

# wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

#### Jul 2, 2020 – 12:15 AM CDT

PDB ID : 2LOY

Title : Refined Miminal Constraint Solution NMR Structure of Translationally-contro

lled tumor protein (TCTP) from Caenorhabditis elegans, Northeast Structural

Genomics Consortium Target WR73

Authors: Aramini, J.M.; Rossi, P.; Cort, J.R.; Lee, H.; Janjua, H.; Maglaqui, M.;

Cooper, B.; Xiao, R.; Acton, T.B.; Everett, J.K.; Montelione, G.T.; Northeast

Structural Genomics Consortium (NESG)

Deposited on : 2012-01-27

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org
A user guide is available at

https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

Cyrange : Kirchner and Güntert (2011)

NmrClust : Kelley et al. (1996)

MolProbity: 4.02b-467

Percentile statistics : 20171227.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 27th 2017)

RCI : v 1n 11 5 13 A (Berjanski et al., 2005)

PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)

 $ShiftChecker \quad : \quad 2.6.dev1$ 

BMRB Restraints Analalysis : v1.2

Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001) Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)


Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.6.dev1

# 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:  $SOLUTION\ NMR$ 

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 43%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive $(\# \mathrm{Entries})$	${ m NMR~archive} \ (\#{ m Entries})$
Clashscore	136327	12091
Ramachandran outliers	132723	10835
Sidechain outliers	132532	10811

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain			
1	A	189	82%	•	11%	•



# 2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. Model 5 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues				
Well-defined core Residue range (total) Backbone RMSD (Å) Medoid mode				
1	A:1-A:42, A:64-A:183 (162)	0.95	5	

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 4 clusters and 2 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 5, 6, 7, 9, 13, 15, 18, 19
2	10, 14, 16
3	11, 17, 20
4	3, 4, 8
Single-model clusters	2; 12



# 3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 2902 atoms, of which 1445 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called Translationally-controlled tumor protein homolog.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms				Trace		
1	Λ	183	Total	С	Н	N	О	S	0
1	А	100	2902	922	1445	239	287	9	U

There are 8 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	182	LEU	-	EXPRESSION TAG	UNP Q93573
A	183	GLU	-	EXPRESSION TAG	UNP Q93573
A	184	HIS	-	EXPRESSION TAG	UNP Q93573
A	185	HIS	-	EXPRESSION TAG	UNP Q93573
A	186	HIS	-	EXPRESSION TAG	UNP Q93573
A	187	HIS	-	EXPRESSION TAG	UNP Q93573
A	188	HIS	-	EXPRESSION TAG	UNP Q93573
A	189	HIS	-	EXPRESSION TAG	UNP Q93573




# 4 Residue-property plots (i)

### 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA and DNA chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.


• Molecule 1: Translationally-controlled tumor protein homolog



# 4.2 Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 5. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: Translationally-controlled tumor protein homolog





## 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)



The models were refined using the following method: simulated annealing.

Of the 100 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: structures with the lowest energy.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CNS	refinement	1.3
CNS	structure solution	1.3
CNS	geometry optimization	1.3
CYANA	structure solution	3.0

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	2loy_nmr.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	1267
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	996
Number of unparsed shifts	147
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	124
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	43%



# 6 Model quality (i)

### 6.1 Standard geometry (i)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

### 6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	1312	1329	1326	8±2
All	All	26240	26580	26520	154

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 3.

5 of 101 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	$Distance(\mathring{A})$	Models	
Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-2 Clash(A)		Worst	Total
1:A:103:MET:SD	1:A:113:VAL:HG12	0.65	2.31	20	3
1:A:4:TYR:HB3	1:A:20:MET:SD	0.62	2.35	18	1
1:A:37:ARG:HB3	1:A:65:GLU:HB2	0.60	1.73	9	3
1:A:77:VAL:HG11	1:A:145:ALA:HB2	0.59	1.74	6	3
1:A:68:ILE:HD11	1:A:70:ILE:HD12	0.58	1.73	17	2

### 6.3 Torsion angles (i)

#### 6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.



Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Perc	entiles
1	A	160/189 (85%)	144±3 (90±2%)	12±3 (8±2%)	4±1 (2±1%)	11	50
All	All	3200/3780 (85%)	2881 (90%)	248 (8%)	71 (2%)	11	50

