


Machine Learning

Introduction

Supervised Learning


Housing price prediction.



Supervised Learning
“right answers” given


Regression: Predict continuous
valued output (price)

Breast cancer (malignant, benign)



Classification

Discrete valued
output (0 or 1)



- Clump Thickness
- Uniformity of Cell Size
- Uniformity of Cell Shape
- ...

You're running a company, and you want to develop learning algorithms to address each of two problems.

Problem 1: You have a large inventory of identical items. You want to predict how many of these items will sell over the next 3 months.

Problem 2: You'd like software to examine individual customer accounts, and for each account decide if it has been hacked/compromised.


Should you treat these as classification or as regression problems?

Treat both as classification problems.

Treat problem 1 as a classification problem, problem 2 as a regression problem.

Treat problem 1 as a regression problem, problem 2 as a classification problem.

Treat both as regression problems.




Machine Learning


Introduction

Unsupervised Learning

Supervised Learning



Unsupervised Learning



Google News
news.google.com

Web Images Videos Maps News Shopping Gmail more ▾ andrewyantakng@gmail.com | Web History | Settings ▾ | Sign out

Google news Search News Search the Web Advanced news search

U.S. edition ▾ Add a section »

Top Stories

Deepwater Horizon
Fed meeting
Foreign exchange market
Lindsay Lohan
IBM
Tom Brady
Toronto International Film Festival
Paris Hilton
Iran
Hurricane Igor

Starred ☆

San Francisco Bay Area
World
U.S.
Business
Sci/Tech
More Top Stories
Spotlight
Health
Sports
Entertainment


All news


Headlines
Images


Top Stories


Christine O'Donnell »
White House official denies Tea Party-focused ad campaign
CNN International - Ed Henry - 1 hour ago
Democratic sources say the White House is not considering an ad campaign tying Republicans to the Tea Party. Washington (CNN) -- A top White House official sharply denied a report that claims President Obama's political advisers are weighing a national ...
Tea Party is misplacing the blame, former President Bill Clinton claims
New York Daily News
GOP tea party backer defends Christine O'Donnell The Associated Press
Atlanta Journal Constitution - Politics Daily - MyFox Washington DC - Salon all 726 news articles »

US Stocks Climb After Recession Called Over, Homebuilders Gain
MarketWatch - Kristina Peterson - 16 minutes ago
NEW YORK (MarketWatch) -- US stocks climbed Monday, gaining speed after a key nonprofit organization officially called the recession over, giving investors a boost of confidence in the gradual economic recovery.
Longest recession since 1930s ended in June 2009, group says
Los Angeles Times
Downturn Was Longest in Decades, Panel Confirms New York Times
Wall Street Journal - AFP - CNN - USA Today all 276 news articles »

Deepwater Horizon »
BP Oil Well, Site of National Catastrophe, Dies at One
Vanity Fair - Juli Weiner - 22 minutes ago
The BP oil well, site of the Deepwater Horizon explosion that led to the worst oil spill in US history, died today at one year old.
Video: Blown-out BP Well Finally Killed in Gulf  The Associated Press
Weiss Doubts BP Would End Operations in Gulf of Mexico: Video Bloomberg
CNN International - Wall Street Journal (blog) - The Guardian - New York Times
all 2,292 news articles »


CNN Interna...


MyFox Phila...


Reuters

Recent

Recession officially ended in June 2009 ☆ ▾
CNMoney - Chris Isidore - 39 minutes ago

Hurricane Igor lashes Bermuda ☆ ▾
USA Today - Gerry Broome - 5 minutes ago

'Explain what you want from us.' reads front-page editorial ☆ ▾
msnbc.com - Olivia Torres - 10 minutes ago

Crisis response: Pakistan floods

San Francisco Bay Area - Edit

Clorox »
Bay Biz Buzz: Clorox close to selling STP Armor All ☆ ▾
San Jose Mercury News - 48 minutes ago - all 24 articles »

