

# DAE Tools: An equation-oriented process modelling and optimization software

## Introduction

Dragan Nikolic

DAE Tools Project, <http://www.daetools.com>

December 5, 2013



# Outline

- 1 Intro
- 2 Programming paradigms
- 3 Use Cases

# Outline

- 1 Intro
  - General Info
  - Motivation
  - Main features
- 2 Programming paradigms
  - General
  - DSL vs. DAE Tools
- 3 Use Cases
  - Use Case 1

# What is DAE Tools?

- Process modelling, simulation, optimization and parameter estimation software ([www.daetools.com](http://www.daetools.com))
- Areas of application:
  - Initially: chemical process industry (mass, heat and momentum transfers, chemical reactions, separation processes, phase-equilibrium, thermodynamics)
  - Nowadays: multi-domain
- Hybrid approach between general-purpose programming languages (c++, Fortran, Java) and domain-specific modelling languages (Modelica, gPROMS...)

# What can be done with DAE Tools?

- Simulation
  - Steady-State
  - Transient
- Optimization
  - NLP problems: IPOPT, NLOPT, OpenOpt, scipy.optimize
  - MINLP problems: BONMIN
- Parameter estimation: LevenbergMarquardt algorithm (scipy.optimize)

# Types of systems that can be modelled

- Initial value problems of implicit form: systems of linear, non-linear, and (partial-)differential algebraic equations
- Index-1 DAE systems
- With lumped or distributed parameters: Finite Difference or Finite Elements Methods
- Steady-state or dynamic
- Continuous with some elements of event-driven systems (discontinuous equations, state transition networks and discrete events)

# Why yet another software?

## Advantages:

- 1 Hybrid approach between DSL and GPPL
- 2 Programmatical generation of models
- 3 Runtime modification of objects/models (operating procedures)
- 4 Intoperability with 3rd party software packages/libraries
- 5 Code generation/Model exchange capabilities

# Not a modelling language

- A set of software packages
- API for:
  - Model development
  - Results processing (plotting, various file formats)
  - Simulation, optimization and parameter estimation
  - Code generation for other DSLs and programming languages
  - Report generation (XML+MathML) and model exchange
- Large set of supported solvers (DAE, LA, NLP, MINLP)



# Not a modelling language (cont'd)

- Allows easy interaction with other software libraries (two-way interoperability with other software, embedding in other software etc.)
- Free/Open source software (GNU GPL)
- Cross-platform (GNU/Linux, MacOS, Windows)
- Supports multiple architectures (32/64 bit x86, arm, any other with the GNU toolchain)
- Developed in c++ with Python bindings (Boost.Python)

# Object-oriented modelling

- Everything is an object (models, parameters, variables, equations, state transition networks, simulations, solvers, ...)
- Models are classes derived from the base `daeModel` class (inheriting the common functionality)
- Hierarchical model decomposition allows creation of complex, re-usable model definitions
- All Object Oriented concepts supported (such as multiple inheritance, templates, polymorphism, ...) that are supported by the target language (c++, Python), except:
  - Derived classes always inherit all declared objects (parameters, variables, equations, ...)
  - All parameters, variables, equations etc. remain public

# Equation-oriented (acausal) modelling

- Equations given in an implicit form (as a residual)

$$F(\dot{x}, x, y, p) = 0$$

- Input-Output causality is not fixed:
  - Increased model re-use
  - Support for different simulation scenarios (based on a single model) by specifying different degrees of freedom
- For instance, equation given in the following form:

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0$$

can be used to determine either  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  or  $x_3$  depending on what combination of variables is known:

$$x_1 = -x_2 - x_3 \text{ or } x_2 = -x_1 - x_3 \text{ or } x_3 = -x_1 - x_2$$

# Separation of models definition from operations on them

- The structure of the model (parameters, variables, equations etc.) given in the model classes (*daeModel*, *daeFiniteElementModel*)
- The runtime information in the simulation class (*daeSimulation*)
- Single model definition, but:
  - One or more different simulation scenarios
  - One or more optimization scenarios

# Hybrid continuous/discrete systems

- Modelling of continuous systems with some elements of event-driven systems
  - Discontinuous equations
  - State transition networks
  - Discrete events

# Code generation

- Model export from DAE Tools to other DSL/modelling/programming languages
  - Modelica
  - c99

