoli & Rodrigues, 2011; Imbs & Yakovleva, 2012; Rodrigues & Grottoli, 2007; Schoepf et al., 2021).

DOM mobilization from internal stores could yield similar DOC release compared to healthy corals, despite reduced densities of Symbiodiniaceae.

DOM exudates from Heated, Bleached, and Bleached + Heated corals appear to be labile, i.e. readily mobilized by bacterioplankton into metabolic pathways (Carlson & Hansell, 2015). In general, DOM derived from coral treatments grew more concentrated microbial communities with faster growth rates than the Negative Controls. Heated coral DOM produced higher microbial growth rates resulting in double the bacterioplankton concentrations compared to the coral Control treatment (3,000,000 cells/mL). This rapid growth of microbes on heated coral DOM could have negative impacts on corals *in situ*, where already stressed individuals are further stressed through the generation of hypoxic zones from high levels of bacterial respiration (Barott et al., 2012; Barott & Rohwer, 2012; Jorissen et al., 2016; Roach et al., 2017; Silveira et al., 2017; Smith et al., 2006). Despite the lower DOC release rates than Heated corals, both Bleached and Bleached + Heated DOM increased bacterioplankton concentrations compared to coral Controls. This suggests that changes in the composition DOM released by stressed corals may generally increase microbial growth, regardless of concentration.

DOM from stressed corals yields a conserved enrichment of copiotrophic bacterioplankton and putative pathogens

Bacterioplankton communities fed stressed coral DOM show a conserved, directional shift of microbial community structure away from bacterioplankton associated with healthy coral DOM, indicating a potential universal response of bacterioplankton coral stress, whether that be heating, bleaching, or both. Differential abundance analysis of OTUs using DESeq2 revealed that this universal stress response in bacterioplankton communities was largely driven by an enrichment of copiotrophs and putative pathogens.

The three stressed treatments were highly enriched in bacteria commonly associated with large inputs of labile organic matter, including three *Alteromonas* OTUs (OTUs 2, 195, and

734, although OTUs 2 and 195 were only significantly enriched in Bleached and Heated), one Saprospiraceae OTU (OTU 322, significantly enriched in all three treatments), and two *Pseudoalteromonas* OTUs (OTUs 1224 and 1296, significantly enriched in all three treatments). These three taxa are common copiotrophs associated with large inputs of organic matter including from algal blooms (Baumann et al., 1972; McCarren et al., 2010; Romera-Castillo et al., 2011; Shi et al., 2012; Tada et al., 2011), in controlled incubations (James et al., 2019; Nelson & Carlson, 2012; Pontiller et al., 2022), and in response to pulses of POM on coral reefs during coral spawning (Guillemette et al., 2018). The enrichment of these OTUs in all coral stress treatments suggests a universal response of corals to heating and/or bleaching that induces the release of labile organic matter which then rapidly enriches heterotrophic bacteria in the plankton. Through the lens of *r*- and *K*-selection, the release of surplus labile organic matter by stressed corals proliferates *r*-selected copiotrophs that rapidly outcompete the *K*-selected taxa that are often associated with marine oligotrophic, and specifically coral reef, systems.

The universal stress response in bacterioplankton communities was also driven by an enrichment of putative pathogens, specifically in the families Colwelliaceae and Pseudoalteromonadaceae. OTU 649, belonging to the genus *Thalassotalea*, was enriched in all three treatments (only significantly so in Bleached + Heated and Bleached) and shared a 100% 16S rDNA sequence identity with a *Thalassomonas* bacteria that induced severe bleaching in corals after only 24 hours (Vieira et al., 2016). *Pseudoalteromonas* OTU 823 was also highly enriched in all three stressed coral treatments (again only significantly so in Bleached + Heated and Heated) and was closely related (100% 16S rRNA identity) to *Pseudoalteromonas piratica*, which has been identified as the causative agent of the coral disease “acute *Montipora* White Syndrome” (Beurmann et al., 2017). Enrichment of putative pathogens in the stress treatments could be driven by a positive association with coral stress metabolites (Garren et al., 2014) or because stressed corals lack the production of defense molecules; in either case, the enrichment of these pathogenic taxa could be detrimental to coral health.

Although we are not aware of any studies that have examined how stressed coral DOM alters bacterioplankton in bottle incubations, Sun et al., 2022 examined the impact of coral bleaching on bacterioplankton in a flow through aquaria setting and corroborate many of the observations found here: copiotrophic taxa (in this case Flavobacteriaceae) increased in heated coral treatments and there is an uptick in pathogenic gene functions after seven days of heating.

Stressed corals exude compositionally distinct DOM that correlates with conserved shifts in bacterioplankton communities

One potential driver of microbial changes in this study beyond bulk DOC differences is qualitative differences in the DOM exudates. The composition of DOM has been shown to shape microbial communities in numerous systems including coral reefs (Nelson et al., 2013), the open ocean (Azam & Malfatti, 2007), and synthetic microbial consortia (Fu et al., 2020). The same patterns hold in this study; different DOM treatments yielded different microbial communities, with DOM metabolomic composition significantly correlated with microbial community structure. This suggests that changes in the quality of coral DOM exudates, not just quantity, shapes bacterioplankton communities during thermally-induced bleaching.

The four coral treatments clustered away from the two negative water controls, aligning with previous observations that corals alter water column DOM composition (Nelson et al., 2013; Quinlan et al., 2018; Wegley Kelly, et al., 2022). Importantly, there was no distinction between two negative controls, suggesting that temperature alters DOM quality indirectly via coral exudation rather than by directly acting on the water column. Within the coral treatments, the three stressed treatments clustered away from the Control corals, suggesting that stressed corals altered the quality of their DOM exudates. The consistent clustering of stressed coral treatments away from healthy coral treatments in both the microbial and metabolomics data hints at the potential release of universal stress metabolites by corals experiencing a variety of

heating/bleaching regimes, leading to conserved shifts in the DOM pool and in turn conserved shifts in bacterioplankton communities. These metabolites would be present regardless of the specific stress regime and, once exuded into the water column, would fuel the consistent growth of opportunistic families and putative pathogens. Further studies should aim to directly assess this possibility.

In this study we used a mixture of three common Mo'orea coral species in each aquaria in order to investigate the coral community DOM exudation response to thermal stress/bleaching. Different coral species can exude different DOM quantities and compositions and yield slightly different microbial communities, although differences between coral species are smaller than differences between broader benthic "guilds" (Nelson et al., 2013; Wegley Kelly et al., 2022). The current setup does not allow us to investigate the species specific response to heating and bleaching. While we hypothesize that the response of increased and altered DOM exudation is a universal response on heating and bleaching by coral communities, future studies should investigate species specific differences. If there are substantial differences in DOM exudation and subsequent bacterioplankton growth between coral species, then the composition of the reef benthos might influence the reef-wide ecological impact and response on thermal and bleaching stress or its recovery.

Bacterioplankton response to stressed coral DOM may accelerate coral decline

Our data suggest a novel, positive feedback mechanism in which thermally stressed and/or bleached corals release DOM that enriches high abundances of rapidly growing copiotrophs and putative pathogens, which can then potentially harm the coral via hypoxia due to microbial respiration or through coral disease. A similar mechanism has been observed on algae dominated reefs; high algal benthic cover quantitatively increases and qualitatively changes DOM release, which in turn fosters a more copiotrophic microbial community with higher microbial biomass and energy use (Haas et al., 2016). This process, termed

“microbialization”, is part of the broader DDAM (DOM, Disease, Algae, and Microbes) negative feedback loop in which microbialization harms coral through disease (pathogens) and hypoxia (copiotrophs), further promoting algal dominance on the reef (Barott et al., 2012b; Haas et al., 2016; McDole et al., 2012). In much the same mechanism as the DDAM model, corals may negatively impact their own resistance to/recovery from thermally-induced bleaching via DOM exudation and subsequent bacterioplankton enrichment.

This study used a sealed, controlled bottle system to accurately measure DOC and microbial growth characteristics. However, *in situ* conditions are vastly different from bottles or flow through mesocosms; physical dynamics like reef depth, water flow, and residence time, as well as the relative abundance of specific coral species on a reef, all likely impact the degree to which our observed findings translate to *in situ* impacts.

This study did not take into account increased temperatures during microbial growth, only the impacts of DOM. Elevated temperatures could further amplify this feedback loop by increasing microbial metabolic rates, which could be an additional factor for a rapid switch to copiotrophic communities and higher microbial abundances that wasn’t observed at ambient incubations. The combination of elevated temperatures and increased DOM could also rapidly accelerate microbial respiration, resulting in more severe hypoxia than under ambient temperatures.

