# Computer Vision 2 - Assignment 3 3D Mesh Generation and Texturing

# Monday $15^{\text{th}}$ May, 2017

Students are supposed to work on this assignment for theorems in groups of two. Some additions and changes might be done during this period. Students will be informed for these changes via blackboard. The analysis and the conclusion must be included in a report. A final report and source code should be zipped and uploaded to Dropbox https://www.dropbox.com/request/0zyCe5344CypwlJBGQ3f not later than 06-06-2017, 23:59:59 (Amsterdam Time). The submitted zip file should be named with authors' surname.

Please keep a report short and concise (about 8 pages max), preferably in the research paper format. This assignment requires some knowledge in C++. For this assignment, you can make use of the existing libraries (e.g. http://pointclouds.org/), you are not expected to implement these functions on your own. However, you are expected to verify your choices of algorithms. For instance, you should explain why do you select Poisson algorithm over Marching Cube.

Please use login "user" and password "computer-vision2" for authorization. To build the starter project do the following in terminal:

```
$ cd source_directory
$ mkdir build && cd build
$ cmake ../
$ make -j2
```

For people with a little experience in C++ a VirtualBox (https://www.virtualbox.org/wiki/Downloads) Linux environment with all configured dependencies is provided (https://drive.google.com/uc?id=OB\_f81HMYsLosVnlmR1QwdUxIMms&export=download).

Pre-installed editors (QtCreator, vim) can be used for writing code. If vim has been accidentally opened, type "q". Root permission is provided using the same password if it's required to install additional packages.

# 1 Depth-based and Texture-based 3D Reconstruction Comparison (5 pt)

In the first three weeks of the computer vision you have implemented a depth based 3D reconstruction method (i.e. ICP). The following three weeks you have implemented a texture based 3D reconstruction method (i.e. SFM). Please briefly explain what the advantages and disadvantages of these methods are. Do you think these two methods could be used together to get better 3D reconstruction (Please comment on this question)?

### 2 3D Meshing and Watertighting (20 pt)

In this assignment, you are expected to generate 3D mesh from registered point clouds. You will work with the provided depth and color images, and camera poses. The sample data is in ".3ds" format, you will use the provided C++ source code to read this data. To merge point clouds into a single one, you should follow the steps as described by algorithm 1:

#### Algorithm 1 Merging

```
1: procedure MERGINGPOINTCLOUDS(3DFrames)
        model\_point\_cloud \leftarrow emptyPointCloud()
 3:
       for frame in 3DFrames do
 4:
           depth\_image \leftarrow frame.depth\_image
           focal\_length \leftarrow frame.focal\_length
 5:
           camera\_pose \leftarrow frame.camera\_pose
 6:
 7:
           point\_cloud \leftarrow depthToPointCloud(depth\_image, focal\_length)
           point\_cloud\_with\_normals \leftarrow computeNormals(point\_cloud)
 8:
           point\_cloud\_with\_normals \leftarrow transformPointCloud(point\_cloud\_with\_normals, camera\_pose)
 9:
           model\_point\_cloud \leftarrow concatPointClouds(model\_point\_cloud, point\_cloud\_with\_normals)
10:
       end for
11:
       return model_point_cloud
                                           ▶ Contains all points XYZ and normals
12:
13: end procedure
```

To get the final 3D mesh, you need to pass  $model\_point\_cloud$  to a mesh generation method (i.e. **Poisson Surface Reconstruction** [Kazhdan et al., 2006], **marching cube** [Lorensen and Cline, 1987]). You will get a 3D mesh. This 3D model will have holes on the part where there is no camera view. You are expected to fill the holes by applying watertight.

In the report please include 3D models from different view points before and after watertighting. Please indicate what the advantages and disadvantages of mesh generation methods are and how do they reflect on 3D mesh results.

# 3 Coloring 3D Model (15pt)

The 3D model that you have generated in section 2 is not colored yet. To this end, you should follow the steps as described by algorithm 2. In the report please include an obtained colored 3D model from different view points.

### Algorithm 2 Texturing

```
1: procedure Texture(mesh, 3DFrames)
       polygons \leftarrow mesh.polygons
2:
       point\_cloud \leftarrow mesh.point\_cloud
3:
       for frame in 3DFrames do
4:
           depth\_image \leftarrow frame.depth\_image
5:
           focal\_length \leftarrow \text{frame.focal\_length}
6:
           camera\_pose \leftarrow frame.camera\_pose
7:
           transformed\_point\_cloud \leftarrow transformPointCloud(point\_cloud, camera\_pose.inverse())
8:
9:
           for polygon in polygons do
               if polygon visible to this camera then
10:
                   uv\_coordinates \leftarrow getUVCoordinates(polygon, transformed\_point\_cloud)
11:
                   assign uv\_coordinates of this camera to the polygon
12:
13:
               end if
           end for
14:
       end for
15:
16: end procedure
```

## References

- M. Kazhdan, M. Bolitho, and H. Hoppe. Poisson surface reconstruction. In *Proceedings of the Fourth Eurographics Symposium on Geometry Processing*, SGP '06, pages 61–70, Aire-la-Ville, Switzerland, Switzerland, 2006. Eurographics Association. ISBN 3-905673-36-3. URL http://hhoppe.com/poissonrecon.pdf.
- W. E. Lorensen and H. E. Cline. Marching cubes: A high resolution 3d surface construction algorithm. In Proceedings of the 14th Annual Conference on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques, SIGGRAPH '87, pages 163-169, New York, NY, USA, 1987. ACM. ISBN 0-89791-227-6. doi: 10.1145/37401.37422. URL http://academy.cba.mit.edu/classes/scanning\_printing/MarchingCubes.pdf.