# Model Exchange

- Support for Functional Mock-up Interface for Model Exchange and Co-Simulation (FMI): <https://www.fmi-standard.org>
- FMI a tool independent standard to support both model exchange and co-simulation of dynamic models using a combination of xml-files and compiled C-code
- Still in experimental phase

# Model reports

- Automatic model documentation
- XML + MathML format
- XSL transformation used to generate HTML code and visualize reports
- Two types:
  - Model description report (contains model definition)
  - Runtime report with all values and equations expanded (contains definition of the simulation)



# Model reports (cont'd)

## Parameters

Name	Units	Domains	Description
$Q_b$	$W m^{-2}$		Heat flux at the bottom edge of the plate
$Q_t$	$W m^{-2}$		Heat flux at the top edge of the plate
$\rho$	$kg m^{-3}$		Density of the plate
$c_p$	$J K^{-1} kg^{-1}$		Specific heat capacity of the plate
$\lambda_p$	$W K^{-1} m^{-1}$		Thermal conductivity of the plate

## Variables

Name	Type	Domains	Description
$T$	temperature_1	$x, y$	Temperature of the plate, K
test	temperature_1		

## Equations

HeatBalance :

$$\rho \cdot c_p \cdot \frac{dT(x, y)}{dt} - \lambda_p \cdot \left( \frac{\partial^2 T(x, y)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T(x, y)}{\partial y^2} \right) = 0 ; \forall x \in (x_0, x_n), \forall y \in (y_0, y_n)$$

Heat balance equation. Valid on the open  $x$  and  $y$  domains

BC<sub>bottom</sub> :

$$((- \lambda_p)) \cdot \frac{\partial T(x, y)}{\partial y} - Q_b = 0 ; \forall x \in [x_0, x_n], y = y_0$$

Boundary conditions for the bottom edge

BC<sub>top</sub> :

$$((- \lambda_p)) \cdot \frac{\partial T(x, y)}{\partial y} - Q_t = 0 ; \forall x \in [x_0, x_n], y = y_n$$

Boundary conditions for the top edge

# Model reports (cont'd)

$BC_{right} :$

$$\frac{\partial T(x, y)}{\partial x} = 0 : x = x_n, \forall y \in (y_0, y_n)$$

Boundary conditions for the right edge

Expanded into:

$$\frac{3 \text{ tutorial1.T}(25, 1) - 4 \text{ tutorial1.T}(24, 1) + \text{tutorial1.T}(23, 1)}{\text{tutorial1.x}[25] - \text{tutorial1.x}[23]} = 0$$

Equation is: Linear

$$\frac{3 \text{ tutorial1.T}(25, 2) - 4 \text{ tutorial1.T}(24, 2) + \text{tutorial1.T}(23, 2)}{\text{tutorial1.x}[25] - \text{tutorial1.x}[23]} = 0$$

Equation is: Linear

$$\frac{3 \text{ tutorial1.T}(25, 3) - 4 \text{ tutorial1.T}(24, 3) + \text{tutorial1.T}(23, 3)}{\text{tutorial1.x}[25] - \text{tutorial1.x}[23]} = 0$$

Equation is: Linear

$$\frac{3 \text{ tutorial1.T}(25, 4) - 4 \text{ tutorial1.T}(24, 4) + \text{tutorial1.T}(23, 4)}{\text{tutorial1.x}[25] - \text{tutorial1.x}[23]} = 0$$

Equation is: Linear

$$\frac{3 \text{ tutorial1.T}(25, 5) - 4 \text{ tutorial1.T}(24, 5) + \text{tutorial1.T}(23, 5)}{\text{tutorial1.x}[25] - \text{tutorial1.x}[23]} = 0$$

Equation is: Linear

$$\frac{3 \text{ tutorial1.T}(25, 6) - 4 \text{ tutorial1.T}(24, 6) + \text{tutorial1.T}(23, 6)}{\text{tutorial1.x}[25] - \text{tutorial1.x}[23]} = 0$$

Equation is: Linear

$$\frac{3 \text{ tutorial1.T}(25, 7) - 4 \text{ tutorial1.T}(24, 7) + \text{tutorial1.T}(23, 7)}{\text{tutorial1.x}[25] - \text{tutorial1.x}[23]} = 0$$