The ecological implications of this study can be understood by situating the four coral treatments within the context of an *in situ* reef experiencing elevated water temperatures (Figure 5). The four experimental treatments can represent four phases of thermally-induced bleaching on a coral reef, from ambient (Control) to thermally-stressed (Heated) to actively bleaching (Bleached + Heated) to recovering (Bleached). In all three of the stressed coral DOM treatments there was a marked change in DOM exudation that drove an enrichment of copiotrophs and putative pathogens in the bacterioplankton. In the above ecological interpretation of the treatments, this indicates that the aforementioned positive feedback mechanism will be present

throughout various stages of a thermal anomaly, hampering both coral resistance to and recovery from bleaching via disease and hypoxia at both the onset and termination of marine heatwaves.

Importantly, the highest concentration of DOC exudate and greatest growth of bacterioplankton was in the Heated treatment. This indicates the feedback mechanism is most pronounced at the onset of coral thermal stress. Water column pathogens and copiotrophs, sniffing out and gorging on thermally stressed coral DOM exudate, may push individual corals towards more severe bleaching. If a single coral bleaches, this mechanism may have minimal impact on the water column biogeochemistry, but if an entire reef experiences elevated temperatures, the large flux of labile DOM into the water column could propagate a reef-wide shift in microbial communities that may prevent coral recovery. Additionally, coral mortality as a result of bleaching and the aforementioned mechanisms could lead to a further pulse of organic matter fuel into the water column, exacerbating the already adverse situation and turning bleaching reefs into a dead zone.

Conclusion

Assessing the effect of thermally-induced coral bleaching on water column dynamics reveals that stressed corals enrich a glut of copiotrophic, putatively pathogenic bacteria in the plankton via DOM exudation. Based on these results we hypothesize that at the coral colony level these effects may reduce a corals' ability to resist and recover from thermally-induced bleaching. When our results are translated to a reef-wide scale, we predict that thermal anomalies and mass bleaching events could sharply alter reef water biogeochemistry, carbon flux, microbial communities, ecosystem health. In this dramatic positive feedback loop, DOM is the herald of the change, translating shifts in coral physiology to shifts in water column dynamics. At the moment, this dynamic remains unrecognized and the effects understudied. To

446 fully understand how complex coral reef ecosystems respond to marine heatwaves, producer-
447 DOM and microbe-DOM dynamics must be taken into account.
448

METHODS

Experimental Design

Field Collection

Coral nubbins from three different species (*Pocillopora verrucosa*, *Acropora pulchra*, and *Porites rus*) were collected in Mo'orea, French Polynesia on May 8th, 2019 immediately following a bleaching event (Figure 1B). For more on this bleaching event, temperatures of Figure 1B, and sample collection, see supplementary methods. Coral nubbins from the three species were visually inspected at the time of collection and assigned as either “unbleached” or “bleached” phenotypes. After collection, corals were transported to the Gump Station research facility and acclimated to ambient conditions in a water table for three days. Coral bleaching status was again validated prior to the experiment with a visual inspection and assessment of Symbiodiniaceae cell densities via flow cytometry (Figure 1C).

Pre-Treatment in Flow-Through Aquaria

To mimic reef-wide bleaching/thermal stress signals, two nubbins from each of the three coral species at a given bleaching phenotype were combined with unfiltered water in individual aquaria for a total of six coral fragments in each of the 12 aquaria. Aquaria (n=3 per treatment) were exposed to six days of either ambient (28.6 °C) or elevated water temperatures (32 °C +/- 0.2 °C) and ambient light levels (Table S3). For specifications of the pumps and heaters, see supplementary methods. The combination of bleaching level and temperature yielded four treatments: “Control”, “Heated”, “Bleached”, and “Bleached + Heated” (Fig A.I and A.II). Additionally, two water-only control aquaria, one for each temperature treatment, were included (“Negative Control” and “Negative Control + Heated”).

DOM Exudation Experiment

On the day of the experiment, after seven days of pretreatment, the flow through of unfiltered water and the recirculation of water within the aquaria was stopped. Water was removed from each aquaria until 400 mL remained (roughly $\frac{1}{3}$ of the aquaria volume). Subsequently, 800 mL 0.22 μ m-filtered offshore water was then added to yield a final volume of 1200 mL. Corals were left in the aquaria to exude DOM for three hours (15:00 h - 18:00 h) while heat treatments were maintained (Fig 1.A.III). After three hours coral community DOM exudates were collected by filtering the 1200 mL of aquaria water through a 0.22 μ m PES Sterivex (Millipore) filter into acid-washed 2 L polycarbonate bottles. One of the triplicates of the “Heated” treatment was lost during this step resulting in n=2. To minimize DOM contamination from the filter matrix, all filters were previously flushed with 50-100 mL of 0.22 μ m filtered offshore water. Following exudation corals were removed from the aquaria and airbrushed to collect tissue slurry for downstream Symbiodiniaceae quantification.

Dilution Cultures

Filtered DOM exudates were used as growth media for dark incubation dilution cultures. Unfiltered back-reef seawater collected from the LTER 1 was used as an inoculum. From each replicate aquaria 1200 mL of DOM media was mixed with 400 mL bacterioplankton inoculum (3:1 volumetric ratio) via inversion in acid washed 2 L polycarbonate bottles (Figure 1.A.IV). Dilution cultures were then split equally into two 1 L acid washed polycarbonate bottles (800 mL culture per bottle). Half of the bottles were immediately destructively sampled at the beginning of culturing (T0, n=3 per treatment), while the remaining bottles (n=3 per treatment) were incubated in the dark at ambient temperatures for 36 hours.

Sample Collection and Processing

Symbiodiniaceae Quantification

To assess bleaching status of the corals during collection and at the end of the seven

day incubation and exudation experiment, coral nubbins were flash-frozen and airbrushed using 0.22 μ m filtered seawater. Tissue slurries were analyzed using flow cytometry following the protocol outlined in Fox et al., 2021. For details, please see supplementary methods (Fig S1).

Bacterioplankton Abundance

Samples for bacterioplankton abundance measurement via flow cytometry were taken throughout the dilution cultures at 0, 2, 8, 16, 20, 24, 32, and 36 hours. At every time point, 1 mL of each sample was fixed with 16 μ L of 32% paraformaldehyde PFA. Samples were run on an Attune Acoustic Focusing Cytometer (Applied Biosystems, Part No. 4445280ASR) at University of Hawai'i at Mānoa to enumerate bacterial cell counts (Nelson et al., 2015). For sample collection details and flow cytometer settings, see the supplementary methods (Figure S1).

Water Collection for Bacterial Community Composition, Dissolved Organic Carbon and Metabolite Solid Phase Extraction

At 0 and 36 h timepoints water (800 mL) was sampled for microbial communities, DOC, and solid phase extraction of DOM using a peristaltic pump connected to acid washed and seawater leached silicon tubing. Sample water (800 mL) was passed through a 0.22 μ m Sterivex to collect bacterioplankton for downstream DNA analysis.

DOC samples were taken by collecting 35 mL of 0.22 μ m sterivex filtrate in acid washed, combusted, triple sample-rinsed clear glass vials. Care was made to flush each Sterivex with ~50 mL of sample water prior to collecting DOC to avoid contamination from the filter. DOC samples were then acidified with 50 μ L of 4N hydrochloric acid to yield a pH of less than 3. The DOC samples were processed and analyzed via high-temperature combustion on slightly modified Shimadzu TOC-V analyzers at UCSB according to the protocol outlined in Carlson et al., 2010.

For analysis of metabolites, exactly 700 mL of the remaining 0.22 µm Sterivex filtrate was collected in acid washed 1 L polycarbonate bottles and acidified with HCl to pH < 2. A small volume (50 mL) of the acidified sample water was used to flush the lines prior to the solid phase extraction resulting in 650 mL of sample for solid phase extraction. Two bottles had less than 650 mL acidified sample water and were equalized to 500 mL solid phase extractions. The difference in volume was later corrected by the resuspension step prior to LC-MS/MS analysis. Metabolites were extracted using a 200 mg mass Bond Elut-PPL (Agilent) cartridges following Dittmar et al., 2008 and Petras et al., 2017. Detailed metabolite extraction methods and all sample handling and storage can be found in the supplementary methods.

Microbial Community DNA Extraction, Library Prep, and Sequencing

Sample DNA extraction protocols followed those outlined in Bullington et al., 2022. For details, please see supplementary methods. Library preparation of the V4 16S rRNA gene region for amplicon sequencing was conducted at the University of Hawai'i at Mānoa Microbial Genomics and Analytical Laboratory using a single barcode library preparation approach with Golay barcoded forward primers and non-barcoded reverse primers. For an overview of primers and settings used, see the supplementary methods. Amplicons were pooled and sequenced using an Illumina MiSeq V3 600 paired-end cycle run at the University of Hawai'i at Mānoa Advanced Studies in Genomics, Proteomics and Bioinformatics facility. A total of 243 samples from this experiment as well as other experiments that occurred at the same field site and time were included in this sequencing library. All samples were amplified and sequenced in duplicate technical replicates. Method blanks had substantially lower sequence read depth (mean = 1,590 reads/sample) than samples (mean = 88,681), with samples ranging from 12,609 reads/sample to 155,685 reads/sample.