Google's official beekeeper keeps the company buzzing with excitement ☆ ▾
San Jose Mercury News - Bruce Newman - 1 hour ago

Jon Sylvia »
Martinez man still unconscious as police investigate weekend shooting ☆ ▾
San Jose Mercury News - Robert Salonga - 48 minutes ago - all 6 articles »

Spotlight

Sarkozy rages at EU 'humiliation' ☆ ▾
Financial Times - Peggy Hollinger - Sep 16, 2010

Google News

news.google.com

Web Images Videos Maps News Shopping Gmail more

Google news

Search News

Search the Web

Advanced news search

U.S. edition

Add a section

Top Stories

Deepwater Horizon
Fed meeting
Foreign exchange market
Lindsay Lohan
IBM
Tom Brady
Toronto
International Film Festival
Paris Hilton
Iran
Hurricane Igor

Starred

San Francisco Bay Area

World

U.S.

Business

Sci/Tech

More Top Stories

Spotlight

Health

Sports

Entertainment

All news

Headlines

Images

Top Stories

Christine O'Donnell
White House official denies Tea Party-focused ad campaign
CNN International - Ed Henry - 1 hour ago
Democratic sources say the White House is not considering an ad campaign tying Republicans to the Tea Party. Washington (CNN) - A top White House official sharply denied a report that claims President Obama's political advisers are weighing a national Tea Party is replacing the blame, former President Bill Clinton claims. New York Daily News
GOP tea party backer defends Christine O'Donnell The Associated Press
Atlanta Journal Constitution - Politics Daily - MyFox Washington DC - Salon all 726 news articles

US Stocks Climb After Recession Called Over, Homebuilders Gain
MarketWatch - Kristina Peterson - 16 minutes ago
NEW YORK (MarketWatch) - US stocks climbed Monday, gaining speed after a key nonprofit organization officially called the recession over, giving investors a boost of confidence in the gradual economic recovery. Longest recession since 1930s ended in June 2009, group says. Los Angeles Times
Downturn Was Longest in Decades, Panel Confirms New York Times
Wall Street Journal - AFP - CNN - USA Today all 276 news articles

BP Oil Well, Site of National Catastrophe, Dies at One
Vanity Fair - Juli Weiner - 22 minutes ago
The BP oil well, site of the Deepwater Horizon explosion that led to the worst oil spill in US history, died today at one year old. Video: Blown out BP Well Finally Killed in Gulf The Associated Press
Weiss Doubts BP Would End Operations in Gulf of Mexico: Video Bloomberg
CNN International - Wall Street Journal (blog) - The Guardian - New York Times all 2,292 news articles

Recession officially ended in June 2009
CNNMoney - Chris Isadore - 39 minutes ago
Hurricane Igor lashes Bermuda
USA Today - Gerry Boone - 5 minutes ago
Explain what you want from us - reads front-page editorial
mattco.com - Olivia Torres - 10 minutes ago

Crisis response: Pakistan floods

San Francisco Bay Area - Edit

Clonox
Bay Biz Buzz: Clonox close to selling STP, Armor All
San Jose Mercury News - 48 minutes ago all 24 articles

Google's official browser keeps the company buzzing
San Jose Mercury News - Bruce Newman - 1 hour ago

Jan Bylka
Martinez man still unconscious as police investigate weekend shooting
San Jose Mercury News - Robert Salanga - 48 minutes ago - all 6 articles

Spotlight
Sarkozy rages at EU 'humiliation'
Financial Times - Peggy Hollinger - Sept 20, 2010

BP Kills Macondo, But Its Legacy Lives On

THE WALL STREET JOURNAL

Log In • Register for Free • Subscribe Now, Get 2 Weeks Free

Financial Services Transport Leisure Insurance Oil & Gas Sport Caught on the Web Betting Technology

September 20, 2010 10:44 PM GMT

BP Kills Macondo, But Its Legacy Lives On

Article Comments (2)

THE SOURCE HOME PAGE

By James Heiron

BP confirmed late Sunday that the Macondo well that leaked almost five million barrels of oil into the Gulf of Mexico has been permanently sealed, but the well will continue to affect BP and the wider oil industry for many years.