Equation is: Linear

$$\frac{3 \text{ tutorial1.T}(25, 8) - 4 \text{ tutorial1.T}(24, 8) + \text{tutorial1.T}(23, 8)}{\text{tutorial1.x}[25] - \text{tutorial1.x}[23]} = 0$$

Equation is: Linear

$$\frac{3 \text{ tutorial1.T}(25, 9) - 4 \text{ tutorial1.T}(24, 9) + \text{tutorial1.T}(23, 9)}{\text{tutorial1.x}[25] - \text{tutorial1.x}[23]} = 0$$

Equation is: Linear

$$\frac{3 \text{ tutorial1.T}(25, 10) - 4 \text{ tutorial1.T}(24, 10) + \text{tutorial1.T}(23, 10)}{\text{tutorial1.x}[25] - \text{tutorial1.x}[23]} = 0$$

Equation is: Linear

$$\frac{3 \text{ tutorial1.T}(25, 11) - 4 \text{ tutorial1.T}(24, 11) + \text{tutorial1.T}(23, 11)}{\text{tutorial1.x}[25] - \text{tutorial1.x}[23]} = 0$$

Equation is: Linear




$$\frac{3 \text{ tutorial1.T}(25, 12) - 4 \text{ tutorial1.T}(24, 12) + \text{tutorial1.T}(23, 12)}{\text{tutorial1.x}[25] - \text{tutorial1.x}[23]} = 0$$

# Multi-domain

- From chemical processing industry to biological neural networks
- DAE Tools is not a DSL but defines the basic modelling concepts such as models, parameters, variables, various types of equations (ordinary, differential, partial differential, discontinuous), state transition networks etc. that can be used as building blocks for a specific domain
- Example: a reference implementation simulator for NineML (xml-based modelling language for describing networks of spiking neurons)
- The key concepts from NineML are based on DAE Tools concepts: Neurone, Synapse, Population of neurones, Layers, Projections etc

# DAE Plotter

- 2D plots (Matplotlib)
- Animated 2D plots
- 3D plots (Mayavi)



# Solvers

## Supported DAE solvers@

- Sundials IDAS  
(<https://computation.llnl.gov/casc/sundials/main.html>)

## Supported FE libraries:

- deal.II (<http://dealii.org>)

## Supported optimization solvers:

- IPOPT (<https://projects.coin-or.org/Ipopt>)
- Bonmin (<https://projects.coin-or.org/Bonmin>)
- NLOPT (<http://ab-initio.mit.edu/wiki/index.php/NLOpt>)

# Solvers

Supported LA solvers:

- Sundials dense LU, Lapack
- Trilinos Amesos (<http://trilinos.sandia.gov/packages/amesos>)
- Trilinos AztecOO (<http://trilinos.sandia.gov/packages/aztecoo>)
- SuperLU SuperLU-MT  
(<http://crd.lbl.gov/~xiaoye/SuperLU/index.html>)
- Umfpack (<http://www.cise.ufl.edu/research/sparse/umfpack>)
- MUMPS (<http://graal.ens-lyon.fr/MUMPS>)
- CUSP (<http://code.google.com/p/cusp-library>)
- Intel Pardiso (<http://software.intel.com/en-us/articles/intel-mkl>)

# Outline

- 1 Intro
  - General Info
  - Motivation
  - Main features
- 2 Programming paradigms
  - General
  - DSL vs. DAE Tools
- 3 Use Cases
  - Use Case 1

# Approaches to process modelling

- Two approaches to process modelling:
  - Domain Specific Language (DSL)
  - General-purpose programming language (such as c, c++, Java or Python)



# Domain Specific Languages

- Special-purpose programming or specification languages dedicated to a particular problem domain
- Designed to directly support the key concepts from that domain
- Specifically created to solve problems in a particular domain
- (Usually) not intended to solve problems outside that domain (although that may be technically possible in some cases)
- Commonly lack low-level functions for filesystem access, interprocess control, and other functions that characterize full-featured programming languages, scripting or otherwise
- Examples: Modelica, gPROMS, SpeedUp, Ascend, GAMS ...

