1	Clearance	of	Clostridioides	s difficile	colonization	is
2	associated with antibiotic-specific bacterial changes					
3	Running title: Clearance of Clostridioides difficile colonization					
4	Nicholas A. Lesni	ak¹, A	lyxandria M. Schubert	$^{1},$ Hamide Sinan	i ¹ , Patrick D. Schloss ^{1,}	†

6 1. Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

Abstract

The gut bacterial community prevents many pathogens from colonizing the intestine. Previous studies have associated specific bacteria with clearing Clostridioides difficile colonization across different community perturbations. However, those bacteria alone have been unable to clear C. difficile colonization. To elucidate the changes necessary to 11 clear colonization, we compared differences in bacterial abundance between communities able and unable to clear C. difficile colonization. We treated mice with titrated doses of 13 antibiotics prior to C. difficile challenge which resulted in no colonization, colonization and clearance, or persistent colonization. Previously, we observed that clindamycin-treated mice were susceptible to colonization but spontaneously cleared *C. difficile*. Therefore, we investigated whether other antibiotics would show the same result. We found reduced 17 doses of cefoperazone and streptomycin permitted colonization and clearance of *C. difficile*. Mice that cleared colonization had antibiotic-specific community changes and predicted interactions with C. difficile. Clindamycin treatment led to a bloom in populations related to Enterobacteriaceae. Clearance of C. difficile was concurrent with the reduction of those blooming populations and the restoration of community members related to the 22 Porphyromonadaceae and Bacteroides. Cefoperazone created a susceptible community characterized by a drastic reduction in the community diversity, interactions, and a sustained increase in abundance of many facultative anaerobes. Lastly, clearance in streptomycin-treated mice was associated with the recovery of multiple members of the Porphyromonadaceae, with little overlap in the specific Porphyromonadaceae observed in the clindamycin treatment. Further elucidation of how *C. difficile* colonization is cleared from different gut bacterial communities will improve *C. difficile* infection treatments.

30 Importance

The community of microorganisms, known as the microbiota, in our intestines prevents pathogens, such as C. difficile, from colonizing and causing infection. However, antibiotics can disturb the gut microbiota, which allows C. difficile to colonize. C. difficile infections (CDI) are primarily treated with antibiotics, which frequently leads to recurrent infections 34 because the microbiota have not yet returned to a resistant state. The recurrent infection 35 cycle often ends when the fecal microbiota from a presumed resistant person are 36 transplanted into the susceptible person. Although this treatment is highly effective, we 37 do not understand the mechanism. We hope to improve the treatment of CDI through 38 elucidating how the bacterial community eliminates CDI. We found C. difficile colonized 39 susceptible mice but was spontaneously eliminated in an antibiotic-treatment specific manner. These data indicate each community had different requirements for clearing colonization. Understanding how different communities clear colonization will reveal targets to improve CDI treatments.

44 Introduction

A complex consortium of bacteria and microbes that inhabits our gut, known as the microbiota, prevent pathogens from colonizing and causing disease. This protection, known as colonization resistance, is mediated through many mechanisms such as activating host immune responses, competing for nutrients, producing antimicrobials, and contributing to the maintenance of the mucosal barrier (1). However, perturbations to the intestinal community or these functions opens the possibility that a pathogen can colonize (2). For example, the use of antibiotics perturb the gut microbiota and can lead to *Clostridioides* difficile infection (CDI).

CDI is especially problematic due to its burden on the healthcare system (3, 4). *C. difficile*can cause severe disease, such as toxic megacolon, diarrhea, and death (5). CDI is
primarily treated with antibiotics (6). CDIs recalcitrant to antibiotics are eliminated by
restoring the community with a fecal microbiota transplant (FMT), returning the perturbed
community to a healthier protective state (7, 8). However, FMTs are not always effective
against CDI and have the risk of transferring a secondary infection (9, 10). Therefore, we
need to better understand how the microbiota clears the infection to develop more effective
treatments.

Previous research has shown that the microbiota affects *C. difficile* colonization. Mouse models have identified potential mechanisms of colonization resistance such as bile salt metabolism and nutrient competition (11–14). However, studies that have restored those functions were unable to restore complete resistance (15, 16). This could be attributed to the complexity of the community and the mechanisms of colonization resistance (17, 18). We previously showed that when *C. difficile* colonizes different antibiotic-treated murine communities it modifies its metabolism to fit each specific environment (14, 19, 20). Therefore, we have investigated the bacterial community dynamics concurrent with

clearance of *C. difficile* below the limit of detection across uniquely perturbed communities.

Jenior et al. (20) observed that clindamycin-treated mice spontaneously cleared C. difficile colonization whereas mice treated with cefoperazone and streptomycin did not. Here, we continued to explore the different effects these three antibiotics have on C. difficile colonization. The purpose of this study was to elucidate the gut bacterial community changes concurrent with clearance of C. difficile colonization. We hypothesized that each colonized community had perturbation-specific susceptibilities and requires specific changes to clear the pathogen. To induce a less severe perturbation, we reduced the doses of cefoperazone and streptomycin. This resulted in communities that were initially colonized to a high level (> 10^6 CFU/g feces) and then spontaneously cleared C. difficile. We found each antibiotic resulted in unique changes in the microbiota that were associated with the persistence or clearance of C. difficile. These data further support the hypothesis that C. difficile can exploit numerous niches in perturbed communities.

82 Results

Reduced doses of cefoperazone and streptomycin allowed communities to spontaneously clear C. difficile colonization. To understand the dynamics of colonization and clearance of C. difficile, we first identified conditions which would allow colonization and clearance. Beginning with clindamycin, mice were treated with an intraperitoneal injection of clindamycin (10 mg/kg) one day prior to challenge with C. difficile. All mice (N = 11) were colonized to a high level (median CFU = 3.07×10^7) the next day and cleared the colonization within 10 days; 6 mice cleared C. difficile within 6 days (Figure 1A). Previous C. difficile infection models using cefoperazone and streptomycin have not demonstrated clearance. So we next explored whether cefoperazone and streptomycin could permit colonization and subsequent clearance with lower doses. We

