#### Outline

- 1 Conditioning and Condition Numbers
  - Condition Number of Matrices
- 2 Accuracy and Stability
  - Stability of Householder QR
- 3 Least Squares Problem
  - Conditioning of Least Squares Problem
  - Stability of Least Squares Algorithms

## Conditioning and Condition Numbers



# Overview of Error Analysis

- Error analysis is important subject of numerical analysis
- Given a problem f and an algorithm  $\tilde{f}$  with an input x, the absolute error is  $\|\tilde{f}(x) f(x)\|$  and relative error is  $\|\tilde{f}(x) f(x)\| / \|f(x)\|$
- What are possible sources of errors?



## Overview of Error Analysis

- Error analysis is important subject of numerical analysis
- Given a problem f and an algorithm  $\tilde{f}$  with an input x, the absolute error is  $\|\tilde{f}(x) f(x)\|$  and relative error is  $\|\tilde{f}(x) f(x)\| / \|f(x)\|$
- What are possible sources of errors?
  - Round-off error (input, computation), truncation (approximation) error
- We would like the solution to be accurate, i.e., with small errors
- The error depends on property (conditioning) of the problem, property (stability) of the algorithm



#### **Absolute Condition Number**

- Condition number is a measure of sensitivity of a problem
- lacksquare Absolute condition number of a problem f f at f x is

$$\hat{\kappa} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sup_{\|\delta \mathbf{X}\| \le \varepsilon} \frac{\|\delta \mathbf{f}\|}{\|\delta \mathbf{X}\|}$$

where 
$$\delta \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$$

- Less formally,  $\hat{\kappa} = \sup_{\delta \mathbf{X}} \frac{\|\delta \mathbf{f}\|}{\|\delta \mathbf{X}\|}$  for infinitesimally small  $\delta \mathbf{x}$
- If **f** is differentiable, then

$$\hat{\kappa} = \|\mathbf{J}(x)\|$$

where **J** is the Jacobian of **f** at **x**, with  $J_{ij} = \frac{\delta f_i}{\delta x_j}$ , and the matrix norm is induced by vector norms on  $\delta \mathbf{f}$  and  $\delta \mathbf{x}$ 

- Question: What is absolute condition number of  $f(x) = \alpha x$ ?
- Question: Is absolute condition number scale invariant?



#### Relative Condition Number

 $\blacksquare$  Relative condition number of a problem  $\mathbf{f}$  at  $\mathbf{x}$  is

$$\hat{\kappa} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sup_{\|\delta \mathbf{X}\| \le \varepsilon} \frac{\|\delta \mathbf{f}\| / \|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})\|}{\|\delta \mathbf{X}\| / \|\mathbf{x}\|}$$

- Less formally,  $\hat{\kappa} = \sup_{\delta \mathbf{X}} \frac{\|\delta \mathbf{f}\|/\|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})\|}{\|\delta \mathbf{X}\|/\|\mathbf{x}\|}$  for infinitesimally small  $\delta \mathbf{x}$
- Note: we can use different types of norms to get different condition numbers
- If f is differentiable, then

$$\hat{\kappa} = \frac{\|\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x})\|}{\|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})\| / \|\mathbf{x}\|}$$

- Question: What is relative condition number of  $f(x) = \alpha x$ ?
- Question: Is absolute condition number scale invariant?
- In numerical analysis, we in general use relative condition number
- lacksquare A problem is well-conditioned if  $\kappa$  is small and is ill-conditioned if  $\kappa$  is large



#### Condition Numbers

lacksquare Absolute condition number of a problem f f at f x is

$$\hat{\kappa} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sup_{\|\delta \mathbf{X}\| \le \varepsilon} \frac{\|\delta \mathbf{f}\|}{\|\delta \mathbf{X}\|}$$

where 
$$\delta \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$$

■ Less formally,  $\hat{\kappa} = \sup_{\delta \mathbf{X}} \frac{\|\delta \mathbf{f}\|}{\|\delta \mathbf{X}\|}$  for infinitesimally small  $\delta \mathbf{x}$ 

Relative condition number of a problem f at x is

$$\hat{\kappa} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sup_{\|\delta \mathbf{X}\| \le \varepsilon} \frac{\|\delta \mathbf{f}\| / \|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})\|}{\|\delta \mathbf{X}\| / \|\mathbf{x}\|}$$

Less formally,  $\hat{\kappa} = \sup_{\delta \mathbf{X}} \frac{\|\delta \mathbf{f}\|/\|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})\|}{\|\delta \mathbf{X}\|/\|\mathbf{x}\|}$  for infinitesimally small  $\delta \mathbf{x}$ 

