

# **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: NMRLipids**

## **Databank makes data-driven analysis of biomembrane properties accessible for all**

**Anne M. Kiirikki<sup>1</sup>, Hanne S. Antila<sup>2</sup>, Lara S. Bort<sup>3</sup>, Pavel Buslaev<sup>4</sup>, Fernando Favela-Rosales<sup>5</sup>, Tiago Mendes Ferreira<sup>6</sup>, Patrick F.J. Fuchs<sup>7,8</sup>, Rebeca Garcia-Fandino<sup>9</sup>, Ivan Gushchin<sup>10</sup>, Batuhan Kav<sup>11,12</sup>, Norbert Kučerka<sup>22</sup>, Patrik Kula<sup>13</sup>, Milla Kurki<sup>14</sup>, Alexander Kuzmin<sup>10</sup>, Jesper J. Madsen<sup>15,16</sup>, Markus S. Miettinen<sup>17,18</sup>, Ricky Nencini<sup>1</sup>, Thomas Piggot<sup>19</sup>, Ángel Piñeiro<sup>20</sup>, Suman Samantray<sup>10</sup>, Fabián Suarez-Leston<sup>9,20,21</sup>, and O. H. Samuli Ollila<sup>1,23,\*</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>University of Helsinki, Institute of Biotechnology, Helsinki, Finland

<sup>2</sup>Department of Theory & Bio-Systems and Department of Biomaterials, Max Planck Institute of Colloids and Interfaces, Germany

<sup>3</sup>University of Potsdam, Institute of Physics and Astronomy, Potsdam-Golm, 14476, Germany

<sup>4</sup>Nanoscience Center and Department of Chemistry, University of Jyväskylä, P.O. Box 35, Jyväskylä, 40014 , Finland

<sup>5</sup>Departamento de Ciencias Básicas, Tecnológico Nacional de México - ITS Zacatecas Occidente, Sombrerete, Zacatecas, 99102, México

<sup>6</sup>NMR group - Institute for Physics, Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Halle (Saale), 06120, Germany

<sup>7</sup>Sorbonne Université, Ecole Normale Supérieure, PSL University, CNRS, Laboratoire des Biomolécules (LBM), Paris, 75005, France

<sup>8</sup>Université Paris Cité, UFR Sciences du Vivant, Paris, 75013, France

<sup>9</sup>Center for Research in Biological Chemistry and Molecular Materials (CiQUS), Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, E-15782, Spain

<sup>10</sup>no affiliation

<sup>11</sup>Institute of Biological Information Processing: Structural Biochemistry (IBI-7), Forschungszentrum Jülich, Jülich 52428, Germany

<sup>12</sup>ariadne.ai GmbH (Germany), Häusserstraße 3 Heidelberg 69115, Germany

<sup>13</sup>Institute of Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Flemingovo nám. 542/2, Prague, CZ-16610, Czech Republic

<sup>14</sup>School of Pharmacy, University of Eastern Finland, 70211 Kuopio, Finland

<sup>15</sup>Global and Planetary Health, College of Public Health, University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida, 33612, United States of America

<sup>16</sup>Department of Molecular Medicine, Morsani College of Medicine, University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida, 33612, United States of America

<sup>17</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Bergen, Norway

<sup>18</sup>Computational Biology Unit, Department of Informatics, University of Bergen, Norway

<sup>19</sup>Chemistry, University of Southampton, Highfield, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, United Kingdom

<sup>20</sup>Department of Applied Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, E-15782, Spain


<sup>21</sup>MD.USE Innovations S.L., Edificio Emprendia, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain

<sup>22</sup>Department of Physical Chemistry of Drugs and Faculty of Pharmacy, Comenius University Bratislava, 832 32 Bratislava, Slovakia

<sup>23</sup>VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland, Espoo, Finland


\*samuli.ollila@helsinki.fi

## Correlations of area per lipid and thickness with order parameters and form factors



**Figure S1.** Scatter plots and Pearson correlation coefficients,  $r$ , for the membrane area per lipid with X-ray scattering form factor minima (A and B), and for thickness with the average order parameter of the sn-1 acyl chain (B) and with the second minimum from X-ray scattering form factors (D) extracted from the NMRLipids databank. All correlation coefficients have p-value below 0.001.