began with replicating the previously established C. difficile infection models using these antibiotics (20). We treated mice with cefoperazone or streptomycin in their drinking water for 5 days (0.5 mg/mL and 5 mg/mL, respectively) and then challenged them with C. difficile. For both antibiotics, C. difficile colonization was maintained for the duration of the experiment as previously demonstrated (Figure 1B-C) (20). Then we repeated the 97 C. difficile challenge with reduced doses of the antibiotics (cefoperazone - 0.3 and 0.1 mg/mL; streptomycin - 0.5 and 0.1 mg/mL). For both antibiotic treatments, the lowest 99 dose resulted in either no colonization (N = 8) or a transient, low level colonization (N = 8)100 median length = 1 day, median CFU/g = 2.8×10^3) (Figure 1B-C). The intermediate dose of 101 both antibiotics resulted in a high level colonization (median CFU/g = 3.5×10^6) and half (N 102 = 8 of 16) of the mice clearing the colonization within 10 days. Based on our previous 103 research, which showed each of these antibiotics uniquely changed the microbiota, we 104 hypothesized that the microbiota varied across these antibiotic treatments that resulted in 105 colonization clearance. To focus on the changes related to clearance and not antibiotic dosage, the remaining analysis aggregated mice which had C. difficile present in their stool 107 post-challenge by whether *C. difficile* was detected (i.e. colonized) or not (i.e. cleared) at 108 the end of the experiment.

Clearance of C. difficile was associated with antibiotic-specific changes to the 110 microbiota. Beginning with the clindamycin-treated mice, we analyzed their fecal 16S 111 rRNA gene sequences to identify the community features related to *C. difficile* colonization 112 and clearance. First, we compared the most abundant bacterial genera of the communities 113 at the time of *C. difficile* challenge. The clindamycin-treated mice became dominated 114 by relatives of Enterobacteriaceae with a concurrent reduction in the other abundant 115 genera, except for populations of *Lactobacillus* (Figure 1D, S1). These community changes 116 permitted C. difficile to colonize all of these mice, but all of the mice were also able to clear 117 the colonization. We next investigated how the microbiota diversity related to C. difficile clearance. Clindamycin treatment decreased the α -diversity (P < 0.05) and similarity to

the pre-clindamycin community at the time of C. difficile challenge (P < 0.05) (Figure 2A). But it was not necessary to restore the community similarity to its initial state to clear C. difficile. Therefore we investigated the temporal differences in the abundance of the operational taxonomic units (OTUs) between the initial untreated community and 123 post-clindamycin treatment at the time of challenge and between the time of challenge 124 and the end of the experiment. Clindamycin treatment resulted in large decreases in 21 125 OTUs and a bloom of relatives of *Enterobacteriaceae* (Figure 4A). With the elimination 126 of C. difficile, we observed a drastic reduction of the relatives of Enterobacteriaceae and 127 recovery of 10 populations related to Porphyromonadaceae, Bacteroides, Akkermansia, 128 Lactobacillus, Bifidobacterium, Lachnospiraceae, and Clostridiales (Figure 4A). Thus, 129 clindamycin reduced most of the natural community allowing C. difficile to colonize. The 130 recovery of only a portion of the community was associated with eliminating the C.difficile 131 population. 132

We applied the same analysis to the cefoperazone-treated mice to understand 133 what community features were relevant to clearing C. difficile. Increasing the dose 134 of cefoperazone shifted the dominant community members from relatives of the 135 Porphyromonadaceae, Bacteroides and Akkermansia to relatives of the Lactobacillus and 136 Enterobacteriaceae at the time of challenge (Figure 1E, S1). We saw a similar increase in 137 relatives of Enterobacteriaceae with clindamycin. However, the cefoperazone-treated mice 138 that had larger increases in Enterobacteriaceae were unable to clear C. difficile. We next 139 investigated the differences between the cefoperazone-treated mice that cleared C. difficile 140 to those that did not. For the communities that cleared C. difficile, diversity was maintained 141 throughout the experiment (Figure 2B). A subset of mice treated with cefoperazone 142 that remained colonized experienced an increase in α -diversity, possibly driven by the 143 decrease in highly abundant populations and increase in low abundant populations (Figure 1E, S2). These persistently colonized communities also had a large shift away from the initial community structure caused by the antibiotic treatment (P < 0.05), which remained through the end of the experiment (P < 0.05) (Figure 2B). The α -diversity of mice treated with cefoperazone did not vary significantly by dosage (Figure S3). These data suggested that it was necessary for cefoperazone-treated mice to become more similar to the initial pre-antibiotic community structure to clear C. difficile.

We next investigated the changes in OTU abundances between the communities that 151 cleared C. difficile and those that did not to elucidate the community members involved in 152 clearance. Communities that remained colonized were significantly enriched in facultative 153 anaerobic populations including Enterococcus, Pseudomonas, Staphylococcus, and Enterobacteriaceae at the time of challenge. Communities that cleared C. difficile had significant enrichment in 10 different OTUs related to the *Porphyromonadaceae* at the end of the experiment (Figure 3A). We were also interested in the temporal changes within 157 each community so we investigated which OTUs changed due to antibiotic treatment or during the *C. difficile* colonization. The majority of significant temporal differences in OTUs for cefoperazone-treated mice occurred in persistently colonized communities. 160 Persistently colonized communities had a persistent loss of numerous relatives of the 161 Porphyromonadaceae and increases in the relative abundance of facultative anaerobes 162 (Figure 4C, S4). Overall, persistent *C. difficile* colonization in cefoperazone-treated mice 163 was associated with a shift in the microbiota to a new community structure which was 164 unable to recover from the antibiotic perturbation, whereas clearance occurred when the 165 community was capable of returning to its original structure. 166

Finally, we identified the differences in *C. difficile* colonization for streptomycin-treated mice. Increasing the dose of streptomycin maintained the abundance of relatives of the *Porphyromonadaceae* and *Bacteroides*, but reduced most of the other genera including populations of the *Lactobacillus*, *Lachnospiraceae*, *Ruminococcaceae*, *Alistipes*, and *Clostridiales* (Figure 1F). Both communities that cleared and those that remained colonized had similar changes in diversity. Streptomycin-treated mice became mildly dissimilar (*P*

