



Applicable Analysis: An International Journal

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gapa20>

Existence of solutions of quenching problems

Daniel Philips^a

^a Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, U.S.A

Published online: 02 May 2007.

To cite this article: Daniel Philips (1987) Existence of solutions of quenching problems, *Applicable Analysis: An International Journal*, 24:4, 253-264, DOI: [10.1080/00036818708839668](https://doi.org/10.1080/00036818708839668)

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00036818708839668>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at <http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions>

Existence of Solutions of Quenching Problems

Communicated by Robert Gilbert

DANIEL PHILLIPS

Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, U.S.A.

AMS(MOS): 35K57

Abstract We find continuous nonnegative solutions of the equation $\Delta u - u_t = u^{-p}\chi(\{u > 0\})$ when $0 < p < 1$ for the Cauchy problem on \mathbb{R}^n and the initial value-Dirichlet problem on bounded domains. The motivation for this work comes from reaction diffusion models, $\Delta u - u_t = f(\varepsilon, u)$, where for $\varepsilon > 0$, $f(\varepsilon, u)$ is smooth and $f(\varepsilon, u) \rightarrow u^{-p}$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ for $u > 0$. Such a limiting process is used here.

(Received for Publication December 18, 1986)

INTRODUCTION

We construct a solution to the Cauchy problem for a semilinear parabolic equation with a singular absorption term. More precisely we find a nonnegative solution to

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u - u_t = u^{-p}\chi(\{u > 0\}) & \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^+) \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \end{cases} \quad (0.1)$$

where the parameter p is such that $0 < p < 1$ and $\chi(E)$ is the characteristic function for the set E .

Theorem 1. Let $u_0(x) \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $u_0 \geq 0$. There exists at least one solution to (0.1) that is continuous for $t \geq 0$ and Hölder continuous for $t \geq t_0 > 0$.

The solution that we find does indeed quench, in the sense

*This work is partially supported by National Science Foundation Grant DMS-8601515.

that u vanishes outside of a bounded set in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} and certain derivatives of u blow up near $\partial\{u > 0\}$ for $t > 0$. Nevertheless, $u(x,t)$ is as regular in space as the elliptic counterpart to (0.1) studied in [4], $(u(\cdot, t))^{1/\beta} \in C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $t > 0$, where $\beta = \frac{2}{1+p}$. Also $\nabla_x u(x,t)$ is continuous for $t > 0$.

I have not been able to resolve the question of uniqueness for (0.1). Also it should be pointed out that the nonlinearity has a mild singularity, i.e.,

$$\int_0^1 u^{-p} du < \infty$$

for the range of the parameter we consider and this is critical for our approach. Some interesting work where a more singular nonlinearity is allowed is done in [1]

A similar existence theorem is true for bounded domains.

Theorem 2. Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with $\partial\Omega$ of class $C^{2+\alpha}$, let $\psi(x,t) \in C^{2+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, \infty))$ with $\psi \geq 0$ and $\psi(x,t) \geq \delta > 0$ for $(x,t) \in \partial\Omega \times [0, \infty)$ for some constant δ , then there exists a continuous nonnegative solution to

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta u - u_t &= u^{-p} \chi(\{u > 0\}) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times [0, \infty), \\ u &= \psi \text{ for } (x,t) \in \Gamma := \Omega \times \{0\} \cup \partial\Omega \times [0, \infty). \end{aligned} \tag{0.2}$$

where $0 < p < 1$.

Problem (0.2) is related to models from chemical engineering used to understand the behavior of a chemical species within a porous body Ω having concentration u , see [2].

Generally the model is not singular as in (0.2) but of the form

$$\Delta u^\varepsilon - u_t^\varepsilon = f(\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon)$$

where $f(\varepsilon, u)$ is smooth and nonnegative for $\varepsilon > 0$, $u \geq 0$, and $f(\varepsilon, 0) = 0$. This can be the situation for Langmuir-Hinshelwood kinetics. We are interested in the special case when $f(\varepsilon, u) \rightarrow u^{-p}$ for $u > 0$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, e.g.,

$$f(\varepsilon, u) = \frac{u}{\varepsilon + u^{1+p}}. \quad (0.3)$$

Thus a solution of (0.2) takes the form of a singular limit. With example (0.3) for f is not hard to show that the sequence $\{u^\varepsilon(x, t) \mid u^\varepsilon = \psi \text{ on } \Gamma\}$ converges pointwise as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. It is not directly evident though that the limit u satisfies (0.2).