## Examples

- **Example:** Function  $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$ 
  - Absolute condition number of **f** at **x** is  $\hat{k} = ||\mathbf{J}|| = 1/(2\mathbf{x})$
  - Relative condition number  $\hat{\kappa} = \frac{\|\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x})\|}{\|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})\|/\|\mathbf{x}\|} = \frac{1/(2\sqrt{\mathbf{x}})}{\sqrt{\mathbf{x}}/\mathbf{x}} = 1/2$
- Example: Function  $f(x) = x_1 x_2$ , where  $x = (x_1, x_2)^T$ 
  - Absolute condition number of f at x in ∞-norm is  $\hat{\kappa} = \|\mathbf{J}\|_{\infty} = \|(1, -1)\|_{\infty} = 2$
  - Relative condition number  $\hat{\mathbf{K}} = \frac{\|\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x})\|}{\|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})\|/\|\mathbf{x}\|} = \frac{2}{|\mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{x}_2|/\max\{|\mathbf{x}_1|, |\mathbf{x}_2|\}}$
  - $\kappa$  is arbitrarily large (f is ill-conditioned) if  $x_1 \approx x_2$  (hazard of cancellation error)
- Note: From now on, we will talk about only relative condition number



•0

Conditioning and Condition Numbers

### Condition Number of Matrix-Vector Product

■ Consider f(x) = Ax, with  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ 

$$\hat{\kappa} = \frac{\|\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x})\|}{\|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})\|/\|\mathbf{x}\|} = \frac{\|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|}$$

If **A** is square and nonsingular, since  $\|\mathbf{x}\| / \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\| \le \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\|$ 

$$\kappa \le \|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\|$$

Question: For what x is equality achieved if 2-norm is used?

### Condition Number of Matrix-Vector Product

■ Consider f(x) = Ax, with  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ 

$$\hat{\kappa} = \frac{\|\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x})\|}{\|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})\|/\|\mathbf{x}\|} = \frac{\|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|}$$

If **A** is square and nonsingular, since  $\|\mathbf{x}\| / \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\| \le \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\|$ 

$$\kappa \le \|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\|$$

- $lue{}$  Question: For what  $lue{}$  is equality achieved if 2-norm is used?
- Answer: x is equal to right singular vector corresponding to smallest singular value of A
- Question: What is condition number of Ax if A is singular?
- Answer:  $\leq \infty$  (is  $\infty$  if  $\mathbf{x} \in null(\mathbf{A})$ ).
- What is the condition number for  $f(\mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$ ?



### Condition Number of Matrix-Vector Product

■ Consider f(x) = Ax, with  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ 

$$\hat{\kappa} = \frac{\|\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x})\|}{\|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})\|/\|\mathbf{x}\|} = \frac{\|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|}$$

■ If **A** is square and nonsingular, since  $\|\mathbf{x}\| / \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\| \le \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\|$ 

$$\kappa \le \|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\|$$

- Question: For what x is equality achieved if 2-norm is used?
- Answer: x is equal to right singular vector corresponding to smallest singular value of A
- Question: What is condition number of Ax if A is singular?
- Answer:  $\leq \infty$  (is  $\infty$  if  $\mathbf{x} \in null(\mathbf{A})$ ).
- What is the condition number for  $f(\mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$ ?
  - Answer:  $\kappa \leq \|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\|$



#### Condition Number of a Matrix

■ We define condition number of matrix A as

$$\kappa \le \|\mathbf{A}\| \left\|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\right\|$$

- It is the upper bound of the condition number of f(x) = Ax for any x
- Another way to interpret at  $\kappa(\mathbf{A})$  is

$$\kappa(\mathbf{A}) = \textit{sup}_{\delta \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}} \frac{\|\delta \mathbf{f}\| / \|\delta \mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})\| / \|\mathbf{x}\|} = \frac{\textit{sup}_{\delta \mathbf{x}} \left\|\mathbf{A} \delta \mathbf{x}\right\| / \|\delta \mathbf{x}\|}{\textit{inf}_{\mathbf{x}} \left\|\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}\right\| / \|\mathbf{x}\|}$$

- For 2-norm,  $\kappa(\mathbf{A}) = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_n}$
- Note about the distinction between the condition number of a problem (the map f(x)) and the condition number of a problem instance (the evaluation of f(x) for specific x)
- Note: condition number of a problem is a property of a problem, and is independent of its algorithm



# Accuracy and Stability

## Accuracy

- $\blacksquare$  Roughly speaking, accuracy means that "error" is small in an asymptotic sense, say  $O(\varepsilon_{machine})$
- $\blacksquare$  When we say  $O(\varepsilon_{machine})$ , we are thinking of a series of idealized machines for which  $\varepsilon_{machine}$  can be arbitrarily small

## More on Accuracy

 $\blacksquare$  An algorithm  $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}$  is accurate if relative error is in the order of machine precision, i.e.,

$$\|\mathbf{\tilde{f}}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})\| / \|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})\| = O(\varepsilon_{machine}),$$

i.e.,  $\leq C_{1\epsilon_{machine}}$  as  $\epsilon_{machine} \to 0$ , where constant  $C_1$  may depend on the condition number and the algorithm itself

In most cases, we expect

$$\|\mathbf{\tilde{f}}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})\| / \|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})\| = O(\kappa \varepsilon_{machine}),$$

i.e.,  $\leq C_{\kappa\epsilon_{machine}}$  as  $\epsilon_{machine} \to 0$ , where constant C should be independent of  $\kappa$  and value of x (although it may depend on the dimension of x)