Dissolved Organic Matter Composition

PPL cartridges were eluted with 2 mL methanol. Extracts were dried down with a vacuum centrifuge and redissolved with 70 μ L 80% methanol:water with 1% formic acid. The two samples that had less volume were redissolved to 50 μ L so that all concentrations were normalized to filtrate volume. Samples were transferred into a combusted glass insert. A 10 μ L aliquot of each sample was analyzed by injection into a Vanquish ultra-high performance liquid chromatography system (UHPLC) coupled to a Q-Exactive Orbitrap Mass Spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany). Chromatographic separation was performed with a C18 core-shell column (Kinetex, 150 \times 2 mm, 1.8 μ m particle size, 100 Å pore size, Phenomenex, Torrance, USA) all using the settings and protocol described in Petras et al., 2017 and Wegley Kelly et al., 2022.

Data Processing and Analysis

16S Amplicon Bioinformatics

16S rRNA gene amplicon sequences were processed using the nextflow bioinformatic pipeline (version 19.10.0) outlined in Arisdakessian et al., 2020 and Jani et al., 2021. Detailed bioinformatic methods can be found in the supplementary methods. In brief, raw paired fastq reads were preprocessed using the DADA2 R package (Callahan et al., 2016a). We used mothur (Schloss et al., 2009) with the Silva (release 132) database (Quast et al., 2013) to align and annotate the sequences, respectively. Per-sample read depth was normalized to 12,000 sequences per sample. OTUs were defined as unique “amplicon sequence variants” (100% clustering OTUs) by DADA2 (Callahan et al., 2016). Lastly, we used the lulu R package to remove artefactual OTUs (Frøslev et al., 2017) and discarded OTUs represented by two or less reads across the 243 samples included in this library. UniFrac distance matrices were constructed from the OTU data and used to assess multivariate differences between microbial communities (Lozupone & Knight, 2005). At the final time point, two outlier samples were identified and removed from downstream 16S analysis (outliers were defined as samples whose

log₁₀ distance from the centroid of a treatment ≥ 1.5 SD above the mean log₁₀ distance from the centroid for a given treatment).

Metabolomics Chemoinformatics

RAW files were converted to .mzML files using MSConvert (Chambers et al., 2012). MZmine3 (version 3.2.8) (Pluskal et al., 2010) was used for alignment between samples and feature extraction. In order to yield higher consensus alignment quality of MS2 spectra to improve database matching and molecular networking, the 35 samples from this experiment were combined in MzMine with 756 coral reef environmental and experimental DOM samples belonging to tandem studies conducted during the same fieldwork period at Gump Station. Detailed chemoinformatic parameters can be found in the supplemental methods. Metabolite cheminformatics generated 54,040 total metabolite ion-features (hereafter referred to as features). Ten procedural blanks (LC/MS grade water run in parallel with samples) were included in the run. These procedural blanks were used to identify background features and transient features in the 35 samples from this experiment. Background features were defined as features with an average intensity across all samples which is less than double the maximum intensity of that feature in the procedural blanks. Transient features are defined as features that do not exceed 5×10^4 extracted ion chromatogram values (XIC) in more than 2 samples. Blank correction and transient feature removal removed 29,286 and 6,483 features, respectively. This resulted in 18,271 features with XIC-values (Extracted-ion chromatogram values or peak areas) which composes what we consider the exo-metabolome (mixture of ambient and exudate features) which was used in downstream analysis.

Statistics

Data analysis and statistics were done using R (version 4.2.1). Main packages used are the core packages within tidyverse (Wickham et al., 2019), vegan (Oksanen, 2013),

BiodiversityR (Kindt & Coe, 2005), pairwiseAdonis (Martinez P., 2020), and stats (R Core Team, 2013). The OTU co-occurrence network was generated using SPIEC-EASI (Kurtz et al., 2015) and visualized using Cytoscape (version 3.9.1) (Shannon et al., 2003). R scripts and additional packages are available through <https://github.com/NIOZ-DOM-Analysis/ABCDoM>.

Symbiodiniaceae cell densities and bacterioplankton cell concentrations had Gaussian distributions after log10 transformation and square root transformation, respectively, and treatment effects were tested using ANOVAs. Surface area normalized DOC flux for the coral treatments was determined by calculating the difference in DOC concentration between each treatment and their respective negative controls, normalizing this value to coral surface area and dividing it by the duration of the DOC exudation period (three hours). DOC areal flux data had a non-Gaussian distribution and thus treatment was tested using non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis tests. PERMANOVA tests were run on weighted Unifrac dissimilarity matrices derived from 16S amplicon sequencing data to test the effect of treatment on microbial community structure. To assess multivariate shifts in the exo-metabolomes, the metabolite feature table containing XIC data was converted to relative abundance data and used to generate a Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix, which was then used in downstream PERMANOVA testing. The correlation between metabolomic and microbiota data from this experiment was statistically tested using both Mantel Tests and Procrustes Tests in R (version 4.2.1) and visualized with a Procrustes plot.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge all employees of Gump Station in Mo'orea, especially Valentine Brotherson, for their help and support during fieldwork. We would also like to thank Dr. Benjamin Mueller for his edits and general guidance throughout the writing of this manuscript. We would like to thank Kari Opalk of the Carlson Lab at University of California Santa Barbara for processing our DOC samples, Kirsten Nakayama and Nicole Yoneishi of the University of Hawai'i at Mānoa Microbial Genomics and Analytical Laboratory for assistance with DNA extractions and 16S library preparation and Dr. Jennifer Saito at the University of Hawai'i at Mānoa Advanced Studies in Genomics, Proteomics and Bioinformatics facility for DNA sequencing support. We would also like to thank Sean Swift for assisting with chemoinformatics. This work was supported by US National Science Foundation Chemical Oceanography awards OCE-2023298 to C.E.N. and OCE-2118618 to L.W.K. in collaboration with the Moorea Coral Reef Long-Term Ecological Research project (MCR-LTER; OCE-1637396). ZAQ was supported in part by the NSF Graduate Research Fellowship Program. Research was completed under permits issued by the French Polynesian Government (Délégation à la Recherche) and the Haut-commissariat de la République en Polynésie Française (DTRT) (Protocole d'Accueil 2005-2021). MGIA received support from Treub-Maatschappij, the Society for the Advancement of Research in the Tropics. The technical support and advanced computing resources from University of Hawai'i Information Technology Services – Cyberinfrastructure, funded in part by the National Science Foundation MRI award # 1920304, are gratefully acknowledged.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

WJS = Wesley J. Sparagon

MGIA = Milou G.I. Arts

647 LWK = Linda Wegley Kelly

648 ZQ = Zachary Quinlan

649 IK = Irina Koester

650 JC = Jacqueline Comstock

651 JAB = Jessica A. Bullington

652 CC = Craig Carlson

653 PCD = Peter C. Dorrestein

654 LIA = Lihini I. Aluwihare

655 AFH = Andreas F. Haas

656 CEN = Craig E. Nelson

657

658 WJS, MGIA, LWK, ZQ, AFH, CEN designed the study, CC, PCD, LIA, CEN, AFH, LWK

659 provided reagents and analytical tools. WJS, MGIA, ZQ, JAB performed the experiment.

660 Samples were processed by WJS, ZQ, IK, JAB, and data analysis and curation was performed

661 by WJS, MGIA, ZQ, IK. WJS, MGIA performed the formal analysis, visualization and wrote the

662 original draft. All co-authors reviewed and edited the manuscript at various stages. CEN and

663 AFH supervised the project. WJS and MGIA contributed equally.

664

665 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

666 The authors declare no competing interests.

667

668 **DATA AVAILABILITY**

669 Sequencing reads from the demultiplexed samples analyzed in this study have been deposited

670 in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under the BioProject accession xxxxxx (submission

671 to SRA to be finalized and made public upon acceptance). All LC-MS/MS data are publicly

672 available and deposited in the MassIVE data repository (<http://massive.ucsd.edu>) under the
673 accession number MSV000088021 (MassIVE repository made public upon acceptance).

674

675 **CODE AVAILABILITY**

676 Scripts used to analyze the data in R have been deposited in GitHub at

677 <https://github.com/NIOZ-DOM-Analysis/ABCDom> (repository made public with DOI via Zenodo

678 upon acceptance).