The most immediate worry for BP and its shareholders is how the authorities will apportion blame for the spill. BP's own investigation

Fire boat response crews battled the blazing remnants of the offshore oil rig on April 21, 2010.

About the Source

The Source is WSJ.com Europe's home for rapid analysis of the day's big business and finance stories. It is edited by Lauren Mills, based in London.

Most Recent

Articles Comments

- Who Needs Plaza II Anyway
- Will Banks Be Forced to Split Retail And Banking Arms?
- Timing of Ratings Award Intriguing
- BP Kills Macondo, But Its Legacy Lives On
- We Ahead: Road a Gamble to Rural III

Allen: Well is dead, but much Gulf Coast work remains

By the CNN Wire Staff

September 20, 2010 - Updated 1317 GMT (2117 HKT)

Click to play

What next for Gulf oil spill?

STORY HIGHLIGHTS


(CNN) - The ruptured Macondo well, a mile under the Gulf of Mexico off the Louisiana coast, has been permanently dead.

BP oil spill cost hits nearly \$10bn


BP has set up a \$20bn compensation fund after the Deepwater Horizon disaster, which has so far paid out 19,000 claims totalling more than \$240m

Julia Kollewe
guardian.co.uk, Monday 20 September 2010 08:33 BST
Article history


BP's costs for the Deepwater Horizon disaster have hit \$10bn. Photograph: HoReuters




Organize computing clusters



Social network analysis



Market segmentation



Astronomical data analysis


Of the following examples, which would you address using an unsupervised learning algorithm? (Check all that apply.)

Given email labeled as spam/not spam, learn a spam filter.

Given a set of news articles found on the web, group them into set of articles about the same story.

Given a database of customer data, automatically discover market segments and group customers into different market segments.

Given a dataset of patients diagnosed as either having diabetes or not, learn to classify new patients as having diabetes or not.




Machine Learning

Linear regression
with one variable

Model
representation

Housing Prices (Portland, OR)

Price
(in 1000s
of dollars)



Supervised Learning

Given the “right answer” for each example in the data.

Regression Problem

Predict real-valued output

Training set of housing prices (Portland, OR)	Size in feet ² (x)	Price (\$) in 1000's (y)
	2104	460
	1416	232
	1534	315
	852	178

Notation:

m = Number of training examples

x's = “input” variable / features

y's = “output” variable / “target” variable

Training Set



Learning Algorithm



Size of
house




h



Estimated
price

How do we represent h ?

Linear regression with one variable.
Univariate linear regression.



Machine Learning

Linear regression
with one variable

Cost function


Training Set	Size in feet ² (x)	Price (\$) in 1000's (y)
	2104	460
	1416	232
	1534	315
	852	178

Hypothesis: $h_{\theta}(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x$

θ_i 's: Parameters


How to choose θ_i 's ?

$$h_{\theta}(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x$$




$$\theta_0 = 1.5$$

$$\theta_1 = 0$$




$$\theta_0 = 0$$

$$\theta_1 = 0.5$$




$$\theta_0 = 1$$

$$\theta_1 = 0.5$$



Idea: Choose θ_0, θ_1 so that $h_{\theta}(x)$ is close to y for our training examples (x, y)



Machine Learning

Linear regression
with one variable

Cost function
intuition I

Simplified

Hypothesis:

$$h_{\theta}(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x$$

Parameters:

$$\theta_0, \theta_1$$

Cost Function:

$$J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^m (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})^2$$

Goal: minimize $J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$
 θ_0, θ_1

$$h_{\theta}(x) = \theta_1 x$$


$$\theta_1$$

$$J(\theta_1) = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^m (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})^2$$

minimize $J(\theta_1)$
 θ_1


$$h_{\theta}(x)$$

(for fixed θ_1 , this is a function of x)




$$J(\theta_1)$$

(function of the parameter θ_1)




$$h_{\theta}(x)$$

(for fixed θ_1 , this is a function of x)




$$J(\theta_1)$$

(function of the parameter θ_1)




$$h_{\theta}(x)$$


(for fixed θ_1 , this is a function of x)



$$J(\theta_1)$$

(function of the parameter θ_1)





