Microbiota predict *Clostridium difficile* severity in germ-free mice colonized with human feces

Running title: Microbiota predict <i>C. difficile</i> severity in humanized mice
Kaitlin J. Flynn $^{1+}$, Nicholas Lesniak $^{1+}$, Alyxandria M. Schubert 2 , Hamide Sinani 2 , and Patrick D. Schloss 1†
† Corresponding author: pschloss@umich.edu
+ These authors contributed equally to this work
1. Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109
2. Food and Drug Administration?

3. Department for Hamide?

Abstract

Clostridium difficile causes diarrheal disease when it successfully colonizes a disrupted gut microbial community. Current mouse models to study C. difficile infection (CDI) rely on pre-treatment with antibiotics to alter the mouse microbiome prior to inoculation. This model does not allow for analysis of human-associated microbial community members that modulate C. difficile colonization and expansion. To study human-associated microbes in the context of CDI, we inoculated germ-free C57BL/6 mice with one of 16 human fecal samples from diarrheal or healthy donors and challenged with C. difficile 14 days later. Five unique donor-mice combinations resulted in severe CDI while the remaining 11 only experienced mild disease. Both healthy and diarrheal donors were susceptible to colonization and severe symptoms of CDI. To determine if specific microbes were associated with disease severity outcomes, we built a classification Random Forest machine learning model based on relative abundance data of the communities prior to infection. The 12 model identified a number of bacterial populations associated with the development of severe 13 CDI, including Bacilliales, Ruminococcaceae, Ruminococcus, Staphylococcus, Streptococcus and Bacteriodetes. Additionally, a regression model accurately predicted colonization levels of C. difficile 15 at one to ten days post-infection. This model explained 99% of the variance in the number of 16 CFU isolated from mouse stool. Members of Lachnospiraceae, Parabacteroides, Bacteroidales, 17 Bacteroidetes, Porphyromonadaceae and unclassified Bacteria families were predictive of future 18 C. difficile colonization levels. Finally, challenging these mice with different strains of C. difficile 19 revealed that susceptible human-associated microbial communities were prone to severe disease 20 independent of strain type. Taken together these results suggest that human-associated microbial communities can be recapitulated in germ-free mice and used to characterize dynamics of CDI. 22 Because both healthy and diarrheal patients were susceptible to severe CDI, machine-learning 23 models are useful to identify bacterial populations that allow colonization and contribute to the development of *C. difficile* associated disease in humans.

Introduction

Clostridium difficile is an opportunistic pathogen of the human lower gastrointestinal tract. C. 27 difficile forms spores that can persist on abiotic surfaces and are not readily killed by ethanol-based 28 hand-sanitizers, putting hospital patients particularly at risk. Indeed, ~12% of hospital acquired infections in the United States are due to C. difficile and result in up to 15,000 deaths annually [1]. Disruption of the native microbial community is the most common risk factor for development 31 of C. difficile infection (CDI) [2]. Antibiotic use and inflammatory bowel diseases are associated with loss of colonization resistance to C. difficile through the loss of potentially protective bacterial families such as Barnesiella and Lachnospiraceae in both mouse models and human association experiments [3,4]. The composition of the community is clearly important for the acquisition, resistance and treatment of C. difficile infection, as giving patients a healthy fecal microbiome transplant is the most effective treatment for this disease [5]. The precise mechanisms of 37 colonization resistance and restoration of a healthy community are yet to be discovered.

Murine models to study CDI typically rely on treating conventionally-raised mice with antibiotics
either in drinking water or by injection to induce susceptibility [6,7]. This model provides a convenient
way to study *C. difficile* pathogenesis and virulence factors. Numerous microbiome studies have
been performed using this model to determine the antibiotic classes [8], starting microbial community
[9] and metabolites [10] that impact development and severity of *C. difficile* infection. While
informative, these studies are somewhat removed from human disease because they only examine
mouse-associated microbial communities.

Gnotobiotic or germ-free mouse models have been used for a range of studies of CDI, including
assessment of species-specific interactions between *C. difficile* and competing microbial
community members [11], analysis of nutrient restriction [12], *in vivo* transcriptomics of *C. difficile*and examination of host immune response to CDI [13]. Further, CDI therapeutics such as
antibiotics and fecal microbiota transplants have been tested extensively in a gnotobiotic-piglet
or piglet-to-gnotobiotic-mouse model of disease [14,15]. Pigs have a longer digestive tract with
components more similar to humans than mice and are typically infected by strains typical in
human infection [16]. However, the murine and porcine microbiomes typically do not resemble

those of the human gut.

