

KubeShare: A Framework to Manage GPUs as First-Class and Shared Resources in Container Cloud

Ting-An Yeh, Hung-Hsin Chen, Jerry Chou National Tsing Hua University Hsinchu, Taiwan R.O.C.

HPDC '20, June 23–26, 2020, Stockholm, Sweden

Outline

- Motivations & Objectives
	- Introduction of Kubernetes & GPU
	- Why GPU sharing & first clas scheduling is important
	- Our contributions
- KubeShare Design & Implementation
- **•** Experimental Evaluations
- Conclusions

Container Cloud

- **Container** offers many advanvatages over **virtual machine**
	- Fast launch time
	- Higher deployment density
	- Less performance degradation
- **Kubernetes** is the primary platform to build container cloud
	- **Hide infrastructure details from developers**
	- Provide several automation features:
		- auto-scalability
		- self-healing
		- rolling update and rollback
		- service discovery and load balancing
	- *Pod* (a set of containers) is the basic execution unit

Which container orchestration platform do you primarily use?

A [quarterly report on developer trends in the cloud by Digital Ocean](https://www.digitalocean.com/currents/june-2018/)

Graphics Processing Unit

- **GPUs** provide **tremendous throughput** powered by **massive parallelism**
- **Significant performance accelerations** are shown in many applications, especially for **deep learning** and **scientific computing workload**
- Widely installed in world's fast supercomputers and clouds

https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Comparison-of-CPU-versus-GPU-architecture_fig2_231167191

 Δ

https://www.microway.com/hpc-tech-tips/deep-learning-benchmarks-nvidia-tesla-p100-16gb-pcie-tesla-k80-tesla-m40-gpus/

Tesla K80 | Tesla M40 | Tesla P100

Motivations of GPU Sharing

- But GPUs are **expensive**, and often **under-utilized**
	- Code developing phase
	- Off-peak service hours
	- Limited data transfer bandwidth
	- Bounded by host/cpu performance

GPU

● **GPU sharing can effiectively maximize GPU utilization**

30%

40%

Challenges of GPU Sharing

- **CUDA compute capability 2.0+** support task parallelism, but **only from single process/application context**
	- No explicit resource management control from applications and host
	- Resoruce oversubscription cause performance degradation and program failure
- **Recent research work** on GPU sharing aims to improve GPU throughput and fairness, but **not from user resource allocation** aspect
	- FLEP, GPUShare, Disengaged Scheduling, ConVGPU, TimeGraph, ...
- **Kubernetes** has **no GPU sharing & isolation**
	- GPU device can only be dedicatedly assigned to a *Pod*
- GPUs are **not first class schedulable resources** in **Kubernetes**
	- User cannot request for a specific GPU device from Kubernetes

- What is a first class entity?
	- **Users or resource manager (scheduler) can request specific GPU devices for their pods**
	- The assignment is done implicitly by a node deamon (kubelet) in Kubernetes
- **Implicit** and **late** binding in Kubernetes
	- **Resource manager schedules requests at node level**.
	- Pod to GPU **binding is delayed after scheduling decision was made**

- What is a first class entity?
	- **Users or resource manager (scheduler) can request specific GPU devices for their pods**
	- The assignment is done implicitly by a node deamon (kubelet) in Kubernetes.
- **Implicit** and **late** binding in Kubernetes
	- **Resource manager schedules requests at node level**.
	- Pod to GPU **binding is delayed after scheduling decision was made**

node daemon choose the GPU to bind $8₈$

- Why first class is important?
	- **Performance interference problem**
	- **Resource fragmentation problem**
		- **GPU allocation is indivisble between devices for a pod**
		- **Scheduler is only aware of the aggregated node capacity**

KubeShare Contributions

● Objectives: Enable **GPU sharing** in Kubernetes, and provide *first class GPU scheduling* to address *utilization*, *fragmentation* and *interferenc***e** problems

Outline

- Motivations & Objectives
- KubeShare Design & Implementation
	- Device Plugin Framework
	- vGPU creation & management
	- Shared GPU pod requirement & scheduling
	- GPU resource control & elastic allocation
- **•** Experimental Evaluations
- Conclusions

KubeShare-DevMgr: vGPU Creation

- KubeShare-DevMgr creates vGPU and provides GPU identity
	- **vGPU** is a logic GPU resoruce entity that can be shared and identified in KubeShare
	- Different from native GPU, vGPU can be fractional allocated by users
	- vGPU is also created by a pod

- KubeShare-DevMgr creates vGPU and provides GPU identity
	- GPU is acquired from Kubernetes through the **device plugin framework**

Pods with GPU request created by going through device plugin framework

❷

- KubeShare-DevMgr creates vGPU and provides GPU identity
	- **GPUID** is the identifier of a vGPU assigned by **KubeShare**
	- **UUID** is the identifier of a GPU returns by **device plugin**

Pods with GPU request

- KubeShare-DevMgr creates vGPU and provides GPU identity
	- The GPUID can be used at scheduling phase to co-locate pods on a new created vGPU

- KubeShare-DevMgr creates vGPU and provides GPU identity
	- The group of vGPUs managed by KubeShare is called **vGPU Pool**

Pods with GPU request

KubeShare-DevMgr: SharePod Creation

vGPU2: qrs = GPU-C

• Users request a shared GPU by creating a SharePod with GPUID

• Users request a shared GPU by creating a SharePod with GPUID

• Users request a shared GPU by creating a SharePod with GPUID

KubeShare is compatible with NVIDIA GPU device plugin management

- GPUs outsides vGPU pool still mamanged by NVIDIA GPU device plugin framework
- Introduce minimum impact to the exisiting cluster management
- Users can choose to attach GPUs on pods through KubeShare or the NVIDIA GPU device plugin