What is required are estimates on $|\nabla u^\varepsilon|$ and the modulus of continuity for the u^ε independent of ε and this is what we provide. These estimates imply the uniform convergence of $\{u^\varepsilon\}$ and thus makes u more relevant as an approximation.

We will work with the nonlinearly (0.3) which satisfies $f(\varepsilon, u) \uparrow u^{-p}$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. Existence results for more general f follows in a similar manner. The idea of studying (0.1) as a singular limit was motivated by the work in [3].

1. THE CAUCHY PROBLEM

Consider

$$P_\varepsilon \begin{cases} \Delta u^\varepsilon - u_t^\varepsilon = f(\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon) & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0 \\ u^\varepsilon(x, 0) = u_0(x) & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

If $u_0 \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then P_ε with $\varepsilon > 0$ has a unique nonnegative solution that is C^2 for $t > 0$ and continuous for $t \geq 0$, and $\nabla_x u$ is C^2 for $t > 0$.

Moreover by the maximum principle

$$0 \leq u^\varepsilon(x, t) \leq \|u_0\|_{L^\infty} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \geq 0, \quad (1.1)$$

$$u^\varepsilon_1 \leq u^\varepsilon_2 \quad \text{if } \varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2 \quad (1.2)$$

and if $u_0 \in C^{2+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & |u^\varepsilon(x, t)|, |D_t u^\varepsilon(x, t)|, |D_x u^\varepsilon(x, t)|, |D_x^2 u^\varepsilon(x, t)| \\ & \leq C_\varepsilon(T) \exp(-b_\varepsilon |x|) \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

for $0 < t \leq T$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ where $0 < C_\varepsilon(T)$, $b_\varepsilon < \infty$. The solution we are after is $u(x, t) \equiv \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} u^\varepsilon(x, t)$. We shall obtain a gradient estimate for the u^ε . For this we need the following estimate on $u_0(x)$.

Lemma 1. Let $u_0(x) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $u_0 \geq 0$, with $\|D^2 u_0\|_{L^\infty} = M < \infty$ then $|\nabla u_0(x)|^2 \leq (M+1)^2 u_0(x) = \bar{M} u_0(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. Suppose $|\nabla u_0(\bar{x})|^2 = C^2 u_0(\bar{x}) > 0$ with $C > M+1$ for some \bar{x} and let v be in the direction of $-\nabla u_0(\bar{x})$. Then $D_v u_0(\bar{x} + sv) \leq -(C-M)(u_0(\bar{x}))^{1/2}$ for $0 \leq s \leq (u_0(\bar{x}))^{1/2} \equiv h$. Hence $u_0(\bar{x} + hv) \leq h^2(1+M-C) < 0$. ///

Lemma 2. Let $u_0(x) \in C^{2+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and u^ε satisfy P_ε then $|\nabla_x u^\varepsilon(x, t)|^2 \leq 2F_\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(x, t)) + \bar{M} u^\varepsilon(x, t)$ where

$$F_\varepsilon(s) = \int_0^s f(\varepsilon, \tau) d\tau.$$

Proof. Let $u^\varepsilon = u$ and set $w(x, t) = |\nabla u|^2 - 2F_\varepsilon(u) - \bar{M}u$. If w is positive somewhere in the strip $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ then using (1.3) and lemma 1 it follows that w has a positive maximum at a point (x_0, t_0) with $t_0 > 0$; and thus $\nabla u(x_0, t_0) \neq 0$. If we take $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}$ in the direction of $\nabla u(x_0, t_0)$ we obtain from $D_1 w(x_0, t_0) = 0$ that $2D_{11}u(x_0, t_0) = 2f(\varepsilon, u(x_0, t_0)) + \bar{M}$. Computing $\Delta w - w_t$ at

(x_0, t_0) we find $\Delta w - w_t \geq 2(D_{11}u)^2 - 2(f(\varepsilon, u))^2 - \overline{M}f(\varepsilon, u) \geq \overline{M}^2/2$
a contradiction. ///

We can get an estimate on $|\nabla u^\varepsilon|$ independent of the smoothness of u_0 .