679

680

681

REFERENCES

- Apprill, A., Holm, H., Santoro, A. E., Becker, C., Neave, M., Huguen, K., Donà, A. R., Aeby, G., Work, T., Weber, L., & McNally, S. (2021). Microbial ecology of coral-dominated reefs in the Federated States of Micronesia. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology*, 86, 115–136. <https://doi.org/10.3354/ame01961>
- Apprill, A., McNally, S., Parsons, R., & Weber, L. (2015). Minor revision to V4 region SSU rRNA 806R gene primer greatly increases detection of SAR11 bacterioplankton. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology*, 75(2), 129–137. <https://doi.org/10.3354/ame01753>
- Arias, P. A., Bellouin, N., Jones, R. G., Naik, V., Plattner, G.-K., Rogelj, J., Sillmann, J., Storelvmo, T., Thorne, P. W., Trewin, B., Rao, K. A., Adhikary, B., Allan, R. P., Armour, K., Barimalala, R., Canadell, J. G., Cassou, C., Cherchi, A., Collins, W., ... Goldfarb, L. (2021). Technical Summary. *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, 112. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896.002>
- Arisdakessian, C., Cleveland, S. B., & Belcaid, M. (2020). MetaFlow|mics: Scalable and Reproducible Nextflow Pipelines for the Analysis of Microbiome Marker Data. *Practice and Experience in Advanced Research Computing*, 120–124. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3311790.3396664>
- Atkinson, M. J. (1987). Rates of phosphate uptake by coral reef flat communities. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 32(2), 426–435.
- Azam, F., & Malfatti, F. (2007). Microbial structuring of marine ecosystems. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 5(10), 782–791. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1747>
- Barott, K. L., Rodriguez-Mueller, B., Youle, M., Marhaver, K. L., Vermeij, M. J. A., Smith, J. E., & Rohwer, F. L. (2012). Microbial to reef scale interactions between the reef-building coral *Montastraea annularis* and benthic algae. *Proceedings of the Royal*

Society B: Biological Sciences, 279(1733), 1655–1664.

<https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2011.2155>

Barott, K. L., & Rohwer, F. L. (2012). Unseen players shape benthic competition on coral reefs. *Trends in Microbiology*, 20(12), 621–628.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2012.08.004>

Baumann, L., Baumann, P., Mandel, M., & Allen, R. D. (1972). Taxonomy of aerobic marine eubacteria. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 110(1), 402–429.

<https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.110.1.402-429.1972>

Beurmann, S., Ushijima, B., Videau, P., Svoboda, C. M., Smith, A. M., Rivers, O. S., Aeby, G. S., & Callahan, S. M. (2017). Pseudoalteromonas piratica strain OCN003 is a coral pathogen that causes a switch from chronic to acute Montipora white syndrome in Montipora capitata. *PLOS ONE*, 12(11), e0188319.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0188319>

Bourne, D. G., Morrow, K. M., & Webster, N. S. (2016). Insights into the Coral Microbiome: Underpinning the Health and Resilience of Reef Ecosystems. *Annual Review of Microbiology*, 70(1), 317–340. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-micro-102215-095440>

Brown, B. E. (1997). Coral bleaching: Causes and consequences. *Coral Reefs*, 16(0), S129–S138. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s003380050249>

Bullington, J. A., Golder, A. R., Steward, G. F., McManus, M. A., Neuheimer, A. B., Glazer, B. T., Nigro, O. D., & Nelson, C. E. (2022). Refining real-time predictions of *Vibrio vulnificus* concentrations in a tropical urban estuary by incorporating dissolved organic matter dynamics. *Science of The Total Environment*, 829, 154075.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.154075>

Burgess, S. C., Johnston, E. C., Wyatt, A. S. J., Leichter, J. J., & Edmunds, P. J. (2021). Response diversity in corals: Hidden differences in bleaching mortality among cryptic Pocillopora species. *Ecology*, 102(6), e03324. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.3324>

- Burriesci, M. S., Raab, T. K., & Pringle, J. R. (2012). Evidence that glucose is the major transferred metabolite in dinoflagellate–cnidarian symbiosis. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 215(19), 3467–3477. <https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.070946>
- Caporaso, J. G., Lauber, C. L., Walters, W. A., Berg-Lyons, D., Lozupone, C. A., Turnbaugh, P. J., Fierer, N., & Knight, R. (2011). Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of millions of sequences per sample. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(Supplement 1), 4516–4522. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1000080107>
- Carlson, C. A., Hansell, D. A., Nelson, N. B., Siegel, D. A., Smethie, W. M., Khatiwala, S., Meyers, M. M., & Halewood, E. (2010). Dissolved organic carbon export and subsequent remineralization in the mesopelagic and bathypelagic realms of the North Atlantic basin. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 57(16), 1433–1445. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2010.02.013>
- Chambers, M. C., Maclean, B., Burke, R., Amodei, D., Ruderman, D. L., Neumann, S., Gatto, L., Fischer, B., Pratt, B., Egertson, J., Hoff, K., Kessner, D., Tasman, N., Shulman, N., Frewen, B., Baker, T. A., Brusniak, M.-Y., Paulse, C., Creasy, D., ... Mallick, P. (2012). A cross-platform toolkit for mass spectrometry and proteomics. *Nature Biotechnology*, 30(10), 918–920. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2377>
- Comstock, J., Nelson, C. E., James, A., Wear, E., Baetge, N., Remple, K., Juknavorian, A., & Carlson, C. A. (2022). Bacterioplankton communities reveal horizontal and vertical influence of an Island Mass Effect. *Environmental Microbiology*, 1462-2920.16092. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.16092>
- Cooley, S., Schoeman, D., Bopp, L., Boyd, P., Donner, S., Ito, S.-I., Kiessling, W., Martinetto, P., Ojea, E., Racault, M.-F., Rost, B., Skern-Mauritzen, M., & Yemane Ghebrehwet, D. (2022). *Ocean and Coastal Ecosystems and their Services*. (Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II

to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change).

Crossland, C. J. (1987). In situ release of mucus and DOC-lipid from the corals *Acropora variabilis* and *Stylophora pistillata* in different light regimes. *Coral Reefs*, 6(1), 35–42. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00302210>

Edmunds, P of Moorea Coral Reef LTER. 2022. MCR LTER: Coral Reef: Long-term Population and Community Dynamics: Corals, ongoing since 2005. knb-lter-mcr.4.39 doi:doi:10.6073/pasta/913b1532594fcaf02de7671ed940b4c5

Ferrier-Pages, C., Gattuso, J., CAUWET, G., Jaubert, J., & Allemand, D. (1998). Release of dissolved organic carbon and nitrogen by the zooxanthellate coral *Galaxea fascicularis*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 172, 265–274. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps172265>

Ferrier-Pagès, C., Leclercq, N., Jaubert, J., & Pelegrí, S. (2000). Enhancement of pico- and nanoplankton growth by coral exudates. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology*, 21, 203–209. <https://doi.org/10.3354/ame021203>

Fox, M. D., Nelson, C. E., Oliver, T. A., Quinlan, Z. A., Remple, K., Glanz, J., Smith, J. E., & Putnam, H. M. (2021). Differential resistance and acclimation of two coral species to chronic nutrient enrichment reflect life-history traits. *Functional Ecology*, 35(5), 1081–1093. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13780>

Francis, B., Urich, T., Mikolasch, A., Teeling, H., & Amann, R. (2021). North Sea spring bloom-associated Gammaproteobacteria fill diverse heterotrophic niches. *Environmental Microbiome*, 16(1), 15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40793-021-00385-y>

Frøslev, T. G., Kjøller, R., Bruun, H. H., Ejrnæs, R., Brunbjerg, A. K., Pietroni, C., & Hansen, A. J. (2017). Algorithm for post-clustering curation of DNA amplicon data yields reliable biodiversity estimates. *Nature Communications*, 8(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01312-x>

Fu, H., Uchimiya, M., Gore, J., & Moran, M. A. (2020). Ecological drivers of bacterial

- community assembly in synthetic phycospheres. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117(7), 3656–3662. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1917265117>
- Gao, C., Garren, M., Penn, K., Fernandez, V. I., Seymour, J. R., Thompson, J. R., Raina, J.-B., & Stocker, R. (2021). Coral mucus rapidly induces chemokinesis and genome-wide transcriptional shifts toward early pathogenesis in a bacterial coral pathogen. *The ISME Journal*, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-021-01024-7>
- Garren, M., Son, K., Raina, J.-B., Rusconi, R., Menolascina, F., Shapiro, O. H., Tout, J., Bourne, D. G., Seymour, J. R., & Stocker, R. (2014). A bacterial pathogen uses dimethylsulfoniopropionate as a cue to target heat-stressed corals. *The ISME Journal*, 8(5), 999–1007. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2013.210>
- Gignoux-Wolfsohn, S. A., Aronson, F. M., & Vollmer, S. V. (2017). Complex interactions between potentially pathogenic, opportunistic, and resident bacteria emerge during infection on a reef-building coral. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 93(7), fix080. <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fix080>
- Grottoli, A. G., & Rodrigues, L. J. (2011). Bleached *Porites compressa* and *Montipora capitata* corals catabolize $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ -enriched lipids. *Coral Reefs*, 30(3), 687. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-011-0756-0>
- Grottoli, A. G., Rodrigues, L. J., & Juarez, C. (2004). Lipids and stable carbon isotopes in two species of Hawaiian corals, *Porites compressa* and *Montipora verrucosa*, following a bleaching event. *Marine Biology*, 145(3), 621–631. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-004-1337-3>
- Grottoli, A. G., Rodrigues, L. J., & Palardy, J. E. (2006). Heterotrophic plasticity and resilience in bleached corals. *Nature*, 440(7088), Article 7088. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04565>
- Guillemette, R., Kaneko, R., Blanton, J., Tan, J., Witt, M., Hamilton, S., Allen, E. E., Medina, M., Hamasaki, K., Koch, B. P., & Azam, F. (2018). Bacterioplankton drawdown

of coral mass-spawned organic matter. *The ISME Journal*, 1.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-018-0197-7>