Machine Learning

Linear regression
with one variable

Cost function
intuition II

Hypothesis: $h_{\theta}(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x$


Parameters: θ_0, θ_1

Cost Function: $J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^m (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})^2$

Goal: minimize $J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$
 θ_0, θ_1

$$h_{\theta}(x)$$


(for fixed θ_0, θ_1 , this is a function of x)



$$h_{\theta}(x) = 50 + 0.06x$$


$$J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$$

(function of the parameters θ_0, θ_1)




$$h_{\theta}(x)$$

(for fixed θ_0, θ_1 , this is a function of x)



$$J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$$

(function of the parameters θ_0, θ_1)




$$h_{\theta}(x)$$

(for fixed θ_0, θ_1 , this is a function of x)




$$J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$$

(function of the parameters θ_0, θ_1)




$$h_{\theta}(x)$$

(for fixed θ_0, θ_1 , this is a function of x)



$$J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$$

(function of the parameters θ_0, θ_1)




$$h_{\theta}(x)$$


(for fixed θ_0, θ_1 , this is a function of x)



$$J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$$

(function of the parameters θ_0, θ_1)





Machine Learning

Linear regression
with one variable

Gradient
descent

Have some function $J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$

Want $\min_{\theta_0, \theta_1} J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$

Outline:

- Start with some θ_0, θ_1
- Keep changing θ_0, θ_1 to reduce $J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$
until we hopefully end up at a minimum

Gradient descent algorithm


repeat until convergence {
 $\theta_j := \theta_j - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$ (for $j = 0$ and $j = 1$)
}

Correct: Simultaneous update

```
temp0 :=  $\theta_0 - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$   
temp1 :=  $\theta_1 - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$   
 $\theta_0 :=$  temp0  
 $\theta_1 :=$  temp1
```

Incorrect:

```
temp0 :=  $\theta_0 - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$   
 $\theta_0 :=$  temp0  
temp1 :=  $\theta_1 - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$   
 $\theta_1 :=$  temp1
```

Machine Learning

Linear regression
with one variable

Gradient descent
intuition

Gradient descent algorithm


repeat until convergence {
 $\theta_j := \theta_j - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$ (simultaneously update
 $j = 0$ and $j = 1$)
}




$$\theta_1 := \theta_1 - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} J(\theta_1)$$

If α is too small, gradient descent can be slow.

If α is too large, gradient descent can overshoot the minimum. It may fail to converge, or even diverge.






Current value of θ_1


$$\theta_1 := \theta_1 - \alpha \frac{d}{d\theta_1} J(\theta_1)$$

Gradient descent can converge to a local minimum, even with the learning rate α fixed.

$$\theta_1 := \theta_1 - \alpha \frac{d}{d\theta_1} J(\theta_1)$$

As we approach a local minimum, gradient descent will automatically take smaller steps. So, no need to decrease α over time.





Machine Learning

Linear regression with one variable

Gradient descent for linear regression

Gradient descent algorithm

repeat until convergence {
 $\theta_j := \theta_j - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$
 (for $j = 1$ and $j = 0$)
}

Linear Regression Model

$$h_{\theta}(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x$$

$$J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^m (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})^2$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} J(\theta_0, \theta_1) =$$

$$j = 0 : \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} J(\theta_0, \theta_1) =$$

$$j = 1 : \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} J(\theta_0, \theta_1) =$$

Gradient descent algorithm

repeat until convergence {

$$\theta_0 := \theta_0 - \alpha \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})$$


$$\theta_1 := \theta_1 - \alpha \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) \cdot x^{(i)}$$