## Dependence of form factor and order parameters on the simulation box size




**Figure S2.** Dependence of the form factor  $F(q_z)$ , the electron density profiles along membrane normal, and the C–H bond order parameters  $S_{\text{CH}}$  (from top to bottom) on the simulation box size (with different columns showing different cholesterol concentrations). Simulations with 64, 256, and 1024 POPC lipids are from Ref. 1.

## Finding the best models for PC and PE mixtures

	$P^{\text{tails}}$	$P^{\text{hg}}$	$P^{\text{total}}$	$FF_q$	$\tau_{\text{rel}}$	Force field	Molecules	Temperature	ID
1	0.86	0.76	0.83	0.15	0.4	OPLS3e	POPC:SOL (200:8859)	300.00	1
2	0.83	0.61	0.76	0.45	7.4	Slipids	POPC:SOL (512:23943)	298.00	617
3	0.81	0.58	0.73	0.45	0.4	Slipids	POPC:SOL (1024:51200)	298.15	696
4	0.73	0.70	0.72	0.65	0.3	MacRog	POPC:SOL (1024:51200)	298.15	658
5	0.73	0.63	0.69	0.55	0.5	MacRog	POPC:SOL (128:5120)	300.00	457
6	0.76	0.54	0.69	0.55	0.4	Slipids	POPC:SOL (256:12800)	298.15	708
7	0.69	0.67	0.68	0.55	0.3	MacRog	POPC:SOL (256:12800)	298.15	675
8	0.71	0.62	0.68	0.55	0.3	MacRog	POPC:SOL (64:3200)	298.15	674
9	0.69	0.54	0.64	0.76	0.4	Slipids	POPC:SOL (64:3200)	298.15	664
10	0.60	0.64	0.61	0.55	7.7	MacRog	POPC:SOL (288:14400)	298.00	63
11	0.58	0.65	0.60	0.55	1.0	ECC-lipids	POPC:SOL (128:6400)	300.00	573
12	0.66	0.47	0.60	0.15	0.2	Lipid17	POPC:SOL (64:3200)	298.15	715
13	0.57	0.60	0.58		0.6	AMOEBA	DOPC:SOL (72:2880)	303.00	742
14	0.60	0.53	0.58	0.25	0.2	Lipid17	POPC:SOL (256:12800)	298.15	657
15	0.86	0.01	0.58	0.95	0.4	Berger	POPC:SOL (256:10342)	300.00	115
16	0.58	0.54	0.57	0.25	0.2	Lipid17	POPC:SOL (1024:51200)	298.15	684
17	0.81	0.02	0.55	1.05	1.7	Berger	POPC:SOL (128:7290)	298.00	497
18	0.49	0.65	0.54	0.76	1.1	ECC-lipids	POPC:SOL (128:6400)	300.00	43
19	0.65	0.29	0.53	0.4	1.3	GROMOS-CKP	POPE:SOL (500:25000)	310.00	400
20	0.78	0.01	0.52	0.1	1.3	Slipids	POPE:SOL (500:25000)	310.00	414
21	0.72	0.10	0.51		0.7	Slipids	POPE:SOL (336:13460)	310.00	29
22	0.71	0.10	0.51		0.8	Slipids	POPE:SOL (336:13460)	310.00	74
23	0.66	0.16	0.50	0.4	0.2	ECCLipids	POPS:SOL:SOD (72:3600:72)	298.00	443
24	0.38	0.67	0.48	1.26	0.7	CHARMM36	POPC:SOL (256:9767)	300.00	558
25	0.38	0.67	0.47	1.26	0.3	CHARMM36	POPC:SOL (1024:51200)	298.15	701
26	0.37	0.68	0.47	1.26	0.4	CHARMM36	POPC:SOL (200:8880)	300.00	164