Haas, A. F., Fairouz, M. F. M., Kelly, L. W., Nelson, C. E., Dinsdale, E. A., Edwards, R. A., Giles, S., Hatay, M., Hisakawa, N., Knowles, B., Lim, Y. W., Maughan, H., Pantos, O., Roach, T. N. F., Sanchez, S. E., Silveira, C. B., Sandin, S., Smith, J. E., & Rohwer, F. (2016). Global microbialization of coral reefs. *Nature Microbiology*, 1(6), Article 6.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.42>

Haas, A. F., Nelson, C. E., Rohwer, F., Wegley-Kelly, L., Quistad, S. D., Carlson, C. A., Leichter, J. J., Hatay, M., & Smith, J. E. (2013). Influence of coral and algal exudates on microbially mediated reef metabolism. *PeerJ*, 1, e108.

<https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.108>

Haas, A. F., Nelson, C. E., Wegley Kelly, L., Carlson, C. A., Rohwer, F., Leichter, J. J., Wyatt, A., & Smith, J. E. (2011). Effects of Coral Reef Benthic Primary Producers on Dissolved Organic Carbon and Microbial Activity. *PLoS ONE*, 6(11), e27973.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0027973>

Hansell, D. A., & Carlson, C. A. (2014). *Biogeochemistry of Marine Dissolved Organic Matter*. Academic Press.

Heron, S. F., Maynard, J. A., van Hooidonk, R., & Eakin, C. M. (2016). Warming Trends and Bleaching Stress of the World's Coral Reefs 1985–2012. *Scientific Reports*, 6(1),

38402. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep38402>

Hillyer, K. E., Dias, D. A., Lutz, A., Wilkinson, S. P., Roessner, U., & Davy, S. K. (2017).

Metabolite profiling of symbiont and host during thermal stress and bleaching in the coral *Acropora aspera*. *Coral Reefs*, 36(1), 105–118. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-016-1508-y)

[016-1508-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-016-1508-y)

Hoegh-Guldberg, O. (1999). Climate change, coral bleaching and the future of the world's coral reefs. *Marine and Freshwater Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1071/MF99078>

Imbs, A. B., & Yakovleva, I. M. (2012). Dynamics of lipid and fatty acid composition of shallow-water corals under thermal stress: An experimental approach. *Coral Reefs*, 31(1), 41–53. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-011-0817-4>

Innis, T., Allen-Waller, L., Brown, K. T., Sparagon, W., Carlson, C., Kruse, E., Huffmyer, A. S., Nelson, C. E., Putnam, H. M., & Barott, K. L. (2021). Marine heatwaves depress metabolic activity and impair cellular acid–base homeostasis in reef-building corals regardless of bleaching susceptibility. *Global Change Biology*, 27(12), 2728–2743. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15622>

James, A. K., Kelly, L. W., Nelson, C. E., Wilbanks, E. G., & Carlson, C. A. (2019). Elevated pCO₂ alters marine heterotrophic bacterial community composition and metabolic potential in response to a pulse of phytoplankton organic matter. *Environmental Microbiology*, 21(2), 541–556. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.14484>

Jani, A. J., Bushell, J., Arisdakessian, C. G., Belcaid, M., Boiano, D. M., Brown, C., & Knapp, R. A. (2021). The amphibian microbiome exhibits poor resilience following pathogen-induced disturbance. *The ISME Journal*, 15(6), 1628–1640. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-020-00875-w>

Jorissen, H., Skinner, C., Osinga, R., Beer, D. de, & Nugues, M. M. (2016). Evidence for water-mediated mechanisms in coral–algal interactions. *Proc. R. Soc. B*, 283(1836), 20161137. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2016.1137>

Kindt, R., & Coe, R. (2005). *Kindt R, Coe R.. Tree Diversity Analysis. A Manual and Software for Common Statistical Methods and Biodiversity Studies. World Agroforestry Centre. (ICRAF): Nairobi.*

Kurtz, Z. D., Müller, C. L., Miraldi, E. R., Littman, D. R., Blaser, M. J., & Bonneau, R. A. (2015). Sparse and Compositionally Robust Inference of Microbial Ecological Networks. *PLOS Computational Biology*, 11(5), e1004226. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004226>

- Leinbach, S. E., Speare, K. E., Rossin, A. M., Holstein, D. M., & Strader, M. E. (2021). Energetic and reproductive costs of coral recovery in divergent bleaching responses. *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-02807-w>
- Levitus, S., Antonov, J. I., Boyer, T. P., Baranova, O. K., Garcia, H. E., Locarnini, R. A., Mishonov, A. V., Reagan, J. R., Seidov, D., Yarosh, E. S., & Zweng, M. M. (2012). World ocean heat content and thermosteric sea level change (0-2000 m), 1955-2010. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 39(10). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL051106>
- Lewis, J. B. (1977). PROCESSES OF ORGANIC PRODUCTION ON CORAL REEFS. *Biological Reviews*, 52(3), 305–347. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.1977.tb00836.x>
- Liang, J., Luo, W., Yu, K., Xu, Y., Chen, J., Deng, C., Ge, R., Su, H., Huang, W., & Wang, G. (2022). Multi-Omics Revealing the Response Patterns of Symbiotic Microorganisms and Host Metabolism in Scleractinian Coral *Pavona minuta* to Temperature Stresses. *Metabolites*, 12(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.3390/metabo12010018>
- Littman, R., Willis, B. L., & Bourne, D. G. (2011). Metagenomic analysis of the coral holobiont during a natural bleaching event on the Great Barrier Reef. *Environmental Microbiology Reports*, 3(6), 651–660. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1758-2229.2010.00234.x>
- Martinez P., A. (2020). *PairwiseAdonis: Pairwise multilevel comparison using adonis*. R package version 0.4.
- McCarren, J., Becker, J. W., Repeta, D. J., Shi, Y., Young, C. R., Malmstrom, R. R., Chisholm, S. W., & DeLong, E. F. (2010). Microbial community transcriptomes reveal microbes and metabolic pathways associated with dissolved organic matter turnover in the sea. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107(38), 16420–16427. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1010732107>
- McDevitt-Irwin, J. M., Baum, J. K., Garren, M., & Vega Thurber, R. L. (2017). Responses of

- Coral-Associated Bacterial Communities to Local and Global Stressors. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 4, 262. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2017.00262>
- McDole, T., Nulton, J., Barott, K. L., Felts, B., Hand, C., Hatay, M., Lee, H., Nadon, M. O., Nosrat, B., Salamon, P., Bailey, B., Sandin, S. A., Vargas-Angel, B., Youle, M., Zgliczynski, B. J., Brainard, R. E., & Rohwer, F. (2012). Assessing Coral Reefs on a Pacific-Wide Scale Using the Microbialization Score. *PLOS ONE*, 7(9), e43233. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0043233>
- McLachlan, R. H., Price, J. T., Muñoz-Garcia, A., Weisleder, N. L., Levas, S. J., Jury, C. P., Toonen, R. J., & Grottoli, A. G. (2022). Physiological acclimatization in Hawaiian corals following a 22-month shift in baseline seawater temperature and pH. *Scientific Reports*, 12(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-06896-z>
- Moberg, F., & Folke, C. (1999). Ecological goods and services of coral reef ecosystems. *Ecological Economics*, 29(2), 215–233. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8009\(99\)00009-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8009(99)00009-9)
- Nakajima, R., Haas, A. F., Silveira, C. B., Kelly, E. L. A., Smith, J. E., Sandin, S., Kelly, L. W., Rohwer, F., Nakatomi, N., & Kurihara, H. (2018). Release of dissolved and particulate organic matter by the soft coral Lobophytum and subsequent microbial degradation. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 504, 53–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2018.02.008>
- Nelson, C. E., & Carlson, C. A. (2012). Tracking differential incorporation of dissolved organic carbon types among diverse lineages of Sargasso Sea bacterioplankton. *Environmental Microbiology*, 14(6). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2012.02738.x>
- Nelson, C. E., Goldberg, S. J., Wegley Kelly, L., Haas, A. F., Smith, J. E., Rohwer, F., & Carlson, C. A. (2013). Coral and macroalgal exudates vary in neutral sugar composition and differentially enrich reef bacterioplankton lineages. *The ISME Journal*, 7(5), 962–979. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.161>