< 0.05) and less diverse (P < 0.05) with streptomycin treatment but by the end of the experiment returned to resemble the pre-antibiotic community (P < 0.05) (Figure 2C). Those communities that remained colonized had slightly lower alpha-diversity than those that 175 cleared *C. difficile*. (*P* < 0.05). Persistently colonized mice had reduced relative abundance 176 of relatives of Alistipes, Anaeroplasma, and Porphyromonadaceae at time of challenge 177 compared to the mice that cleared *C. difficile* (Figure 3B). At the end of the experiment 178 the mice that were still colonized had lower abundances of Turicibacter, Alistipes, and 179 Lactobacillus. Since most of the differences were reduced relative abundances in the 180 colonized mice, we were interested to explore what temporal changes occurred between 181 pre-antibiotic treatment, the time of challenge, and the end of the experiment for the 182 communities that cleared C. difficile. The temporal changes in streptomycin-treated mice 183 were more subtle than those observed with the other antibiotic treatments. At the time 184 of challenge, the communities that remained colonized had reductions in 4 OTUs related 185 to the Porphyromonadaceae. Those that cleared C. difficile also had changes in OTUs related to the *Porphyromonadaceae*, however, 2 populations decreased and 2 increased 187 in abundance (Figure 4B, D). At the end of the experiment, all communities experienced 188 recovery of the abundance of many of the populations changed by the streptomycin treatment, but the communities that remained colonized did not recover 5 of the OTUs of Alistipes, Lactobacillus, and Porphyromonadaceae that were reduced by streptomycin. The differences between the streptomycin-treated mice that remained colonized and those that had been cleared of C. difficile were not as distinct as those observed with the cefoperazone 193 treatment. The differences between colonized and cleared streptomycin-treated mice were 194 minimal, which suggested the few differences may be responsible for the clearance. Overall, 195 these data revealed that while there were commonly affected families across the antibiotic 196 treatments, such as the Porphyromonadaceae, C. difficile clearance was associated with 197 community and OTU differences specific to each antibiotic. 198

Distinct features of the bacterial community at the time of infection predicted end

point colonization. To determine whether the community composition at the time of *C.* difficile challenge could predict C. difficile clearance, we built a machine learning model using L2 logistic regression. We modeled all treatments together to prevent overfitting of the data and allow the model to reveal which OTUs were able to correctly predict clearance in the context of the other OTU relative abundances. We evaluated the predictive performance of the model using the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC), 205 where a value of 0.5 indicated the model was random and 1.0 indicated the model always 206 correctly predicts the outcome. Our model resulted in a AUROC of 0.986 [IQR 0.970-1.000], which suggested that the model was able to use the relative abundance of OTUs at the 208 time of challenge to accurately predict colonization clearance (Figure S5). To assess 209 the important features, we randomly permuted each OTU feature by removing it from the 210 training set to determine its effect on the prediction (Figure 5A). The most important feature was an OTU related to the *Enterobacteriaceae*, whose abundance predicted clearance. 212 This result appears to have been strongly driven by the clindamycin data (Figure 5B, 213 C). The remaining OTUs did not have a large effect on the model performance, which 214 suggested that the model decision was spread across many features. These results 215 revealed the model used the relative abundance data of the community members and the relationship between those abundances to correctly classify clearance. There were many OTUs with treatment and outcome specific abundance patterns that did not agree with the odds ratio of the OTU used by the model. For example, Enterobacteriaceae abundance influenced the model to predict clearance (Figure 5B), however in experiments 220 that used cefoperazone, the communities that remained colonized had higher abundances of Enterobacteriaceae than the communities that cleared colonization (Figure 5C). The model arrived at the correct prediction through the collective influence of other OTUs. 223 Therefore, the model used different combinations of multiple OTUs and their relative abundances across treatments to predict C. difficile clearance. These data can offer a 225 basis for hypotheses regarding the distinct combinations of bacteria that promote C. difficile

203

204

207

211

221

224

227 clearance.

Conditional independence networks revealed treatment-specific relationships 228 between the community members and C. difficile during colonization clearance. 220 Finally, we explored the relationship between temporal changes in the community 230 and C. difficile by building a conditional independence network for each treatment 231 using SPIEC-EASI (sparse inverse covariance estimation for ecological association 232 inference) (21). First, we focused on the first-order associations of C. difficile (Figure 233 6A). In clindamycin-treated mice, C. difficile had positive associations with relatives 234 of Enterobacteriaceae, Pseudomonas, and Olsenella and negative associations with 235 relatives of the Lachnospiraceae and Clostridium XIVa. C. difficile had limited associations in cefoperazone-treated mice; the primary association was positive with relatives of 237 Enterobacteriaceae. In streptomycin-treated mice, C. difficile had negative associations with relatives of the *Porphyromonadaceae* and positive associations with populations of the Ruminococcaceae, Bacteroidetes, Clostridium IV and Olsenella. Next, we quantified 240 the degree centrality, the number of associations between each OTU for the whole network 241 of each antibiotic and outcome, and betweenness centrality, the number of associations 242 connecting two OTUs that pass through an OTU (Figure 6B). This analysis revealed 243 cefoperazone treatment resulted in networks primarily composed of singular associations 244 with much lower degree centrality (P < 0.05) and betweenness centrality (P < 0.05) 245 than the other antibiotic treatments. Communities that were treated with cefoperazone 246 that resulted in cleared or persistent colonization had 10 to 100-fold lower betweenness 247 centrality values than communities treated with clindamycin or streptomycin. Collectively, 248 these networks suggest C. difficile colonization was affected by unique sets of OTUs in 249 mice treated with clindamycin and streptomycin, but cefoperazone treatment eliminated 250 bacteria critical to maintaining community interactions and had few populations that 25 associated with C. difficile. 252

53 Discussion

We have shown that different antibiotic treatments resulted in specific changes to the microbiota that were associated with C. difficile clearance. Clindamycin-treated mice 255 became susceptible with a dominant bloom in populations related to Enterobacteriaceae. 256 Clearance was associated with the resolution of the bloom and recovery of bacteria that 257 were reduced by the antibiotic treatment. Cefoperazone-treated mice became susceptible 258 with the expansion of numerous facultative anaerobes. Communities with a sustained 259 presence of these facultative anaerobes were unable to recover from the initial antibiotic 260 perturbation or clear the colonization, whereas the communities that returned to their initial 261 community were able to clear C. difficile colonization. Streptomycin-treated mice became 262 susceptible with fewer and smaller changes than the other treatments. The communities 263 that cleared colonization had slightly higher α -diversity than those that remained colonized. 264 Additionally, all communities in mice treated with streptomycin had similar numbers of 265 OTUs changing through the experiment but the specific OTUs were different for each 266 outcome. These observations support our hypothesis that each colonized community has 267 antibiotic-specific changes that create unique conditions for C. difficile colonization and 268 requires specific changes within each community to clear C. difficile. 269

