Division in the integers

If m and n are nonnegative integers and n is not zero, we can plot the nonnegative integer multiple of n on a half—line and locate m as in figure:

If m is a multiple of n, say m=qn, then we can write m = qn + r, where r = o. On the other hand, if m is not a multiple of n, we let qn be the first multiple of m lying to the left of m, and let r be m - qn. Then r is the distance between qn and m, so clearly ocron, and again we have m = qn + r.

Theorem 1. If $n \neq 0$ and m are nonnegative integers, we can write m = qn + r for some nonnegative integers q and r with $0 \leq r < n$. Moreover, there is just one way to do this.

Example.
(a) If m = 16, and $n = 3 = 5 m = 3 \cdot 5 + 1$ (b) If m = 3, and $n = 10 = 5 m = 0 \cdot 10 + 3$

Divisibility

If the r in "Theorem 1" is zero, so that m is a multiple of n, we write nlm, which is read "n divides m". If m is not a multiple of n (r \neq 0), we write n x m, which is read "n does not devide m"

Properties of divisibility

Theorem 2.

Let 2, b and c be integers.

(1) alb 1 alc -> al(b+c)

(b) alb 1 alc 1 b>c => al(b-c)

(c) alb 2 alc => al(b-c)

(d) alb 1 blc => alc

Prime number

A number p>1 in Zt is called prime if the only positive integers that divide p are p and 1.

Example: 2,3,5,7,11,13 ave primes, while 1,4,6,8,9,10,12,14,15,16 ave not.

It is easy to write a set of steps, or an **algorithm**¹, to determine if a positive integer n > 1 is a prime number. First we check to see if n is 2. If n > 2, we could divide n by every integer from 2 to n - 1, and if none of these is a divisor of n, then n is prime. To make the process more efficient, we note that if mk = n, then either m or k is less than or equal to \sqrt{n} . This means that if n is not prime, it has a divisor k satisfying the inequality $1 < k \le \sqrt{n}$, so we need only test for divisors in this range. Also, if n has any even number as a divisor, it must have 2 as a divisor. Thus, after checking for divisibility by 2, we may skip all even integers.

Theorem 3. Every positive integer n > 1 can be written uniquely as $p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}\cdots p_s^{k_s}$, where $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_s$ are distinct primes that divide n and the k's are positive integers giving the number of times each prime occurs as a factor of n.

Example
$$9 = 3 \cdot 3 = 3^{2}$$
 $24 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 = 2^{3} \cdot 3$
 $30 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$

Greatest common divisor

If a,b &K & Zt \ Kla \ Klb \ we say that K is a common divisor of a and b |f d is the largest such K, is called the greatest common divisor, or GCD, of a and b, and we write d=GCD(2,b). The GCD is a multiple of each other common divisors. Also, can be writer as a combination of a &b

Theorem 4. If d is GCD(a, b), then

- (a) d = sa + tb for some integers s and t (these are not both positive).
- (b) If c is any other common divisor of a and b, then $c \mid d$.

Proof: Let x be the smallest positive integer that can be written as sa + tb for some integers s and t, and let c be a common divisor of a and b. Since $c \mid a$ and $c \mid b$, we know from Theorem 2 that $c \mid x$, so $c \le x$. If we can show that x is a common divisor of a and b, it will then be the greatest common divisor of a and b, and both parts of the theorem will have been proved. By Theorem 1, a = qx + r with $0 \le r < x$. Solving for r, we have r = a - qx = a - q(sa + tb) = a - qsa - qtb = (1 - qs)a + (-qt)b. If r is not zero, then since r < x and r is a multiple of a and a multiple of b, we will have a contradiction to the fact that x is the smallest positive number that is a sum of multiples of a and b. Thus r must be 0 and $x \mid a$. In the same way we can show that $x \mid b$, and this completes the proof.

If GCD(a, b) = 1, as in Example 4(b), we say that a and b are relatively prime.

Euclidean algorithm

We now continue using Theorem 1 as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{divide } b \text{ by } r_1: & b = k_2 r_1 + r_2 & 0 \leq r_2 < r_1 \\ \text{divide } r_1 \text{ by } r_2: & r_1 = k_3 r_2 + r_3 & 0 \leq r_3 < r_2 \\ \text{divide } r_2 \text{ by } r_3: & r_2 = k_4 r_3 + r_4 & 0 \leq r_4 < r_3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \text{divide } r_{n-2} \text{ by } r_{n-1}: & r_{n-2} = k_n r_{n-1} + r_n & 0 \leq r_n < r_{n-1} \\ \text{divide } r_{n-1} \text{ by } r_n: & r_{n-1} = k_{n+1} r_n + r_{n+1} & 0 \leq r_{n+1} < r_n. \end{array}$$

same for bkr, =>GCDla,b)=GCDlb,r,)

Since $a > b > r_1 > r_2 > r_3 > r_4 > \cdots$, the remainder will eventually become zero, so at some point we have $r_{n+1} = 0$.

We now show that $r_n = GCD(a, b)$. We saw previously that $GCD(a, b) = GCD(b, r_1)$.

Repeating this argument with b and r_1 , we see that

$$GCD(b, r_1) = GCD(r_1, r_2).$$

Upon continuing, we have

$$GCD(a,b) = GCD(b,r_1) = GCD(r_1,r_2) = \cdots = GCD(r_{n-1},r_n)$$

Since $r_{n-1} = k_{n+1}r_n$, we see that $GCD(r_{n-1}, r_n) = r_n$. Hence $r_n = GCD(a, b)$.