- Niggl, W., Glas, M., Laforsch, C., Mayr, C., & Wild, C. (2009). First evidence of coral bleaching stimulating organic matter release by reef corals. *Proceedings of the 11th International Coral Reef Symposium*, 8.
- Oakley, C. A., Schmidt, G. W., & Hopkinson, B. M. (2014). Thermal responses of Symbiodinium photosynthetic carbon assimilation. *Coral Reefs*, 33(2), 501–512. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-014-1130-9>
- Ochsenkühn, M. A., Schmitt-Kopplin, P., Harir, M., & Amin, S. A. (2018). Coral metabolite gradients affect microbial community structures and act as a disease cue. *Communications Biology*, 1(1), 184. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-018-0189-1>
- Odum, H. T., & Odum, E. P. (1955). Trophic Structure and Productivity of a Windward Coral Reef Community on Eniwetok Atoll. *Ecological Monographs*, 25(3), 291–320. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1943285>
- Oksanen, J. (2013). *Vegan: An introduction to ordination*.
- Oppen, M. J. H. van, & Blackall, L. L. (2019). Coral microbiome dynamics, functions and design in a changing world. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 17(9), 557–567. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-019-0223-4>
- Parada, A. E., Needham, D. M., & Fuhrman, J. A. (2016). Every base matters: Assessing small subunit rRNA primers for marine microbiomes with mock communities, time series and global field samples. *Environmental Microbiology*, 18(5), 1403–1414. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13023>
- Petersen, L.-E., Moeller, M., Versluis, D., Nietzer, S., Kellermann, M. Y., & Schupp, P. J. (2021). Mono- and multispecies biofilms from a crustose coralline alga induce settlement in the scleractinian coral *Leptastrea purpurea*. *Coral Reefs*, 40(2), 381–394. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-021-02062-5>
- Petras, D., Koester, I., Da Silva, R., Stephens, B. M., Haas, A. F., Nelson, C. E., Kelly, L. W., Aluwihare, L. I., & Dorrestein, P. C. (2017). High-Resolution Liquid

- Chromatography Tandem Mass Spectrometry Enables Large Scale Molecular Characterization of Dissolved Organic Matter. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 4. <https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fmars.2017.00405>
- Pluskal, T., Castillo, S., Villar-Briones, A., & Oresic, M. (2010). MZmine 2: Modular framework for processing, visualizing, and analyzing mass spectrometry-based molecular profile data. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 11, 395. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-11-395>
- Pontiller, B., Martínez-García, S., Joglar, V., Amnebrink, D., Pérez-Martínez, C., González, J. M., Lundin, D., Fernández, E., Teira, E., & Pinhassi, J. (2022). Rapid bacterioplankton transcription cascades regulate organic matter utilization during phytoplankton bloom progression in a coastal upwelling system. *The ISME Journal*, 16(10), Article 10. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-022-01273-0>
- Pratchett, M. S., McCowan, D., Maynard, J. A., & Heron, S. F. (2013). Changes in Bleaching Susceptibility among Corals Subject to Ocean Warming and Recurrent Bleaching in Moorea, French Polynesia. *PLOS ONE*, 8(7), e70443. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0070443>
- Quast, C., Pruesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer, T., Yarza, P., Peplies, J., & Glöckner, F. O. (2012). The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: Improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 41(D1), D590–D596. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1219>
- Quinlan, Z. A., Remple, K., Fox, M. D., Silbiger, N. J., Oliver, T. A., Putnam, H. M., Wegley Kelly, L., Carlson, C. A., Donahue, M. J., & Nelson, C. E. (2018). Fluorescent organic exudates of corals and algae in tropical reefs are compositionally distinct and increase with nutrient enrichment. *Limnology and Oceanography Letters*, 3(4), 331–340. <https://doi.org/10.1002/lol2.10074>
- R Core Team. (2013). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. [R].

<http://www.R-project.org/>.

Roach, T. N. F., Abieri, M. L., George, E. E., Knowles, B., Naliboff, D. S., Smurthwaite, C. A., Kelly, L. W., Haas, A. F., & Rohwer, F. L. (2017). Microbial bioenergetics of coral-algal interactions. *PeerJ*, 5, e3423. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.3423>

Roach, T. N. F., Dilworth, J., H, C. M., Jones, A. D., Quinn, R. A., & Drury, C. (2021). Metabolomic signatures of coral bleaching history. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-020-01388-7>

Rodrigues, L. J., & Grottoli, A. G. (2007). Energy reserves and metabolism as indicators of coral recovery from bleaching. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 52(5), 1874–1882. <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2007.52.5.1874>

Romera-Castillo, C., Sarmiento, H., Álvarez-Salgado, X. A., Gasol, J. M., & Marrasé, C. (2011). Net Production and Consumption of Fluorescent Colored Dissolved Organic Matter by Natural Bacterial Assemblages Growing on Marine Phytoplankton Exudates. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 77(21), 7490–7498. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00200-11>

Ros, M., Camp, E. F., Hughes, D. J., Crosswell, J. R., Warner, M. E., Leggat, W. P., & Suggett, D. J. (2020). Unlocking the black-box of inorganic carbon-uptake and utilization strategies among coral endosymbionts (Symbiodiniaceae). *Limnology and Oceanography*, 65(8), 1747–1763. <https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11416>

Savary, R., Barshis, D. J., Voolstra, C. R., Cárdenas, A., Evensen, N. R., Banc-Prandi, G., Fine, M., & Meibom, A. (2021). Fast and pervasive transcriptomic resilience and acclimation of extremely heat-tolerant coral holobionts from the northern Red Sea. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118(19), e2023298118. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2023298118>

Schloss, P. D., Westcott, S. L., Ryabin, T., Hall, J. R., Hartmann, M., Hollister, E. B., Lesniewski, R. A., Oakley, B. B., Parks, D. H., Robinson, C. J., Sahl, J. W., Stres, B.,

- Thallinger, G. G., Van Horn, D. J., & Weber, C. F. (2009). Introducing mothur: Open-Source, Platform-Independent, Community-Supported Software for Describing and Comparing Microbial Communities. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 75(23), 7537–7541. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01541-09>
- Schoepf, V., Sanderson, H., & Larcombe, E. (2021). Coral heat tolerance under variable temperatures: Effects of different variability regimes and past environmental history vs. current exposure. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 67(2), 404–418. <https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.12000>
- Shannon, P., Markiel, A., Ozier, O., Baliga, N. S., Wang, J. T., Ramage, D., Amin, N., Schwikowski, B., & Ideker, T. (2003). Cytoscape: A Software Environment for Integrated Models of Biomolecular Interaction Networks. *Genome Research*, 13(11), 2498–2504. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.1239303>
- Shi, Y., McCarren, J., & DeLong, E. F. (2012). Transcriptional responses of surface water marine microbial assemblages to deep-sea water amendment: Microbial transcriptome responses to deep-water addition. *Environmental Microbiology*, 14(1), 191–206. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2011.02598.x>
- Silveira, C. B., Cavalcanti, G. S., Walter, J. M., Silva-Lima, A. W., Dinsdale, E. A., Bourne, D. G., Thompson, C. C., & Thompson, F. L. (2017). Microbial processes driving coral reef organic carbon flow. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, 41(4), 575–595. <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fux018>
- Smith, J. E., Price, N. N., Nelson, C. E., & Haas, A. F. (2013). Coupled changes in oxygen concentration and pH caused by metabolism of benthic coral reef organisms. *Marine Biology*, 160(9), 2437–2447. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-013-2239-z>
- Smith, J. E., Shaw, M., Edwards, R. A., Obura, D., Pantos, O., Sala, E., Sandin, S. A., Smriga, S., Hatay, M., & Rohwer, F. L. (2006). Indirect effects of algae on coral: Algae-mediated, microbe-induced coral mortality. *Ecology Letters*, 9(7), 835–845.

1020 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2006.00937.x>

1021 Speare, K. E., Adam, T. C., Winslow, E. M., Lenihan, H. S., & Burkepile, D. E. (2021). Size-

1022 dependent mortality of corals during marine heatwave erodes recovery capacity of a

1023 coral reef. *Global Change Biology*, 28(4), 1342–1358.

1024 <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16000>

1025 Streamer, M., McNeil, Y. R., & Yellowlees, D. (1993). Photosynthetic carbon dioxide fixation

1026 in zooxanthellae. *Marine Biology*, 115(2), 195–198.

1027 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00346335>

1028 Sun, H., Zheng, H., Jiang, Y., Liang, J., Liao, B., Wang, R., Li, A., & Xiao, B. (2022).

1029 Elevated temperature alters bacterial community composition and metabolism in

1030 seawaters of coral reef ecosystem: An evidence of laboratory experiment with

1031 *Acropora digitifera* bleaching. *Ecological Indicators*, 139, 108886.