}

} update
 θ_0 and θ_1
simultaneously


$$h_{\theta}(x)$$

(for fixed θ_0, θ_1 , this is a function of x)



$$J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$$

(function of the parameters θ_0, θ_1)



$$h_{\theta}(x)$$

(for fixed θ_0, θ_1 , this is a function of x)



$$J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$$

(function of the parameters θ_0, θ_1)




$$h_{\theta}(x)$$

(for fixed θ_0, θ_1 , this is a function of x)




$$J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$$

(function of the parameters θ_0, θ_1)



$$h_{\theta}(x)$$

(for fixed θ_0, θ_1 , this is a function of x)



$$J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$$

(function of the parameters θ_0, θ_1)



$$h_{\theta}(x)$$

(for fixed θ_0, θ_1 , this is a function of x)



$$J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$$

(function of the parameters θ_0, θ_1)



$$h_{\theta}(x)$$

(for fixed θ_0, θ_1 , this is a function of x)



$$J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$$

(function of the parameters θ_0, θ_1)



$$h_{\theta}(x)$$

(for fixed θ_0, θ_1 , this is a function of x)



$$J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$$

(function of the parameters θ_0, θ_1)



$$h_{\theta}(x)$$

(for fixed θ_0, θ_1 , this is a function of x)




$$J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$$

(function of the parameters θ_0, θ_1)




$$h_{\theta}(x)$$


(for fixed θ_0, θ_1 , this is a function of x)



$$J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$$

(function of the parameters θ_0, θ_1)





Machine Learning

Linear Regression with multiple variables

Multiple features

Multiple features (variables).

Size (feet ²)	Price (\$1000)
x	y
2104	460
1416	232
1534	315
852	178
...	...

$$h_{\theta}(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x$$

Multiple features (variables).

Size (feet ²)	Number of bedrooms	Number of floors	Age of home (years)	Price (\$1000)
2104	5	1	45	460
1416	3	2	40	232
1534	3	2	30	315
852	2	1	36	178
...

Notation:

n = number of features

$x^{(i)}$ = input (features) of i^{th} training example.

$x_j^{(i)}$ = value of feature j in i^{th} training example.


Hypothesis:

Previously: $h_{\theta}(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x$

$$h_{\theta}(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 + \cdots + \theta_n x_n$$

For convenience of notation, define $x_0 = 1$.

Multivariate linear regression.



Machine Learning

Linear Regression with multiple variables

Gradient descent for multiple variables

Hypothesis: $h_{\theta}(x) = \theta^T x = \theta_0 x_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 + \cdots + \theta_n x_n$

Parameters: $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$

Cost function:

$$J(\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^m (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})^2$$

Gradient descent:

Repeat {

$$\theta_j := \theta_j - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} J(\theta_0, \dots, \theta_n)$$

}

(simultaneously update for every $j = 0, \dots, n$)

Gradient Descent

Previously (n=1):

Repeat {

$$\theta_0 := \theta_0 - \underbrace{\alpha \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} J(\theta)}$$

$$\theta_1 := \theta_1 - \alpha \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})x^{(i)}$$

(simultaneously update θ_0, θ_1)

}

New algorithm ($n \geq 1$):

Repeat {

$$\theta_j := \theta_j - \alpha \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})x_j^{(i)}$$

(simultaneously update θ_j for
 $j = 0, \dots, n$)


}

$$\theta_0 := \theta_0 - \alpha \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})x_0^{(i)}$$

$$\theta_1 := \theta_1 - \alpha \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})x_1^{(i)}$$

$$\theta_2 := \theta_2 - \alpha \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})x_2^{(i)}$$

...



Machine Learning

Logistic Regression

Classification

Classification

Email: Spam / Not Spam?


Online Transactions: Fraudulent (Yes / No)?

