

Week 6

PropositionalLogic_TruthTableEnumeration

Code:

```
#Create a knowledge base using propositional logic and show that the given query entails the knowledge base or not.
```

```
import itertools
```

```
# Function to evaluate an expression
```

```
def evaluate_expression(a, b, c, expression):
```

```
    # Use eval() to evaluate the logical expression
```

```
    return eval(expression)
```

```
# Function to generate the truth table and evaluate a logical expression
```

```
def truth_table_and_evaluation(kb, query):
```

```
    # All possible combinations of truth values for a, b, and c
```

```
    truth_values = [True, False]
```

```
    combinations = list(itertools.product(truth_values, repeat=3))
```

```
    # Reverse the combinations to start from the bottom (False -> True)
```

```
    combinations.reverse()
```

```
    # Header for the full truth table
```

```
    print(f"{'a':<5} {'b':<5} {'c':<5} {'KB':<20}{'Query':<20}")
```

```
    # Evaluate the expressions for each combination
```

```
    for combination in combinations:
```

```
        a, b, c = combination
```

```
        # Evaluate the knowledge base (KB) and query expressions
```

```
        kb_result = evaluate_expression(a, b, c, kb)
```

```
        query_result = evaluate_expression(a, b, c, query)
```

```
        # Replace True/False with string "True"/"False"
```

```
        kb_result_str = "True" if kb_result else "False"
```

```
        query_result_str = "True" if query_result else "False"
```

```
        # Convert boolean values of a, b, c to "True"/"False"
```

```
        a_str = "True" if a else "False"
```

```

b_str = "True" if b else "False"
c_str = "True" if c else "False"

# Print the results for the knowledge base and the query
print(f"{a_str:<5} {b_str:<5} {c_str:<5} {kb_result_str:<20}
{query_result_str:<20}")

# Additional output for combinations where both KB and query are true
print("\nCombinations where both KB and Query are True:")
print(f"{'a':<5} {'b':<5} {'c':<5} {'KB':<20}{'Query':<20}")

# Print only the rows where both KB and Query are True
for combination in combinations:
    a, b, c = combination

    # Evaluate the knowledge base (KB) and query expressions
    kb_result = evaluate_expression(a, b, c, kb)
    query_result = evaluate_expression(a, b, c, query)

    # If both KB and query are True, print the combination
    if kb_result and query_result:
        a_str = "True" if a else "False"
        b_str = "True" if b else "False"
        c_str = "True" if c else "False"
        kb_result_str = "True" if kb_result else "False"
        query_result_str = "True" if query_result else "False"
        print(f"{a_str:<5} {b_str:<5} {c_str:<5} {kb_result_str:<20}
{query_result_str:<20}")

# Define the logical expressions as strings
kb = "(a or c) and (b or not c)" # Knowledge Base
query = "a or b" # Query to evaluate

# Generate the truth table and evaluate the knowledge base and query
truth_table_and_evaluation(kb, query)

```

Output:

a	b	c	KB	Query
False	False	False	False	False
False	False	True	False	False
False	True	False	False	True
False	True	True	True	True
True	False	False	True	True
True	False	True	False	True
True	True	False	True	True
True	True	True	True	True

Combinations where both KB and Query are True:

a	b	c	KB	Query
False	True	True	True	True
True	False	False	True	True
True	True	False	True	True
True	True	True	True	True

Observation:

12/11/24

Week-6

Implementation of truth-table enumeration algorithm for deciding propositional enlightenment.

Algorithm:

Step-1: define symbols and expressions

- (i) Define propositional symbols (A, B, C)
- (ii) define components of the knowledge base and the query (α)

Step-2: Generate the truth table

- (i) use iterhools, products to generate all truth assignments for A, B, C
- (ii) evaluate and print the values of $A, B, C, A \vee C, B \vee \neg C, KB$ and query (α) for each.

Step-3: check alignment

- (i) for each truth assignment, check if KB implies the queries. (if KB is true, then query (α) must also be true)

Step-4: print enlightenment result.

- (i) print wheather the KB entails the query or not based on the enlightenment check.

