TinyToCS Volume 1 Chairs' Note

Peter Bailis and Justine Sherry
University of California, Berkeley

ABSTRACT

While each author and organizer approached TinyToCS with different expectations—as a novel distribution platform, an experiment with a new scholarly medium, or a conceptual statement—we can safely declare our inaugural iteration a success. This volume, comprised of 50 engaging and accessible peer-reviewed papers (of 64 submissions, 28 accepted, 22 conditionally accepted and shepherded), represents substantive scholarly work, albeit in a much more concise format than is standard. We hope that you enjoy the included papers, which range from advances in theory to databases, privacy, robotics, and more.

   We hope that TinyToCS Volume 1 contributes to a growing discussion about publishing in the modern era [3]. Admittedly, the traditional peer review model we employed—chosen to ensure high quality—is at odds with our goals of providing timely publication and broad impact [2]. However, we plan to evolve our format in the future to better address both concerns of dissemination of research and scholarly certification [1]. Volume 1 is just the beginning, and, with the momentum we have gained here and the support of the broader community, we can continue to innovate together. We welcome your feedback and future participation, and we owe a special thanks to our authors and program committe for their courage, hard work, and ingenuity.

BODY

TinyToCS shows promise. We are encouraged by these proceedings, and the broader dialogue is only beginning. Stay tuned.

REFERENCES

[1] These two axes are due to conversations with Andrew Ferguson (and, by proxy, Rodrigo Fonseca).

[2] G. Porter. Foo. *Tiny Transactions on Computer Science (TinyToCS)*, 1, August 2012.

[3] J. Sherry. Why TinyToCS? URL HERE.