IPSP078 - Legal Aspects of Electronic Commerce

Portfolio Exam: 691859

Nyameko Lisa

19 October 2018



Declaration

I know that plagiarism is to use someone else's work and pass it off as my own.

I know that plagiarism is wrong.

I confirm that this assignment is my own work.

I have acknowledged in the bibliography accompanying the assignment all the sources that I have used.

I have not directly copied without acknowledgement anything from the Internet or from any other source.

I have indicated every quotation and citation in a footnote or bracket linked to that quotation.

I have not allowed anyone else to copy my work and to pass it off as their own work.

I understand that if any unacknowledged copying whatsoever appears in my assignment I will receive zero per cent for the assignment.

I am aware of the UNISA policy on plagiarism and understand that disciplinary proceedings can be instituted against me by UNISA if I contravene this policy.

I indicate my understanding and acceptance of this declaration by entering my name here under:

• Name: Nyameko Lisa (Student Number: 7874-909-3)

NOTE

Please note that footnotes will be denoted as ¹ and will appear at the bottom of the page. References will be denoted by [1] and a numerically organized citation list will appear at the end of the document.

 $^{^{1}}$ This is a footnote.

1 Ofentse approaches you and wants your advise on the following: [25]

As per the provisions of [section 1][1] together with [section 1(q)][2] the data base will be understood to constitute either 'data' or a 'data message', Ofentse as the compiler of the database will be understood to be the 'data controller' and his clients whose personal information constitute the content of the database will be understood to be 'data subjects'.

1.1 The requirements that the databases must meet in order to enjoy copyright protection. (10)

As per the provisions of [section 1(1)][3] in the context of copyright law a database i.e. tables and compilations of data stored or embodied in a computer or medium used in conjunction with a computer, will be considered to be a 'literary work' and as a such it will be eligible for copyright per the provisions of [section 2(1)(a)][3] provided Ofentse can demonstrate that his database is indeed original, and finally as per [section 2(2)][3] that the database can be recorded in digital data. Furthermore copyright shall be conferred to the database if Ofentse can demonstrate that he is a 'qualified person', i.e. that he is a South African citizen or is domiciled or resident in the Republic [section 3(1)(a)][3].

As a Paris Convention Member Statue the provisions of [article 9(1)][4], [section 5][3] [article 1(2)][5], [article 5(2)][6] and [article 1(4)][7] dictate that the statues of the Republic shall govern international copyright protection as it pertains to the Internet.

1.2 The provisions of the Electronic Communications and Transactions Act 2002 which limits the liability of the Internet service providers. (15)

As per the provisions of the ETC Act [1], a service provider is not liable for damages arising from said service provider for providing access to information system services or wireless applications services [section 70][1] together with [section 36][2], or for operating facilities as a 'mere conduit' [section 73][1] together with [section 38][2], 'caching purposes' [section 74][1] together with [section 39][2] and 'hosting services' [section 75][1]. The eligibility for the limitation of liability of a service provider is dependent on their membership with a recognized Representative body as per [sections 71 and 72][1] together with [section 37][2] Moreover the Act explicitly excludes service providers' obligation from monitoring data, as per [section 78][1].

2 Advise the Committee on the following issues [25]:

2.1 Does EduCate's marketing practice constitute spam according to South African law? If so, discuss those legislative provisions and state whether they adequately protect consumers? [15]

Given that the recipients email addresses were retrieved from public websites, and that there have been consumers who've lodged complaints against EduCate CC, EduCate's bulk email newsletters constitute commercially motivated direct-marketing by means of unsolicited electronic communications, thus amounting to spam [8], [9]. As per the provisions of [section 45][1] together with [section 23][2] recipients of unsolicited communications are able to opt-out of future communications and may request information on where their personal information and contact details were obtained. Moreover as per the provisions of [section 45(3,4)][1] together with [section 23][2], anyone failing to comply or persisting to send unsolicited commercial communications to a person whose advised that such communication is unwelcome, is guilty of an offense and liable on conviction to penalties.

As per the provisions of [section 11(1, 2)][10] every person has the right to privacy which includes the right to restrict unwanted direct marketing through retrospective refusal to accept or request discontinuance or preemptive blockage of said unsolicited messages. Moreover as per the provisions of [section 11(3 - 5)][10] consumers may register either a general or specific preemptive block against any communications primarily for the purpose of direct marketing.

As per the provisions of [section 69(1)][11] stipulates that for the purposes of direct marketing by any form of electronic communication, the processing of personal information of a data subject is prohibited unless the data subject has given their consent. Moreover as per [subsections (2, 3) of section 69][11] a responsible party may approach existing customers or prospective consumers on a single occasion provided that said consumers have not previously withheld such consent. Lastly as per the provisions of [section 69(4)][11] stipulates that a responsible party must in their communications include identifying details of either the sender or party on behalf of whom the information has been sent, and a mechanism for the cessation of further messages.

2.2 Choose one country that regulates spam in its jurisdiction and give a detailed discussion on the country's initiatives. Also note what SA can learn from that jurisdiction regarding the issues of spam. (10)

Canada's Anti-Spam Legislation [12] was enacted on 15 December 2010 and its purpose is to promote the efficiency and adaptability of the Canadian economy [section 3][12] by regulating a range of online activities including spam messaging, spyware distribution, fishing, fraudulent as well as other deceptive and harmful online threats and practices. The legislation adopts a holistic approach in encompassing through implementation within this Act amendments to their corresponding legislation: the Canadian Radio-Television and Telecommunications Commission Act [13], the Canadian Competition Act [14], the Canadian Personal Information Protection and Electronic Documents Act [15] and the Canadian Telecommunications Act [16].