Lemma 3. Let $u_0 \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|u_0\|_{L^\infty} = M_0$ and u^ε a solution to P_ε then $|\nabla u^\varepsilon(x, t)|^2 \leq 2F_\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(x, t)) + 2M_0 u^\varepsilon(x, t)/t$ for $t > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. First let u_0 be $C^{2+\alpha}$ and set $u^\varepsilon = u$, $w = |\nabla u|^2 - 2F_\varepsilon(u) - 2M_0 u/t$. If w were positive somewhere in the strip $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, 0 < \sigma \leq t \leq T\}$ then by the same argument as in lemma 2 $w(x, \sigma) > 0$ for some $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Using (1.3) and lemma 1 we see that $w(x, \sigma) \leq 0$ for σ small.

Now let $u_{0,j}(x) \rightarrow u_0(x) \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where the $u_{0,j}(x)$ are smooth. The corresponding solutions to P_ε converge with their first derivatives uniformly to u^ε and ∇u^ε on compact sets bounded away from $t = 0$. Thus the lemma holds in general. ///

Since $F_\varepsilon(s) \leq Cs^{1-p}$ for $s \geq 0$ we see that $u^\varepsilon(x, t)$ and $(u^\varepsilon(x, t))^{1/\beta}$, $\beta = \frac{2}{1+p}$ are Lipschitz continuous in x , uniformly in ε and t , $t \geq t_0 > 0$ for $u_0 \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $t \geq 0$ for $u_0 \in C_c^{2+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This information implies uniform Hölder continuity in x and t .

Lemma 4. Let $u_0 \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then for each $\tau > 0$ there is a constant $C(\tau)$ so that

$$|u^\varepsilon(x, t) - u^\varepsilon(y, s)| \leq C(|x-y| + |t-s|^{1/3n} + |t-s|^{1/3})$$

for $\tau \leq s, t$ and $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$. If $u_0 \in C_c^{2+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then we take $\tau = 0$.

Proof. Multiplying the equation in P_ε by $D_t u^\varepsilon$ we get

$$\int_{\tau}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |D_t u^\varepsilon|^2 dx dt \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{|\nabla u^\varepsilon(x, \tau)|^2}{2} + F_\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(x, \tau)) \right) dx$$

If u_0 is smooth we can take $\tau \geq 0$ and then the left hand side is bounded uniformly in T and ε , $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$. If $u_0 \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then the right hand side is dominated by

$$C(1 + \frac{1}{\tau}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u^\varepsilon(x, \tau))^{1-p} dx.$$

Since $\Delta u^\varepsilon - u_t^\varepsilon \geq 0$ we know that $u^\varepsilon \leq h$ when $\Delta h - h_t = 0$ and $h(x, 0) = u_0$. It is easily checked that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (h(x, \tau))^{1-p} < \infty \quad \text{for any } p < 1.$$

Hence

$$\int_{\tau}^T |D_t u^\varepsilon|^2 dx dt < \bar{C}$$

where \bar{C} is independent of T and ε .

