Deep Generative Models

Lecture 3

Roman Isachenko

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology

2023, Autumn

Recap of previous lecture

Posterior distribution

$$p(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{X}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{X}|\boldsymbol{\theta})p(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{p(\mathbf{X})} = \frac{p(\mathbf{X}|\boldsymbol{\theta})p(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\int p(\mathbf{X}|\boldsymbol{\theta})p(\boldsymbol{\theta})d\boldsymbol{\theta}}$$

Bayesian inference

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{X}) = \int p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})p(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{X})d\boldsymbol{\theta}$$

Maximum a posteriori (MAP) estimation

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \arg\max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} p(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{X}) = \arg\max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\big(\log p(\mathbf{X}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \log p(\boldsymbol{\theta})\big)$$

MAP inference

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{X}) = \int p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})p(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{X})d\boldsymbol{\theta} \approx p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}^*).$$

Recap of previous lecture

Latent variable models (LVM)

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) d\mathbf{z} = \int p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) p(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z}.$$

MLE problem for LVM

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \arg\max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \log p(\mathbf{X}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \arg\max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log p(\mathbf{x}_i|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \\ = \arg\max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \int p(\mathbf{x}_i|\mathbf{z}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}) p(\mathbf{z}_i) d\mathbf{z}_i. \end{aligned}$$

Naive Monte-Carlo estimation

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) p(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z} = \mathbb{E}_{p(\mathbf{z})} p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \approx \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}_k, \boldsymbol{\theta}),$$

where $\mathbf{z}_k \sim p(\mathbf{z})$.

Recap of previous lecture

ELBO derivation 1 (inequality)

$$\log p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) d\mathbf{z} \geq \mathbb{E}_q \log \frac{p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{z})} = \mathcal{L}(q, \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

ELBO derivation 2 (equality)

$$\mathcal{L}(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int q(\mathbf{z}) \log \frac{p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{z})} d\mathbf{z} = \int q(\mathbf{z}) \log \frac{p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{z})} d\mathbf{z} =$$
$$= \log p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) - KL(q(\mathbf{z}) || p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}))$$

Variational decomposition

$$\log p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathcal{L}(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) + KL(q(\mathbf{z}) || p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})) \geq \mathcal{L}(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}).$$

Recap of previous lecture

Variational lower Bound (ELBO)

$$\log p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathcal{L}(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) + KL(q(\mathbf{z})||p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})) \geq \mathcal{L}(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}).$$

$$\mathcal{L}(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int q(\mathbf{z}) \log \frac{p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{z})} d\mathbf{z} = \mathbb{E}_q \log p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) - KL(q(\mathbf{z})||p(\mathbf{z}))$$

Log-likelihood decomposition

$$\log p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_q \log p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) - KL(q(\mathbf{z})||p(\mathbf{z})) + KL(q(\mathbf{z})||p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})).$$

- Instead of maximizing incomplete likelihood, maximize ELBO

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) \quad \rightarrow \quad \max_{q, \boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathcal{L}(q, \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

- Maximization of ELBO by variational distribution q is equivalent to minimization of KL

$$\arg\max_q \mathcal{L}(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \equiv \arg\min_q KL(q(\mathbf{z})||p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})).$$

Recap of previous lecture

EM-algorithm

- E-step

$$q^*(\mathbf{z}) = \arg\max_q \mathcal{L}(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) = \arg\min_q KL(q(\mathbf{z})||p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^*));$$

- M-step

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \arg\max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathcal{L}(q^*, \boldsymbol{\theta});$$

Amortized variational inference

Restrict a family of all possible distributions $q(\mathbf{z})$ to a parametric class $q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)$ conditioned on samples $\mathbf{x}$ with parameters ϕ.