The power of the gnotobiotic models to study CDI has been further realized by first inoculating germ-free mice and piglets with human stool microbes. In one study, germ-free piglets were acutely colonized with human feces for one week and then treated with tigecycline. After challenge with *C. difficile* none of the antibiotic-treated piglets succumbed to infection, while some of the untreated human-colonized pigs did [14]. In another study, germ-free mice colonized with human feces were bred over several generations to create a cohort of mice with identical human-derived microbiomes [17]. These mice were subsequently treated with a five-antibiotic cocktail to induce dysbiosis and then were successfully colonized by *C. difficile* [17]. While informative, these studies were limited in their use of only one human donor as input inoculum.

Here we designed a laboratory system that allows for studying and modeling microbial coumminity interactions with *C. difficile* infection in mice with human derived microbiomes. To test the impact of individual human microbiomes on CDI, we colonized germ-free mice with 16 different human stool donors. We then characterized human-associated microbiome response to *C. difficile* challenge. Further, we built a predictive model based on bacterial composition that classified "at-risk" microbiomes prior to infection with *C. difficile*. These findings show that human-associated microbiomes can be at risk for CDI even in the absence of antibiotic-induced dysbiosis. Finally, our results indicate that study of mice colonized with human feces can replicate a range of clinical outcomes.

73 Results

Germ-free mice inoculated with human feces as model for C. difficile infection. To generate mice with human-derived microbiomes, we inoculated one cage each of gnotobiotic C57/BL6 mice with one of 16 different human fecal donors. Five donors were patients that had diarrhea that was not attributable to *C. difficile* infection while 11 donors were healthy at time of donation. Stool from a patient that was colonized with virulent *C. difficile* was used as a positive control. After inoculation with human stool, mice microbiomes were allowed to equilibrate for 14 days. Prior to

infection, stool samples were taken from each mouse to establish baseline microbiome composition. Then, 100 spores of *C. difficile* strain 430 (isolated from the positive control patient) were used to orally infect each mouse. Mice were monitored for weight loss and clinical signs of disease. Fecal 82 samples were taken to enumerate C. difficile CFU and for microbiome analysis each day up to 10 days post-infection (Fig 1A). To ensure that the donors we selected represented a diverse array of human microbiomes, we sequenced the 16S rRNA genes from donor fecal inocula. Ordination of the distances between donor communities showed that the donors each had distinctly different communities, independent of whether the sample came from a sick or healthy person (Fig 1B). 87 Likewise, the microbial communities of the mice on day 0 were characterized by sequencing of fecal pellet DNA prior to infection (Table S1). Ordination of day 0 communities shows that mice 89 were similar to each other within each cage and donor, but distinct from other donors (Fig 1C). 90 This result confirmed that human-associated microbes were able to colonize gnotobiotic mice and provide various initial communities to test *C. difficile* dynamics. 92

C. difficile infection in mice with human-derived microbiota cause a range of outcomes. C. 93 difficile colonization was monitored by daily plating of stool pellets for C. difficile CFU. Nearly all of the mice were colonized to $10^5 - 10^7$ CFU by one day post-infection and remained colonized at 95 that level until the end of the experiment (Fig 2A). As one indicator of disease, mouse weights were taken each day post-infection and weight-loss was monitored alongside clinical signs. When mice were judged to be too ill to continue they were humanely euthanized. Overall, disease phenotypes fell into two classes. Mice that became severely ill and lost 20% or more of their starting body weight within one to two days post-infection were classified as "severe" whereas mice that were colonized with C. difficile but did not show signs of disease or severe weight loss were considered 101 to have "mild" disease (Fig 2A, 2B). Interestingly, C. difficile was able to cause severe disease 102 in both mice that had been colonized with healthy stool and those colonized with diarrheal stool, 103 suggesting susceptibility to CDI is dependent on the composition of the starting microbiome and 104 not associated with donor clinical metadata. 105

Nick will be updating the next two sections Microbes present in the gut prior to infection are predictive of *C. difficile* colonization levels Previous work in our group has demonstrated that the microbes present in the mouse microbiome prior to *C. difficile* challenge can predict future