# General-purpose programming languages

- Created to solve problems in a wide variety of application domains
- Do not support key concepts from any domain
- Have low-level functions for filesystem access, interprocess control etc.
- Examples: c, c++, Fortran, Python, Java etc.
- Typical scenario: solving a DAE system
  - Choose a solver (Sundials IDA, DASSL, RADAU5, DAEPACK etc)
  - Implement user functions to manually calculate residuals and derivatives for a Jacobian matrix, apply boundary conditions etc
  - Create an executable program

# DAE Tools approach

A sort of the hybrid approach:

- Applies general-purpose programming languages such as c++ and Python
- Offers a class-hierarchy/API that resembles a syntax of a DSL as much as possible.
- Provides low-level concepts such as parameters, variables, equations, ports, models, state transition networks, discrete events etc.
- Concepts from new application domains can be added on top of its low level concepts (for instance the simulator for biological neural networks - NineML, as it will be shown later in Use Case section)
- Enables an access to the low-level functions and a large number of standard libraries

# gPROMS vs. Modelica

```
1 PARAMETER
2   Density as Real
3   CrossSectionalArea as Real
4   Alpha as Real
5
6 VARIABLE
7   HoldUp as Mass
8   FlowIn as Flowrate
9   FlowOut as Flowrate
10  Height as Length
11
12 EQUATION
13   # Mass balance
14   $HoldUp = FlowIn - FlowOut;
15
16   # Relation between liquid level and holdup
17   HoldUp = CrossSectionalArea * Height * Density;
18
19   # Relation between pressure drop and flow
20   FlowOut = Alpha * sqrt(Height);
21
```

Model developed in gPROMS  
<http://www.psenterprise.com>

```
1 model BufferTank
2   /* Import libs */
3   import Modelica.Math.*;
4
5   parameter Real Density;
6   parameter Real CrossSectionalArea;
7   parameter Real Alpha;
8
9   Real HoldUp(start = 0.0);
10  Real FlowIn;
11  Real FlowOut;
12  Real Height;
13
14  equation
15    // Mass balance
16    der(HoldUp) = FlowIn - FlowOut;
17
18    // Relation between liquid level and holdup
19    HoldUp = CrossSectionalArea * Height * Density;
20
21    // Relation between pressure drop and flow
22    FlowOut = Alpha * sqrt(Height);
23
24  end BufferTank;
```

The same model in OpenModelica  
<https://www.openmodelica.org>

# DAE Tools

```

11 class BufferTank(daeModel):
12     def __init__(self, Name, Parent = None, Description = ""):
13         daeModel.__init__(self, Name, Parent, Description)
14
15         self.Density = daeParameter("Density", unit(), self)
16         self.CrossSectionalArea = daeParameter("CrossSectionalArea", unit(), self)
17         self.Alpha = daeParameter("Alpha", unit(), self)
18
19         self.HoldUp = daeVariable("HoldUp", no_t, self)
20         self.FlowIn = daeVariable("FlowIn", no_t, self)
21         self.FlowOut = daeVariable("FlowOut", no_t, self)
22         self.Height = daeVariable("Height", no_t, self)
23
24     def DeclareEquations(self):
25         # Mass balance
26         eq = self.CreateEquation("MassBalance")
27         eq.Residual = self.HoldUp.dt() - self.FlowIn() + self.FlowOut()
28
29         # Relation between liquid level and holdup
30         eq = self.CreateEquation("LiquidLevelHoldup")
31         eq.Residual = self.HoldUp() - self.CrossSectionalArea() * self.Height() * self.Density()
32
33         # Relation between pressure drop and flow
34         eq = self.CreateEquation("PressureDropFlow")
35         eq.Residual = self.FlowOut() - self.Alpha() * Sqrt(self.Height())

```

The same model in daetools

# DSL vs. DAE Tools

DSL Approach	DAE Tools Approach
Domain-specific languages allow solutions to be expressed in the idiom and at the level of abstraction of the problem domain (direct support for all modelling concepts by the language syntax)	Modelling concepts cannot be expressed directly in the programming language and have to be emulated in the API or in some other way
Clean, concise, elegant and natural way of building model descriptions: the code can be self documenting	The support for modelling concepts is much more verbose and less elegant; however, DAE Tools can generate XML+MathML based model reports that can be either rendered in XHTML format using XSLT transformations (representing the code documentation) or used as an XML-based model exchange language

# Outline

- 1 Intro
  - General Info
  - Motivation
  - Main features
- 2 Programming paradigms
  - General
  - DSL vs. DAE Tools
- 3 Use Cases
  - Use Case 1