Variational Bayes

- E-step

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}_k = \boldsymbol{\phi}_{k-1} + \eta \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{k-1})|_{\boldsymbol{\phi}=\boldsymbol{\phi}_{k-1}}$$

- M-step

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_k = \boldsymbol{\theta}_{k-1} + \eta \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\phi}_k, \boldsymbol{\theta})|_{\boldsymbol{\theta}=\boldsymbol{\theta}_{k-1}}$$

Outline

1. ELBO gradients, reparametrization trick

2. Variational autoencoder (VAE)

3. Tighter variational bound (IWAE)

Outline

1. ELBO gradients, reparametrization trick
2. Variational autoencoder (VAE)
3. Tighter variational bound (IWAE)

ELBO gradients, (M-step, $\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$)

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x},\boldsymbol{\phi})} \left[\log p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) - \log \frac{q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\phi})}{p(\mathbf{z})} \right] \rightarrow \max_{\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\theta}} .$$

M-step: $\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\phi}) \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \log p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) d\mathbf{z} \approx$$
$$\approx \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \log p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}^*, \boldsymbol{\theta}), \quad \mathbf{z}^* \sim q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\phi}).$$

Naive Monte-Carlo estimation

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) p(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z} = \mathbb{E}_{p(\mathbf{z})} p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \approx \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}_k, \boldsymbol{\theta}),$$

where $\mathbf{z}_k \sim p(\mathbf{z})$.

The variational posterior $q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\phi})$ assigns typically more probability mass in a smaller region than the prior $p(\mathbf{z})$.

image credit: https://jmtomczak.github.io/blog/4/4_VAE.html

ELBO gradients, (E-step, $\nabla_\phi \mathcal{L}(\phi, \boldsymbol{\theta})$)

E-step: $\nabla_\phi \mathcal{L}(\phi, \boldsymbol{\theta})$

Difference from M-step: density function $q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)$ depends on the parameters ϕ, it is impossible to use the Monte-Carlo estimation:

$$\nabla_\phi \mathcal{L}(\phi, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \nabla_\phi \int q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi) \left[\log p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) - \log \frac{q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)}{p(\mathbf{z})} \right] d\mathbf{z}$$
$$\neq \int q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi) \nabla_\phi \left[\log p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) - \log \frac{q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)}{p(\mathbf{z})} \right] d\mathbf{z}$$

Reparametrization trick (LOTUS trick)

- $r(x) = \mathcal{N}(x|0, 1)$, $y = \sigma \cdot x + \mu$, $p_Y(y|\theta) = \mathcal{N}(y|\mu, \sigma^2)$, $\theta = [\mu, \sigma]$.
- $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^* \sim r(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}), \quad \mathbf{z} = g(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \phi), \quad \mathbf{z} \sim q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)$

$$\nabla_\phi \int q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi) f(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z} = \nabla_\phi \int r(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) f(\mathbf{z}) d\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$
$$= \int r(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) \nabla_\phi f(g(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \phi)) d\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \approx \nabla_\phi f(g(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^*, \phi))$$

ELBO gradient (E-step, $\nabla_{\phi}\mathcal{L}(\phi, \boldsymbol{\theta})$)

$$\nabla_{\phi}\mathcal{L}(\phi, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \nabla_{\phi} \int q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi) \log p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) d\mathbf{z} - \nabla_{\phi} \mathrm{KL}(q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)||p(\mathbf{z}))$$

$$= \int r(\epsilon) \nabla_{\phi} \log p(\mathbf{x}|g(\mathbf{x}, \epsilon, \phi), \boldsymbol{\theta}) d\epsilon - \nabla_{\phi} \mathrm{KL}(q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)||p(\mathbf{z}))$$

$$\approx \nabla_{\phi} \log p(\mathbf{x}|g(\mathbf{x}, \epsilon^*, \phi), \boldsymbol{\theta}) - \nabla_{\phi} \mathrm{KL}(q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)||p(\mathbf{z}))$$

Variational assumption

$$r(\epsilon) = \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I}); \quad q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\phi}(\mathbf{x}), \boldsymbol{\sigma}^2_{\phi}(\mathbf{x})).$$

$$\mathbf{z} = g(\mathbf{x}, \epsilon, \phi) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \epsilon + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\phi}(\mathbf{x}).$$

Here $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\phi}(\cdot), \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\phi}(\cdot)$ are parameterized functions (outputs of neural network).