108

colonization levels [8]. To determine if the human-associated microbiome in the mouse could similarly predict *C. difficile* colonization we employed a Random Forest machine learning algorithm similar to the one used in previous studies. Relative abundance of OTUs with greater than 1% abundance at day 0 were used as input to the model. We found that the model explained X% of the variance in colonization levels of *C. difficile* (Fig 3A). By refining the model to include only the top X predictive OTUs, the model explained X% of the variance. Partial dependency plots of the top X OTUs predictive of *C. difficile* colonization reveal that colonization is positively associated with *Bacteriodetes*, *Parabacteriodes* and *Porphyromonadaceae* (really?) (Fig 3B). Colonization was negatively associated with the presence of *Lachnospiraceae* (Fig 3B) though none of the human-associated mouse communities were completely resistant to colonization by *C. difficile* (Fig 2A, 3B). These results confirm that as in mouse microbiome studies, the human-associated gut community prior to challenge is predictive of *C. difficile* colonization levels.

109

111

112

113

114

115

116

118

119

The microbiome predicts C. difficile infection severity. As C. difficile colonization levels can be 121 predicted by the microbiome composition on day 0, we postulated that severity of disease could 122 also be predicted from day 0. Using weight loss as a proxy for severity, we built a Random Forest 123 classification model based on relative abundance to determine which community members were 124 associated with either mild or severe disease. We optimized the model using the AUC-RF algorithm 125 to generate a Reciever Operating Curve (ROC) with maximal Area Under the Curve (AUC) [18], as used previously in our group to classify colon cancer outcomes [19]. The optimized model used X 127 number of OTUs and identified several that predicted increased weightloss and subsequent disease 128 severity (Fig 4A, 4B). The populations that were most commonly found in mice that succumbed to 129 C. difficile infection were members of the Clostridiales, Bacilliales, Streptococcus (Lactobacilles?) 130 and Bacteriodes. (need more OTUs here, or number that were used for model and then group 131 by rank). The AUC was calculated using the Out-Of-Bag (OOB) error for each sample (Fig 4A). 132 Cross-validation using 10-fold, leave-one-mouse-out and leave-one-cage-out resulted in AUCs not 133 significantly different from the OOB (Fig 4A). This model reveals that the levels of X OTUs on day 0 134 can predict disease outcome in mice with human-associated microbiomes. (probably also going to 135 want a supplemental table for predictive OTUs for this and Fig 3)

7 Discussion

148

149

150

151

152

154

155

157

158

Study of human-associated microbiomes and their interactions with C. difficile has been limited 138 by the lack of an appropriate model and available samples. Here we colonized 16 groups of 139 mice with human donor stool from patients with different clinical profiles or healthy volunteers. This created a diverse set of human-associated mouse microbiomes that allowed for testing C. 141 difficile infection dynamics and community interactions. Our results showed that both healthy 142 and diarrheal-associated human communities were susceptible to severe disease. Additionally, 143 our results confirmed previous findings that resistance to C. difficile disease is dependent on the entire microbial community and not just one or two taxa [8]. Further, we were able to learn 145 classification models that identified specific OTUs within susceptible communities that predispose mice to infection.

Here we colonized germ-free mice with a variety of human stool communities and allowed these communities to colonize the mice for 2 weeks, prior to *C. difficile* challenge. It is important to note that the mouse gut environment is different from that of the human, and as such exerts a selective pressure on the human stool microbial community that stabilizes over the 2 weeks. Thus these mice cannot be considered "humanized" but rather colonized by human-associated microbes. Interestingly, clinical status was not associated with proclivity to severe *C. difficile* infection as some healthy donor mice were susceptible. A surprising finding was that none of the human-associated mouse communities were completely resistant to colonization by *C. difficile*. This is in contrast to numerous reports of mouse microbiome studies [8] and the knowledge that in general healthy humans without gut perturbations are resistant to *C. difficile* infection [20]. On the other hand, it is known that humans, especially health care employees, can be asymptomatic carriers of *C. difficile* [21]. This may explain the fact that the majority of our groups of mice were colonized by *C. difficile* but did not display outward signs of illness or infection-associated weight loss (Fig 2).