Pod1 and Pod2 share the same GPU

vGPU Lifecycle & vGPU Pool Management

- Phases of vGPU:
	- **creation: a vGPU** just allocated from Kubernetes and **joins vGPU** pool
	- **active**: a vGPU attached to one or multiple sharePods
	- **idle**: a vGPU without being attached to any sharePod
	- **deletion**: a vGPU released by KubeShare and leaves vGPU pool

vGPU Lifecycle & vGPU Pool Management

- Tradeoff between **Idle** and **Deletion**
	- **Our implementation choose on-demand because the creation overhead is limited**

KubeShare-Sched: Resource Requirement & **Scheduling**

Resource Requirement Specifications

- **KubeShare-Sched** schedules SharePods by deciding their GPUID & nodeName
- Rich and Easy-to-use **user specifications** on GPU: *usage*, *locality* & *identity*

Scheduling Locality: Affinity

● Affinity forces containers with the **same label** scheduled on the **same** GPU

Scheduling Locality: Affinity

- Affinity forces containers with the **same label** scheduled on the **same GPU**
- Affinity can be used to **reduce communication or data transfer overhead**

Scheduling Locality: Anti-Affinity

● Anti-affinity forces containers with the **same label** scheduled on **different GPUs**

Scheduling Locality: Anti-Affinity

- Anti-affinity forces containers with the **same label** scheduled on **different GPUs**
- It can be used to **mitigate performance interference on shared GPU**

Scheduling Locality: Exclusion

• Exclusion avoids GPU sharing among containers with different labels

Scheduling Locality: Exclusion

- Exclusion avoids GPU sharing among containers with different labels
- Exclusion can be used to **dedicate GPU for specific users/applications**
	- **A commonly seen requirement for performance sensitive workload**

new request

vGPU Device Library: Resource Control & Isolation

Resource Sharing Model

- Compute resource: time sharing
	- \circ Usage = (accumulated execution time in a sliding window) / (length of the sliding window)

- Memory resource: space sharing
	- \circ Usage = total allocated memory size on GPU device memory
	- Memory can be oversubscriped using NIVIDIA unified memory

Resource Control Mechanism

- Method: intercept CUDA library calls using LD_PRELOAD
	- A pod can only launch GPU kernels when it **receives a token from scheduler**
	- A pod can only allocate GPU memory when it **doesn't exceed size limit**

Elastic Allocation

- More flexible resource allocation specifications for GPU time
	- **Request**: the **minimum** resource usage
	- **Limit**: the **maximum** resource usage
- Idle compute capacity can be shared without violating user requirements
	- **Achieve higher GPU utilization**

Outline

- Motivations & Objectives
- KubeShare Design & Implementation
- **•** Experimental Evaluations
	- Experiment Setup
	- System Throughput Improvment
	- GPU Utilization Improvement
	- Mitigation of Performance Interference
	- Overhead & Scalability
- Conclusions

Experiment Setup

- Kubernetes clusters
	- 8 AWS p3.8xlarge instances
	- 36 cores (Intel Xeon E5-2686 v4), 244 GB RAM, 4 NVIDIA Tesla V100 16GB on each instance
- Compared container cloud platforms
	- **KubeShare**: Kubernetes with **KubeShare extension**
	- **Kubernetes**: Kubernetes native installation
- Workload: TensorFlow DeepLab V3 model inference
	- Its GPU consumption is positive correlative to #clients, so a single job may not fully utilize a GPU
	- We control the size (GPU utilization) of jobs by adjusting their concurrent client numbers
- Performance metrices
	- Application throughput: job completion per minutes
	- GPU utilization: average allocated GPU capacity

48

System Throughput Improvement

• Observe system throughput under various workload patterns

(a) Job frequency.

● KubeShare achieved higher throughput when workload is high enough to share GPUs

(b) GPU demand mean.

• More GPU sharing opportunities when job size is smaller

(c) GPU demand variance.

Variance of job size doesn't affect the throughput improvement much

GPU Utilization Improvement

● Observe average GPU utilization during workload execution

Interference Mitigation: Workloads

- **Anti-affinity** can be used to mitigate performance interference
	- Label all jobs B with the same color, and set the anti-affinity constraint on the label
	- Jobs B will not be scheduled on the same GPU
- But **Anti-affinity** will also reduce GPU sharing opportunities

Interference Mitigation: Results

Adjust the severity of interference by adjusting the job mixing ratio

Overhead on Pod Creation

- KubeShare needs to create vGPU before launching shared pod
	- **The overhead is bounded and can be reduced by vGPU reservation**
	- **Using reservation-based vGPU allocation can reduce the delay to only 15%**

Overhead on Scheduling

● Our scheduling algorithm is **scalable and efficient** for large-scale systems

the time for scheduling is less than 400 ms with 100 pods in the system

Conclusions

- **KubeShare** is the first work that makes GPUs become first-class and shared resources in Kubernetes to address the utilization and performance interference problems
- Users are able to specify their GPU resource requirements with usage, locality, identity constraints in KubeShare
- A series of resource management techniques were provided: on-demand vGPU creation, locality aware scheduling and elastic resource allocation
- Our design ensures KubeShare is compatible with existing Kubernetes components & NVIDIA GPU device plugin management
- Our experiments prove KubeShare can significantly improve GPU utilization and system throughput with little overhead
- Our implementation is available at <https://github.com/NTHU-LSALAB/KubeShare> 55