5 of 23 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	13	LEU	13
1	A	147	GLY	12
1	A	39	GLU	8
1	A	16	ASP	5
1	A	134	LYS	5

#### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Perce	ntiles
1	A	143/164 (87%)	137±2 (96±2%)	6±2 (4±2%)	36	82
All	All	2860/3280 (87%)	2738 (96%)	122 (4%)	36	82

5 of 51 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	143	ARG	6
1	A	178	GLU	6
1	A	20	MET	6
1	A	152	GLN	6
1	A	31	LYS	5

### 6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.



### 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

### 6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no carbohydrates in this entry.

### 6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

### 6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

### 6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



# 7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 43% for the well-defined parts and 44% for the entire structure.

#### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: 2loy nmr.cif

Chemical shift list name: nef\_chemical\_shift\_list\_2loy.mr

### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	1267
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	996
Number of unparsed shifts	147
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	124
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	1

The following errors were found when reading this chemical shift list.

• Chemical shift has been reported more than once. First 5 (of 147) occurrences are reported below.

Shift ID	Chain	Chain Res Type Atom			Shift Dat	a	
	Chain	rtes	Type	Atom	Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
6	A	2	LEU	HD1%	0.691	0.000	1
7	A	2	LEU	HD1%	0.691	0.000	1
9	A	2	LEU	HD2%	0.566	0.000	1
10	A	2	LEU	HD2%	0.566	0.000	1
19	A	3	ILE	HD1%	0.767	0.000	1

The following assigned chemical shifts were not mapped to the molecules present in the coordinate file.

• No matching atoms found in structure. First 5 (of 124) occurrences are reported below.

	Chain	Dog	Type	Atom		Shift Dat	a
	Chain	nes	Type	Atom	Value	Shift Dat Uncertainty	Ambiguity
ĺ	A	88	PHE	HBy	3.097	0.0	2
	A	73	ASN	HD2x	7.119	0.0	2

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

Chain Res Type		Atom	Shift Data			
Chain	nes	Туре	Atom	Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
A	95	PHE	HBy	2.538	0.0	2
A	44	LEU	HD1%	0.923	0.0	1
A	106	ASN	HD2x	6.967	0.0	2

#### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction $\pm$ precision, $ppm$	Suggested action
$^{13}\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$	182	$-0.62 \pm 0.12$	Should be applied
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	171	$0.52 \pm 0.09$	Should be applied
<sup>13</sup> C′	180	$-0.48 \pm 0.10$	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{15}N$	178	$-0.15 \pm 0.35$	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)

#### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 43%, i.e. 894 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 2064. 27 out of 27 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Backbone	637/806 (79%)	158/322 (49%)	321/324 (99%)	158/160 (99%)
Sidechain	229/1101 (21%)	0/640 (0%)	220/415 (53%)	9/46 (20%)
Aromatic	28/157 (18%)	27/83 (33%)	0/67 (0%)	1/7 (14%)
Overall	894/2064 (43%)	185/1045 (18%)	541/806 (67%)	168/213 (79%)

### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	A	143	ARG	Н	11.73	11.29 - 5.19	5.7


### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports random coil index values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from



the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:





### 8 NMR restraints analysis

#### 8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	1100
Intra-residue ( $ i-j =0$ )	95
Sequential ( i-j =1)	282
Medium range ( $ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$ )	311
Long range ( i-j ≥5)	412
Inter-chain	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	293
Total hydrogen bond restraints	252
Total disulfide bond restraints	0
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	6.1
Number of long range restraints per residue	2.3

### 8.2 Residual restraint violations

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

#### 8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	6.5	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	1.1	0.33
>0.5 (Large)	None	None

#### 8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.



Bins $(^{\circ})$	Average number of violations per model	$\mathrm{Max}\;(^\circ)$
1.0-10.0 (Small)	21.9	9.7
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	0.1	11.6
>20.0 (Large)	0.9	150.5