27	0.34	0.66	0.45	1.26	0.3	CHARMM36	POPC:SOL (256:12800)	298.15	710
28	0.40	0.54	0.44	1.3	0.2	CHARMM36	SOL:POPE (576:144)	310.00	430
29	0.34	0.65	0.44	1.46	0.2	CHARMM36	POPC:SOL (64:3200)	298.15	678
30	0.55	0.21	0.44	0.8	3.2	GROMOS-CKP	POPS:SOL:SOD (128:4480:128)	298.00	597
31	0.34	0.62	0.43	0.86	4.7	lipid17	POPC:SOL (128:5120)	298.15	30
32	0.34	0.58	0.42	1.56	0.7	CHARMM36	POPC:SOL (64:3200)	298.15	546
33	0.55	0.15	0.41	0.8	4.9	GROMOS-CKP	POPS:SOL:SOD (128:4480:128)	298.00	425
34	0.55	0.13	0.41	0.6	3.1	GROMOS-CKP	POPS:SOL:SOD (128:4480:128)	298.00	473
35	0.58	0.02	0.39	0.75	2.4	Berger/Hölte	POPC:CHOL:SOL (120:8:7290)	298.00	305
36	0.41	0.32	0.38	0.3	1.0	CHARMM36-UA	POPE:SOL (336:15254)	310.00	352
37	0.43	0.27	0.37	0.3	1.0	CHARMM36-UA	POPE:SOL (336:15254)	310.00	233
38	0.48	0.08	0.35	0.25	6.7	Orange	POPC:SOL (72:2880)	298.00	38
39	0.39	0.20	0.33	0.7	3.8	GROMOS-CKP	POPS:SOL:SOD (128:4480:128)	298.00	535
40	0.40	0.06	0.29	0.8	3.1	Charmm-Drude	POPE:SOL (144:5040)	310.00	731
41	0.33	0.17	0.28	0.76	5.8	Chiu Gromos	POPC:SOL (128:3552)	298.00	422
42	0.40	0.02	0.27	0.27	2.6	Berger/Hölte	POPC:CHOL:SOL (110:18:8481)	298.00	589
43	0.11	0.53	0.25	1.7	1.7	CHARMM36	SOL:POPE (25000:500)	310.00	67
44	0.29	0.12	0.23		5.6	Slipids	POPG:SOL:SOD (288:10664:288)	298.00	207
45	0.20	0.18	0.21	3.39	1.7	slipids	CHOL:POPC:SOL (256:256:20334)	298.00	82
46	0.25	0.07	0.19	1.36	1.0	GROMOS-CKP	POPC:SOL (500:25000)	298.00	202
47	0.24	0.09	0.19	1.6	1.3	Lipid17	POPE:SOL (500:25000)	310.00	195
48	0.21	0.11	0.18	0.3	2.5	Slipids	POPS:SOL:SOD (128:4480:128)	298.00	529
49	0.17	0.21	0.18	3.8	0.3	AMOEBA	POPE:SOL (72:2880)	310.00	730
50	0.12	0.26	0.17	0.8	3.0	CHARMM36-UA	POPS:SOL:SOD (128:4480:128)	298.00	263


**Figure S3.** Top 50 simulations in the NMRlipids Databank ranked based on the C–H bond order parameter quality against experiments. The columns 2–4 show qualities for acyl chain order parameters ( $P^{\text{tails}}$ ), headgroup order parameters ( $P^{\text{hg}}$ ), all order parameters ( $P^{\text{total}}$ ), and for X-ray scattering form factors ( $FF_q$ ). Column 5 shows relative equilibration times for conformations ( $\tau_{\text{rel}}$ ). Note that the best possible order parameter quality is one, while the best possible form factor quality is zero. ID values in the last column can be used to identify each simulation in the databank.