1032 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.108886>

1033 Tada, Y., Taniguchi, A., Nagao, I., Miki, T., Uematsu, M., Tsuda, A., & Hamasaki, K. (2011).

1034 Differing Growth Responses of Major Phylogenetic Groups of Marine Bacteria to

1035 Natural Phytoplankton Blooms in the Western North Pacific Ocean. *Applied and*

1036 *Environmental Microbiology*, 77(12), 4055–4065. [https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02952-](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02952-10)

1037 [10](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02952-10)

1038 Thurber, R. V., Willner-Hall, D., Rodriguez-Mueller, B., Desnues, C., Edwards, R. A., Angly,

1039 F., Dinsdale, E., Kelly, L., & Rohwer, F. (2009). Metagenomic analysis of stressed

1040 coral holobionts. *Environmental Microbiology*, 11(8), 2148–2163.

1041 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2009.01935.x>

1042 Tout, J., Jeffries, T. C., Petrou, K., Tyson, G. W., Webster, N. S., Garren, M., Stocker, R.,

1043 Ralph, P. J., & Seymour, J. R. (2015). Chemotaxis by natural populations of coral reef

1044 bacteria. *The ISME Journal*, 9(8), 1764–1777. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2014.261>

1045 Tout, J., Jeffries, T. C., Webster, N. S., Stocker, R., Ralph, P. J., & Seymour, J. R. (2014).

1046 Variability in Microbial Community Composition and Function Between Different Niches
 1047 Within a Coral Reef. *Microbial Ecology*, 67(3), 540–552.
 1048 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-013-0362-5>

1049 Tremblay, P., Naumann, M., Sikorski, S., Grover, R., & Ferrier-Pagès, C. (2012).
 1050 Experimental assessment of organic carbon fluxes in the scleractinian coral *Stylophora*
 1051 *pistillata* during a thermal and photo stress event. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*,
 1052 453, 63–77. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps09640>

1053 van Duyl, F., & Gast, G. (2001). Linkage of small-scale spatial variations in DOC, inorganic
 1054 nutrients and bacterioplankton growth with different coral reef water types. *Aquatic*
 1055 *Microbial Ecology*, 24, 17–26. <https://doi.org/10.3354/ame024017>

1056 van Hooidek, R., Maynard, J., Tamelander, J., Gove, J., Ahmadi, G., Raymundo, L.,
 1057 Williams, G., Heron, S. F., & Planes, S. (2016). Local-scale projections of coral reef
 1058 futures and implications of the Paris Agreement. *Scientific Reports*, 6(1), 39666.
 1059 <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep39666>

1060 Vieira, C., Engelen, A. H., Guentas, L., Aires, T., Houlbreque, F., Gaubert, J., Serrão, E. A.,
 1061 De Clerck, O., & Payri, C. E. (2016). Species Specificity of Bacteria Associated to the
 1062 Brown Seaweeds *Lobophora* (Dictyotales, Phaeophyceae) and Their Potential for
 1063 Induction of Rapid Coral Bleaching in *Acropora muricata*. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 7.
 1064 <https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00316>

1065 Walsh, K., Haggerty, J. M., Doane, M. P., Hansen, J. J., Morris, M. M., Moreira, A. P. B., de
 1066 Oliveira, L., Leomil, L., Garcia, G. D., Thompson, F., & Dinsdale, E. A. (2017). Aura-
 1067 biomes are present in the water layer above coral reef benthic macro-organisms.
 1068 *PeerJ*, 5, e3666. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.3666>

1069 Weber, L., Gonzalez-Díaz, P., Armenteros, M., & Apprill, A. (2019). The coral ecosphere: A
 1070 unique coral reef habitat that fosters coral–microbial interactions. *Limnology and*
 1071 *Oceanography*, 0(0). <https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11190>

1072 Wegley Kelly, L., Nelson, C. E., Petras, D., Koester, I., Quinlan, Z. A., Arts, M. G. I.,
 1073 Nothias, L.-F., Comstock, J., White, B. M., Hopmans, E. C., van Duyl, F. C., Carlson,
 1074 C. A., Aluwihare, L. I., Dorrestein, P. C., & Haas, A. F. (2022). Distinguishing the
 1075 molecular diversity, nutrient content, and energetic potential of exometabolomes
 1076 produced by macroalgae and reef-building corals. *Proceedings of the National*
 1077 *Academy of Sciences*, 119(5), e2110283119. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2110283119>
 1078 Whitehead, L. F., & Douglas, A. E. (2003). Metabolite comparisons and the identity of
 1079 nutrients translocated from symbiotic algae to an animal host. *Journal of Experimental*
 1080 *Biology*, 206(18), 3149–3157. <https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.00539>
 1081 Wickham, H., Averick, M., Bryan, J., Chang, W., McGowan, L., François, R., Grolemund,
 1082 G., Hayes, A., Henry, L., Hester, J., Kuhn, M., Pedersen, T., Miller, E., Bache, S.,
 1083 Müller, K., Ooms, J., Robinson, D., Seidel, D., Spinu, V., ... Yutani, H. (2019).
 1084 Welcome to the Tidyverse. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 4(43), 1686.
 1085 <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686>
 1086

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: Field collections and experimental design. Unbleached and bleached corals were collected from a reef in Mo'orea, French Polynesia immediately following a bleaching event. Picture on top: the LTER1 fore reef in Mo'orea, French Polynesia representative of the status of the reef where both bleached and unbleached corals were present. **A.I-A.V)** Overview of the experimental design. In addition to the four treatments two negative controls of ambient and heated water were run in parallel but are not shown in the overview. **A.I)** Coral nubbin collection of non-bleached and bleached corals. **A.II)** 6 day pretreatment in flow through aquaria at ambient or heated water temperatures. **A.III)** DOM exudation (*one replicate aquaria was lost before exudation), **A.IV)** 36 hour dark bottle incubation, **A.V)** and sampling of DNA (16S), DOC, and DOM. **B)** Mean seawater temperatures over the period from January 1st 2018 until December 31st 2019 from three fore reef LTER sites. Standard deviation depicted in blue. The orange line indicates the thermal stress accumulation threshold level of 29°C (Leinbach et al., 2021; Pratchett et al., 2013; Speare et al., 2021). Bleaching was first observed in April 2019 (Leinbach et al., 2021), indicated by the start of the red line, which continued until the temperature levels dropped under the thermal stress accumulation threshold. The experiment, indicated by the purple block, was started immediately after temperatures dipped below the thermal stress accumulation threshold. **C)** Box and whisker plot of a subset of collected nubbins from the three coral species (*Acropora pulchra*, *Pocillopora verrucosa*, *Porites rus*) that were sacrificed after the three day acclimatization period for symbiont cell concentration analysis to validate the observed bleaching status at collection **D)** Box and whisker plot of the total symbiont cell concentrations in each aquaria after seven days.

Figure 2: DOC exuded and microbial growth on exudates. **A)** Bar plots of the mean surface

area normalized DOC concentrations for the four coral treatments. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean. **B)** Bacterial growth curves for the six treatments in the 36 hour bottle incubation, error bars indicate standard error of the mean. Significant differences between treatments (Tukey post-hoc test, $p < 0.05$) are denoted by the square brackets after each treatment name in the legend.

Figure 3: Changes in microbial communities in response to exudates. **A)** Non-metric multidimensional scaling of microbial community samples using Unifrac distances derived from 16S amplicon data. A dashed ellipse denotes the 3 coral stress treatments while a solid ellipse denotes the coral Control treatment. **B)** Two-way heatmap of the most abundant bacterial families in each treatment. Abundant families were defined as: relative abundance $\geq .005$ in samples ≥ 3 or a relative abundance $\geq .1$ in samples ≥ 1 . Each cell represents the z-scored mean relative abundance of a given family in a treatment. Cells are colored according to z-score, with warmer colors indicating enrichment and cooler colors indicating depletion. Clustering was performed using Euclidian distances. **C)** Visualization of the 31 OTUs determined to be significantly differentially abundant (DA) in at least one of the three stress treatments compared to Control samples by DESeq2. Dotplot of the log₂ fold-change values for the 31 significantly DA OTUs in the three coral stress treatments. Each dot represents a given OTU in a given treatment. Dot height on the y-axis and color correspond to log₂ fold-change values. Error bars depict the standard error of each log₂ fold-change value calculated by DESeq2. Dot size corresponds to mean raw abundance. Each OTU is labeled according to its class, family, and Genus_OTUNumber on the x-axis. Asterisks denote a significantly DA OTU in a treatment.

Figure 4: Compositional differentiation of coral exudates (as measured by untargeted tandem mass spectrometry) and correspondence with composition of microbial

communities grown on exudates. **A)** Non-metric multidimensional scaling plot of t0 metabolomic samples using bray curtis dissimilarity. A dashed ellipse denotes the 3 coral stress treatments while a solid ellipse denotes the coral Control treatment. **B)** Procrustes visualization of multivariate metabolomic and microbial samples. Arrows point from microbial samples to corresponding metabolomic samples.