Output:

A	B	C	$A \vee C$	$B \vee \neg C$	KB	$\alpha(A \vee B)$
False	False	False	False	True	False	False
False	False	True	True	False	False	False
False	True	False	False	True	False	True
False	True	True	True	True	True	True
True	False	False	True	True	True	True
True	False	True	True	False	False	True
True	True	False	True	True	True	True
True	True	True	True	True	True	True

Result:

KB entails the query (α)

19/11/24

classmate

Date

Page

PREPOSITION LOGIC:

1. Either John is not stupid and he is lazy or he is stupid. John is stupid therefore john is not lazy

S = stupid

L = lazy

either john is not stupid and he is lazy or he is stupid.

$(\neg S \wedge L) \vee S$

premise 1 = either john is not stupid and he is lazy or he is stupid

premise 2 : John is stupid.

conclusion: John is not lazy.

S	L	$\neg S$	$\neg L$	$(\neg S \wedge L) \vee S$	Premise 1	P2
T	T	F	F	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	T	T	T
F	T	T	F	T	T	F
F	F	T	T	F	F	F

argument is invalid because both premise are true. conclusion is false.

2. ~~if P then Q else R~~

Algorithm:

~~P \Rightarrow Q~~ ~~V \Rightarrow P \wedge R~~

(i) preprocess the sentence (normalize and tokenize)

(ii) identify sentence components (subject, predicate, quantifier, connectors)

(iii) convert to FOL

(iv) universal, existential, quantification, conjunction, implication, negation

(v) construct FOL expression

(vi) Return FOL expression.

2. If P then Q else R

$$P \rightarrow Q \vee \neg P \rightarrow R$$

classmate

Date

Page

P	Q	R	$P \rightarrow Q$	$\neg P$	$\neg P \rightarrow R$	if P then Q else R
T	T	T	T	F	F	T
T	T	F	T	F	F	T
T	F	T	F	F	T	F
T	F	F	F	F	T	F
F	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T
F	F	F	T	T	F	F

First order logic:

1. John is human

$$\text{human}(\text{John})$$

2. Every human is mortal

$$\forall x (\text{human}(x) \rightarrow \text{mortal}(x))$$

3. John loves Mary

$$\text{loves}(\text{John}, \text{Mary})$$

4. There is someone who loves Mary

$$\exists x (\text{loves}(x, \text{Mary}))$$

5. All dogs are animals

$$\forall x (\text{dog}(x) \rightarrow \text{animals}(x))$$

6. Some dogs are brown

$$\exists x (\text{dog}(x) \wedge \text{brown}(x))$$

7. There is no person who is both a bachelor and married

$$\neg \exists x (\text{bachelor}(x) \wedge \text{married}(x))$$

8. Mary is the mother of John

$$\text{mother}(\text{Mary}, \text{John})$$

9. John and Mary both are students

$$\text{student}(\text{John}) \wedge \text{student}(\text{Mary})$$

10. If it is raining, then the ground is wet

$$\text{raining} \rightarrow \text{wet}(\text{ground})$$

11. there is a person who knows every other person
 $\exists x \forall y (Person(x) \wedge Person(y) \wedge x \neq y \rightarrow knows(x, y))$
12. Nobody is taller than themselves
 $\forall x \rightarrow taller(x, x)$
13. all students in the class passed the exam
 $\forall x (student(x) \wedge inclass(x) \rightarrow passed(x))$
14. Mary has a pet dog
 $\exists x (dog(x) \wedge petof(Mary, x))$
15. if Alice is a teacher, then Alice teaches math
 $teacher(Alice) \rightarrow teaches(Alice, math)$
16. everyone loves someone
 $\forall x \exists y (loves(x, y))$
17. no one is both teacher and a student
 $\forall x \rightarrow (teacher(x) \wedge student(x))$
18. every man respects his parents
 $\forall x (man(x) \rightarrow \exists y (parent(y, x) \wedge respects(x, y)))$
19. not all students like both math and science
 $\neg \forall x (student(x) \rightarrow (likes(x, math) \wedge likes(x, science)))$

2. Transition of formal statements to english

1. $x \cdot (H(x) \vee \neg M(x, y)) \vee U(x)$ where $H(x) = \text{man}$ is a
 $M(x, y)$ x is married to y
 $U(x)$ x is unhappy
 x, y = range over people
 There exists a person who is not married to anyone and is unhappy

2. $P(z, x) \wedge S(z, y) \wedge W(y)$ where $P(z, x) = z$ is parent of x
 $S(z, y) = z$ is sibling of y
 $W(y) = y$ is woman
 There exists a person who is parent of x , is a sibling of y and y is woman.