Previous studies have identified microbiota associated with reduced *C. difficile* colonization 270 in either a set of closely related murine communities or collectively across many different 271 susceptible communities (11, 15, 22). Bacteria from these studies have since been tested 272 in C. difficile infection models. These experiments either showed decreased colonization 273 not elimination of *C. difficile* (11, 23) or only demonstrated elimination in the model it was 274 developed (15). Rather than looking for similarities across all susceptible communities, we 275 explored the changes that were associated with *C. difficile* clearance for each antibiotic. Even though these mice all came from the same breeding colony with similar initial 277 microbiomes, C. difficile clearance was associated with antibiotic-specific changes in 278

community diversity, OTU abundances, and associations between OTUs. Our data suggest that the set of bacteria necessary to restore colonization resistance following one antibiotic perturbation may not be effective for all antibiotic perturbations. We have developed this modeling framework starting from a single mouse community. It should also be relevant when considering interpersonal variation among humans (24).

Recent studies have begun to uncover how communities affect *C. difficile* colonization 284 (17–20, 24). We attempted to understand the general trends in each antibiotic treatment 285 that lead to clearance of *C. difficile*. We categorized the general changes and microbial 286 relationships of these experiments into three models. First, a model of temporary 287 opportunity characterized by the transient dominance of a facultative anaerobe which permits C. difficile colonization but C. difficile is not able to persist, as with clindamycin 289 treatment. We hypothesize this susceptibility is due to a transient repression of community members and interventions which further perturb the community may worsen the infection. Time alone may be sufficient for the community to clear colonization (15, 22, 25) but 292 treating the community with an antibiotic or the bowel preparation for an FMT (26, 27) may 293 prolong susceptibility by eliminating protective functions or opening new niches. Second, 294 a model of an extensive opportunity characterized by a significant perturbation leading 295 to a persistent increase in facultative anaerobes and exposing multiple niches, as with 296 cefoperazone treatment. These communities appear to have been severely depleted of 297 multiple critical community members and are likely lacking numerous protective functions 298 (20). We hypothesize multiple niches are made available for *C. difficile* to colonize through 299 reduced populations of bacteria that produce inhibitory molecules or compete for either 300 nutrients or space, increasing available resources. In this scenario, community restoration 301 will require transplantation with microbes that provide adequate diversity and abundance 302 to outcompete and occupy all the exposed niches. If this diversity is not provided through 303 a single FMT, multiple FMTs (28, 29) or transplant of an enriched fecal community 304 (30) may be necessary to recover the microbiota enough to outcompete C. difficile for

the nutrient niches and replace the missing protective functions. Third, a model of a specific opportunity characterized by a perturbation that only affects a select portion of the microbiota, leading to small changes in relative abundance and a slight decrease in diversity, opening a limited niche for *C. difficile* to colonize, as with streptomycin treatment. 309 We hypothesize that a few specific bacterial species with key inhibitory functions would be 310 necessary to recolonize the exposed niche space and eliminate *C. difficile* colonization 311 (13, 17). A fecal microbiota transplant may contain the bacterial diversity needed to 312 fill the open niche space and help supplant C. difficile from the exposed niche of the 313 colonized community. Analyzing each of these colonization models individually allowed us 314 to understand how each may clear *C. difficile* colonization. 315

Future investigations can further identify the exposed niches of susceptible communities and the requirements to clear C. difficile colonization. One common theme for susceptibility across treatments was the increased abundance of facultative anaerobes. These blooms of facultative anaerobes could be attributed to the loss of the indigenous obligate anaerobes with antibiotic treatment (31, 32). However, it is unclear what prevents the succession from 320 the facultative anaerobes back to the obligate anaerobes in cefoperazone-treated mice. 321 Future studies should investigate the relationship between facultative anaerobe blooms 322 and susceptibility to colonization as well as interventions to recover the obligate anaerobes. 323 Another aspect to consider in future experiments is C. difficile strain specificity. Other 324 strains may fill different niche space and fill other community interactions (33-35). For 325 example, more virulent strains, like C. difficile VPI 10463, may have a greater effect on the 326 gut environment since it produces more toxin and drives a stronger immune response (15, 327 35, 36). Those differences could lead to greater increases in inflammatory conditions and 328 further increase populations that thrive in these conditions, such as *Enterobacteriaceae*, 329 and change the requirements to clear C. difficile (31, 37, 38). Finally, we have shown 330 that the functions found in communities at peak colonization were antibiotic-specific (20). 33 We found that the bacterial population changes associated with C. difficile clearance

were antibiotic-specific. It is unknown how the community functions contributing to C. difficile clearance compare across antibiotics. It is possible while we observed different changes in the bacteria populations but the functions eliminating *C. difficile* were conserved. 335 Additionally, it is unclear how specific these functions are to the OTUs we observed. It 336 is possible that phylogentically diverse OTUs have similar functional potiential as well 337 as phylogentically similar OTUs having specific functions. Examining the changes in 338 transcription and metabolites during clearance will help define the activities necessary to 339 clear C. difficile and if they are specific to the perturbation. This information will build upon 340 the community differences presented in this study and move us closer to elucidating how 341 the microbiota clears *C. difficile* colonization and developing targeted therapeutics.

We have shown that mice became susceptible to C. difficile colonization after three different antibiotic treatments and then differed in their ability to clear the colonization. These experiments have shown that each antibiotic treatment resulted in different community changes leading to C. difficile clearance. These differences suggest that a single mechanism of infection and one treatment for all C. difficile infections may not be 347 appropriate. While our current use of FMT to eliminate CDI is highly effective, it does not 348 work in all patients and has even resulted in adverse consequences (7–10). The findings 349 in this study may help explain why FMTs may be ineffective. Although an FMT transplants 350 a whole community, it may not be sufficient to replace the missing community members 351 or functions to clear *C. difficile*. Alternatively, the FMT procedure itself may disrupt the 352 natural recovery of the community. The knowledge of how a community clears C. difficile 353 colonization will advance our ability to develop targeted therapies to manage CDI.

