SPECIMENS:

A. SENTINEL NODE #1 LEFT AXILLA

B. SENTINEL NODE #2

C. WLE LEFT BREAST NEEDLE LOCALIZATION Path S.tz: breast, upper onten quadrant C50.4

D. SENTINEL NODE #3

E. SUPERIOR MARGIN

F. SENTINEL NODE #4

COCF Site: breast, NOS C50.9

2/8/11

SPECIMEN(S):

A. SENTINEL NODE #1 LEFT AXILLA

B. SENTINEL NODE #2

C. WLE LEFT BREAST NEEDLE LOCALIZATION

D. SENTINEL NODE #3

E. SUPERIOR MARGIN

F. SENTINEL NODE #4

UUID:9E2EF2C8-A60D-443D-99B6-205B7053175A

TCGA-E2-A1IF-01A-Pa

GROSS DESCRIPTION:

A. SENTINEL NODE #1 LEFT AXILLA

Received fresh is a tan pink lymph node 1.5 x 1.0 x 1.0cm. The specimen is serially sectioned and touch preps are taken. Toto A1,

B. SENTINEL LYMPH NODE #2. LEFT AXILLA

Received fresh is a tan pink lymph node 0.3 x 0.2 x 0.2cm. The specimen is serially sectioned and touch preps are taken. Toto B1.

C. LEFT BREAST WIDE LOCAL EXCISION NEEDLE LOCALIZATION:

Received in fresh state is a specimen labeled with patient's name and identification number as above and specimen labeled as "wide local excision left breast needle localization". The specimen consists of a resected portion of predominantly fatty breast tissue weighing 122 grams and measures 12.0 x 7.5 x 3.0 cm. Attached portion of skin along the anterior aspect measures 5.0 x 2.2 cm. and skin surface grossly shows no identifiable ulceration. . There is a needle localization wire in place and included radiogram of the specimen indicating the area of density. The margins of the specimen are oriented with sutures, one suture and one clip-anterior, two sutures and two clips-lateral, three clips and three sutures-superior. The margins of the specimen are color coded as follows: red-superior, orangeinferior, blue-anterior, green-lateral, yellow-medial and black-posterior. On serial cut sections, along the off mid portion of the specimen is a tan-white firm tumor measuring 1.5 x 1.5 x 1.0 cm. with a slightly stellate irregular borders seen 1.5 cm. from the deep margin, 1.7 cm. from the superior, 2.2 cm. from the anterior, 3.0 cm. from the lateral margin and 3.0 cm. from the medial margin. The main bulk of the specimen shows a predominantly fatty breast tissue with occasional narrow strands of fibrous stroma There is no other identifiable tumor focus. Multiple sections are submitted in cassettes labelled as

C1 through C10: full section of the tumor with margins

C1- tumor with posterior margin

C2 -section adjacent to the tumor

C3 -includes sections of the anterior margin

C4: includes sections with inferior margin

C6: includes sections with superior margin

C11-C12: sections that includes medial margin

C13: additional sections from medial margin

C14-C15: sections includes lateral margin

C16: includes sections from the inferior/lateral margin

C17-C18: one en block section

C19-C20: additional sections from tumor without margins

D. SENTINEL NODE #3

Received fresh are two pieces of fatty tissue in aggregate measuring 3 x 3 x 1 cm. One lymph node is identified measuring 0.4 x 0.3 x 0.2 cm. A touch prep is performed and touch prep diagnosis is given. The lymph node is submitted entirely in cassette D1.

E. SUPERIOR MRGIN:

Received labeled with patient name and designated as "superior margin" consists of a 4.7 x 3.0 x 1.0 cm. and weighing 15 grams segment of breast parenchyma. It is oriented with one suture marking true superior margin. The margin is inked. It is serially sectioned and the cross surface shows multiple focal white areas alternating with yellow breast parenchyma. No discrete is identified. The specimen is submitted entirely in 8 cassettes:

E1-E8: sequentially submitted.

F. SENTINEL NODE #4

Received fresh is a piece of fatty tissue measuring 3 x 2 x 0.5 cm. One lymph node is identified measuring 1.2 x 0.3 x 0.3 cm. A touch prep is performed and touch prep diagnosis is given. The lymph node is submitted entirely in cassette F1.

DIAGNOSIS:

- A. SENTINEL NODE #1, LEFT AXILLA:
 - ONE LYMPH NODE NEGATIVE FOR TUMOR (0/1).
- B. SENTINEL NODE #2, LEFT AXILLA:
 - ONE LYMPH NODE NEGATIVE FOR TUMOR (0/1).
- C. LEFT BREAST, NEEDLE LOCALIZATION WIDE LOCAL EXCISION:
 - INVASIVE DUCTAL CARCINOMA, SBR GRADE 2.
 - 1.5 x 1.5 x 1.0 CM. - SIZE OF TUMOR:
 - INVOLUTIONAL CHANGE WITH FOCAL CYSTIC APOCRINE CHANGE -
 - -MARGINS OF RESECTION -NEGATIVE FOR TUMOR.
- D. SENTINEL LYMPH NODE #3, LEFT AXILLA:
 - ONE LYMPH NODE NEGATIVE FOR TUMOR (0/1).
- E.. SUPERIOR MARGIN, LEFT BREAST:
 - PREDOMINANTLY FATTY BREAST TISSUE NEGATIVE FOR TUMOR.
- F. SENTINEL LYMPH NODE #4:
 - ONE LYMPH NODE NEGATIVE FOR TUMOR (0/1).

