ResearchGate

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/336717328

Efficient boundary condition estimation for continuous casting machinery

Presentation · October 2019

DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.27254.55367

CITATIONS
0

READS
55

7 authors, including:

Umberto Morelli
University of Santiago de Compostela
4 PUBLICATIONS **0** CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Giovanni Stabile
Scuola Internazionale Superiore di Studi Avanzati di Trieste
49 PUBLICATIONS **356** CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Gianluigi Rozza
Scuola Internazionale Superiore di Studi Avanzati di Trieste
253 PUBLICATIONS **6,881** CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Peregrina Quintela
Technological Institute for Industrial Mathematics
55 PUBLICATIONS **198** CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:

Project ALFRED (Advanced Lead Fast Reactor European Demonstrator) View project

Project Numerical simulation of the cardiovascular system View project

All content following this page was uploaded by Umberto Morelli on 22 October 2019.

The user has requested enhancement of the downloaded file.

Efficient boundary condition estimation for continuous casting machinery

Umberto Emil Morelli

Instituto Tecnológico de Matemática Industrial

Giovanni Stabile, Patricia Barral, Riccardo Conte, Federico Bianco, Gianluigi Rozza, Peregrina Quintela

Reduced Order Modelling, Simulation and Optimization of Coupled Systems (ROMSOC)

Strobl, October 15, 2019

Funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Grant Agreement No. 765374.

Continuous casting

https://www.danieli.com/en/flat_products_43_2.htm

A caster



Credits: Klimes et al.

The mold







- Copper plates
- Cooled by water flowing in channels

Credits: Carl Schreiber GmbH Neunkirchen, Pprime

Control of the Process



Credits: Zhou et al.

Mold issues:

- Steel sticking to the mold
- Solid skin braking
- Cracks

Control of the Process



Section of the mold

The casting is mainly controlled by changing the casting speed

Information available:

- Temperature measurements inside the mold
- Cooling water temperature increase
- Liquid steel level

Objective

Temperatures measurements

$$\Downarrow$$

Computation of heat flux at the steel-mold interface in real-time

Outline

1 **Full Order Inverse Problem**
- Alifanov's Regularization
- Levenberg-Marquardt method

2 Reduced Order Inverse Problem

Mathematical Model



Mold model

Given $k \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $h \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $g \in L^2(\Gamma_{in})$ and $T_f \in L^2(\Gamma_{in})$. Find T such that

$$-k\Delta T(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \text{ on } \mathbf{x} \in \Omega,$$

$$\begin{cases} -k\nabla T(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{n} = g(\mathbf{x}) & \text{in } \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_{in}, \\ -k\nabla T(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 & \text{in } \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_{ex}, \\ -k\nabla T(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{n} = h(T(\mathbf{x}) - T_f(\mathbf{x})) & \text{in } \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_{sf}. \end{cases}$$

- T - Mold temperature
- T_f - Cooling water temperature
- h - Heat transfer coefficient
- k - Copper thermal conductivity
- g - Steel-mold heat flux (unknown)

Inverse Problem

Temperatures measurements

$\Downarrow$

Computation of heat flux at the steel-mold interface

Inverse problem

Given the temperature measurements $\tilde{T}(\mathbf{x}_i) \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, M$, find $g(\mathbf{x}) \in L^2(\Gamma_{in})$ which minimizes the functional

$$J[g] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{M} [T[g](\mathbf{x}_i) - \tilde{T}(\mathbf{x}_i)]^2,$$

where $T[g](\mathbf{x})$ is solution of the direct problem.

Inverse Problem

Temperatures measurements

$\Downarrow$

Computation of heat flux at the steel-mold interface

Inverse problem

Given the temperature measurements $\tilde{T}(\mathbf{x}_i) \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, M$, find $g(\mathbf{x}) \in L^2(\Gamma_{in})$ which minimizes the functional

$$J[g] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{M} [T[g](\mathbf{x}_i) - \tilde{T}(\mathbf{x}_i)]^2,$$

where $T[g](\mathbf{x})$ is solution of the direct problem.

