double exp(double W; // return ex

Nested multiplication (Horner's method)

 $P(x) = 2x^4 + 3x^3 - 3x^2 + 5x - 1$ $= -1 + 5x - 3x^2 + 3x^3 + 2x^4$ $=-1+x(5-3x+3x^2+2x^3)$ $=-1+x(5+x(-3+3x+2x^2))$ =-1+x(5+x(-3+x(3+2x)))

- Number of multiplications? 4
- Number of additions? 4

Given a function f(x), select a function g(x)

$$f(x)=0 \longrightarrow g(x)=x.$$
 Then Convergence
$$-x_0=\text{initial guess} \qquad \cdot s=|g'(r)|<1\\ -x_{i+1}=g(x_i) \text{ for } i=0,1,2,...$$
 Until x_{i+1} satisfies some termination criterion

 $f'(x_0) = f(x_0) / (x_0 - x_1)$

 $x_1 = x_0 - f(x_0) / f'(x_0)$

Newton's method is a fixed point

iteration with iteration function

 $\Psi_g(x) = x - f(x) / f'(x)$

double log (double x);//In(x) double log 10 (double x) 3// log (M) Number of Roots

In contrast to scalar linear equations

$$mx-n=0 \Rightarrow x=\frac{n}{m},$$

nonlinear equations have an <u>undetermined</u> 2. Depending on the sign of f(m), we can decide if $x \in [a, m]$ or $x \in [m, b]$ number of zeros.

Fixed point iteration: Approach Theorem: Let/be twice continuously differentiable and f(r) If f '(r) ≠ 0, then Newton's method is quadratically convergent to r, starting with x_0 close to r.

$$f(r) = f(x_i) + (r - x_i)f'(x_i) + \frac{(r - x_i)^2}{2}f''(x_i)$$

$$0 = f(x_i) + (r - x_i)f''(x_i) + \frac{(r - x_i)^2}{2}f''(x_i)$$

$$-\frac{f(x_i)}{f'(x_i)} = r - x_i + \frac{(r - x_i)^2}{2}\frac{f''(x_i)}{f'(x_i)} \qquad e_{i+1} = e_i^2 \frac{f''(x_i)}{2f'(x_i)}$$

$$\left[x_i - \frac{f(x_i)}{f'(x_i)} \right] r = \frac{(r - x_i)^2}{2}\frac{f''(x_i)}{f'(x_i)} \qquad \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{e_{i+1}}{e_i^2} = \frac{f''(r)}{2f'(r)} \right]$$

$$x_{i+1} \qquad \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{e_{i+1}}{e_i^2} = M \text{ (a constant)}$$

Method for finding a root of a scalar equation f(x)= 0 in an interval [a, b]

double por (double x, double y) 3// xx

- Assumption: f(a) f(b) < 0
- Since f is continuous there must be a zero x^{*}∈[a, b]
- 1. Compute midpoint m of the interval and check
- - Of course, if f(m) = 0 then we are done.

Bisection vs. Fixed-point iteration

Which one is faster?

Depending on S = |g'(r)| is smaller or larger than $\frac{1}{2}$. Bisection: How accurate and how fast?

Newton's iteration Residual Mar your approximate

 $b - Ax_a$

Backward error

$$\|\underline{b} - A\underline{x}_a\|_{\infty}$$

Forward error

$$x_{i+1} = x_i - f(x_i) / f'(x_i), i = 0, 1, 2, \dots \|\underline{x} - \underline{x}_a\|_{\infty}$$

The interval length after *n* bisection steps is: b-a

Solution error =
$$|x_n - x| < \frac{b - a}{2^{n+1}}$$

 x_n : the midpoint of the *n*-th interval

If we want the error to satisfy $|x_n - x| \le \varepsilon$, it suffices to have $(b-a)/2^n \le \varepsilon$, so that

$$n > \log_2\left(\frac{b-a}{\varepsilon}\right)$$

relative forward error

error magnification factor = cond(A)= A A relative backward error

Secant method

Replaces the tangent line (the function's derivative) with the secant line.

$$f'(x_i) \approx \frac{f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})}{x_i - x_{i-1}}$$

Two starting guesses are needed to begin the Secant

The matrix (absolute row sum) norm

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1.0001 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Definition. The $n \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ is strictly with the same A and different \underline{b} diagonally dominant if, for each $1 \le i \le n$,

 $|a_{ii}| > \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|.$ $||A||_{\infty} = 2.0001$

Why using iterative methods? Can be faster if the input matrix is large

- One step of an iterative method requires only a fraction of the floating operations of a full LU factorization

A good approximation to the solution is already known.

The input matrix is sparse.

The secant method is superlinearly convergent, meaning that it lies between linearly and quadratically convergent methods.

$$O(n^3) + O(n^2) = O(n^3)$$
elimination of Gaussian elimination

The computational cost is dominated by the elimination step!

LU factorization: complexity

Need to solve a number of different problems

Multipliers in Gaussian elimination should be kept as small as possible to avoid swamping. From large differences in Partial pivoting relative sizes ex [1020]] 1

Forces the absolute value of multipliers to be

no larger than 1

Jacobi vs. Gauss-Seidel

 $\underline{\mathbf{x}} = [\mathbf{x}_1, ..., \mathbf{x}_n]^T$ is

The infinity norm, or the

 $\|\underline{x}\|_{\infty} = \max_{i} |x_i|,$

 $x_{k+1} = D^{-1}(b - (L+U)x_k)$

 $X_{k+1} = D^{-1}(b - UX_k - LX_{k+1})$

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{k+1} \\ v_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_k \\ v_k \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (5-v_k)/3 \\ (5-u_k)/2 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $u_{k+1} = (1 - \omega)u_k + \omega \frac{4 - v_k + w_k}{2}$