55 Materials and Methods

Animal care. 5- to 8-week-old male and female C57BL/6 mice were obtained from a single breeding colony. Mice were housed in cages of 2-5 mice maintained in specific-pathogen-free (SPF) conditions at the University of Michigan animal facility. Each experimental treatment used 6-11 mice and was repeated 2-4 times. All mouse protocols and experiments were approved by the University Committee on Use and Care of Animals at the University of Michigan and completed in agreement with approved guidelines.

Antibiotic administration. Mice were given one of three antibiotics, cefoperazone, clindamycin, or streptomycin. Cefoperazone (0.5, 0.3, or 0.1 mg/ml) and streptomycin (5, 0.5, or 0.1 mg/ml) were delivered via drinking water for 5 days. Clindamycin (10 mg/kg) was administered through intraperitoneal injection.

C. difficile challenge. Mice were returned to untreated drinking water for 24 hours before challenging with C. difficile strain 630Δ erm spores. C. difficile spores were aliquoted from a single spore stock stored at 4°C. Spore concentration was determined one week prior to the day of challenge (39). 10^3 C. difficile spores were orally gavaged into each mouse. Once the gavages were completed, the remaining spore solution was serially diluted and plated to confirm the spore concentration that was delivered.

Sample collection. Fecal samples were collected on the day antibiotic treatment was started, on the day of *C. difficile* challenge and the following 10 days. For the day of challenge and beyond, a fecal sample was also collected and weighed. Under anaerobic conditions a fecal sample was serially diluted in anaerobic phosphate-buffered saline and plated on TCCFA plates. After 24 hours of anaerobic incubation at 37°C, the number of colony forming units (CFU) were determined (40).

DNA sequencing. Total bacterial DNA was extracted from each fecal sample using MOBIO

PowerSoil-htp 96-well soil DNA isolation kit. We created amplicons of the 16S rRNA gene
V4 region and sequenced them using an Illumina MiSeq as described previously (41).

Sequence curation. Sequences were processed using mothur(v.1.43.0) as previously described (41). Briefly, we used a 3% dissimilarity cutoff to group sequences into operational taxonomic units (OTUs). We used a naive Bayesian classifier with the Ribosomal Database Project training set (version 16) to assign taxonomic classifications to each OTU (43). With the fecal samples, we also sequenced a mock community with a known community composition and their true 16s rRNA gene sequences. We processed this mock community along with our samples to determine our sequence curation resulted in an error rate of 0.019%.

Statistical analysis and modeling. Diversity comparisons were calculated in mothur. To 389 compare α -diversity metrics, we calculated the number of OTUs (S_{obs}) and the Inverse 390 Simpson diversity index. To compare across communities, we calculated dissimilarity 391 matrices based on metric of Yue and Clayton (44). All calculations were made by rarifying 392 samples to 1,200 sequences per sample to limit biases due to uneven sampling. OTUs 393 were subsampled to 1,200 counts per sample and remaining statistical analysis and data 394 visualization was performed in R (v3.5.1) with the tidyverse package (v1.3.0). Significance 395 of pairwise comparisons of α -diversity (S_{obs} and Inverse Simpson), β -diversity (θ_{YC}), OTU 396 abundance, and network centrality (betweenness and degree) were calculated by pairwise 397 Wilcoxon rank sum test and then P values were corrected for multiple comparisons with a Benjamini and Hochberg adjustment for a type I error rate of 0.05 (45). Logistic regression models were constructed with OTUs from all day 0 samples using half of the samples to train and the other half to test the model. The model was developed from the caret R 401 package (v6.0-85) and previously developed machine learning pipeline (46). For each 402 antibiotic treatment, conditional independence networks were calculated from the day 403 1 through 10 samples of all mice initially colonized using SPIEC-EASI (sparse inverse 404

- covariance estimation for ecological association inference) methods from the SpiecEasi R
 package after optimizing lambda to 0.001 with a network stability between 0.045 and 0.05
 (v1.0.7) (21). Network centrality measures degree and betweenness were calculated on
 whole networks using functions from the igraph R package (v1.2.4.1).
- Code availability. Scripts necessary to reproduce our analysis and this paper are available in an online repository (https://github.com/SchlossLab/Lesniak_Clearance_mSphere_ 2021).
- Sequence data accession number. All 16S rRNA gene sequence data and associated metadata are available through the Sequence Read Archive via accession PRJNA674858.

414 Acknowledgements

Thank you to Begüm Topçuoglu and Sarah Tomkovich for critical discussion in the development and execution of this project. This work was supported by several grants from the National Institutes for Health R01GM099514, U19Al090871, U01Al12455, and P30DK034933. Additionally, NAL was supported by the Molecular Mechanisms of Microbial Pathogenesis training grant (NIH T32 Al007528). The funding agencies had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

21 References

- Ducarmon QR, Zwittink RD, Hornung BVH, Schaik W van, Young VB, Kuijper EJ.
 2019. Gut microbiota and colonization resistance against bacterial enteric infection.
 Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews 83.
- 2. Britton RA, Young VB. 2012. Interaction between the intestinal microbiota and host in

 **Clostridium difficile* colonization resistance. Trends in Microbiology 20:313–319.
- Lessa FC, Mu Y, Bamberg WM, Beldavs ZG, Dumyati GK, Dunn JR, Farley MM,
 Holzbauer SM, Meek JI, Phipps EC, Wilson LE, Winston LG, Cohen JA, Limbago BM,
 Fridkin SK, Gerding DN, McDonald LC. 2015. Burden of *Clostridium difficile* infection in
 the united states. New England Journal of Medicine 372:825–834.
- 431 4. Zimlichman E, Henderson D, Tamir O, Franz C, Song P, Yamin CK, Keohane C, Denham
 432 CR, Bates DW. 2013. Health care-associated infections. JAMA Internal Medicine
 433 173:2039.
- 5. Spigaglia P, Barbanti F, Morandi M, Moro ML, Mastrantonio P. 2016. Diagnostic testing for *Clostridium difficile* in Italian microbiological laboratories. Anaerobe 37:29–33.
- 6. Dieterle MG, Rao K, Young VB. 2018. Novel therapies and preventative strategies for
 primary and recurrent *Clostridium difficile* infections. Annals of the New York Academy
 of Sciences 1435:110–138.
- 7. Juul FE, Garborg K, Bretthauer M, Skudal H, Øines MN, Wiig H, Rose, Seip B, Lamont
 JT, Midtvedt T, Valeur J, Kalager M, Holme, Helsingen L, Løberg M, Adami H-O. 2018.
 Fecal microbiota transplantation for primary *Clostridium difficile* infection. New England
 Journal of Medicine 378:2535–2536.