• Discuss prediction methods and outcomes - Nick - we have bugs that predict severity, but do
we have bugs that predict mild/asymptomatic? probably, could discuss here • Discuss potential
mechanisms for interesting OTUs - Nick

lt has been recently reported that carriage of a non-toxigenic strain of *C. difficile* can prevent additional colonization or recurrence by a pathogenic strain, suggesting a role of niche-filling or immunity this pre-colonization provides [22]. One interesting experiment would be to recapitulate this finding in human-associated mouse microbiomes by making a susceptible community resistant to infection. Further work with human-associated communities in mice and mathematical modeling will allow for uncovering of complex human-associated disease processes and dynamics as they relate to human *C. difficile* infection.

Materials and Methods

Mice The experiments in this study used 6-8 week-old male and female gnotobiotic C57/BL6 mice.

The mice were born and maintainted in a completely sterile environment in the Germ Free Mouse

Facility at the University of Michigan. The University Committee on Use and Care of Animals

approved all protocols and experiments conducted in this study.

Human samples Donor stool samples were chosen from a sample bank collected from patients 176 from October 2010 to November 2012 at the University of Michigan Health System. Sample 177 collection methods and the study were approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University 178 of Michigan. Stool samples from University of Michigan Health System patients were submitted to 179 the microbiology lab for C. difficile testing. C. difficile presence was measured using C.Diff Quik 180 Chek Complete Assay (Techlab). Fecal samples from these patients were stored in Cary-Blair 181 medium at -80C. All patients consented to participation in the study. Donor stool was selected from 182 one patient that tested positive for C. difficile infection and five patients that presented with diarrhea 183 and tested negative for C. difficile. Healthy donor stool was collected from adult volunteers living in 184 the Ann Arbor, MI area. 100ul of stool slurry was gavaged into each mouse. 185

Clostridium difficile and sample processing For all experiments, 100 spores of Clostridium difficile were used to orally infect mice as described previously [8]. C. difficile strain 431 is an 027 ribotype clinical isolate isolated from a patient with severe CDI [23]. After gavage, the remaining inoculum was diluted and plated to confirm infection dose. Bacteria were cultured anaerobically on

- TCCFA plates and incubated at 37C [24]. During infection, two fresh stool pellets were collected from each mouse daily. One pellet was resuspended in anaerobic phosphate-buffered saline and diluted and plated on TCCFA plates to enumerate *C. difficile* CFU. The other pellet was frozen at -80C until the end of the experiment.
- DNA isolation and sequencing Bacterial DNA was isolated from banked donor stool and each mouse stool pellet using the MO-BIO PowerSoil DNA isolation kit. This DNA was used as template for amplification of the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene and fragments were sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq as previously described [25].
- Sequence curation/mothur, data analysis, code + availability of data(SRA) and code (Github) Nick

200 Acknowledgments

We would like to thank members of the Schloss lab and Jhansi Leslie for experimental and analytical advice. We would also like to thank the Microbial Systems Laboratory at the University of Michigan for sequencing assistance.

204 Figure Legends

215

216

218

219

221

²⁰⁵ Figure 1. Germ-free mice inoculated with human feces as a model for *C. difficile infection*.

A) Experimental design. Stool from 16 healthy, diarrheal and CDI patients were independently inoculated into 3-4 germ-free mice by oral gavage. 14 days later mice were orally infected with 100 spores of C. difficile strain 431. Weight and stool CFU were monitored for up to 10 days post infection. B) NMDS ordination of donor stool communities prior to inoculating mice. Each point represents one donor and are colored by clinical diagnosis. C) NDMS ordination of the stool communities on day 0. Each symbol represents one mouse and is colored by donor. Circles represent mice that experienced mild disease and triangles represent those that suffered severe disease.

Figure 2. *C. difficile* infection results in mild or severe disease. A) *C. difficile CFU* was enumerated by plating of mouse stool pellets daily. Each point represents a mouse and the lines represent the median CFU in each group. Error bars are interquartile ranges. Red lines and points correspond to mice that succumbed to severe disease whereas black lines and points correspond to mice that had mild or no disease. B) Mouse weights were recorded and daily percent weight loss calculated for each mouse. Data is presented as the median of each group and interquartile ranges. Mice that succumbed to severe infection typically lost a significant amount of weight by day 1 or 2 post infection. Red lines correspond to severely ill mice, black to mice with mild disease.

Figure 3. Random Forest prediction of *C. difficile* colonization level. A) OTUs above 1% relative abundance on day 0 were used to predict median log₁₀ CFU of *C. difficile* after colonization.