Increasing area per lipid




**Figure S4.** Simulations with the data for both POPC (top) and POPE (bottom) directly compared with the experimental data. The area per lipid increases from left to right. Simulations with the best overall quality for POPC and POPE order parameters are highlighted with a solid border.

## Water permeation through membranes




**Figure S5.** Water permeation through membranes analyzed from the Databank as a function of (A) hydration level, (B) fraction of cholesterol, (C) fraction of charged lipids, and (D) fraction of POPE in membrane. Values from simulations with non-zero permeation values are shown with blue dots. Histogrammed values are shown with black dots. For the mean value in each bin, average weighted with the simulation lengths was used, and error bars show the standard error of the mean. Only bins with more than one microsecond of data were used. Only simulations with the temperatures between 300-315 K were used.

## Water diffusion along membranes



**Figure S6.** Lateral diffusion of water as a function of (A) area per lipid, (B) temperature, (C) membrane thickness, and (D) fraction of charged lipids in a membrane. Non-zero permeation and diffusion values from simulations are shown with blue dots. Histogrammed values are shown with black dots. For the mean value in each bin, average weighted with the simulation lengths was used, and error bars show the standard error of the mean. Only bins with more than one microsecond of data in total were used for water permeation. Only simulations with the temperatures between 300–315 K were used in D.

## Databank content



**Figure S7.** Structure of the NMRLipids Databank. Manually added input data (blue boxes) include basic information on the simulation, permanent links to the raw data, and experimental data if available. The databank entries (red box) and analysis results (green boxes), at <https://github.com/NMRLipids/Databank/tree/main/Data/Simulations> are automatically generated by the computer programs included in the NMRLipids Databank (yellow boxes). Because the raw data are not permanently stored but can be accessed based on the information in the Databank, this connection is marked with a dashed line.

Code	Function	Input	Output
<b>Databank layer</b>			
<b>Scripts/BuildDatabank/</b>			
AddData.py	Create README.yaml from user given information in info.yaml	info.yaml	README.yaml
searchDATABANK.py	Pair simulations with available experimental data	README.yaml files	Updated README.yaml files
QualityEvaluation.py	Quality-evaluate simulations that are paired with experimental data	README.yaml files	[lipid_name]_OrderParameters_quality.json, [lipid_name]_FragmentQuality.json, system_quality.json, FormFactorQuality.json
<b>Scripts/AnalyzeDatabank/</b>			
calcOrderParameters.py	Calculate C-H bond order parameters for all lipids in the simulation	README.yaml files	[lipid_name]_OrderParameters.json
calcAPL.py	Calculate area per lipid as a function of time	README.yaml files	apl.json
calc_FormFactors.py	Calculate x-ray scattering form factors	README.yaml files	FormFactor.json
calc_thickness.py	Calculate membrane thickness	README.yaml files	thickness.json
template.py	Minimal code to loop over simulations that can be used as a template for new analyses	README.yaml files	
template.ipynb			

**Table S1.** List of relevant codes used to build the Databank and perform analyses in the *Databank layer* available at <https://github.com/NMRLipids/Databank/>.

Code	Function	Input	Output
<b>Application layer</b>			
AreaPerLipidAndThicknessCorrelations.ipynb	Analyze correlations between results in the <i>Databank layer</i>	Results in the <i>Data-bank layer</i>	Figs. 2G and S1
FlipFlop.py	Calculate flip-flop rates of lipids in all simulations	README.yaml	flipflop.dat files at /Data/Flipflops/
plotFlipFlop.ipynb	Plot how flip-flop rates depend on membrane properties	README.yaml and flipflop.dat files at /Data/Flipflops/	Fig. 3
calcMD-PERMEATION.py	Calculate permeation rate of water molecules through bilayers from all simulations	README.yaml	Counting_events.txt files at /Data/MD-PERMEATION/
calcWATERdiffusion.py	Calculate water lateral diffusion along membrane surface	README.yaml	WATERlateralMSD.xvg files at /Data/WATERdiffusion/
plotWaterPermeation.ipynb	Plot how water permeation and diffusion depend on membrane properties	README.yaml, Count-ing_events.txt at /Data/MD-PERMEATION/ and WATERlateralMSD.xvg files at /Data/WATERdiffusion/	Figs. 4, S5, and S6.
plotSimulation.ipynb	Plot results from a simulation together with the experimental data if available	README.yaml files and results in the <i>databank layer</i>	Figs 2D-F and S4