- $p(\mathbf{z})$ – prior distribution on latent variables $\mathbf{z}$. We could specify any distribution that we want. Let say $p(\mathbf{z}) = \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I})$.
- $p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ - generative distibution. Since it is a parameterized function let it be neural network with parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}$.

Outline

1. ELBO gradients, reparametrization trick

2. Variational autoencoder (VAE)

3. Tighter variational bound (IWAE)

Generative models zoo



Variational autoencoder (VAE)

Final EM-algorithm

- pick random sample $\mathbf{x}_i$, $i \sim U[1, n]$.
- compute the objective:

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^* \sim r(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}); \quad \mathbf{z}^* = g(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^*, \boldsymbol{\phi});$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \approx \log p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}^*, \boldsymbol{\theta}) - KL(q(\mathbf{z}^*|\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\phi})||p(\mathbf{z}^*)).$$

- compute a stochastic gradients w.r.t. $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta}$

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \approx \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \log p(\mathbf{x}|g(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^*, \boldsymbol{\phi}), \boldsymbol{\theta}) - \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}\mathrm{KL}(q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\phi})||p(\mathbf{z}));$$
$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \approx \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \log p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}^*, \boldsymbol{\theta}).$$

- update $\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\phi}$ according to the selected optimization method (SGD, Adam, RMSProp):

$$\boldsymbol{\phi} := \boldsymbol{\phi} + \eta\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\theta}),$$
$$\boldsymbol{\theta} := \boldsymbol{\theta} + \eta\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\theta}).$$

Variational autoencoder (VAE)

- VAE learns stochastic mapping between **x**-space, from complicated distribution $\pi(\mathbf{x})$, and a latent **z**-space, with simple distribution.
- The generative model learns a joint distribution $p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = p(\mathbf{z})p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$, with a prior distribution $p(\mathbf{z})$, and a stochastic decoder $p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$.
- The stochastic encoder $q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)$ (inference model), approximates the true but intractable posterior $p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ of the generative model.



Kingma D. P., Welling M. An introduction to variational autoencoders, 2019

Variational Autoencoder

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x},\phi)} \left[\log p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) - \log \frac{q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)}{p(\mathbf{z})} \right] \to \max_{\phi, \boldsymbol{\theta}} .$$



image credit: http://ijdykeman.github.io/ml/2016/12/21/cvae.html

Variational autoencoder (VAE)

- Encoder $q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi) = \mathrm{NN}_e(\mathbf{x}, \phi)$ outputs $\boldsymbol{\mu}_\phi(\mathbf{x})$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\phi(\mathbf{x})$.
- Decoder $p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathrm{NN}_d(\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ outputs parameters of the sample distribution.



image credit: https://lilianweng.github.io/lil-log/2018/08/12/from-autoencoder-to-beta-vae.html

VAE limitations

- Poor generative distribution (decoder)

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{z}), \boldsymbol{\sigma}^2_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{z})) \quad \text{or} \quad = \text{Softmax}(\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{z})).$$

- Loose lower bound

$$\log p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \mathcal{L}(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = (?).$$

- Poor prior distribution

$$p(\mathbf{z}) = \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I}).$$

- Poor variational posterior distribution (encoder)

$$q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\phi}(\mathbf{x}), \boldsymbol{\sigma}^2_{\phi}(\mathbf{x})).$$

Outline

VAE limitations

- Poor generative distribution (decoder)

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{z}), \boldsymbol{\sigma}^2_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{z})) \quad \text{or} \quad = \text{Softmax}(\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{z})).$$

- **Loose lower bound**

$$\log p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \mathcal{L}(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = (?).$$

- Poor prior distribution

$$p(\mathbf{z}) = \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I}).$$

- Poor variational posterior distribution (encoder)

$$q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\phi}(\mathbf{x}), \boldsymbol{\sigma}^2_{\phi}(\mathbf{x})).$$

Importance sampling

LVM

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) d\mathbf{z} = \int \left[\frac{p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)} \right] q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi) d\mathbf{z}$$
$$= \int f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi) d\mathbf{z} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$$

Here $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)}$.