OTUs were chosen such that they were not predictive of cage or donor. Each point is a mouse colored by cage. B) Partial dependency plots of the top six predictive OTUs. Line displats the partial dependence of log₁₀ CFU on the relative abundance of each predictive OUT. Each median log₁₀ CFU is plotted against its relative abundance for each predictive OTU.

Figure 4. Random Forest prediction of CDI severity. OTUs above 1% relative abundance on day 0 were used to predict disease severity. OTUs were chosen such that they were not predictive of cage or donor. Predictive classification tested via 10-fold (gray), leave-one-cage-out (purple

dashed) or leave-one-mouse-out (blue dashed) models are displayed in (A). B) Partial dependency plots of most predictive OTUs. Line displays the partial dependence of log₁₀ CFU on OTU relative abundance. Points are the OTU relative abundance of each mouse colored by outcome (red, severe, black, mild).

Supplement Table S1: Mouse day 0 communities by donor genera (avg + stdev of cage)

36 References

- 1. Lessa FC, Mu Y, Bamberg WM, Beldavs ZG, Dumyati GK, Dunn JR, et al. Burden of Clostridium difficile Infection in the united states. New England Journal of Medicine. New England Journal of Medicine (NEJM/MMS); 2015;372: 825–834. doi:10.1056/nejmoa1408913
- 240 2. Britton RA, Young VB. Interaction between the intestinal microbiota and host in clostridium difficile colonization resistance. Trends in Microbiology. Elsevier BV; 2012;20: 313–319. doi:10.1016/j.tim.2012.04.001
- 3. Schubert AM, Rogers MAM, Ring C, Mogle J, Petrosino JP, Young VB, et al. Microbiome data distinguish patients with clostridium difficile infection and non-c. difficile-associated diarrhea from healthy controls. mBio. American Society for Microbiology; 2014;5: e01021–14–e01021–14. doi:10.1128/mbio.01021-14
- 4. Vincent C, Stephens DA, Loo VG, Edens TJ, Behr MA, Dewar K, et al. Reductions in intestinal
 clostridiales precede the development of nosocomial clostridium difficile infection. Microbiome.
 Springer Nature; 2013;1: 18. doi:10.1186/2049-2618-1-18
- 5. Seekatz AM, Aas J, Gessert CE, Rubin TA, Saman DM, Bakken JS, et al. Recovery of the gut
 microbiome following fecal microbiota transplantation. mBio. American Society for Microbiology;
 2014;5: e00893–14–e00893–14. doi:10.1128/mbio.00893-14
- 6. Buffie CG, Jarchum I, Equinda M, Lipuma L, Gobourne A, Viale A, et al. Profound alterations of intestinal microbiota following a single dose of clindamycin results in sustained susceptibility to clostridium difficile-induced colitis. Infection and Immunity. American Society for Microbiology; 2011;80: 62–73. doi:10.1128/iai.05496-11
- 7. Theriot CM, Koumpouras CC, Carlson PE, Bergin II, Aronoff DM, Young VB. Cefoperazone-treated
 mice as an experimental platform to assess differential virulence of clostridium difficile strains. Gut
 Microbes. Informa UK Limited; 2011;2: 326–334. doi:10.4161/gmic.19142
- 8. Schubert AM, Sinani H, Schloss PD. Antibiotic-induced alterations of the murine gut microbiota

- and subsequent effects on colonization resistance against clostridium difficile. mBio. American

