# Language Models

## Language Modeling

**Language Model:** A probability distribution over a sequence of words.

Assigns a probability to a sequence or phrase.

Can be used for predicting the next word in a sentence.

Eg. Fill in the blank:

its water is so transparent that \_\_\_\_\_

# Language Modeling

#### Applications:

- Machine Translation:
  - P(high winds tonight) > P(large winds tonight)
- Spelling Correction
- Speech Recognition (audio to text)
- Summarization (long texts -> short texts)
- Dialogue systems (convert input -> response)

## Language Modeling

Language models can be quite complex.

BERT and GPT-3 are two examples of very powerful language models that are built using deep learning.

Today, we'll look at a simpler type of language model, the **n-gram model**.

**Big Idea:** Can we find the probability of the next word w in a sentence given some history h?

Eg. What word most likely fills in the blank?

its water is so transparent that \_\_\_\_\_

the?-> P(the | its water is so transparent that)

she?-> P(she | its water is so transparent that)

#### **Example:**

P(the|its water is so transparent that)

This could be estimated using counts (if a large enough corpus is available):

```
P(the|its\ water\ is\ so\ transparent\ that) = \frac{C(its\ water\ is\ so\ transparent\ that\ the)}{C(its\ water\ is\ so\ transparent\ that)}
```

Problems with a count-based approach:

- We need a *very* large corpus for it to work.
- Language is creative, and new sentences are created all the time, so you'll have a lot of zero counts for what could be plausible sentences.

Instead, we can estimate this probability using an n-gram approach.

Given a sequence with n words  $(w_1, w_2, ..., w_n)$ , how do we find the probability of that sequence?

Recall from probability:

 $P(A \text{ and } B) = P(A) \cdot P(B \mid A)$ 

Given a sequence with n words  $(w_1, w_2, ..., w_n)$ , how do we find the probability of that sequence?

Recall from probability:

$$P(A \text{ and } B) = P(A) \cdot P(B \mid A)$$

This can be extended (chain rule of probability):

$$P(A \text{ and } B \text{ and } C) = P(A) \cdot P(B \mid A) \cdot P(C \mid A \text{ and } B)$$

Let 
$$X_{1:k} = X_1 X_2 ... X_k$$
.

Let 
$$X_{1:k} = X_1 X_2 ... X_k$$
.

$$P(X_1...X_n) = P(X_1)P(X_2|X_1)P(X_3|X_{1:2})...P(X_n|X_{1:n-1})$$

Let 
$$X_{1:k} = X_1 X_2 ... X_k$$
.

$$P(X_1...X_n) = P(X_1)P(X_2|X_1)P(X_3|X_{1:2})...P(X_n|X_{1:n-1})$$
$$= \prod_{k=1}^{n} P(X_k|X_{1:k-1})$$

Let 
$$X_{1:k} = X_1 X_2 ... X_k$$
.

$$P(X_1...X_n) = P(X_1)P(X_2|X_1)P(X_3|X_{1:2})...P(X_n|X_{1:n-1})$$
$$= \prod_{k=1}^{n} P(X_k|X_{1:k-1})$$

P(its water is so transparent) =

 $P(its)\cdot P(water \mid its)\cdot P(is \mid its water)\cdot P(so \mid its water is)\cdot P(transparent \mid its water is so)$ 

Let 
$$X_{1:k} = X_1 X_2 ... X_k$$
.

$$P(X_1...X_n) = P(X_1)P(X_2|X_1)P(X_3|X_{1:2})...P(X_n|X_{1:n-1})$$

$$= \prod_{k=1}^{n} P(X_k|X_{1:k-1})$$

What if we don't use the whole history at each word?

#### Unigram Model:

$$P(w_{1:n}) \approx \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(w_i)$$

 $P(its water is so transparent) = P(its) \cdot P(water) \cdot P(is) \cdot P(so) \cdot P(transparent)$ 

#### Unigram Model:

$$P(w_{1:n}) \approx \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(w_i)$$

 $P(its water is so transparent) = P(its) \cdot P(water) \cdot P(is) \cdot P(so) \cdot P(transparent)$ 

What are the advantages and disadvantages of this approach?

The n-gram model says instead of using the full history, estimate using just the last few words.

Eg. the bigram model uses (Markov assumption):

$$P(X_n|X_{1:n-1}) \approx P(X_n|X_{n-1})$$

P(its water is so transparent) =

 $P(its)\cdot P(water \mid its)\cdot P(is \mid water)\cdot P(so \mid is)\cdot P(transparent \mid so)$ 

## Bigram Model:

P(its water is so transparent) =

P(its)·P(water | its)·P(is | water)·P(so | is)·P(transparent | so)

The trigram model uses:

$$P(X_n|X_{1:n-1}) \approx P(X_n|X_{n-2:n-1})$$

P(its water is so transparent) =

P(its)·P(water | its)·P(is | its water)·P(so | water is)·P(transparent | is so)

This assumption results in (for the bigram model), the estimate that:

$$P(w_{1:n}) \approx \prod_{k=1}^{n} P(w_k|w_{k-1})$$

This allows us, instead of counting phrases to count bigrams.

$$P(w_n|w_{n-1}) = \frac{C(w_{n-1}w_n)}{C(w_{n-1})}$$

## **Evaluating Language Models**

A common way to evaluate a language model is **perplexity**, which looks at the estimated inverse probability of a test set (lower perplexity is better).

$$PP(W) = P(w_1 w_2 ... w_N)^{-\frac{1}{N}}$$
$$= \sqrt[N]{\frac{1}{P(w_1 w_2 ... w_N)}}$$

## **Evaluating Language Models**

Notice that if our language model assigns a zero probability to any word that appears in the test set, perplexity becomes undefined.

$$PP(W) = P(w_1 w_2 ... w_N)^{-\frac{1}{N}}$$
$$= \sqrt[N]{\frac{1}{P(w_1 w_2 ... w_N)}}$$

Language Models - Smoothing

**Laplace smoothing/add-one smoothing:** add one to all the n-gram counts.

$$P_{\text{Laplace}}(w_n|w_{n-1}) = \frac{C(w_{n-1}w_n) + 1}{\sum_{w} (C(w_{n-1}w) + 1)} = \frac{C(w_{n-1}w_n) + 1}{C(w_{n-1}) + V}$$

Backoff: Use a lower order n-gram if there are zero counts.

**Interpolation:** Mix the probability estimates at different levels.

**Kneser-Ney Smoothing**