Ill-posed problem $\rightarrow$ Requires regularization

Regularization

Regularization techniques:

- **Alifanov's regularization**
  - Conjugate gradient method applied to the adjoint problem
- Levenberg-Marquardt method
  - Parameterization of the heat flux $g(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \gamma_i(\mathbf{x})$

Alifanov's Regularization

Set $g^0(\mathbf{x})$;
while $n \leq nMax$ **do**
  Solve direct problem;
  Compute J;
  if *convergence* **then**
    Stop;
  Solve adjoint problem $\rightarrow$ gradient of J, J' ;
  $\gamma^n = \frac{\int_{\Gamma_{s_{in}}} [J'_{g^n}(\mathbf{x})]^2 d\mathbf{x}}{\int_{\Gamma_{s_{in}}} [J'_{g^{n-1}}(\mathbf{x})]^2 d\mathbf{x}}$;
  Search direction, $P^n(\mathbf{x}) = J'_{g^n}(\mathbf{x}) + \gamma^n P^{n-1}(\mathbf{x})$;
  Solve sensitivity problem ;
  $\beta^n = \arg\min_\beta J[g^n - \beta P^n] = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{M} \{T[g^n](\mathbf{x}_i) - \tilde{T}(\mathbf{x}_i)\} \delta T[P^n](\mathbf{x}_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{M} (\delta T[P^n](\mathbf{x}_i))^2}$;
  $g^{n+1} = g^n - \beta^n P^n$;
  $n = n + 1$;

Adjoint problem

$$\frac{1}{k}\Delta\lambda(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^{M}(T[g](\mathbf{x}_i) - \tilde{T}(\mathbf{x}_i))\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_i) = 0, \text{ on } \Omega,$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{k}\nabla\lambda(\mathbf{x})\cdot\mathbf{n} = 0 & \text{in } \Gamma_{in}\cup\Gamma_{ex}, \\ \frac{1}{k}\nabla\lambda(\mathbf{x})\cdot\mathbf{n} + \frac{1}{k^2}h\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = 0 & \text{in } \Gamma_{sf}. \end{cases}$$

Sensitivity problem

$$-k\Delta\delta T(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \text{ on } \Omega,$$

$$\begin{cases} -k\nabla\delta T(\mathbf{x})\cdot\mathbf{n} = P^n(\mathbf{x}) & \text{in } \Gamma_{in}, \\ -k\nabla\delta T(\mathbf{x})\cdot\mathbf{n} = 0 & \text{in } \Gamma_{ex}, \\ -k\nabla\delta T(\mathbf{x})\cdot\mathbf{n} = h(\delta T(\mathbf{x})) & \text{in } \Gamma_{sf}. \end{cases}$$

Numerical Test

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = -x \cdot z \cdot 10^5 \, \frac{\mathrm{W}}{\mathrm{m}^2}$$

$$\Downarrow$$

Finite Volume discretization

$$A\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{b}_g + \mathbf{b}$$

$$\Downarrow$$

Temperature measurements, $\tilde{T}$

$$\Downarrow$$

Inverse problem solver

$$\Downarrow$$

Estimated heat flux, $g(\mathbf{x})$



Positions of the thermocouples

Results

$$J = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{M}[T[g](\mathbf{x}_i) - \tilde{T}(\mathbf{x}_i)]^2$$



L^2 and L^∞ norm of the relative error, ϵ





$g(\mathbf{x}) = -x \cdot z \cdot 10^5$



Reconstructed heat flux



Relative error, ϵ

Results

$J = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{M}[T[g](\mathbf{x}_i) - \tilde{T}(\mathbf{x}_i)]^2$



L^2 and L^∞ norm of the relative error, ϵ





$g(\mathbf{x}) = -x \cdot z \cdot 10^5$



Reconstructed heat flux



Relative error, ϵ

Regularization

Regularization techniques:

- Alifanov's regularization
  - Conjugate gradient method applied to the adjoint problem
- **Levenberg-Marquardt method**
  - Parameterization of the heat flux $g(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \gamma_i(\mathbf{x})$

Levenberg-Marquardt Regularization

Parameterization of heat flux $g(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \gamma_i(\mathbf{x})$

Inverse problem

Given the temperature measurements $\tilde{T}(\mathbf{x}_i) \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, M$, find $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ which minimizes the functional

$$J[g] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{M} [T[g](\mathbf{x}_i) - \tilde{T}(\mathbf{x}_i)]^2,$$

where $T[g](\mathbf{x})$ is solution of

$$-k\Delta T(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \text{ on } \mathbf{x} \in \Omega,$$

$$\begin{cases} -k\nabla T(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{n} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \gamma_i(\mathbf{x}) & \text{in } \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_{in}, \\ -k\nabla T(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 & \text{in } \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_{ex}, \\ -k\nabla T(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{n} = h(T(\mathbf{x}) - T_f(\mathbf{x})) & \text{in } \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_{sf}. \end{cases}$$

Levenberg-Marquardt Algorithm

```
Set w^0;
while n ≤ nMax do
    Solve direct problem;
    Compute J;
    if convergence then
        Stop;
    Compute the Jacobian, 𝒥 ;
    Solve [(𝒥^n)^T 𝒥^n − s^n I]δw^n = −(𝒥^n)^T R^n ;
    Update weights w^{n+1} = w^n + δw^n ;
    n = n + 1;
```