**Table S2.** Examples of codes that analyze membrane properties from the Databank in an *Application layer* available at <https://github.com/NMRLipids/DataBankManuscript/>.

key	description	type
DOI	DOI from where the raw data is found	user given (compulsory)
SOFTWARE	Software used to run the simulation (Gromacs, Amber, NAMD, etc.)	
TRJ	Name of the trajectory file found from DOI (trr or xtc for Gromacs, dcd for OpenMM)	
TPR (Gromacs)	Name of the tpr topology file found from DOI for Gromacs simulations	
PDB (OpenMM)	Name of the pdb file found from DOI for OpenMM simulations	
PREEQTIME	Pre-equilibrate time simulated before the uploaded trajectory in nanoseconds.	
TIMELEFTOUT	Equilibration period in the uploaded trajectory that should be discarded in analyses.	
COMPOSITION	Dictionary connecting universal molecule and atom names to the ones used in simulation	
DIR_WRK	Temporary working directory in your local computer.	
UNITEDATOM_DICT	Information for constructing hydrogens for united atom simulations using buildH program <sup>2</sup> . Empty for all atom simulations.	
TYPEOFSYSTEM	Lipid bilayer or something else	
PUBLICATION	Give reference to a publication(s) related to the data	user given (optional)
AUTHORS_CONTACT	Name and email of the main author(s) of the data	
SYSTEM	System description on free text format	
SOFTWARE_VERSION	Version of the used software	
FF	Name of the used force field	
FF_SOURCE	Source of the force field parameters, e.g., CHARMM-GUI, webpage, citation to a publication	
FF_DATE	Date when force field parameters were accessed on the given source (day/month/year)	
FFmolename	Molecule specific force field information, e.g., water model with FFSOL and sodium parameters with FFSOD	
CPT	Name of the Gromacs checkpoint file	
LOG	Name of the Gromacs log file	
GRO	Name of the Gromacs gro file	
TOP	Name of top file for Gromacs or psf file for OpenMM	
CRD	Name of crd file for OpenMM	
WARNINGS	Dictionary containing information about unusual features in the trajectory, such as ambiguous atom names, membrane normal not oriented in z-direction, old Gromacs version used	
TRAJECTORY_SIZE	Size of the trajectory file in bytes	automatically extracted data
TRJLENGTH	Length of the trajectory (ps)	
TEMPERATURE	Temperature of the simulation	
NUMBER_OF_ATOMS	Number of atoms in the simulation	
DATEOFRUNNING	Date when added into the Databank	
EXPERIMENT	Potentially connected experimental data	
COMPOSITION	Numbers of lipid molecules in both leaflets and numbers of other molecules are added to the dictionary	
ID	Unique ID number to ease the analyses	

**Table S3.** Keys stored in the README.yaml files of simulations.

key	description
DOI	DOI of the publication related to the experimental data
TEMPERATURE	Temperature of the experiment
MOLAR_FRACTIONS	Dictionary of molar fractions of bilayer components
ION_CONCENTRATIONS	Dictionary of ion concentrations of the system
TOTAL_LIPID_CONCENTRATION	Total concentration of lipid components; if exact concentration is not known, but experiments are performed in excess water, 'full hydration' can be given
COUNTER_IONS	Type of counter ions if present