Suppose $t \geq s$, and set $r = |x - y| + |t - s|^{1/3n}$. Then for some $\bar{x} \in B_r(x)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} |u^\varepsilon(\bar{x}, t) - u^\varepsilon(\bar{x}, s)|^2 &\leq (t - s) \int_s^t |D_t u^\varepsilon(\bar{x}, h)|^2 dh \\ &= \frac{(t-s)}{|B_r|} \int_s^t \int_{B_r(x)} |D_t u^\varepsilon(z, h)|^2 dz dh \\ &\leq C \bar{C} \frac{(t-s)}{r^n} \leq \bar{C} (t - s)^{2/3}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\nabla u^\varepsilon| \leq C_1$ uniformly in ε and $t \geq \tau$ we get
 $|u^\varepsilon(x, t) - u^\varepsilon(y, s)| \leq C(t - s)^{1/3} + 3C_1 r.$

Proof of Theorem 1. We see that the limit u is Hölder continuous for $t \geq \tau$. Now we show that u is continuous at $t = 0$ if all

that is assumed is $u_0 \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $\underline{u}_{0j}, \bar{u}_{0j} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $0 \leq \underline{u}_{0j} \leq u_0 \leq \bar{u}_{0j}$ and $\bar{u}_{0j} - \underline{u}_{0j} \leq \frac{1}{j}$. Let $\underline{u}_j^\varepsilon, \bar{u}_j^\varepsilon$ be the corresponding solutions to P_ε . We have $\underline{u}_j^\varepsilon \leq u_j^\varepsilon \leq \bar{u}_j^\varepsilon$. Hence in the limit

$\underline{u}_j \leq u \leq \bar{u}_j$. But from lemma 4 \underline{u}_j and \bar{u}_j are continuous at $t = 0$. Since this is true for each j we see that u is continuous at $t = 0$.

It follows easily that $u^{-p} \chi(\{u > 0\}) \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty))$. Indeed if $\zeta(x, t) \geq 0, \zeta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty))$ then from P_ε we get

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon) \zeta \, dx dt \leq C(\zeta)$$

and the result follows from Fatou's lemma.

Next we point out that u satisfies $\Delta u - u_t = u^{-p}$ on $\{u > 0\} \cap \{t > 0\}$ in the classical sense. Indeed if $u(x_0, t_0) > 0$ for some $t_0 > 0$ then from the uniform continuity of the family $\{u^\varepsilon\}$ we get $0 < c_1 \leq u^\varepsilon \leq c_2 < \infty$ in some neighborhood of (x_0, t_0) for $0 \leq \varepsilon < 1$ where c_1 are constants depending on $u(x_0, t_0)$. Since $f(\varepsilon, u) \rightarrow u^{-p}$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ uniformly on the set $\{u: c_1 \leq u\}$ the assertion follows from local parabolic estimates. Again using parabolic estimates and the fact that

$$\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(\varepsilon, u) \right| \leq C(c_1)$$

for $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ and $u \geq c_1$ one sees that ∇u^ε converge uniformly to ∇u on compact subsets of $\{u > 0\} \cap \{t > 0\}$. Thus the estimates from lemmas 2 and 3 hold on $\{u > 0\}$ for $\varepsilon = 0$. Also if at some point (x_0, t_0) , $u(x_0, t_0) = 0$ for $t_0 > 0$ we have seen that $(u)^{1/\beta}$ is Lipschitz continuous in x so $0 \leq u(x, t_0) \leq C|x - x_0|^\beta$ with $\beta > 1$. Thus $\nabla u(x_0, t_0)$ exists and vanishes. In short the inequalities in lemmas 2 and 3 hold in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$ and $\nabla u(x, t)$ is a continuous function for $t > 0$.

Finally we show that u satisfies (0.1). Consider a function $\varphi(s) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(s) &= s - 1 && \text{for } s \geq 2, \\ &= 0 && \text{for } s < 1/2, \end{aligned}$$

and $\varphi'(s), \varphi''(s) \geq 0$. Define for $h > 0$, $\varphi_h(s) := h\varphi(s/h)$. Now let $\zeta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty))$ and fix $h > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)} \varphi_h(u) (\Delta \zeta + \zeta_t) dx dt + \\ & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)} \varphi_h(u^\varepsilon) (\Delta \zeta + \zeta_t) dx dt \\ & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)} \left(\varphi_h'(u^\varepsilon) f(\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon) + \varphi_h''(u^\varepsilon) |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2 \right) \zeta dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