Tumor: Malignant / Benign ?

$$y \in \{0, 1\}$$

0: “Negative Class” (e.g., benign tumor)

1: “Positive Class” (e.g., malignant tumor)



Threshold classifier output $h_{\theta}(x)$ at 0.5:


If $h_{\theta}(x) \geq 0.5$, predict "y = 1"

If $h_{\theta}(x) < 0.5$, predict "y = 0"

Classification: $y = 0$ or 1

$h_{\theta}(x)$ can be > 1 or < 0

Logistic Regression: $0 \leq h_{\theta}(x) \leq 1$



Machine Learning

Logistic Regression


Hypothesis Representation

Logistic Regression Model

Want $0 \leq h_{\theta}(x) \leq 1$

$$h_{\theta}(x) = \theta^T x$$

Sigmoid function
Logistic function



Interpretation of Hypothesis Output

$h_{\theta}(x)$ = estimated probability that $y = 1$ on input x

Example: If $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \text{tumorSize} \end{bmatrix}$


$$h_{\theta}(x) = 0.7$$

Tell patient that 70% chance of tumor being malignant

“probability that $y = 1$, given x ,
parameterized by θ ”

$$P(y = 0|x; \theta) + P(y = 1|x; \theta) = 1$$

$$P(y = 0|x; \theta) = 1 - P(y = 1|x; \theta)$$



Machine Learning

Logistic Regression

Decision boundary


Logistic regression

$$h_{\theta}(x) = g(\theta^T x)$$


$$g(z) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-z}}$$

Suppose predict “ $y = 1$ ” if $h_{\theta}(x) \geq 0.5$

predict “ $y = 0$ ” if $h_{\theta}(x) < 0.5$




Decision Boundary



$$h_{\theta}(x) = g(\theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2)$$


Predict “ $y = 1$ ” if $-3 + x_1 + x_2 \geq 0$

Non-linear decision boundaries




$$h_{\theta}(x) = g(\theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 + \theta_3 x_1^2 + \theta_4 x_2^2)$$

Predict “ $y = 1$ ” if $-1 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 \geq 0$



$$h_{\theta}(x) = g(\theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 + \theta_3 x_1^2 + \theta_4 x_1^2 x_2 + \theta_5 x_1^2 x_2^2 + \theta_6 x_1^3 x_2 + \dots)$$



Machine Learning

Logistic Regression

Cost function

Training set: $\{(x^{(1)}, y^{(1)}), (x^{(2)}, y^{(2)}), \dots, (x^{(m)}, y^{(m)})\}$

m examples $x \in \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ \dots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \quad x_0 = 1, y \in \{0, 1\}$



$$h_{\theta}(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\theta^T x}}$$

How to choose parameters θ ?

Cost function


Linear regression: $J(\theta) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{2} (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})^2$

$$\text{Cost}(h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}), y^{(i)}) = \frac{1}{2} (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})^2$$



Logistic regression cost function

$$\text{Cost}(h_{\theta}(x), y) = \begin{cases} -\log(h_{\theta}(x)) & \text{if } y = 1 \\ -\log(1 - h_{\theta}(x)) & \text{if } y = 0 \end{cases}$$



Cost = 0 if $y = 1, h_{\theta}(x) = 1$

But as $h_{\theta}(x) \rightarrow 0$


$\text{Cost} \rightarrow \infty$


Captures intuition that if $h_{\theta}(x) = 0$,
(predict $P(y = 1|x; \theta) = 0$), but $y = 1$,
we'll penalize learning algorithm by a very
large cost.

Logistic regression cost function

$$\text{Cost}(h_{\theta}(x), y) = \begin{cases} -\log(h_{\theta}(x)) & \text{if } y = 1 \\ -\log(1 - h_{\theta}(x)) & \text{if } y = 0 \end{cases}$$

If $y = 0$





Machine Learning

Logistic Regression

Simplified cost function
and gradient descent

Logistic regression cost function

$$J(\theta) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \text{Cost}(h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}), y^{(i)})$$

$$\text{Cost}(h_{\theta}(x), y) = \begin{cases} -\log(h_{\theta}(x)) & \text{if } y = 1 \\ -\log(1 - h_{\theta}(x)) & \text{if } y = 0 \end{cases}$$