 Society for Microbiology; 2015;6: e00974–15. doi:10.1128/mbio.00974-15
- 9. Reeves AE, Theriot CM, Bergin IL, Huffnagle GB, Schloss PD, Young VB. The interplay between
 microbiome dynamics and pathogen dynamics in a murine model of clostridium difficile infection.
 Gut Microbes. Informa UK Limited; 2011;2: 145–158. doi:10.4161/gmic.2.3.16333
- 10. Theriot CM, Young VB. Microbial and metabolic interactions between the gastrointestinal tract and clostridium difficile infection. Gut Microbes. Informa UK Limited; 2013;5: 86–95. doi:10.4161/gmic.27131
- 11. Reeves AE, Koenigsknecht MJ, Bergin IL, Young VB. Suppression of clostridium difficile in the gastrointestinal tracts of germfree mice inoculated with a murine isolate from the family lachnospiraceae. Infection and Immunity. American Society for Microbiology; 2012;80: 3786–3794. doi:10.1128/iai.00647-12
- 12. Ng KM, Ferreyra JA, Higginbottom SK, Lynch JB, Kashyap PC, Gopinath S, et al.
 Microbiota-liberated host sugars facilitate post-antibiotic expansion of enteric pathogens. Nature.
 Springer Nature; 2013;502: 96–99. doi:10.1038/nature12503
- 13. Pawlowski SW, Calabrese G, Kolling GL, Freire R, AlcantaraWarren C, Liu B, et al. Murine model of clostridium difficile infection with aged gnotobiotic c57BL/6 mice and a BI/NAP1 strain. The Journal of Infectious Diseases. Oxford University Press (OUP); 2010;202: 1708–1712. doi:10.1086/657086
- 14. Kim HB, Zhang Q, Sun X, Beamer G, Wang Y, Tzipori S. Beneficial effect of oral
 tigecycline treatment on clostridium difficile infection in gnotobiotic piglets. Antimicrobial
 Agents and Chemotherapy. American Society for Microbiology; 2014;58: 7560–7564.
 doi:10.1128/aac.03447-14
- ²⁸⁴ 15. Diao H, Yan HL, Xiao Y, Yu B, Yu J, He J, et al. Intestinal microbiota could transfer ²⁸⁵ host gut characteristics from pigs to mice. BMC Microbiology. Springer Nature; 2016;16. ²⁸⁶ doi:10.1186/s12866-016-0851-z

- 16. Steele J, Feng H, Parry N, Tzipori S. Piglet models of acute or chronic clostridium difficile illness. The Journal of Infectious Diseases. Oxford University Press (OUP); 2010;201: 428–434. doi:10.1086/649799
- ²⁹⁰ 17. Collins J, Auchtung JM, Schaefer L, Eaton KA, Britton RA. Humanized microbiota mice ²⁹¹ as a model of recurrent clostridium difficile disease. Microbiome. Springer Nature; 2015;3. ²⁹² doi:10.1186/s40168-015-0097-2
- 18. Calle ML, Urrea V, Boulesteix A-L, Malats N. AUC-RF: A new strategy for genomic profiling with random forest. Human Heredity. S. Karger AG; 2011;72: 121–132. doi:10.1159/000330778
- 19. Baxter NT, Ruffin MT, Rogers MAM, Schloss PD. Microbiota-based model improves the
 sensitivity of fecal immunochemical test for detecting colonic lesions. Genome Medicine. Springer
 Nature; 2016;8. doi:10.1186/s13073-016-0290-3
- 298 20. Heinlen L, Ballard JD. Clostridium difficile infection. The American Journal of the Medical Sciences. Elsevier BV; 2010;340: 247–252. doi:10.1097/maj.0b013e3181e939d8
- 21. Furuya-Kanamori L, Marquess J, Yakob L, Riley TV, Paterson DL, Foster NF, et al. Asymptomatic clostridium difficile colonization: Epidemiology and clinical implications. BMC Infectious Diseases.

 Springer Nature; 2015;15. doi:10.1186/s12879-015-1258-4
- 22. Gerding DN, Meyer T, Lee C, Cohen SH, Murthy UK, Poirier A, et al. Administration of spores of nontoxigenic clostridium difficile strain m3 for prevention of recurrent c difficile infection. JAMA.

 American Medical Association (AMA); 2015;313: 1719. doi:10.1001/jama.2015.3725
- 23. Carlson PE, Walk ST, Bourgis AE, Liu MW, Kopliku F, Lo E, et al. The relationship between phenotype, ribotype, and clinical disease in human clostridium difficile isolates. Anaerobe. Elsevier BV; 2013;24: 109–116. doi:10.1016/j.anaerobe.2013.04.003
- 24. Bliss DZ, Johnson S, Clabots CR, Savik K, Gerding DN. Comparison of cycloserine-cefoxitin-fructose agar (CCFA) and taurocholate-CCFA for recovery of clostridium difficile during surveillance of hospitalized patients. Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease. Elsevier BV; 1997;29: 1–4. doi:10.1016/s0732-8893(97)00113-2

25. Kozich JJ, Westcott SL, Baxter NT, Highlander SK, Schloss PD. Development of a dual-index sequencing strategy and curation pipeline for analyzing amplicon sequence data on the MiSeq illumina sequencing platform. Applied and Environmental Microbiology. American Society for Microbiology; 2013;79: 5112–5120. doi:10.1128/aem.01043-13