From the preceding estimates we have that the term in brackets converges uniformly on $\text{supp } \zeta$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ yielding

$$\int_{\{u>0\}} \varphi_h'(u) u^{-p} \zeta dx dt + \int_{\{0<u<2h\}} \varphi_h''(u) |\nabla u|^2 \zeta dx dt.$$

Since $|\varphi_h'(u)| \leq 1$ and $\varphi_h'(u) \rightarrow \chi(\{u > 0\})$ as $h \downarrow 0$ the local integrability of $u^{-p} \chi(\{u > 0\})$ implies that the first term goes to

$$\int_{\{0<u\}} u^{-p} \zeta dx dt$$

The second term is dominated by

$$\frac{C_1}{h} \int_{\{0<u<2h\}} |\nabla u|^2 |\zeta| dx dt \leq C_2 \int_{\{0<u<2h\}} |\zeta| (u^{-p} + c_3) dx dt$$

where we have used lemma 3. The local integrability of $u^{-p} \chi(\{u > 0\})$ implies this term goes to zero as $h \rightarrow 0$. ///

Corollary 1. $u(x, t)$ has compact support.

Proof. Let $M_0 = \|u_0\|_{L^\infty}$ and consider the o.d.e.s.

$$\frac{d}{dt} v^\varepsilon(t) = -f(\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon) \quad \text{for } t > 0$$

$$v^\varepsilon(0) = M_0$$

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} w^\varepsilon(x) = f(\varepsilon, w^\varepsilon) \quad \text{for } x > 0$$

$$w^\varepsilon(0) = M_0$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} w^\varepsilon(x) = 0.$$

It is straightforward to show that

$$v^\varepsilon(t) \rightarrow v(t) = [M_0^{1+p} - (1+p)t]^+ \frac{1}{1+p} \quad \text{for } t > 0$$

$$w^\varepsilon(x) \rightarrow w(x) = c_2 [c_1 M_0^{\frac{1+p}{2}} - x]^+ \frac{2}{1+p} \quad \text{for } x > 0$$

where c_1, c_2 are positive constants depending on p and the convergence is uniform as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$.

Let $\text{supp } u_0 \subseteq \{x: |x_i| \leq R \ i = 1, \dots, n\}$. Then from comparison when $\varepsilon > 0$ we have that $u_\varepsilon^\varepsilon(x, t) \leq w^\varepsilon(x_1 - R)$ for $x_1 \geq R$. Passing to the limit as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ we see that $u(x, t) \equiv 0$ for $x_1 \geq R_1(R, M_0, p)$. A similar argument yields the result for x_1 negative and t . ///

Remark. The nonlinearity (0.3) was used mainly because it allowed us to find the limit u directly. If one has a nonlinearity $f(\varepsilon, s)$ satisfying:

- 1) $f(\varepsilon, s) \geq 0$ for $s \geq 0, \varepsilon \geq 0, f(\varepsilon, 0) = 0,$
- 2) $f(\varepsilon, \cdot) \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ for $\varepsilon > 0,$

- 3) $f(\varepsilon, s) \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \rightarrow 0]{} f(0, s)$ uniformly on $[h, \infty)$ for each $h > 0$,
- 4) $\left| \frac{d}{ds} f(\varepsilon, s) \right| \leq C(h) < \infty$ for $s \geq h > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$.
- 5) $0 \leq \int_0^s f(\varepsilon, \tau) d\tau \leq Cs^{1-p}$ for $0 \leq s \leq 1$, c independent of ε .
- 6) $f(0, s) = s^{-p} \hat{f}(s)$ where $\hat{f}(s) \in C^1(0, \infty)$ and $0 < \hat{f}(s)$ for $s \geq 0$,

then the preceding a-priori estimates allow us to find a subsequence $\{u^{\varepsilon_i}\}$ with $u^{\varepsilon_i} \rightarrow \tilde{u}$ where $\tilde{u}(x, t)$ is a solution to (0.1). We point out that we have not shown the solution to (0.1) is unique; this is an open question.

2. THE INITIAL VALUE-BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM

The proof of Theorem 2 follows along the same lines as that of Theorem 1. The only point that needs comment is the analogue of lemma 2.