- 8. Seekatz AM, Aas J, Gessert CE, Rubin TA, Saman DM, Bakken JS, Young VB. 2014.

 Recovery of the gut microbiome following fecal microbiota transplantation. mBio 5.
- 9. Patron RL, Hartmann CA, Allen S, Griesbach CL, Kosiorek HE, DiBaise JK, Orenstein R.
 2017. Vancomycin taper and risk of failure of fecal microbiota transplantation in patients
 with recurrent *Clostridium difficile* infection. Clinical Infectious Diseases 65:1214–1217.
- 10. DeFilipp Z, Bloom PP, Soto MT, Mansour MK, Sater MRA, Huntley MH, Turbett S,
 Chung RT, Chen Y-B, Hohmann EL. 2019. Drug-resistant *E. Coli* bacteremia transmitted
 by fecal microbiota transplant. New England Journal of Medicine 381:2043–2050.
- 11. Buffie CG, Bucci V, Stein RR, McKenney PT, Ling L, Gobourne A, No D, Liu H,
 Kinnebrew M, Viale A, Littmann E, Brink MRM van den, Jenq RR, Taur Y, Sander
 C, Cross JR, Toussaint NC, Xavier JB, Pamer EG. 2014. Precision microbiome
 reconstitution restores bile acid mediated resistance to *Clostridium difficile*. Nature
 517:205–208.
- 12. Fletcher JR, Erwin S, Lanzas C, Theriot CM. 2018. Shifts in the gut metabolome and
 Clostridium difficile transcriptome throughout colonization and infection in a mouse
 model. mSphere 3.
- 13. Reed AD, Nethery MA, Stewart A, Barrangou R, Theriot CM. 2020. Strain-dependent
 inhibition of *Clostridium difficile* by commensal Clostridia carrying the bile acid-inducible
 (bai) operon. Journal of Bacteriology 202.
- 14. Jenior ML, Leslie JL, Young VB, Schloss PD. 2017. Clostridium difficile colonizes
 alternative nutrient niches during infection across distinct murine gut microbiomes.
 mSystems 2.
- 15. Lawley TD, Clare S, Walker AW, Stares MD, Connor TR, Raisen C, Goulding D, Rad R,
 Schreiber F, Brandt C, Deakin LJ, Pickard DJ, Duncan SH, Flint HJ, Clark TG, Parkhill

- J, Dougan G. 2012. Targeted restoration of the intestinal microbiota with a simple, defined bacteriotherapy resolves relapsing *Clostridium difficile* disease in mice. PLoS Pathogens 8:e1002995.
- 16. McDonald JAK, Mullish BH, Pechlivanis A, Liu Z, Brignardello J, Kao D, Holmes E,
 Li JV, Clarke TB, Thursz MR, Marchesi JR. 2018. Inhibiting growth of *Clostridioides* difficile by restoring valerate, produced by the intestinal microbiota. Gastroenterology
 155:1495–1507.e15.
- 17. Ghimire S, Roy C, Wongkuna S, Antony L, Maji A, Keena MC, Foley A, Scaria J. 2020.

 Identification of *Clostridioides difficile*-inhibiting gut commensals using culturomics,

 phenotyping, and combinatorial community assembly. mSystems 5.
- 18. Auchtung JM, Preisner EC, Collins J, Lerma AI, Britton RA. 2020. Identification of simplified microbial communities that inhibit *Clostridioides difficile* infection through dilution/extinction. mSphere 5.
- 19. Schubert AM, Sinani H, Schloss PD. 2015. Antibiotic-induced alterations of the murine
 gut microbiota and subsequent effects on colonization resistance against *Clostridium* difficile. mBio 6.
- 20. Jenior ML, Leslie JL, Young VB, Schloss PD. 2018. *Clostridium difficile* alters the structure and metabolism of distinct cecal microbiomes during initial infection to promote sustained colonization. mSphere 3.
- 21. Kurtz ZD, Müller CL, Miraldi ER, Littman DR, Blaser MJ, Bonneau RA. 2015.
 Sparse and compositionally robust inference of microbial ecological networks. PLOS
 Computational Biology 11:e1004226.
- ⁴⁸⁹ 22. Reeves AE, Theriot CM, Bergin IL, Huffnagle GB, Schloss PD, Young VB. 2011. The interplay between microbiome dynamics and pathogen dynamics in a murine model of

- 491 Clostridium difficile infection. Gut Microbes 2:145–158.
- 23. Reeves AE, Koenigsknecht MJ, Bergin IL, Young VB. 2012. Suppression of *Clostridium difficile* in the gastrointestinal tracts of germfree mice inoculated with a murine isolate
 from the family Lachnospiraceae. Infection and Immunity 80:3786–3794.
- 24. Tomkovich S, Stough JMA, Bishop L, Schloss PD. 2020. The initial gut microbiota and
 response to antibiotic perturbation influence *Clostridioides difficile* clearance in mice.
 mSphere 5.
- 25. Peterfreund GL, Vandivier LE, Sinha R, Marozsan AJ, Olson WC, Zhu J, Bushman FD.
 2012. Succession in the gut microbiome following antibiotic and antibody therapies for
 Clostridium difficile. PLoS ONE 7:e46966.
- 26. Fukuyama J, Rumker L, Sankaran K, Jeganathan P, Dethlefsen L, Relman DA, Holmes SP. 2017. Multidomain analyses of a longitudinal human microbiome intestinal cleanout perturbation experiment. PLOS Computational Biology 13:e1005706.
- 27. Suez J, Zmora N, Zilberman-Schapira G, Mor U, Dori-Bachash M, Bashiardes S,
 Zur M, Regev-Lehavi D, Brik RB-Z, Federici S, Horn M, Cohen Y, Moor AE, Zeevi D,
 Korem T, Kotler E, Harmelin A, Itzkovitz S, Maharshak N, Shibolet O, Pevsner-Fischer
 M, Shapiro H, Sharon I, Halpern Z, Segal E, Elinav E. 2018. Post-antibiotic gut mucosal
 microbiome reconstitution is impaired by probiotics and improved by autologous FMT.
 Cell 174:1406–1423.e16.
- 28. Ianiro G, Maida M, Burisch J, Simonelli C, Hold G, Ventimiglia M, Gasbarrini A,

 Cammarota G. 2018. Efficacy of different faecal microbiota transplantation protocols for

 Clostridium difficile infection: A systematic review and meta-analysis. United European

 Gastroenterology Journal 6:1232–1244.