## More on Accuracy

- How do we determine whether an algorithm is accurate or not?
  - It turns out to be an extremely subtle question
  - A forward error analysis (operation by operation) is often too difficult and impractical, and cannot capture dependence on condition number
  - An effective solution is **backward error analysis**

## Stability

#### Stable Algorithm

- Nearly the right answer to nearly the right question
- More formally, an algorithm  $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}$  for problem  $\mathbf{f}$  is stable if (for all  $\mathbf{x}$ )

$$\left\| \mathbf{\tilde{f}}(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{\tilde{x}}) \right\| / \left\| f(\mathbf{\tilde{x}}) \right\| = O(\varepsilon_{machine}),$$

## Stability 1

#### Stable Algorithm

- Nearly the right answer to nearly the right question
- More formally, an algorithm  $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}$  for problem  $\mathbf{f}$  is stable if (for all  $\mathbf{x}$ )

$$\left\| \mathbf{\tilde{f}}(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{\tilde{x}}) \right\| / \left\| f(\mathbf{\tilde{x}}) \right\| = O(\varepsilon_{machine}),$$

#### Backward Stable Algorithm

- Exactly the right answer to nearly the right question
- More formally, an algorithm  $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}$  for problem  $\mathbf{f}$  is stable if (for all  $\mathbf{x}$ )

$$\tilde{f}(x) = f(\tilde{x})$$

for some  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$  with  $\|\tilde{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}\| / \|\mathbf{x}\| = O(\varepsilon_{machine})$ Backward stability is stronger! And it does not depend on







# Stability of Floating Point Arithmetic

- Backward stability of floating point operations is implied by these two floating point axioms:
  - 1  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists \varepsilon, |\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon_{machine} \text{ s.t. } fl(x) = x(1+\varepsilon)$
  - 2 For floating-point numbers  $x, y, \exists \varepsilon, |\varepsilon| \le \varepsilon_{machine}$  s.t.  $x \circledast y = (x * y)(1 + \varepsilon)$

## Stability of Floating Point Arithmetic

**Example:** Subtraction  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 - x_2$  with floating-point operation

$$\tilde{f}(x_1,x_2)=fl(x_1)\ominus fl(x_2)$$

- Axiom 1 implies  $fl(x_1) = x_1(1+\varepsilon_1)$ ,  $fl(x_2) = x_2(1+\varepsilon_2)$ , for some  $|\varepsilon_1|, |\varepsilon_2| \le \varepsilon_{machine}$
- Axiom 2 implies  $fl(x_1) \ominus fl(x_2) = (fl(x_1) fl(x_2))(1 + \varepsilon_3)$  for some  $|\varepsilon_3| \le \varepsilon_{machine}$
- Therefore,

$$fl(x_1) \ominus fl(x_2) = (x_1(1+\varepsilon_1) - x_2(1+\varepsilon_2))(1+\varepsilon_3)$$
  
=  $x_1(1+\varepsilon_1)(1+\varepsilon_3) - x_2(1+\varepsilon_2)(1+\varepsilon_3)$   
=  $x_1(1+\varepsilon_4) - x_2(1+\varepsilon_5)$ 

where 
$$|arepsilon_4|, |arepsilon_5| \leq 2arepsilon_{\textit{machine}} + O(arepsilon_{\textit{machine}}^2)$$



# Stability of Floating Point Arithmetic Cont'd

- Example: Inner product  $f(x,y) = x^*y$  using floating-point operations  $\otimes$  and  $\oplus$  is backward stable
- Example: Outer product  $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}^*$  using  $\otimes$  and  $\oplus$  is not backward stable
- Example: f(x) = x + 1 computed as  $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}(x) = f(x) \oplus 1$  is not backward stable
- Example: f(x,y) = x + y computed as  $\tilde{f}(x,y) = fl(x) \oplus fl(y)$  is backward stable

# Accuracy of Backward Stable Algorithm

#### Theorem

If a backward stable algorithm  $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}$  is used to solve a problem f with condition number  $\kappa$  using floating-point numbers satisfying the two axioms, then

$$\|\tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}) - f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}})\| / \|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})\| = O(\kappa(\mathbf{x})\varepsilon_{machine})$$

Proof: Not Included - Compensation against online classes!

Stability of Householder QR

# Backward Stability of Householder QR

For a QR factorization A = QR computed by Householder triangularization, the factors  $\tilde{Q}$  and  $\tilde{R}$  satisfy

$$\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}\tilde{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{A} + \delta \mathbf{A}, \qquad \|\delta \mathbf{A}\| / \|\mathbf{A}\| = O(\varepsilon_{machine}),$$

i.e. exact QR factorization of a slightly perturbed  ${\bf A}$  (we will not prove it in class)

- ightharpoonup  $ilde{R}$  is R computed by algorithm using floating points
- lacksquare However,  $ilde{f Q}$  is product of exactly unitary reflectors

$$\tilde{\mathbf{Q}} = \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_1 \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_2 \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_n$$

where  $ilde{\mathbf{Q}}_k$  is given by computed  $ilde{\mathbf{v}}_k$  , since  $\mathbf{Q}$  is not formed explicitly