**Table S4.** Keys stored in the README.yaml files of experiments.

Abbreviation	Molecule name
<b>Lipids and surfactants</b>	
POPC	1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine
POPG	1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoglycerol
POPS	1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-L-serine
POPE	1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine
PYPC	1-(16:0)-2-(16:1 <sup>Δ9</sup> )-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine
PAzePCprot	1-palmitoyl-2-azelaoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine protonated
PAzePCdeprot	1-palmitoyl-2-azelaoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine deprotonated
DMPC	1,2-dimyristoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine
DPPC	1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine
DPPE	1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine
DPPG	1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-(1'-rac-glycerol) (sodium salt)
DEPC	1,2-dierucoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine
DRPC	1,2-(14:1 <sup>Δ9</sup> )-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine
DYPC	1,2-(16:1 <sup>Δ9</sup> )-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine
DLPC	1,2-dilauroyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine
DLIPC	1,2-dilinoleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine
DOG	1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycerol
DOPC	1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine
DOPE	1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine
DDOPC	1,2-didocosahexaenoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine
DOPS	1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-L-serine
DSPC	1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine
DAPC	1,2-diarachidonoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine
SLiPC	1-(18:0)-2-(18:2 <sup>Δ9,12</sup> )-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine
DMTAP	1,2-dimyristoyl-3-trimethylammonium-propane
SOPC	1-stearoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine
POPI	
SAPI	
SLPI	
SDG	1-stearoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-sn-glycerol
SDPE	1-stearoyl-2-docosahexaenoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine
CER	N-palmitoyl-D-erythro-sphingosine
CHOL	cholesterol
DCHOL	18,19-di-nor-cholesterol
DHMDMAB	dihexadecyldimethylammonium
<b>Other molecules</b>	
POT	potassium ion
SOD	sodium ion
CLA	chloride ion
CAL	calcium ion
CES	caesium ion
SOL	water


**Table S5.** Abbreviations for molecule names used in the Databank.

Force field name and references
CHARMM36 <sup>3</sup>
Slipids <sup>4–8</sup>
MacRog <sup>9</sup>
Amber Lipid14/17 <sup>10,11</sup>
Charmm-Drude <sup>12</sup>
ECClipids <sup>13–15</sup>
GROMOS-CKP <sup>16–18</sup>
Berger <sup>19</sup>
ECC-CHARMM36 <sup>20</sup>
Orange <sup>21</sup>
Poger <sup>22</sup>
GROMOS 43A1-S3 <sup>23</sup>
GAFFlipid <sup>24</sup>
OPLS3e <sup>25</sup>
Ulmschneider <sup>26</sup>
Chiu Gromos <sup>23</sup>
AMOEBA <sup>27</sup>


**Table S6.** List of current force fields used in simulations in the Databank, with references.

## NMR experiments


Acyl chain order parameters of POPE (Figs. S8 and S9) and POPG (Figs. S10 and S11) were analyzed from the same data that were previously recorded to determine headgroup order parameters<sup>15</sup>. The analysis of the crowded spectral region at 29–31 ppm was based on the previous assignment reported for POPC membranes<sup>28</sup>. To measure the order parameters for DOPC (Fig. S12), the sample was prepared and experiments performed similarly to previous studies<sup>15</sup>.




**Figure S8.** Determination of the POPE acyl chain order parameters from a R-PDLF spectrum measured at a magic angle spinning frequency of 5.15 kHz. (A)  $^{13}\text{C}$  rINEPT spectrum with peak assignment. The labels used are shown in the chemical structure of POPE. The chemical shift of the methyl groups was defined as 13.8 ppm. (B) Contour plot of the R-PDLF spectrum for the crowded spectral region. The assignment was based on a previous assignment reported for POPC membranes<sup>28</sup>. (C) C–H bond order parameter profile for the acyl chains of POPE. The splittings used for calculating the order parameters are shown in Fig. S9. The unassigned peaks belong to the headgroup and glycerol backbone carbons. A detailed assignment and order parameter analysis of these carbons was shown previously<sup>15</sup>.