# 9 Distance violation analysis

### 9.1 Summary of distance violations


The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Doctroints type	Count	<b>%</b> <sup>1</sup>	Vic	lated <sup>5</sup>	3	Consis	tentl	$\overline{{ m y~Violated}^4}$
Restraints type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$1\%^1$	Count	$ \%^2 $	$\%^1$
Intra-residue ( i-j =0)	95	8.6	3	3.2	0.3	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	95	8.6	3	3.2	0.3	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential ( i-j =1)	282	25.6	20	7.1	1.8	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	144	13.1	10	6.9	0.9	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	117	10.6	10	8.5	0.9	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	21	1.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range ( $ i-j >1 \&  i-j <5$ )	311	28.3	23	7.4	2.1	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	181	16.5	9	5.0	0.8	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	93	8.5	10	10.8	0.9	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	37	3.4	4	10.8	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Long range ( $ i-j  \ge 5$ )	412	37.5	36	8.7	3.3	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	110	10.0	5	4.5	0.5	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	152	13.8	18	11.8	1.6	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	150	13.6	13	8.7	1.2	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	1100	100.0	82	7.5	7.5	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	435	39.5	24	5.5	2.2	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	457	41.5	41	9.0	3.7	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	208	18.9	17	8.2	1.5	0	0.0	0.0

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints,  $^2$  percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category,  $^3$  violated in at least one model,  $^4$  violated in all the models



#### 9.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of distance restraints and violations



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories

### 9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Mar dal ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	3	N/L ( & )	Μ ( Å )	CD6 (Å)
Model ID	$ m IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	$\mathbf{SD}^6$ (Å)
1	0	1	2	0	0	3	0.17	0.25	0.06
2	1	3	5	1	0	10	0.16	0.25	0.04
3	1	2	4	3	0	10	0.15	0.25	0.04
4	1	4	1	1	0	7	0.15	0.27	0.05
5	0	1	1	3	0	5	0.11	0.12	0.0
6	0	3	1	1	0	5	0.17	0.21	0.02
7	0	2	2	7	0	11	0.13	0.17	0.02
8	0	2	2	2	0	6	0.14	0.17	0.02
9	1	1	2	7	0	11	0.14	0.25	0.04
10	0	1	4	5	0	10	0.18	0.33	0.06
11	0	1	2	3	0	6	0.17	0.27	0.05
12	1	1	4	1	0	7	0.16	0.26	0.05

Continued on next page...




Continued from previous page...

Model ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	tions	1	$oxed{\operatorname{Mean}\ ( ext{\AA})\ \operatorname{Max}\ ( ext{\AA})\ \operatorname{SD}^6}$			
Model 1D	$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$ m MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Mean (A)	Max (A)	$ig  \mathbf{SD}^6 \ (\mathbf{\mathring{A}}) \ ig $	
13	0	2	5	3	0	10	0.15	0.21	0.04	
14	0	2	5	3	0	10	0.16	0.32	0.06	
15	0	1	2	1	0	4	0.14	0.16	0.02	
16	0	1	3	2	0	6	0.14	0.21	0.03	
17	0	2	1	3	0	6	0.16	0.25	0.05	
18	0	3	5	2	0	10	0.16	0.26	0.05	
19	0	1	2	3	0	6	0.15	0.21	0.03	
20	0	1	5	5	0	11	0.17	0.31	0.07	

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^2$ Sequential restraints,  $^3$ Medium range restraints,  $^4$ Long range restraints,  $^5$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^6$ Standard deviation

#### 9.2.1 Bar graph: Distance Violation statistics for each model



The mean and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right



#### 9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble


Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 1018(IR:92, SQ:262, MR:288, LR:376, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Nu	$\overline{\mathbf{mber}}$	of vio	lated	restra	aints	Fraction	n of the ensemble
$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Count <sup>6</sup>	%
1	14	11	28	0	54	1	5.0
2	4	6	2	0	14	2	10.0
0	0	3	3	0	6	3	15.0
0	0	0	1	0	1	4	20.0
0	0	0	1	0	1	5	25.0
0	1	0	1	0	2	6	30.0
0	1	1	0	0	2	7	35.0
0	0	1	0	0	1	8	40.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	9	45.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	10	50.0
0	0	1	0	0	1	11	55.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	12	60.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	13	65.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	14	70.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	15	75.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	16	80.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	17	85.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	18	90.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	19	95.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	20	100.0

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^2$ Sequential restraints,  $^3$ Medium range restraints,  $^4$ Long range restraints,  $^5$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^6$  Number of models with violations



