



Smart contracts security assessment

Final report

[Tariff: Standard](#)

Crypto Space Fleet

January 2022



0xguard.com



hello@0xguard.com

Contents

1. Introduction	3
2. Contracts checked	3
3. Procedure	3
4. Known vulnerabilities checked	4
5. Classification of issue severity	5
6. Issues	5
7. Conclusion	7
8. Disclaimer	8

Introduction

The report has been prepared for the Crypto Space Fleet team.

The audited code is available at [@dabitgroup/cryptospacefleet](https://github.com/dabitgroup/cryptospacefleet) Gitlab repository and was audited after commit [c26f748f](#).

Name	Crypto Space Fleet
Audit date	2022-01-27 - 2022-01-31
Language	Solidity
Platform	Polygon Network

Contracts checked

Name	Address
ERC721TradeableUpgradeable	
Cryptospacefleet.sol	

Procedure

We perform our audit according to the following procedure:

Automated analysis

- Scanning the project's smart contracts with several publicly available automated Solidity analysis tools
- Manual verification (reject or confirm) all the issues found by the tools

Manual audit

- Manually analyse smart contracts for security vulnerabilities
- Smart contracts' logic check

Known vulnerabilities checked

Title	Check result
<u>Unencrypted Private Data On-Chain</u>	passed
<u>Code With No Effects</u>	passed
<u>Message call with hardcoded gas amount</u>	passed
<u>Typographical Error</u>	passed
<u>DoS With Block Gas Limit</u>	passed
<u>Presence of unused variables</u>	passed
<u>Incorrect Inheritance Order</u>	passed
<u>Requirement Violation</u>	passed
<u>Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes</u>	passed
<u>Shadowing State Variables</u>	passed
<u>Incorrect Constructor Name</u>	passed
<u>Block values as a proxy for time</u>	passed
<u>Authorization through tx.origin</u>	passed
<u>DoS with Failed Call</u>	passed
<u>Delegatecall to Untrusted Callee</u>	passed
<u>Use of Deprecated Solidity Functions</u>	passed
<u>Assert Violation</u>	passed
<u>State Variable Default Visibility</u>	passed
<u>Reentrancy</u>	passed
<u>Unprotected SELFDESTRUCT Instruction</u>	passed
<u>Unprotected Ether Withdrawal</u>	passed
<u>Unchecked Call Return Value</u>	passed

<u>Floating Pragma</u>	not passed
<u>Outdated Compiler Version</u>	passed
<u>Integer Overflow and Underflow</u>	passed
<u>Function Default Visibility</u>	passed

Classification of issue severity

High severity	High severity issues can cause a significant or full loss of funds, change of contract ownership, major interference with contract logic. Such issues require immediate attention.
Medium severity	Medium severity issues do not pose an immediate risk, but can be detrimental to the client's reputation if exploited. Medium severity issues may lead to a contract failure and can be fixed by modifying the contract state or redeployment. Such issues require attention.
Low severity	Low severity issues do not cause significant destruction to the contract's functionality. Such issues are recommended to be taken into consideration.

Issues

High severity issues

No issues were found

Medium severity issues

No issues were found

Low severity issues

1. Lack of checks for input parameters (ERC721TradeableUpgradeable)

The function `mintTo()` should check that the `_tokenURI` the parameter is not empty.

2. Not optimal access modifiers (ERC721TradeableUpgradeable)

`mintTo(address,string)` and `totalSupply()` should be declared external.

3. Unused libraries (ERC721TradeableUpgradeable)

Imported libraries `@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Strings.sol` , `@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/math/SafeMath.sol` are not used.

4. Not optimal access modifier (Cryptospacefleet.sol)

The function `setContractURI()` , `contractURI()` should be declared external to save gas on calling it.

Recommendation: Make the functions external.

Conclusion

Crypto Space Fleet ERC721TradeableUpgradeable, Cryptospacefleet.sol contracts were audited. 4 low severity issues were found. The token is upgradable and it is worth considering the high gas costs during the update, as well as the level of user confidence.

Disclaimer

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Services Agreement, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to the Company in connection with the Agreement. This report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to or relied upon by any person for any purposes without 0xGuard prior written consent.

This report is not, nor should be considered, an “endorsement” or “disapproval” of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any “product” or “asset” created by any team or project that contracts 0xGuard to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model or legal compliance.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

