

# Efficient Algorithm for Inverting Impulse Response Functions from Frequency Response RAOs

---

X. Kong, 2025

## Introduction

---

The inversion of impulse response functions (IRFs) from frequency-domain data, such as response amplitude operators (RAOs), is a critical step in time-domain simulations for hydrodynamics and structural dynamics. Traditional methods often rely on damping coefficients due to the notorious numerical instability of added-mass-based integrals, ref. Renato Skejic (2008)<sup>1, 2</sup>. Many authors claimed (without any proof) that for frequencies above the highest frequency specified in the data,  $B(f)$  is assumed to decay to zero with  $f^{-3}$  at infinite frequency which is however found to be incorrect. One of the advanced approaches was proposed in WAMIT<sup>3</sup> through high-frequency integral compensation for the truncation error. It is found that with dense frequency grid, available sufficiently high-frequency data and Filon integration, a higher accuracy can be achieved, but it becomes rarely practical in daily engineering usage due to the vast computation demanding. As far as I know, among the commercial software products, Orcaflex<sup>2</sup> seems to be the most reliable but with some forceful cutoff scaling and SIMA/SIMO<sup>4</sup> is shown with the initial response overestimated and even give some incorrect results for cross terms.

Here, we introduce a robust algorithm that leverages the rapid decay of half-range sine Fourier series or Fast Fourier Transform to achieve higher-order accuracy, particularly by enhancing the added-mass integration. This approach addresses key limitations in existing literature, such as slow convergence and sensitivity to high-frequency truncation.

Here a dedicated [literature review](#) is prepared.

## Theoretical Foundation: Rapid Decay of Sine Series Coefficients

---

The algorithm is grounded in the mathematical property that if a function  $K(t)$  on  $[0, T]$  vanishes at both endpoints ( $K(0) = K(T) = 0$ ) and is sufficiently smooth (e.g., continuously differentiable), its half-range sine Fourier coefficients decay as  $O(1/n^3)$ . This rapid decay underpins the efficiency of the method, as it ensures that fewer terms are needed in the series expansion for accurate reconstruction. The half-range sine series is defined as:

$$K(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi t}{T}\right), \quad b_n = \frac{2}{T} \int_0^T K(t) \sin\left(\frac{n\pi t}{T}\right) dt.$$

The  $1/n^3$  decay rate arises from integration by parts: if  $K(t)$  has continuous derivatives up to order 2, the coefficients  $b_n$  scale as  $O(1/n^3)$ , accelerating convergence compared to standard Fourier series.

## Algorithm Steps for Causal IRF Reconstruction

---

The algorithm transforms frequency-domain data  $R(f)$  (e.g., RAOs) into a causal IRF  $K(t)$  through the following steps:

### 1. Remove the Jump at $t = 0$ by Subtracting an Exponential:

The impulse response  $K(t)$  often exhibits a discontinuity at  $t = 0$  due to non-zero initial values, leading to slow decay in the frequency domain. To address this, we subtract a simple exponential function  $K_e(t) = K_0 e^{-\alpha t} u(t)$  (where  $u(t)$  is the unit step function) that captures the jump. The modified function  $\tilde{K}(t) = K(t) - K_e(t)$  is continuous at  $t = 0$ , ensuring faster convergence. The parameters  $K_0$  and  $\alpha$  are chosen to match the high-frequency behavior of  $R(f)$ ; specifically,  $K_0$  is determined from the asymptotic tail  $\Im R(f) \sim -K_0/(2\pi f)$  as  $|f| \rightarrow \infty$ .

### 2. Invert the Rapidly Convergent Sine Series or FFT:

The function  $\tilde{K}(t)$  is now smooth and vanishes at  $t = 0$  and  $t = T$  (for a sufficiently large  $T$ ). Its half-range sine Fourier series converges rapidly with  $O(1/n^3)$  decay. The inversion involves:

- Computing the sine coefficients from the frequency data  $R(f)$  using the relation between  $R(f)$  and  $K(t)$  via the Fourier transform. For example, if  $R(f)$  is the Fourier transform of  $K(t)$ , the coefficients can be derived from samples of  $\Im R(f)$ .
- Truncating the series to a small number of terms due to the rapid decay, significantly reducing computational cost.

### 3. Add the Exponential Back:

After reconstructing  $\tilde{K}(t)$  via the sine series, the full IRF is obtained as  $K(t) = \tilde{K}(t) + K_e(t)$ . This restores the causal nature of the response, with  $K(t) = 0$  for  $t < 0$ .

## Why a Jump at $t = 0$ Forces the High-Frequency Tail

The discontinuity at  $t = 0$  imposes a specific high-frequency behavior on the frequency response. Mathematically, if  $K(t)$  has a jump  $K_0$  at  $t = 0$ , the Fourier transform  $R(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(t) e^{-i2\pi f t} dt$  exhibits an asymptotic tail derived from integration by parts:

$$\Im R(f) \sim -\frac{K_0}{2\pi f} \quad \text{as } |f| \rightarrow \infty.$$

This results from the dominant contribution of the jump to the imaginary part of  $R(f)$ , as the derivative of  $K(t)$  includes a Dirac delta function at  $t = 0$ . This tail behavior is critical for accurately estimating  $K_0$  in the exponential subtraction step.

