LUND UNIVERSITY



LINEAR AND LOGISTIC REGRESSION FMSN30

Peer review of Ebba Toreheim's Project 2

Author: El-Tayeb BAYOMI

May 13, 2020

1 Peer assessment

- Does the report have title page, authors, date, page numbers? Yes, everything seems to enumerated and structured.
- Does the report have an introduction, results and/or conclusions? The introduction is nicely written and the results are separated into the different sections, which makes it nice and easy to follow. The conclusion is a bit short and named *final remarks*. That's understandable, because discussion of the different results are done in the same section as the results were presented.

The layout of the report is a matter of taste, I think both ways of presenting conclusion and analysis are feasible.

- Has the report been proof read? Yes, there doesn't seem to be any apparent spelling errors throughout the report.
- Have language and spelling mistakes been corrected? See above.
- Are figures and tables: Numbered? Equipped with suitable captions? Referred to in the text? Figures and tables: are numbered and equipped with suitable captions. They're also referred to in the text.
- Is the text divided into paragraphs and well structured with clear and suitable section headings? Yes I think so. A very clean and structured report.
- Is the report easy to read, and understandable without access to the project description? Yes, I think it is.
- Have all the tasks in the assignment been completed? In section 2 c) you haven't calculated the confidence interval of your estimates, this can be done manually. Other than that I can't find anything else.
- Does the report contain relevant figures and tables? Yes, they've been done in LateX it seems in a structured manner; which is nice to read.
- Has all notation been properly introduced and/or explained?
 Yes, I think so.

• Has the model been properly introduced? The different models that have been tested throughout the project have always been introduced in the report.