#### 9.3.1 Bar graph: Distance violation statistics for the ensemble




#### 9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble

#### 9.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean distance violations

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





#### 9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the top ten absolute value of the violation for each restraint sorted by number of violated models and the mean value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean (Å)	$\mathbf{SD}^1$ (Å)
(1,455)	1:A:8:PHE:H	1:A:11:ASP:H	11	0.24	0.04
(1,874)	1:A:64:VAL:HG21	1:A:66:ARG:H	8	0.17	0.06
(1,874)	1:A:64:VAL:HG22	1:A:66:ARG:H	8	0.17	0.06
(1,874)	1:A:64:VAL:HG23	1:A:66:ARG:H	8	0.17	0.06
(1,93)	1:A:39:GLU:H	1:A:40:GLY:H	7	0.14	0.02
(1,391)	1:A:23:VAL:HG11	1:A:26:LEU:H	7	0.14	0.02
(1,391)	1:A:23:VAL:HG12	1:A:26:LEU:H	7	0.14	0.02
(1,391)	1:A:23:VAL:HG13	1:A:26:LEU:H	7	0.14	0.02
(1,950)	1:A:160:ASP:H	1:A:166:VAL:HG11	6	0.19	0.07
(1,950)	1:A:160:ASP:H	1:A:166:VAL:HG12	6	0.19	0.07
(1,950)	1:A:160:ASP:H	1:A:166:VAL:HG13	6	0.19	0.07
(1,950)	1:A:160:ASP:H	1:A:166:VAL:HG21	6	0.19	0.07
(1,950)	1:A:160:ASP:H	1:A:166:VAL:HG22	6	0.19	0.07
(1,950)	1:A:160:ASP:H	1:A:166:VAL:HG23	6	0.19	0.07
(1,79)	1:A:13:LEU:H	1:A:14:SER:H	6	0.17	0.05
(1,860)	1:A:139:PHE:H	1:A:153:VAL:HG21	5	0.13	0.01

Continued on next page...



I 'omtamalod	trom	mmonia	maaa
Continued	11 0116	DICUIUUS	Daue
	.,	10	1


Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean (Å)	$SD^1$ (Å)
(1,860)	1:A:139:PHE:H	1:A:153:VAL:HG22	5	0.13	0.01
(1,860)	1:A:139:PHE:H	1:A:153:VAL:HG23	5	0.13	0.01
(1,1009)	1:A:155:ILE:H	1:A:170:MET:O	4	0.13	0.01
(1,862)	1:A:62:GLU:H	1:A:64:VAL:HG21	3	0.13	0.01
(1,862)	1:A:62:GLU:H	1:A:64:VAL:HG22	3	0.13	0.01
(1,862)	1:A:62:GLU:H	1:A:64:VAL:HG23	3	0.13	0.01
(1,751)	1:A:36:VAL:HG11	1:A:44:LEU:HD21	3	0.2	0.04

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation

#### 9.5 All distance violations

#### 9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



#### 9.5.2 Table: All distance violations

The following table lists the top ten absolute value of the violation for each restraint in the ensemble sorted by its value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.



Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,950)	1:A:160:ASP:H	1:A:166:VAL:HG11	10	0.33
(1,950)	1:A:160:ASP:H	1:A:166:VAL:HG12	10	0.33
(1,950)	1:A:160:ASP:H	1:A:166:VAL:HG13	10	0.33
(1,950)	1:A:160:ASP:H	1:A:166:VAL:HG21	10	0.33
(1,950)	1:A:160:ASP:H	1:A:166:VAL:HG22	10	0.33
(1,950)	1:A:160:ASP:H	1:A:166:VAL:HG23	10	0.33
(1,455)	1:A:8:PHE:H	1:A:11:ASP:H	14	0.32
(1,874)	1:A:64:VAL:HG21	1:A:66:ARG:H	20	0.31
(1,874)	1:A:64:VAL:HG22	1:A:66:ARG:H	20	0.31
(1,874)	1:A:64:VAL:HG23	1:A:66:ARG:H	20	0.31
(1,50)	1:A:80:ASN:H	1:A:80:ASN:HD22	4	0.27
(1,455)	1:A:8:PHE:H	1:A:11:ASP:H	11	0.27
(1,79)	1:A:13:LEU:H	1:A:14:SER:H	18	0.26
(1,50)	1:A:80:ASN:H	1:A:80:ASN:HD22	12	0.26
(1,751)	1:A:36:VAL:HG11	1:A:44:LEU:HD21	9	0.25
(1,751)	1:A:36:VAL:HG11	1:A:44:LEU:HD22	9	0.25
(1,751)	1:A:36:VAL:HG11	1:A:44:LEU:HD23	9	0.25
(1,751)	1:A:36:VAL:HG12	1:A:44:LEU:HD21	9	0.25
(1,751)	1:A:36:VAL:HG12	1:A:44:LEU:HD22	9	0.25
(1,751)	1:A:36:VAL:HG12	1:A:44:LEU:HD23	9	0.25
(1,751)	1:A:36:VAL:HG13	1:A:44:LEU:HD21	9	0.25
(1,751)	1:A:36:VAL:HG13	1:A:44:LEU:HD22	9	0.25
(1,751)	1:A:36:VAL:HG13	1:A:44:LEU:HD23	9	0.25
(1,455)	1:A:8:PHE:H	1:A:11:ASP:H	1	0.25
(1,455)	1:A:8:PHE:H	1:A:11:ASP:H	2	0.25