Lemma 5. Let u^ε satisfy

$$\Delta u^\varepsilon - u_t^\varepsilon = f(\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

$$u^\varepsilon = \psi \quad \text{on } \Gamma$$

where ψ is as in theorem 2. Then there is a constant $\bar{M} = \bar{M}(\psi)$ so that $|\nabla_x u^\varepsilon(x, t)|^2 \leq 2F_\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(x, t)) + \bar{M}u^\varepsilon(x, t)$ for $0 \leq t$, $x \in \bar{\Omega}$.

Proof. Since $\psi \geq \delta > 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, \infty)$ and in light of the proof of lemma 2 it suffices to show that

$$|\nabla u^\varepsilon(x, t)| \leq C < \infty \quad \text{for } x \in \partial\Omega, 0 \leq t.$$

To do this we first show near $\partial\Omega$ that $u^\varepsilon \geq \tilde{\delta} > 0$ uniformly in ε .

Consider the set $A_r(x_0) := \{x: r < |x - x_0| < 2r\}$ when r

is so small that for each $p \in \partial\Omega$ a translate of $B_r(0)$ satisfies the exterior sphere condition at p and that if $B_r(\tilde{p})$ is this translate then $\psi(x, 0) \geq \delta/2$ for $x \in A_r(\tilde{p}) \cap \Omega$.

Now let $v^\varepsilon(x)$ be a solution to

$$\Delta v^\varepsilon = f(\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon) \quad \text{in } A_r(0) \quad ,$$

$$v^\varepsilon = \delta/2 \quad \text{if } |x| = r \quad ,$$

$$v^\varepsilon = 0 \quad \text{if } |x| = 2r \quad ,$$

obtained by minimizing $J(w) := \int_{A_r} (|\nabla w|^2/2 + F_\varepsilon(w)) dx$ over the set

$$K := \{w: w \in H^1(A_r), w = \delta/2 \text{ on } |x| = r, w = 0 \text{ on } |x| = 2r\}.$$

Such a minimizer can be found that is radial, $0 \leq v^\varepsilon \leq \delta/2$, and from the definition of $J(\cdot)$ we see that

$$\int_r^{2r} \left| \frac{d}{ds} v^\varepsilon(s) \right|^2 ds \leq C < \infty$$

where C is independent of ε . This implies that there exists \tilde{r} , $r < \tilde{r} < 2r$, and a constant $\tilde{\delta} > 0$ so that $\tilde{\delta} \leq v^\varepsilon(x)$ for $r \leq |x| \leq \tilde{r}$ and $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$.

We can use a translate of v^ε in comparison with u^ε to obtain $\tilde{\delta} \leq u^\varepsilon(x, t)$ for $0 \leq t$ and $x \in \Omega$ so that $\text{dist.}(x, \partial\Omega) \leq \tilde{r} - r$. Setting $w^\varepsilon = u^\varepsilon - \psi$ we have $|w^\varepsilon| \leq C_1 < \infty$, $|\Delta w^\varepsilon - w_t^\varepsilon| \leq C_2 < \infty$ for $0 \leq t$, x near $\partial\Omega$, and $w^\varepsilon = 0$ on Γ where C_i are independent of ε . Using barriers it follows that

$$|\nabla u^\varepsilon(x, t)| \leq C \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t, \quad x \in \partial\Omega.///$$

REFERENCES

1. A. Acker and W. Walter, On the global existence of solutions of parabolic differential equations with a singular nonlinear term, Nonlinear Analysis T.A.M. Vol. 2 No. 4 (1978), 499-504.
2. R. Aris, The Mathematical Theory of Diffusion and Reaction, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1975).
3. C.M. Brauner and B. Nicolaenko, Free boundary value problems as singular limits of nonlinear eigenvalue problems, Proc. Symp. on Free Boundary Problems, Pavia E. Magenes Ed., Vol. II (1980), 61-84.
4. D. Phillips, A minimization problem and the regularity of solutions in the presence of a free boundary, Indiana Univ. Math. J., Vol. 32 No. 1 (1983), 1-17.