**Figure S9.** Dipolar spectra obtained from the 2D R-PDLF spectrum from POPE in Fig. S8. The number at the top left corner of each panel denotes the corresponding chemical shift. The carbon label for each splitting is displayed on the top right corner. The labels are the same as in Fig. S8.




**Figure S10.** Determination of the POPG acyl chain order parameters from a R-PDLF spectrum measured at a magic angle spinning frequency of 5.15 kHz. (A)  $^{13}\text{C}$  rIN EPT spectrum with peak assignment. The labels used are shown in the chemical structure of POPG. The chemical shift of the methyl groups was defined as 13.8 ppm. (B) Contour plot of the R-PDLF spectrum for the crowded spectral region. The assignment was based on a previous assignment reported for POPC membranes<sup>28</sup>. (C) C–H bond order parameter profile for the acyl chains of POPG. The splittings used for calculating the order parameters are shown in Fig. S11. The unassigned peaks belong to the headgroup and glycerol backbone carbons. A detailed assignment and order parameter analysis of these carbons was shown previously<sup>15</sup>.



**Figure S11.** Dipolar spectra obtained from the 2D R-PDLF spectrum described in Fig. S10. The number at the top left corner of each panel denotes the corresponding chemical shift. The carbon label for each splitting is displayed on the top right corner. The labels are the same as in Fig. S10.



**Figure S12.** Determination of DOPC order parameters from a R-PDLF spectrum measured at a magic angle spinning frequency of 5.15 kHz. (A)  $^{13}\text{C}$  rINEPT spectrum with peak assignment. The labels used are shown in the chemical structure of DOPC. The chemical shift of the methyl groups was defined as 13.8 ppm. (B) Contour plot of the R-PDLF spectrum for the crowded spectral region. The assignment was based on a previous assignment reported for POPC membranes<sup>28</sup>. (C) C–H bond order parameters of DOPC.



**Figure S13.** Dipolar spectra obtained from the 2D R-PDLF spectrum described in Fig. S12. The number at the top left corner of each panel denotes the corresponding chemical shift. The carbon label for each splitting is displayed on the top right corner. The labels are the same as in Fig. S12.