Note: $y = 0$ or 1 always

Logistic regression cost function

$$\begin{aligned} J(\theta) &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \text{Cost}(h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}), y^{(i)}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m y^{(i)} \log h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log (1 - h_{\theta}(x^{(i)})) \right] \end{aligned}$$

To fit parameters θ :

$$\min_{\theta} J(\theta)$$

To make a prediction given new x :

$$\text{Output } h_{\theta}(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\theta^T x}}$$

Gradient Descent

$$J(\theta) = -\frac{1}{m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m y^{(i)} \log h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log (1 - h_{\theta}(x^{(i)})) \right]$$

Want $\min_{\theta} J(\theta)$:

Repeat {

$$\theta_j := \theta_j - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} J(\theta)$$

}

(simultaneously update all θ_j)

Gradient Descent

$$J(\theta) = -\frac{1}{m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m y^{(i)} \log h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log (1 - h_{\theta}(x^{(i)})) \right]$$


Want $\min_{\theta} J(\theta)$:

Repeat {

$$\theta_j := \theta_j - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^m (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) x_j^{(i)}$$

} (simultaneously update all θ_j)

Algorithm looks identical to linear regression!



Machine Learning

Logistic Regression

Advanced optimization

Optimization algorithm

Cost function $J(\theta)$. Want $\min_{\theta} J(\theta)$.

Given θ , we have code that can compute

- $J(\theta)$
- $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} J(\theta)$ (for $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$)

Gradient descent:

Repeat {

$$\theta_j := \theta_j - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} J(\theta)$$

}

Optimization algorithm

Given θ , we have code that can compute

- $J(\theta)$
- $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} J(\theta)$ (for $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$)

Optimization algorithms:

- Gradient descent
- Conjugate gradient
- BFGS
- L-BFGS

Advantages:

- No need to manually pick α
- Often faster than gradient descent.

Disadvantages:

- More complex

Example:

$$\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$J(\theta) = (\theta_1 - 5)^2 + (\theta_2 - 5)^2$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} J(\theta) = 2(\theta_1 - 5)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_2} J(\theta) = 2(\theta_2 - 5)$$

```
function [jVal, gradient]
    = costFunction(theta)
    jVal = (theta(1)-5)^2 + ...
           (theta(2)-5)^2;
    gradient = zeros(2,1);
    gradient(1) = 2*(theta(1)-5);
    gradient(2) = 2*(theta(2)-5);
```

```
options = optimset('GradObj', 'on', 'MaxIter', '100');
initialTheta = zeros(2,1);
[optTheta, functionVal, exitFlag] ...
    = fminunc(@costFunction, initialTheta, options);
```

$$\text{theta} = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_0 \\ \theta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \theta_n \end{bmatrix}$$

```
function [jVal, gradient] = costFunction(theta)
```


```
    jVal = [code to compute  $J(\theta)$ ];
```

```
    gradient(1) = [code to compute  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} J(\theta)$ ];
```

```
    gradient(2) = [code to compute  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} J(\theta)$ ];
```

```
    :
```

```
    gradient(n+1) = [code to compute  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_n} J(\theta)$  ];
```



Machine Learning

Logistic Regression

Multi-class classification:
One-vs-all


Multiclass classification

Email foldering/tagging: Work, Friends, Family, Hobby


Medical diagrams: Not ill, Cold, Flu

Weather: Sunny, Cloudy, Rain, Snow


Binary classification:





Multi-class classification:




One-vs-all (one-vs-rest):






Class 1: 

Class 2: 

Class 3: 

$$h_{\theta}^{(i)}(x) = P(y = i|x; \theta) \quad (i = 1, 2, 3)$$



One-vs-all

Train a logistic regression classifier $h_{\theta}^{(i)}(x)$ for each class i to predict the probability that $y = i$.

On a new input x , to make a prediction, pick the class i that maximizes

$$\max_i h_{\theta}^{(i)}(x)$$