Example 5.
$$a = 34$$
, $b = 180$
 $a < b$, interchange $a = 180$, $b = 34$
 $190 = 5.34 + 20$
 $34 = 20 + 14$
 $10 = 14 + 6$
 $14 = 2.6 + 1$
 $6 = 3.2 + 0$
 $= 7.6 + 1$
 $6 = 3.2 + 0$
 $= 7.44 - 2$
 $= 3.44 - 20$
 $= 3.34 - 5.180$

In Theorem 4(a), we observed that if d = GCD(a, b), we can find integers s and t such that d = sa + tb. The integers s and t can be found as follows. Solve the next-to-last equation in (2) for r_n :

$$r_n = r_{n-2} - k_n r_{n-1}. (3)$$

Now solve the second-to-last equation in (2), $r_{n-3} = k_{n-1}r_{n-2} + r_{n-1}$, for r_{n-1} :

$$r_{n-1} = r_{n-3} - k_{n-1}r_{n-2}.$$

Substitute this expression in (3):

$$r_n = r_{n-2} - k_n[r_{n-3} - k_{n-1}r_{n-2}].$$

Continue to work up through the equations in (2) and (1), replacing r_i by an expression involving r_{i-1} and r_{i-2} and finally arriving at an expression involving only a and b.

Example 61
$$2 = 108$$
, $b = 60$
 $108 = 60 + 48$
 $60 = 48 + 12$
 $48 = 412 + 0$
 $60 = 48 + 12 + 0$
 $60 = 48 + 12 + 0$
 $60 = 48 + 12 + 0$
 $60 = 60 - (108 - 60) = 12$

Theorem 5. If a and b are in Z^+ , then $GCD(a, b) = GCD(b, b \pm a)$.

Proof: If c divides a and b, it divides $b \pm a$, by Theorem 2. Since a = b - (b - a) = -b + (b + a), we see, also by Theorem 2, that a common divisor of b and $b \pm a$ also divides a and b. Since a and b have the same common divisors as b and $b \pm a$, they must have the same greatest common divisor.

Example:
$$a = 72$$
, $b = 48$
 $72 = 48 + 24$
 $48 = 2 \cdot 24 + 0$ GCD(72,48) = 24
 $a = 120$, $b = 48$
 $120 = 2 \cdot 48 + 24$
 $48 = 2 \cdot 24 + 0$

Least common multiple

If a, b, and k are in Z^+ , and $a \mid k, b \mid k$, we say k is a **common multiple** of a and b. The smallest such k, call it c, is called the **least common multiple** or LCM, of a and b, and we write c = LCM(a, b). The following result shows that we can obtain the least common multiple from the greatest common divisor, so we do not need a separate procedure for finding the least common multiple.

Theorem 6. If a and b are two positive integers, then $GCD(a,b) \cdot LCM(a,b) = ab$.

Proof: Let p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k be all the prime factors of either a or b. Then we can write

$$a = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_k^{a_k}$$
 and $b = p_1^{b_1} p_2^{b_2} \cdots p_k^{b_k}$

where some of the a_i and b_i may be zero. It then follows that

$$GCD(a, b) = p_1^{\min(a_1, b_1)} p_2^{\min(a_2, b_2)} \cdots p_k^{\min(a_k, b_k)}$$

and

$$LCM(a,b) = p_1^{\max(a_1,b_1)} p_2^{\max(a_2,b_2)} \cdots p_k^{\max(a_k,b_k)}.$$

Hence

GCD
$$(a, b) \cdot LCM(a, b) = p_1^{a_1 + b_1} p_2^{a_2 + b_2} \cdots p_k^{a_k + b_k}$$

$$= (p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_k^{a_k}) \cdot (p_1^{b_1} p_2^{b_2} \cdots p_k^{b_k})$$

$$= ab.$$

$$2 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 5 - 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 = 2^{2} \cdot 3^{3} 5$$
 $b = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 7 \cdot 3 = 2^{3} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 7$

$$= 4.9 = 36$$

$$CDM(540,501) = 2^{3} 3^{3} \cdot 51 4^{1} =$$

$$36.7560 = 272,160$$
 J
 $540.504 = 272,160$ J

Modulus

If $a \neq 0$ and b are nonnegative integers, Theorem 1 tells us that we can write b = qa + r, $0 \le r < a$. Sometimes the remainder r is more important than the quotient q. Note that $0 \le r < a$.

Example 8. If the time is now 4 o'clock, what time will it be 101 hours from now?

Solution: Let a = 12 and b = 4 + 101, or 105. Then we have $105 = 8 \cdot 12 + 9$. The remainder 9 answers the question. In 101 hours it will be 9 o'clock.

In this case we call a the **modulus** and write $b \equiv r \pmod{a}$, read "b is **congruent** to $r \pmod{a}$."

Example 9.

(a)
$$29 \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$$

(b) $1+2 \equiv 7 \pmod{11}$

(c) $3 \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$

Note that if $b \equiv r \pmod{a}$, then $0 \le r < a$, and b - r is a multiple of a; that is, a divides b - r, but $a \mid g_0 = b + (a - r)$.

Mod-n function

For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we define a function f_n , the mod-n function, as follows: If z is a nonnegative integer, then $f_n(z) = r$, where $z \equiv r \pmod{n}$ and $0 \le r < n$.

$$f_{3}(14) = 2$$
 $f_{4}(153) = 6$

Pseudocode 4 GCD

FUNCTION GCD(X, Y)

- 1. WHILE $(X \neq Y)$
 - a. IF (X > Y) THEN
 - 1. $X \leftarrow X Y$
 - b. ELSE
 - 1. $Y \leftarrow Y X$
- 1. RETURN (X)

END OF FUNCTION GCD