## References

1. Javanainen, M. Simulations of POPC/cholesterol mixtures at 298 K, three system sizes, CHARMM36, DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.7035350](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7035350) (2021).
2. Santuz, H., Bacle, A., Poulain, P. & Fuchs, P. F. buildh: Build hydrogen atoms from united-atom molecular dynamics of lipids and calculate the order parameters. *J. Open Source Softw.* **6**, 3521, DOI: [10.21105/joss.03521](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.03521) (2021).
3. Klauda, J. B. *et al.* Update of the CHARMM all-atom additive force field for lipids: Validation on six lipid types. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **114**, 7830–7843 (2010).
4. Jämbeck, J. P. M. & Lyubartsev, A. P. Derivation and systematic validation of a refined all-atom force field for phosphatidylcholine lipids. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **116**, 3164–3179 (2012).
5. Jämbeck, J. P. M. & Lyubartsev, A. P. An extension and further validation of an all-atomistic force field for biological membranes. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **8**, 2938–2948 (2012).
6. Jämbeck, J. P. & Lyubartsev, A. P. Another piece of the membrane puzzle: extending lipids further. *J. Chem. Theo. Comput.* **9**, 774–784 (2012).
7. Ermilova, I. & Lyubartsev, A. P. Extension of the lipids force field to polyunsaturated lipids. *The J. Phys. Chem. B* **120**, 12826–12842 (2016).
8. Grote, F. & Lyubartsev, A. P. Optimization of lipids force field parameters describing headgroups of phospholipids. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **124**, 8784–8793 (2020).
9. Kulig, W., Pasenkiewicz-Gierula, M. & Róg, T. Cis and trans unsaturated phosphatidylcholine bilayers: A molecular dynamics simulation study. *Chem. Phys. Lipids* **195**, 12 – 20 (2016).
10. Dickson, C. J. *et al.* Lipid14: The amber lipid force field. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **10**, 865–879 (2014).
11. Dickson, C. J., Walker, R. C. & Gould, I. R. Lipid21: Complex lipid membrane simulations with amber. *J. Chem. Theo. Comput.* **18**, 1726–1736 (2022).
12. Li, H. *et al.* Drude polarizable force field for molecular dynamics simulations of saturated and unsaturated zwitterionic lipids. *J. chemical theory computation* **13**, 4535–4552 (2017).
13. Melcr, J. *et al.* Accurate binding of sodium and calcium to a popc bilayer by effective inclusion of electronic polarization. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **122**, 4546–4557 (2018).
14. Melcr, J., Ferreira, T. M., Jungwirth, P. & Ollila, O. H. S. Improved cation binding to lipid bilayers with negatively charged pops by effective inclusion of electronic polarization. *J. Chem. Theo. Comput.* **16**, 738–748 (2020).
15. Bacle, A. *et al.* Inverse conformational selection in lipid–protein binding. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **143**, 13701–13709 (2021).
16. Chandrasekhar, I. *et al.* A consistent potential energy parameter set for lipids: dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine as a benchmark of the gromos96 45a3 force field. *Eur. Biophys. J.* **32**, 67–77 (2003).
17. Kukol, A. Lipid models for united-atom molecular dynamics simulations of proteins. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **5**, 615–626 (2009).
18. Piggot, T. J., Piñeiro, Á. & Khalid, S. Molecular dynamics simulations of phosphatidylcholine membranes: A comparative force field study. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **8**, 4593–4609 (2012).
19. Berger, O., Edholm, O. & Jähnig, F. Molecular dynamics simulations of a fluid bilayer of dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine at full hydration, constant pressure, and constant temperature. *Biophys. J.* **72**, 2002 – 2013 (1997).
20. Nencini, R. *Development and testing of computer models of phospholipid membranes*. Master's thesis, Charles University of Prague, DOI: <http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.11956/106127> (2019). [Http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.11956/106127](http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.11956/106127).
21. Catte, A. *et al.* Molecular electrometer and binding of cations to phospholipid bilayers. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **18**, 32560–32569 (2016).
22. Poger, D., Van Gunsteren, W. F. & Mark, A. E. A new force field for simulating phosphatidylcholine bilayers. *J. Comput. Chem.* **31**, 1117–1125 (2010).
23. Chiu, S.-W., Pandit, S. A., Scott, H. L. & Jakobsson, E. An improved united atom force field for simulation of mixed lipid bilayers. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **113**, 2748–2763 (2009).
24. Dickson, C. J., Rosso, L., Betz, R. M., Walker, R. C. & Gould, I. R. GAFFlipid: a general amber force field for the accurate molecular dynamics simulation of phospholipid. *Soft Matter* **8**, 9617–9627 (2012).

25. Roos, K. *et al.* Opls3e: Extending force field coverage for drug-like small molecules. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **15**, 1863–1874 (2019).
26. Ulmschneider, J. P. & Ulmschneider, M. B. United atom lipid parameters for combination with the optimized potentials for liquid simulations all-atom force field. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **5**, 1803–1813 (2009).
27. Chu, H., Peng, X., Li, Y., Zhang, Y. & Li, G. A polarizable atomic multipole-based force field for molecular dynamics simulations of anionic lipids. *Molecules* **23**, 77 (2018).
28. Ferreira, T. M. *et al.* Cholesterol and POPC segmental order parameters in lipid membranes: solid state  $^1\text{H}$ - $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR and MD simulation studies. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **15**, 1976–1989 (2013).