Figure 5: Conceptual representation of biogeochemical changes during a coral bleaching event. Bleaching progresses from left to right, with SST values increasing until their peak and then return to ambient values. Corals experience a change in physiological state and symbiont densities through the thermal anomaly, going from “Healthy” to “Thermal Stress Onset” to “Peak Bleaching” and lastly, “Recovering”. The associated treatment names from our experiment are written below the corals. Symbiont densities for each treatment are plotted with temperature, with densities decreasing through “Peak Bleaching” and then increasing slightly in “Recovering.” Densities were derived from data presented in Figure 1D. DOM flux is highest at “Thermal Stress Onset”, indicated by the size of the arrows pointing from corals to “DOM”. In all 3 of the stressed treatments, bacterioplankton communities shift towards a “microbialized” state marked by increased cell counts (indicated by the size of the arrow pointing towards the pie chart) and a greater relative abundance of copiotrophs and pathogens, namely in the Alteromonadaceae, Pseudoalteromonadaceae, and Flavobacteriaceae families. We propose that these microbialized communities derived from stressed coral DOM exudates further harm the corals via hypoxia from increased bacterial loads and disease from the uptick in bacterial pathogens. The state of microbialization is most pronounced at the onset of thermal stress, may push corals towards more severe bleaching and ultimately, mortality.

1164 **TABLES**

OTU	Heated adjusted pvalue	Bleached adjusted pvalue	Bleached + Heated adjusted pvalue	Heated I2fc	Bleached I2fc	Bleached + Heated I2fc	Phylum	Class	Order	Family	Genus
Otu01224	1.57E-06	6.00E-08	2.71E-05	9.847232822	10.79266169	8.36232019	Proteobacteria	Gammaproteobacteria	Alteromonadales	Pseudoalteromonadaceae	Pseudoalteromonas
Otu01296	0.000856922	0.000561011	0.005141682	10.04404043	10.45934919	8.232520837	Proteobacteria	Gammaproteobacteria	Alteromonadales	Pseudoalteromonadaceae	Pseudoalteromonas
Otu00322	6.42E-08	2.29E-09	2.21E-09	22.86585664	24.67060057	22.36474822	Bacteroidetes	Bacteroidia	Chitinophagales	Saprospiraceae	uncultured
Otu00734	8.50E-23	3.11E-22	2.80E-20	25.79903195	25.54192061	22.46096177	Proteobacteria	Gammaproteobacteria	Alteromonadales	Alteromonadaceae	Alteromonadaceae_unclassified
Otu00195	0.013386864	0.00193969	0.163719978	1.369049784	1.622337073	0.845563362	Proteobacteria	Gammaproteobacteria	Alteromonadales	Alteromonadaceae	Alteromonas
Otu00002	0.004336786	0.000715469	0.120200566	1.292770944	1.468968919	0.775714091	Proteobacteria	Gammaproteobacteria	Alteromonadales	Alteromonadaceae	Alteromonas
Otu00008	0.012939139	0.035693556	0.153825239	-1.15038439	-0.99083639	-0.723915892	Proteobacteria	Gammaproteobacteria	Cellvibrionales	Halieaceae	OM60
Otu00179	3.03E-06	0.717552218	3.63E-11	-8.977840531	1.002252708	-10.22923634	Proteobacteria	Gammaproteobacteria	Alteromonadales	Alteromonadaceae	Alteromonadaceae_unclassified
Otu00277	0.005073071	0.110479945	0.010219414	1.820452043	1.183562608	1.550819102	Bacteroidetes	Bacteroidia	Flavobacteriales	Flavobacteriaceae	uncultured
Otu00048	0.00042511	0.386129529	0.000257098	1.560964377	0.591538296	1.434128075	Proteobacteria	Gammaproteobacteria	Alteromonadales	Alteromonadaceae	Aestuariibacter
Otu00853	0.006798514	1	0.000765194	9.519537909	0	10.36647892	Proteobacteria	Gammaproteobacteria	Alteromonadales	Pseudoalteromonadaceae	Pseudoalteromonas
Otu00058	0.048883543	0.931163267	0.302512595	-2.52361206	-0.482066258	-1.518716588	Bacteroidetes	Bacteroidia	Flavobacteriales	NS9_marine_group	NS9_marine_group_unclassified
Otu00823	NA	0.031179732	0.023655739	8.24000674	9.123083651	8.911697244	Proteobacteria	Gammaproteobacteria	Alteromonadales	Pseudoalteromonadaceae	Pseudoalteromonas
Otu01012	NA	0.015381298	0.997343993	-0.587243215	-6.975738423	0.518289667	Proteobacteria	Gammaproteobacteria	Alteromonadales	Alteromonadaceae	Alteromonadaceae_unclassified
Otu01023	NA	0.015381298	0.997343993	-0.687454186	-6.963518469	0.238381381	Proteobacteria	Gammaproteobacteria	Alteromonadales	Alteromonadaceae	Alteromonadaceae_unclassified
Otu00419	NA	0.035693556	0.997343993	-1.158221028	-7.988865898	-0.928714273	Proteobacteria	Gammaproteobacteria	Oceanospirillales	Litoricolaceae	Litoricola
Otu00327	NA	0.075398398	0.038471134	7.309356596	8.193753569	8.464131894	Euryarchaeota	Thermoplasmatia	Marine_Group_II	Marine_Group_II_fa	Marine_Group_II_unclassified
Otu00476	NA	0.931163267	0.036816121	-0.575524572	0.963578486	-8.258405214	Proteobacteria	Alphaproteobacteria	Rhodobacterales	Rhodobacteraceae	Rhodobacteraceae_unclassified
Otu00649	0.065233476	0.034948803	0.011581861	1.985756711	2.101911452	2.205220625	Proteobacteria	Gammaproteobacteria	Alteromonadales	Colwelliaceae	Thalassotalea
Otu00101	0.099973871	0.002285941	0.234525577	2.185078263	3.294200193	1.633946032	Bacteroidetes	Bacteroidia	Chitinophagales	Saprospiraceae	uncultured
Otu00181	0.69627657	0.035693556	0.825289484	0.777679188	2.221388853	0.908460509	Proteobacteria	Alphaproteobacteria	Rhodobacterales	Rhodobacteraceae	Rhodobacteraceae_unclassified
Otu00027	0.502997039	0.001748299	0.245692049	-0.714321143	-1.943918868	-0.898704378	Proteobacteria	Gammaproteobacteria	Oceanospirillales	Litoricolaceae	Litoricola
Otu00410	0.520079901	0.000561011	0.997343993	-1.991835073	-8.511628793	-0.632952773	Bacteroidetes	Bacteroidia	Flavobacteriales	Flavobacteriaceae	Kordia
Otu00480	0.495008674	0.002075657	0.997343993	2.222815497	5.766700401	1.107160047	Bacteroidetes	Bacteroidia	Flavobacteriales	Cryomorphaceae	Phaeocystidibacter
Otu00075	0.116575213	0.005535059	0.068099299	2.552530067	3.699294535	2.545924273	Proteobacteria	Gammaproteobacteria	Alteromonadales	Pseudoalteromonadaceae	Pseudoalteromonas
Otu00085	0.168430135	0.004035638	0.163719978	1.965130057	3.203074746	1.797279721	Proteobacteria	Gammaproteobacteria	Alteromonadales	Pseudoalteromonadaceae	Pseudoalteromonas
Otu00802	0.825080317	5.56E-10	0.997343993	1.328142611	-19.63555197	1.188804472	Proteobacteria	Deltaproteobacteria	Bdellovibrionales	Bdellovibrionaceae	OM27_clade
Otu00009	0.394038388	0.007270622	0.997343993	-1.293968348	-2.496030483	0.162912968	Proteobacteria	Gammaproteobacteria	Betaproteobacteriales	Methylophilaceae	OM43_clade
Otu00368	0.529884931	0.231526274	0.029464859	0.927913394	-1.38182967	1.855013862	Proteobacteria	Gammaproteobacteria	Alteromonadales	Alteromonadaceae	Alteromonadaceae_unclassified
Otu00457	0.805201058	0.941906395	0.000151366	-1.195502441	0.494594853	-8.927337169	Proteobacteria	Alphaproteobacteria	Rhodobacterales	Rhodobacteraceae	Rhodobacteraceae_unclassified
Otu00686	0.964756238	0.663330615	0.02552918	0.234359488	1.952445776	-4.511709297	Proteobacteria	Gammaproteobacteria	Alteromonadales	Alteromonadaceae	Alteromonas

Table 1: DESeq2 results for the 31 OTUs that were significantly differentially abundant in at least one coral stress treatment relative to coral Controls.