- 29. Allegretti JR, Mehta SR, Kassam Z, Kelly CR, Kao D, Xu H, Fischer M. 2020.

 Risk factors that predict the failure of multiple fecal microbiota transplantations for

 Clostridioides difficile infection. Digestive Diseases and Sciences https://doi.org/10.

 1007/s10620-020-06198-2.
- 30. Garza-González E, Mendoza-Olazarán S, Morfin-Otero R, Ramírez-Fontes A,
 Rodríguez-Zulueta P, Flores-Treviño S, Bocanegra-Ibarias P, Maldonado-Garza H,
 Camacho-Ortiz A. 2019. Intestinal microbiome changes in fecal microbiota transplant
 (FMT) vs. FMT enriched with *Lactobacillus* in the treatment of recurrent *Clostridium*difficile infection. Canadian Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology 2019:1–7.
- 31. Winter SE, Lopez CA, Bäumler AJ. 2013. The dynamics of gut-associated microbial communities during inflammation. EMBO reports 14:319–327.
- 32. Rivera-Chávez F, Lopez CA, Bäumler AJ. 2017. Oxygen as a driver of gut dysbiosis.

 Free Radical Biology and Medicine 105:93–101.
- 33. Carlson PE, Walk ST, Bourgis AET, Liu MW, Kopliku F, Lo E, Young VB, Aronoff DM,
 Hanna PC. 2013. The relationship between phenotype, ribotype, and clinical disease in
 human *Clostridium difficile* isolates. Anaerobe 24:109–116.
- 34. Thanissery R, Winston JA, Theriot CM. 2017. Inhibition of spore germination, growth, and toxin activity of clinically relevant *C. difficile* strains by gut microbiota derived secondary bile acids. Anaerobe 45:86–100.
- 35. Theriot CM, Koumpouras CC, Carlson PE, Bergin II, Aronoff DM, Young VB. 2011.

 Cefoperazone-treated mice as an experimental platform to assess differential virulence
 of *Clostridium difficile* strains. Gut Microbes 2:326–334.
- 36. Rao K, Micic D, Natarajan M, Winters S, Kiel MJ, Walk ST, Santhosh K, Mogle JA,
 Galecki AT, LeBar W, Higgins PDR, Young VB, Aronoff DM. 2015. *Clostridium difficile*

- Ribotype 027: Relationship to age, detectability of toxins a or b in stool with rapid testing, severe infection, and mortality. Clinical Infectious Diseases 61:233–241.
- 37. Byndloss MX, Olsan EE, Rivera-Chávez F, Tiffany CR, Cevallos SA, Lokken KL, Torres
 TP, Byndloss AJ, Faber F, Gao Y, Litvak Y, Lopez CA, Xu G, Napoli E, Giulivi C, Tsolis
 RM, Revzin A, Lebrilla CB, Bäumler AJ. 2017. Microbiota-activated PPAR- signaling
 inhibits dysbiotic Enterobacteriaceae expansion. Science 357:570–575.
- 38. Zhu W, Winter MG, Byndloss MX, Spiga L, Duerkop BA, Hughes ER, Büttner L, Lima
 Romão E de, Behrendt CL, Lopez CA, Sifuentes-Dominguez L, Huff-Hardy K, Wilson
 RP, Gillis CC, Tükel, Koh AY, Burstein E, Hooper LV, Bäumler AJ, Winter SE. 2018.
 Precision editing of the gut microbiota ameliorates colitis. Nature 553:208–211.
- 39. Sorg JA, Dineen SS. 2009. Laboratory maintenance of *Clostridium difficile*. Current Protocols in Microbiology 12.
- 40. Winston JA, Thanissery R, Montgomery SA, Theriot CM. 2016. Cefoperazone-treated
 mouse model of clinically-relevant Δ*Clostridium difficile* strain r20291. Journal of
 Visualized Experiments https://doi.org/10.3791/54850.
- 41. Kozich JJ, Westcott SL, Baxter NT, Highlander SK, Schloss PD. 2013. Development
 of a dual-index sequencing strategy and curation pipeline for analyzing amplicon
 sequence data on the MiSeq Illumina sequencing platform. Applied and Environmental
 Microbiology 79:5112–5120.
- 42. Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, Hall JR, Hartmann M, Hollister EB, Lesniewski
 RA, Oakley BB, Parks DH, Robinson CJ, Sahl JW, Stres B, Thallinger GG, Horn
 DJV, Weber CF. 2009. Introducing mothur: Open-source, platform-independent,
 community-supported software for describing and comparing microbial communities.
 Applied and Environmental Microbiology 75:7537–7541.

- 43. Wang Q, Garrity GM, Tiedje JM, Cole JR. 2007. Naïve bayesian classifier for
 rapid assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. Applied and
 Environmental Microbiology 73:5261–5267.
- 44. Yue JC, Clayton MK. 2005. A similarity measure based on species proportions.
 Communications in Statistics Theory and Methods 34:2123–2131.
- 45. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. 1995. Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and
 powerful approach to multiple testing. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B
 (Methodological) 57:289–300.
- 46. Topçuoğlu BD, Lesniak NA, Ruffin MT, Wiens J, Schloss PD. 2020. A framework for
 effective application of machine learning to microbiome-based classification problems.
 mBio 11.

Figure 1. Reduced antibiotic doses permitted murine communities to be colonized and spontaneously clear that C. difficile colonization. (A-C) Daily CFU of C. difficile in fecal samples of mice treated with clindamycin, cefoperazone, or streptomycin from time of challenge (Day 0) with 10^3 C. difficile strain 630 Δ erm spores through 10 days post infection (dpi). The bold line is the median CFU of the group and the transparent lines are the individual mice. (D-F) Relative abundance of twelve most abundant taxonomic groups, labeled with the lowest level of classification, at the time of *C. difficile* challenge, all other taxanomic groups are combined into Other. Each column is an individual mouse. (clindamycin - 10 mg/kg N =11; cefoperazone - 0.5 mg/mL N = 6, 0.3 mg/mL N = 13, 0.1 mg/mL N = 6; streptomycin - 5.0 mg/mL N = 8, 0.5 mg/mL N = 9, 0.1 mg/mL N = 11) LOD = Limit of detection.