SYNOPTIC REPORT - BREAST

Specimens Involved

Specimens: A: SENTINEL NODE #1 LEFT AXILLA

B: SENTINEL NODE #2

C: WLE LEFT BREAST NEEDLE LOCALIZATION

D: SENTINEL NODE #3 E: SUPERIOR MARGIN

F: SENTINEL NODE #4

Lumpectomy - for mass Specimen Type:

Needle Localization: Yes

Laterality: Left

Present Invasive Tumor:

Multifocality: No

WHO CLASSIFICATION

Invasive ductal carcinoma, NOS 8500/3

Tumor size: 1.5cm

1.5cm x 1cm Additional dimensions:

Tumor Site: Upper outer quadrant

Negative Margins:

Distance from closest margin: Distance from closest margin: 1.5 cm

Posterior

Tubular Score: Nuclear Grade: 2 2 Mitotic Score:

Modified Scarff Bloom Richardson Grade: 2

Absent Necrosis:

Vascular/Lymphatic Invasion: None identified

None Lobular neoplasia:

Sentinel lymph node only Lymph nodes:

Lymph node status: Negative 0 / 4 Non-neoplastic areas: Involutional changes

DCIS not present

ER/PR/HER2 Results

ER: Positive PR: Positive HER2: Pending

Performed on Case: current case- ER-positive (allred score-8);PR-positive-allred score-6)

Pathological staging (pTN):

SYNOPTIC REPORT - BREAST, ER/PR RESULTS

Specimens Involved

Specimens: C: WLE LEFT BREAST NEEDLE LOCALIZATION

Specimen: Surgical Excision

Block Number:

ER: Positive Allred Score: 8 = Proportion Score 5 + Intensity Score 3
PR: Positive Allred Score: 6 = Proportion Score 4 + Intensity Score 2

pT 1c N 0

COMMENT:

The Allred score for estrogen and progesterone receptors is calculated by adding the sum of the proportion score (0 = no staining, 1 = <1% of cells staining, 2 = 1 - 10% of cells staining, 3 = 11-30% of cells staining, 4 = 31-60% of cells staining, 5 = >60% of cells staining) to the intensity score (1 = weak intensity of staining, 2 = intermediate intensity of staining, 3 = strong intensity of staining), with a scoring range from 0 to 8.

ER/PR positive is defined as an Allred score of >2 and ER/PR negative is defined as an Allred score of less than or equal to 2.

METHODOLOGY:

Tissue was fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin for no less than 8 and no longer than 24 hours. Immunohistochemistry was performed using the mouse anti-human ER (ER 1D5, 1:100) and PR (PGR 136, 1:100) provided by following the manufacturer s instructions. This assay was not modified. Interpretation of the ER/PR immunohistochemical stain is guided by published results in the medical literature, information provided by the reagent manufacturer and by internal review of staining performance.

PRE-OPERATIVE DIAGNOSIS:

Left Breast Cancer.

INTRAOPERATIVE CONSULTATION:

TPA/TPB: No carcinoma identified. Diagnosis called to Dr. at (Part A), Part B), by Dr.

TPD: Sentinel lymph node number 3 no carcinoma identified called by Dr. related Dr. at TPF: Lymph node left axillary sentinel excision: No carcinoma identified called by Dr. to Dr. at

C: Gross margins - negative for tumor by Dr.

ADDENDUM:

SYNOPTIC REPORT - BREAST HER-2 RESULTS

Specimen: Surgical Excision

Block Number:

Interpretation:

NEGATIVE

Intensity: 1+

% Tumor Staining: 8% Fish Ordered: No

METHODOLOGY:

Tissue was fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin for no less than 8 and no longer than 24 hours. Her2 analysis was performed using the FDA approved Dako HercepTest (TM) test kit properties (TM) t

provided by the manufacturer (cell lines with high, low and negative HER2 protein expression) and inhouse known HER2 amplified control tissue were evaluated along with the test tissue. Adequate, well preserved, clear-cut invasive carcinoma was identified for HER2 evaluation. Interpretation of the HER2 immunohistochemical stain is guided by published results in the medical literature, information provided by the reagent manufacturer and by internal review of staining performance.

This assay has been validated according to the 2007 joint recommendations and guidelines from ASCO and CAP and from the NCCN HER2 testing in Breast Cancer Task Force. Department takes full

responsibility for this test's performance.

Gross Dictation: , M.D., Pathologist, Microscopic/Diagnostic Dictation: , M.U., Pathologist, Gross Dictation: , M.D., Pathologist, Microscopic/Diagnostic Dictation: , M.U., Pathologist Final Review: , M.D., Pathologist, Microscopic/Diagnostic Dictation: , M.D., Pathologist, Microscopic/Diagnostic Dictation: , M.D., Pathologist, (Final Review: , M.D., Pathologist, Final: , M.D., Pathologist, Addendum:, M.D., Pathologist,

Addendum Final:, M.D., Pathologist,

Criteria		Yes	No
Diagnosis Discrepancy			
Primary Tumor Site Discrepancy			
HIPAA Discrepancy			
Prior Malignancy Hi			
Dual/Synchronous	(minary Moted)		
Case is (circle):		UAMONEDI	
Reviewer Initials	Date Peviewed:	J_ Z V_V_	
	The state of the s		
	P		T