## Fully Analytic Example: Rectangle $R(f) \leftrightarrow$ Causal Sinc $K(t)$

---

Consider a rectangular frequency response  $R(f) = \text{rect}(f)$ , defined as  $R(f) = 1$  for  $|f| \leq 1/2$  and  $R(f) = 0$  otherwise. The corresponding IRF is the causal sinc function:

$$K(t) = \frac{\sin(\pi t)}{\pi t} u(t).$$

The Fourier transform of  $K(t)$  yields  $R(f)$ , demonstrating causality via the unit step  $u(t)$ .

## Short Proof of the Sine-Transform Identity

---

A key identity used in the algorithm is the Fourier sine transform relation. For a causal function  $K(t)$ , the imaginary part of  $R(f)$  is given by:

$$\Im R(f) = - \int_0^\infty K(t) \sin(2\pi f t) dt.$$

**Proof:** Since  $K(t)$  is real and causal,  $R(f) = \int_0^\infty K(t) e^{-i2\pi f t} dt$ . Expanding the exponential yields  $\Im R(f) = - \int_0^\infty K(t) \sin(2\pi f t) dt$ . This identity connects the frequency data to the sine series coefficients.

## Importance of Initial Response

---

$$K_0 = h(0) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty c(\omega) d\omega,$$

that some methods cannot obtain the correct  $K(0)$  as accurate as the FFT-based ones.

The integration based on plain trapezoid even plus a tailing  $C_c/\omega^2$  correction (or **higher-order tail model**, e.g.  $+D_c/\omega^4$ ), will not work. But this can be improved by using the **“trapezoidal rule with end correction”** from G. Benthien 2008<sup>5</sup>.

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx \approx h \left[ \frac{1}{2} f(a) + f(a+h) + \dots + f(b-h) + \frac{1}{2} f(b) \right] - \frac{h^2}{12} (f'(b) - f'(a)), \quad h = \frac{b-a}{n}.$$

where the **second-order endpoint correction** requires the derivatives at the ends and the accuracy is found to match Filon integration or other methods.

---

## 1. FFT and Hilbert-FFT can be used to calculate $K(0)$

Those two methods essentially compute

$$K(t) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{R(f) - K_0/(\alpha + i2\pi f)\}(t) + K_0 e^{-\alpha t}$$

on a periodic grid. The IFFT uses **all** the frequency samples, and for  $t = 0$  the exponential factor is 1, so  $K(0) \approx K_0$  almost by construction; small errors in the integral are partly smeared by the periodic/quadrature structure.

The Filon / real-part-only methods rely more directly on the **absolute value** of  $K_0$ . If the integral estimate is off by a percent or two, an offset will be introduced in the early-time IRF.

So improving the accuracy of  $K_0 = \int_0^\infty c(\omega)d\omega$  improves all the non-FFT methods much more than it helps FFT itself.

---

## 2. Improved $K_0$ using end-corrected trapezoidal integral

1. Integrate  $c(\omega)$  over the **measured** band  $[0, \Omega]$  with **trapezoid + end correction** (eq. 2.65).
2. Add the analytic tail  $\int_\Omega^\infty C_c/\omega^2, d\omega = C_c/\Omega$ .
3. Multiply by  $2/\pi$  to get  $K_0$ .

Assumptions:

- Assuming frequencies  $\omega_i$  are **approximately uniform**.
- We approximate derivatives at the endpoints with second-order one-sided differences:

$$f'(0) \approx \frac{-3f_0 + 4f_1 - f_2}{2h}, \quad f'(\Omega) \approx \frac{3f_n - 4f_{n-1} + f_{n-2}}{2h}.$$

## Conclusion

---

The proposed algorithm leverages the rapid decay of sine series to provide an efficient, accurate, and robust method for IRF inversion. By addressing the jump at  $t = 0$  and utilizing the  $1/n^3$  convergence, it outperforms traditional methods in computational efficiency. The improvement to added-mass integration further enhances its applicability, making it a versatile tool for time-domain analysis. Future work could extend this to multi-body systems and non-linear interactions.

---

1. Renato Skejic (2008), NTNU Doctoral these 2008:55, Maneuvering and Seakeeping of a Single Ship and of Two Ships in Interaction. [↩](#)

2. [Vessel theory: Impulse response and convolution](#) ↩ ↩

3. WAMIT R © USER MANUAL Versions 6.4. ↩

4. SIMO 4.20.4 Theory Manual, 2021 or [SIMA

Documentation]<https://sima.sintef.no/doc/4.8.0/sima/index.html>) ↩

5. Benthien, G.W., Acoustic Array Interactions in the Time Domain, *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 123, 3114 (2008)