Figure 2. Microbiota community diversity showed antibiotic-specific trends associated with *C. difficile* colonization clearance. For communities colonized with *C. difficile* from mice treated with clindamycin (A), cefoperazone (B), and streptomycin (C), microbiota α -diversity (S_{obs} and Inverse Simpson) and β -diversity (θ_{YC}) were compared at the initial pre-antibiotic treatment state, time of *C. difficile* challenge (TOC), and end of the experiment. β -diversity (θ_{YC}) was compared between the initial pre-antibiotic treatment to all other initial pre-antibiotic treatment communities treated with the same antibiotic, the initial community to the same community at the time of *C. difficile* challenge, and the initial community to the same community at end of the experiment. (clindamycin - cleared N = 11; cefoperazone - cleared N = 7, colonized N = 9; streptomycin - cleared N = 9, colonized N = 11). * indicates statistical significance of P < 0.05, calculated by Wilcoxon rank sum test with Benjamini-Hochberg correction.

Figure 3. OTU abundance differences between communities that cleared C. difficile colonization and remained colonized are unique to each treatment. For cefoperazone (A) and streptomycin (B), the difference in the relative abundance of OTUs that were significantly different between communities that eliminated C. difficile colonization and those that remained colonized within each antibiotic treatment for each time point were identified. Dark larger points in foreground are median relative abundance and transparent smaller points in background are relative abundance of individual mice. Lines connect points within each comparison to show difference in medians. Only OTUs at time points with statistically significant differences, P < 0.05, were plotted (calculated by Wilcoxon rank sum test with Benjamini-Hochberg correction). Limit of detection (LOD).

Figure 4. Each antibiotic had specific sets of temporal changes in OTU abundance associated with C. difficile colonization and clearance. For clindamycin (A), cefoperazone (C), and streptomycin (B, D), the difference in the relative abundance of OTUs that were significantly different between time points within each C. difficile colonization outcome for each antibiotic treatment were identified. Dark larger points in foreground are median relative abundance and transparent smaller points in background are relative abundance of individual mice. Lines connect points within each comparison to show difference in medians. Arrows point in the direction of the temporal change of the relative abundance. Only OTUs at time points with statistically significant differences, P < 0.05, were plotted (calculated by Wilcoxon rank sum test with Benjamini-Hochberg correction). Bold OTUs were shared across outcomes. Limit of detection (LOD).

Figure 5. Distinct features of the bacterial community at the time of infection can classify end point colonization. (A) L2 logistic regression model features' importance determined by the decrease in model performance when randomizing an individual feature. All OTUs affecting performance shown. Light green band in the background shows the interquartile range and the dark green line shows the median AUROC of the final model with all features included. (B) Distribution of odds ratio used in L2 logistic regression model. Values above 1 indicate abundance predicted the community cleared colonization (red) and values below 1 indicate abundance predicted *C. difficile* remained colonized (blue). Feature label and boxplot are colored to match the median odds ratio. (C) Relative abundance difference in features used by L2 logistic regression model displayed by antibiotic treatment.

Figure 6. Conditional independence networks reveal treatment-specific relationships between the community and C. difficile during colonization clearance. (A) SPIEC-EASI (sparse inverse covariance estimation for ecological association inference) networks showing conditionally independent first-order relationships between C. difficile and the community as C. difficile was cleared from the gut environment. Nodes are sized by median relative abundance of the OTU. A red colored edge indicates a negative interaction and blue indicates a positive interaction, while edge thickness indicates the interaction strength. (B) Network centrality measured with betweenness, i.e. how many paths between two OTUs pass through an individual, and degree, i.e. how many connections an OTU had. * indicates statistical significance of P < 0.05, calculated by Wilcoxon rank sum test with Benjamini-Hochberg correction.

Figure S1. Initial microbiota relative abundance of mice prior to antibiotic treatment. Initial community shows the most abundant taxa. The plot shows the relative abundance at the beginning of the experiment prior to antibiotic treatment of twelve most abundant taxonomic groups, labeled with the lowest level of classification. All other taxonomic groups are combined into Other. Each column is an individual mouse fecal

community. Color intensity is log₁₀-transformed mean percent relative abundance.

Figure S2. Cefoperazone-treated mice with increased S_{obs} have increased abundance initially low abundant OTUs. Relative abundance of each OTU plotted for mice treated with cefoperazone. OTUs arranged numerically along the x-axis. Each point is the relative abundance of a single OTU of an individual mouse. Split at $S_{obs} = 120$ to separate the communities that increased in α -diversity above the α -diversity in the untreated initial communities (Figure 2). $S_{obs} < 120$ - Initial N = 16, Time of Challenge N = 9, End N = 15; $S_{obs} > 120$ - Initial N = 0, Time of Challenge N = 6, End N = 1.

Figure S3. α -diversity of communities from cefoperazone-treated mice that remained colonized with *C. difficile* was not different by antibiotic dosage. S_{obs} and inverse simpson were plotted by the time point, *C. difficile* colonization outcome, and cefoperazone dosage and tested by Wilcoxon rank sum test with Benjamini-Hochberg correction for differences. The group with the largest difference, at the time of challenge for mice that remained colonized, was not significant (P = 0.1142857). Mice that remained

colonized are represented with filled points and those that cleared are unfilled. Points are shaped by cefoperazone dosage - circle 0.1 mg/mL, triangle 0.3 mg/mL, 0.5 mg/mL.

Figure S4. Temporally differing OTU for cefoperazone-treated mice that cleared C. difficile colonization. Bold points are median relative abundance and transparent points are relative abundance of individual mice. Lines connect points within each comparison to show difference in medians. Arrows point in the direction of the temporal change of the relative abundance. Only OTUs at time points with statistically significant differences, P < 0.05, were plotted (calculated by Wilcoxon rank sum test with Benjamini-Hochberg correction). Limit of detection (LOD).

Figure S5. Bacterial community at the time of infection can classify endpoint colonization. Classification performance of L2 logistic regression. Area under the receiver-operator curve for classifying if the community will remain colonized based on the OTUs present at the time of *C. difficile* infection (Day 0). Cross-validation of model performed on half of the data to tune model (CV AUC) and then tuned model was tested on the held-out data (Test AUC).