### 10 Dihedral-anlge violation analysis


### 10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Angle true	Count	$\%^{1}$	Vie	olated	3	Consis	tentl	ly Violated <sup>4</sup>
Angle type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
CHI2	14	4.8	6	42.9	2.0	0	0.0	0.0
CHI1	18	6.1	5	27.8	1.7	0	0.0	0.0
PHI	127	43.3	44	34.6	15.0	0	0.0	0.0
PSI	128	43.7	49	38.3	16.7	0	0.0	0.0
CHI21	6	2.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	293	100.0	104	35.5	35.5	0	0.0	0.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-anlge restraints, <sup>2</sup> percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-anlge type, <sup>3</sup> violated in at least one model, <sup>4</sup> violated in all the models

#### 10.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories




### 10.2 Dihedral-anlge violation statistics in each model

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 1° are not included in the statistics.

Model ID		Num	ber o	f viol	ations		Mean (°)	Max (°)	Std. deviation (°)
Model 1D	CHI2	CHI1	PHI	PSI	CHI21	Total	Wiean ()	Wiax ()	sta. deviation ()
1	2	0	11	11	0	24	14.12	141.4	38.35
2	1	0	8	10	0	19	9.7	136.7	29.98
3	3	2	9	10	0	24	2.23	4.1	0.82
4	1	1	4	8	0	14	3.34	9.7	2.1
5	1	0	11	12	0	24	8.73	148.6	29.23
6	2	0	10	15	0	27	7.7	146.0	27.15
7	1	1	7	15	0	24	3.04	9.7	2.13
8	1	2	7	17	0	27	2.73	7.5	1.26
9	3	0	8	14	0	25	14.32	141.6	37.4
10	1	0	5	11	0	17	11.15	143.6	33.16
11	2	0	10	9	0	21	16.34	146.6	41.97
12	3	1	8	13	0	25	7.74	142.1	27.45
13	1	0	8	13	0	22	9.97	145.6	29.69
14	0	0	10	12	0	22	2.6	6.6	1.35
15	2	1	8	9	0	20	10.53	144.8	30.88
16	3	0	8	13	0	24	8.07	137.1	26.93
17	3	1	10	12	0	26	8.38	147.8	27.98
18	2	0	10	13	0	25	8.12	139.4	26.83
19	1	0	7	14	0	22	8.68	138.4	28.34
20	2	0	8	15	0	25	8.34	150.5	29.05



#### 10.2.1 Bar graph: Dihedral violation statistics for each model



The mean and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

### 10.3 Violation statistics in the ensemble

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

N	umber	of vio	lated	restrair	its	Fraction	n of the ensemble
CHI2	CHI1	PHI	PSI	CHI21	Total	Count <sup>1</sup>	%
1	3	15	13	0	32	1	5.0
1	1	8	6	0	16	2	10.0
1	0	3	7	0	11	3	15.0
0	1	1	6	0	8	4	20.0
0	0	4	2	0	6	5	25.0
0	0	2	0	0	2	6	30.0
1	0	4	1	0	6	7	35.0
0	0	3	2	0	5	8	40.0
1	0	2	2	0	5	9	45.0
0	0	1	1	0	2	10	50.0
0	0	1	3	0	4	11	55.0
0	0	0	2	0	2	12	60.0

Continued on next page...