The introductory [sections 1 - 5][12] deal with the interpretive definitions; conflicting provisions and the precedence of this Act; the purpose of the Act, scope and applicability of the Act respectively. [Sections 6 - 13][12] detail the **Requirements and Prohibitions** related to electronic messages during the conduct of commercial activities, namely: unsolicited electronic messages [section 6][12], alteration of transmission data [section 7][12], installation of computer programs [section 8][12], the jurisdiction where the contravention of the corresponding sections applies [sections 9, 12][12], the requirements for express consent to perform regulated and prohibited actions [section 10][12], mandatory provision of an unsubscribe mechanism with respect to unsolicited messages [section 11][12] and lastly how the burden of proof vests with the alleged perpetrator of a prohibited activity to demonstrate that they indeed had express consent to perform said activity [section 13][12].

Administrative Monetary Penalties are articulated in [sections 14 - 40][12], namely: the appointment of a designated authority [section 14][12]; their authority to demand preservation of and notice to produce infringing electronic data [section 15 - 18][12]; issuance and execution of warrants, including details regrading use of force and entry onto private property [section 19][12]; notification and determination of responsibility for the contravention of the prohibitions amounting to violations for which one may be found liable to an administrative monetary penalty to the value of \$1,000,000 in the case of an individual and \$10,000,000 in the case of any other person, are considered in [sections 20 - 26][12], these sections also detail how the penalties are not intended to be punitive, but rather they are intended to encourage compliance; the appeals process to the Federal Court of Appeals, the recovery of penalties and other amounts, and the rules regarding violations are the purview of [sections 27 - 33][12], including vicarious liability where an employer may be liable for violations committed by their employee during the course of

their employment; general provisions regarding judicial powers, rules of procedure, evidence, publication and enforcement are dealt with in [sections 34 - 40][12].

Notice and issuance of an **Injunction** is outlined in [section 41][12], whilst matters relating to **Offenses** are articulated in [section 42 - 46][12]. These include offenses resulting from non-compliance [section 42][12] with respect to refusal or failure to comply with either a preservation demand [section 15][12], presentation notification [section 17][12], and/or a warrant issued as per [section 19(4)][12]; obstruction and falsification of information [section 43]; implicit and vicarious liability of directors and officers of corporations and employers in general [sections 44 & 45][12]; with the monetary details for offenses detailed in [section 46][12].

With regards to actual litigation and the **Private Right of Action** [sections 47 - 49][12] deal with the application process; [sections 50 - 51][12] provide details for the court hearing and their respective orders; and [sections 52 - 55][12] describe the rules about contraventions and re-viewable conduct. The remaining sections details statutory regulations, as well as parliamentary and ministerial roles and responsibilities. Where [sections 56 - 61][12] described the process for the **Consultation and Disclosure of Information**, be it by an organization [section 56 - 57][12]; by a Commission [sections 58 - 59][12]; by the government of a foreign state [section 60][12]; and the reports to the Ministry of Industry [section 61][12].

The Canadian Anti-Spam Law [12] is arguably one of the most stringent in the world and should conceivably have a significant impact on reducing spam, in that it constitutes specific legislation with a targeted purpose [section 3][12], as opposed to a fragmented, piecemeal collection of a limited amount of provisions [8], [9] which are secondary to the aims of the statues within which they are contained [1], [2], [10], [11] as is the case within the Republic.

3 Advise Kate on the following: [25]

- 3.1 Whether the requirements of an electronic signature have been met by clicking on the icon "submit order". (10)
- 3.2 Does South African law recognize this type of transaction, and what are the legal implications thereof? (10)
- 3.3 Can she cancel the contract? (5)
- 4 Discuss the following questions regarding the term permanent establishment (PE): [25]
- 4.1 Describe what the term PE means. (5)
- 4.2 Explain whether the following constitutes a PE on the Internet: a website; as server; a dependent agent. Refer to authoritative sources. (20)

References

- [1] Electronic Communications and Transactions Act No. 25, 2002.
- [2] Electronic Communications and Transactions Amendment Bill, 2012.
- [3] Copyright Act No. 98, 1978.
- [4] Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights, 1994.

- [5] Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council, 2000.
- [6] Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works, 1886.
- [7] WIPO Copyright Treaty, 1996.
- [8] B. Hermann and S. Papadopoulos, "Direct marketing and spam via electronic communications: An analysis of the regulatory framework in south africa," *De Jure*, vol. 1, no. 47, p. 42, 2014.
- [9] S. Tladi, "The regulation of unsolicited commercial communications (spam): I the opt-out mechanism effective?" South African Law Journal, vol. 125, no. 1, 172–192, 2008.
- [10] Consumer Protection Act No. 68, 2008.
- [11] Protection of Personal Information Act No. 4, 2013.
- [12] Canadian Anti-Spam Legislation, (S.C. 2010, c. 23).
- [13] Canadian Radio-Television and Telecommunications Commission Act, (R.S.C. 1985, c. C-22).
- [14] Canadian Competition Act, (R.S.C. 1985, c. C-34).
- [15] Canadian Personal Information Protection and Electronic Documents Act, (S.C. 2000, c. 5).
- [16] Canadian Telecommunications Act, (S.C. 1993, c. 38).