Group Project Peer & Self Evaluation Form

The purpose of this form is to rate the overall quality of your own and your fellow Group Project members' work. List all group members' names, including your own, in the designated sections below. Please note that this form is confidential and will not be shared with your group members.

Please fill out the following table using the rankings below. Descriptions for each category are listed below the table.

Rating scale: 1 = Unsatisfactory; 2 = Poor; 3 = Average; 4 = Good; 5 = Exceptional

Group Member Names *(including your own)*	Michael-V-21	NM-CS-FILES	mnasri-288956			
QUALITY OF WORK	4	4	4			
PROBLEM SOLVING SKILLS	4	4	5			
TEAMWORK	3	2	3			
INITIATIVE	5	5	5			
COMMUNICATION	1	1	3			
TIME MANAGEMENT	3	3	4			
OVERALL CONTRIBUTION (TOTAL OF ABOVE 6 SCORES)	**20**	**19**	**24**			

*Note: For any team member rated as poor or unsatisfactory in any category, indicate reasons in the "Additional Comments and Recommendations" section below.

PLEASE SHARE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS AND/OR RECOMMENDATIONS: OVERALL, THE TEAM FUNCTIONED AT AN ACCEPTABLE LEVEL. EVERYONE CONTRIBUTED TO THE PROJECT, AND MOST TASKS WERE FINISHED ON TIME. COMMUNICATION WAS SOMETIMES INCONSISTENT, BUT WE WERE USUALLY ABLE TO COORDINATE WHEN REQUIRED. INITIATIVE AND PROBLEM SOLVING WERE ADEQUATE FOR WHAT THE PROJECT NEEDED. NO MAJOR ISSUES, JUST NORMAL GROUP CHALLENGES.

CATEGORY DESCRIPTIONS

QUALITY OF WORK:
Work was generally organized and acceptable. Some areas could be more polished, but overall tasks were finished at an understandable level.

PROBLEM SOLVING SKILLS:
Team members were able to solve problems with basic explanation and judgement. The technical work met the requirements without major problems.

TEAMWORK:
The team cooperated when needed and maintained a respectful working environment. Participation varied, but overall, the teamwork was adequate.

INITIATIVE:
Most members took responsibility for their tasks and made progress to move the project forward. Initiative was average across the group.

COMMUNICATION:

Communication happens when necessary but not always consistent. Messages were sometimes delayed, but overall, group coordination worked.

TIME MANAGEMENT:

Deadlines were met for the most part. Some scheduling challenges occurred, but overall time management was acceptable.