ELBO: derivation 1

$$\log p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \geq \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)} \log f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) =$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)} \log \frac{p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)} = \mathcal{L}(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}).$$

$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$ could be any function that satisfies $p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim q} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$.
Could we choose better $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$?

Importance Weighted Autoencoders (IWAE)

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int \left[\frac{p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)} \right] q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi) d\mathbf{z} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$$

Let define

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_K) = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}_k|\boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{z}_k|\mathbf{x}, \phi)}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_K \sim q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_K) = p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

ELBO

$$\begin{aligned}
\log p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \log \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_K \sim q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_K) \geq \\
&\geq \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_K \sim q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)} \log f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_K) = \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_K \sim q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)} \log \left[\frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}_k|\boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{z}_k|\mathbf{x}, \phi)} \right] = \mathcal{L}_K(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}).
\end{aligned}$$

Burda Y., Grosse R., Salakhutdinov R. Importance Weighted Autoencoders, 2015

Importance Weighted Autoencoders (IWAE)

VAE objective

$$\log p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) \geq \mathcal{L}(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)} \log \frac{p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)} \rightarrow \max_{q, \boldsymbol{\theta}}$$

$$\mathcal{L}(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_K \sim q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)} \left(\frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \log \frac{p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}_k|\boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{z}_k|\mathbf{x}, \phi)} \right) \rightarrow \max_{q, \boldsymbol{\theta}}.$$

IWAE objective

$$\mathcal{L}_K(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_K \sim q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)} \log \left(\frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}_k|\boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{z}_k|\mathbf{x}, \phi)} \right) \rightarrow \max_{q, \boldsymbol{\theta}}.$$

If $K = 1$, these objectives coincide.

Burda Y., Grosse R., Salakhutdinov R. Importance Weighted Autoencoders, 2015

Importance Weighted Autoencoders (IWAE)

Theorem

1. $\log p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) \geq \mathcal{L}_K(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \geq \mathcal{L}_M(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}), \quad \text{for } K \geq M;$
2. $\log p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \lim_{K \to \infty} \mathcal{L}_K(q, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ if $\frac{p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)}$ is bounded.

If $K > 1$ the bound could be tighter.

$$\mathcal{L}(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)} \log \frac{p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)};$$

$$\mathcal{L}_K(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_K \sim q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)} \log \left(\frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}_k|\boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{z}_k|\mathbf{x}, \phi)} \right).$$

- $\mathcal{L}_1(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathcal{L}(q, \boldsymbol{\theta})$;
- $\mathcal{L}_\infty(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$.
- Which $q^*(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)$ gives $\mathcal{L}(q^*, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$?

Burda Y., Grosse R., Salakhutdinov R. Importance Weighted Autoencoders, 2015

Importance Weighted Autoencoders (IWAE)

Objective

$$\mathcal{L}_K(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_K \sim q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)} \log \left(\frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}_k | \boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{z}_k | \mathbf{x}, \phi)} \right) \rightarrow \max_{\phi, \boldsymbol{\theta}}.$$

Theorem

Gradient signal of $q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)$ vanishes as K increases:

$$\Delta_K = \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, \phi} \mathcal{L}_K(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}); \quad \text{SNR}_K = \frac{\mathbb{E}[\Delta_K]}{\sigma(\Delta_K)};$$

$$\text{SNR}_K(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = O(\sqrt{K}); \quad \text{SNR}_K(\phi) = O\left(\sqrt{K^{-1}}\right).$$

- IWAE makes the variational bound tighter and extends the class of variational distributions.
- Gradient signal becomes really small, training is complicated.
- IWAE is a standard quality measure for VAE models.

Rainforth T. et al. Tighter variational bounds are not necessarily better, 2018

Summary

- Amortized variational inference allows to efficiently compute the stochastic gradients for ELBO using Monte-Carlo estimation.
- The reparametrization trick gets unbiased gradients w.r.t to the variational posterior distribution $q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)$.
- The VAE model is an LVM with two neural network: stochastic encoder $q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)$ and stochastic decoder $p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$.
- Standart VAE has several limitations that we will address later in the course.
- The IWAE could get the tighter lower bound to the likelihood, but the training of such model becomes more difficult.