Date: Thursday 7th March 2013

Time: 14:00-15:00 CET, 13:00-14:00 GMT

Online meeting: Google+ Hangout: https://plus.google.com/hangouts /_/4089765b22d51fb35b239907ea14e0daf162da79?authuser=0&hl=en

Present: Sara, Jakob, Sion, Matthijs

Jabber: xmpp:opendnssec@conference.kirei.se

- 1. Who will write minutes? Sara.
- 2. Agree on the agenda. Yes.

3. Project actions

| Issue | What | Who | Status |
|----------------|---|-------------------|----------------|
| OPENDNSSEC-132 | Benchmarking system for a random TLD | Sara Dickinson | In Progress |
| OPENDNSSEC-121 | Review versioning system used and document support timeline for older versions Email sent to the board this week describing proposed changes to versioning scheme for 1.4 release. In the absence of objections we will go ahead with changing the versioning scheme. | Sara Dickinson | In Progress |
| OPENDNSSEC-133 | Regression testing/requirements Sara has re-arranged and updated the wiki pages a little and Nick is going to take over review of test cases and coverage once finished with the validns testing. | Sara Dickinson | in Progress |
| OPENDNSSEC-382 | Review all the issues in JIRA | Sara Dickinson | In Progress |
| OPENDNSSEC-131 | Find a sparc box Sara has configured the box as a jenkins slave (with help from John Dickinson) and after updating the build scripts to cope with 64 bit solaris OpenDNSSEC will now build on this box. However when trying to run the regression tests ods-kaspcheck seg faults on all the tests from low level libraries (either strlen() in libc or from within libxml2). Investigating | Sara Dickinson | In Progress |

4. OpenDNSSEC

- OPENDNSSEC Unassigned issues
 - All unassigned open issues
 - None.
- SUPPORT Open issues
 - All unresolved Support issues
 - SUPPORT-52: Sara to ask reporter if the issue can be closed. DONE.
- OpenDNSSEC 1.3.14
 - Nominate any issues for 1.3.14?
 - All issues for 1.3.14 (also check the NEWS file)
 - SUPPORT-367: (Confirm algorithm change) This is not ported to 1.3 but this is the only functional change and is not high priority. We agree this can be closed and we should drop Paul Wouters (the reporter) a note DONE.
 - Should we release?

No need.

• OpenDNSSEC 1.4.0

Actions

| Nick | Investigate validns and how we could use it (OPENDNSSEC-143). | IN PROGRESS | | |
|------|---|----------------|--|--|
|------|---|----------------|--|--|

- Updates?
 - FreeBSD testing problems intermittent segfault seen. This is still an issue.
 ACTION: Sion is going to use Jerry's instructions to run the tests on a physical 64bit FreeBSD machine to see if we see the same problems there.
 ACTION: Sara to email the users list to see if any there has every seen this. It was also proposed that we could re-install the virtual machine to see if this makes the problem disappear.
- All issues for 1.4.0 (also check the NEWS file)
 - OPENDNSSEC-387: Only the auditor testing is still outstanding here. Jerry doesn't have time to do the testing but he has the only copy of the VM set up to do it. Nick is available next week to do the testing but unfortunately Jerry doesn't want to make the VM available to anyone else (UPDATE: He doesn't want to burn a DVD of his VM image as it is his own private image. He might have time to explain how he got the auditor working though.)
- Planned Release date:
 - 1.4.0rc3
 - Agree we are ready to release a rc3 as all other beta/regression testing is done and we won't delay the rc release for the auditor testing. Earliest available resources for a rc release are Friday 15th March.
 - 1.4.0

OpenDNSSEC 2.0.0b1

Actions

| Yuri | <backuprequired>. PROGRESS</backuprequired> | | |
|------|---|------|--|
| Yuri | | | |
| Sara | Look into additional DB support for 2.0 | OPEN | |

- Updates?
 - No updates.
- Open issues for 2.0.0b1
- Regression & System testing coverage
- Planned Release date:
- Testing

· General updates

• Roadmap review/Developer meeting (RIPE 66?) Sara raises the point that we should be turning our attention of the 2.0 release and thinking about timescales for it. She also asks what the opinions are about having a physical developer meeting in the near future - should we have a standalone meeting or try to combine it with RIPE again? Opinion is that combining it with RIPE is convenient but it might be hard to find the time to squeeze in a full day meeting so we could try to arrange a couple of developer sessions. ACTION: Sara to email developers/Doodle to arrange developer meeting around RIPE 66

5. SoftHSM

Actions

| Who What Status Whe |
|---------------------|
|---------------------|

- Updates?
- Releases:
 - SoftHSM 1.3.5
 - SoftHSM 2.0.0

6. Next meeting

Thursday 21st March, 14:00 CET Sion and Jakob can't make this so will try Tuesday 26th March instead.

7. **AOB**

None.