Continued	trom	mmoninonic	maaa
COHABABACA		DIEUIUU	DUIUE
0 0 1000100000			

Number of violated restraints					Fraction of the ensemble		
CHI2	CHI1	PHI	PSI	CHI21	Total	Count <sup>1</sup>	%
1	0	0	1	0	2	13	65.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	14	70.0
0	0	0	3	0	3	15	75.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	16	80.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	17	85.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	18	90.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	19	95.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	20	100.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Number of models with violations

#### 10.3.1 Bar graph: Dihedral-angle Violation statistics for the ensemble




### 10.4 Most violated dihedral-anlge restraints

#### 10.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean dihedral-anlge violations

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models



in the ensemble



#### 10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the top ten absolute value of the violation for each restraint sorted by number of violated models and the mean value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	${f Models^1}$	Mean (°)	$\mathbf{SD}^2$ (°)
(1,18)	1:A:10:ASP:N	1:A:10:ASP:CA	1:A:10:ASP:C	1:A:11:ASP:N	8	8.95	1.34
(1,14)	1:A:8:PHE:N	1:A:8:PHE:CA	1:A:8:PHE:C	1:A:9:THR:N	10	5.23	2.64
(1,175)	1:A:133:PHE:N	1:A:133:PHE:CA	1:A:133:PHE:C	1:A:134:LYS:N	15	6.63	1.04
(1,16)	1:A:9:THR:N	1:A:9:THR:CA	1:A:9:THR:C	1:A:10:ASP:N	12	3.41	1.39
(1,52)	1:A:37:ARG:N	1:A:37:ARG:CA	1:A:37:ARG:C	1:A:38:LYS:N	9	2.92	1.02
(1,22)	1:A:12:GLU:N	1:A:12:GLU:CA	1:A:12:GLU:C	1:A:13:LEU:N	12	3.02	1.35
(1,54)	1:A:38:LYS:N	1:A:38:LYS:CA	1:A:38:LYS:C	1:A:39:GLU:N	7	2.99	0.9
(1,86)	1:A:84:ASP:N	1:A:84:ASP:CA	1:A:84:ASP:C	1:A:85:ALA:N	13	2.35	1.05
(1,255)	1:A:19:PRO:N	1:A:19:PRO:CA	1:A:19:PRO:C	1:A:20:MET:N	11	2.92	0.87
(1,4)	1:A:3:ILE:N	1:A:3:ILE:CA	1:A:3:ILE:C	1:A:4:TYR:N	9	2.46	0.89


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation



### 10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints

#### 10.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of violations

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



#### 10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints

The following table lists the absolute value of the top ten violation for each restraint in the ensemble sorted by its value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation (°)
(1,265)	1:A:95:PHE:CA	1:A:95:PHE:CB	1:A:95:PHE:CG	1:A:95:PHE:CD1	20	150.5
(1,265)	1:A:95:PHE:CA	1:A:95:PHE:CB	1:A:95:PHE:CG	1:A:95:PHE:CD1	5	148.6
(1,281)	1:A:116:PHE:CA	1:A:116:PHE:CB	1:A:116:PHE:CG	1:A:116:PHE:CD1	17	147.8
(1,271)	1:A:133:PHE:CA	1:A:133:PHE:CB	1:A:133:PHE:CG	1:A:133:PHE:CD1	11	146.6
(1,293)	1:A:30:PHE:CA	1:A:30:PHE:CB	1:A:30:PHE:CG	1:A:30:PHE:CD1	6	146.0
(1,271)	1:A:133:PHE:CA	1:A:133:PHE:CB	1:A:133:PHE:CG	1:A:133:PHE:CD1	13	145.6
(1,271)	1:A:133:PHE:CA	1:A:133:PHE:CB	1:A:133:PHE:CG	1:A:133:PHE:CD1	15	144.8
(1,293)	1:A:30:PHE:CA	1:A:30:PHE:CB	1:A:30:PHE:CG	1:A:30:PHE:CD1	11	144.7
(1,293)	1:A:30:PHE:CA	1:A:30:PHE:CB	1:A:30:PHE:CG	1:A:30:PHE:CD1	10	143.6
(1,265)	1:A:95:PHE:CA	1:A:95:PHE:CB	1:A:95:PHE:CG	1:A:95:PHE:CD1	12	142.1