- $\mathcal{J}_{ij} = \frac{\partial T_i[\mathbf{w}]}{\partial w_j}$
- s - Regularization factor

Numerical Test

Basis functions $\gamma_i(\mathbf{x})$ are Gaussian Radial Basis Functions centered at the projection of the thermocouples on the boundary Γ_{in}

$$\gamma_i(\mathbf{x}) = e^{-\alpha^2 r_i(\mathbf{x})^2}$$



Positions of the thermocouples

Results



$g(\mathbf{x}) = -x \cdot z \cdot 10^5$



Reconstructed heat flux



Relative error, ϵ

Real Time Computation

- Approx. **40 seconds** required for the solution
- For **real time computation** the we have to reduce the computation time to less than 1 second
- We use Reduced Basis Method to reduced the cost of solving the direct problem

Outline

1 Full Order Inverse Problem
- Alifanov's Regularization
- Levenberg-Marquardt method

2 Reduced Order Inverse Problem

Parameterized PDE

Direct problem

$$-k\Delta T(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \text{ on } \mathbf{x} \in \Omega,$$

$$\begin{cases} -k\nabla T(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{n} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \gamma_i(\mathbf{x}) & \text{in } \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_{in}, \\ -k\nabla T(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 & \text{in } \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_{ex}, \\ -k\nabla T(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{n} = h(T(\mathbf{x}) - T_f(\mathbf{x})) & \text{in } \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_{sf}. \end{cases}$$

The parameters are the weights $\mathbf{w}$

POD-Galerkin approach

$\Downarrow$

we have to sample the parameter space

$\Downarrow$

Reduction of the parameter space, i.e. the dimension of $\mathbf{w}$

POD for Heat Flux Basis

Experimental measurements from a real mold, $\tilde{T}_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, M$

$\Downarrow$

Solve inverse problem, obtain $g(\mathbf{x})$ for each set of measurements

$\Downarrow$

Perform a Proper Orthogonal Decomposition (POD) on the obtained set of heat flux, $g(\mathbf{x})$

$\Downarrow$

Use the first few modes, $\gamma_r(\mathbf{x})$, $r = 1, 2, \ldots, R$, as basis for the heat flux, $g(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{r=1}^{R} w_r \gamma_r(\mathbf{x})$

Heat Flux POD Modes



Reduced Basis for Direct Problem

- Having reduced the number of parameters, we can sample the parameter space and obtain a set of solutions of the direct problem (snapshots)

$$\mathbb{V}_T = \text{span}(\boldsymbol{T}_1, \boldsymbol{T}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{T}_S)$$

- POD on solution space to obtain orthonormal basis $\boldsymbol{\phi}$

$$\mathbb{V}_T = \text{span}(\boldsymbol{\phi}_1, \boldsymbol{\phi}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\phi}_S),$$

- Select the first few modes to have a reduced basis spaces $\mathbb{V}_{T_{RB}}$

$$\mathbb{V}_{T_{RB}} = \text{span}(\boldsymbol{\phi}_1, \boldsymbol{\phi}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\phi}_{N_r}), N_r << N_h$$

- Approximation of full order fields by linear combinations of the modes

$$T \approx \sum_{i=1}^{N_r} T_{r_i} \phi_i$$

Reduced Basis for Direct Problem

– Galerkin projection of the full order model on the reduced basis

$$L := \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ \phi_1 & \dots & \phi_{N_r} \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_h \times N_r}, \mathbf{T} = L\mathbf{T}_r$$

Full order, N_h unknowns

$$A\boldsymbol{T} = \boldsymbol{b}_g + \boldsymbol{b}$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$L^T A L \boldsymbol{T}_r = L^T \boldsymbol{b}_g + L^T \boldsymbol{b}_T$$

$$\Downarrow$$

Reduced order, N_r unknowns

$$A_r \boldsymbol{T}_r = L^T G \boldsymbol{w} + \boldsymbol{b}_r = G_R \boldsymbol{w} + \boldsymbol{b}_r$$

Reduced Order Levenberg-Marquardt Regularization

Offline

- Solve full order inverse problem with meaningful set of experimental measurements
- Perform POD on heat flux samples
- Compute snapshot for direct problem
- POD on snapshot set
- Assemble $A_r, G_r, \mathbf{b}_r$

Online

- Use Levenberg-Marquardt Regularization

Numerical Test



Full order



Reduced order



Error

Computational cost of the online phase
$\approx$ 2 seconds

Conclusions

Conclusions

- Implemented full order methodology
- Developed reduced method for inverse problem

Future Work

- Error estimate
- Move to Bayesian approach
- Study noise on input

Thank you

View publication stats