

# ESICM 2025 part 2

*The column of resuscitation fluid + ROSE concept*

Emergency department Maharat nakhonratchasima

R3 Nattawit Kaewkomoot

# The Four (or Six) D's

The European definition **states that shock :**

**Imbalance** between **oxygen delivery (DO<sub>2</sub>)** and **oxygen consumption (VO<sub>2</sub>)**  
→ anaerobic metabolism and lactate production

$$DO_2 = CO \times CaO_2$$

$$= HR \times SV \times (Hgb \times SaO_2 \times 1.34 + pO_2 \times 0.0034)$$

*CaO<sub>2</sub> = Arterial Oxygen Content*

# The Four (or Six) D's

**Cardiac output is**

amount of blood the heart pumps into the body each minute

1  $CO = HR \times SV$

2  $CO = HR \times (EDV - ESV)$  ,  $LVEF = \frac{EDV - ESV}{EDV} \rightarrow LVEF \times EDV = \frac{EDV - ESV}{EDV} \times EDV$

3  $CO = HR \times LVEF \times EDV$

# The Four (or Six) D's

**Cardiac output is**

amount of blood the heart pumps into the body each minute

$$1 \quad CO = HR \times LVEF \times EDV$$

$$2 \quad MAP = (CO \times SVR^{**}) + CVP^* = \frac{(2 \times DBP) + SBP}{3}$$

$$MAP = LVEF \times EDV \times HR \times SVR$$


\*CVP is usually at or near 0 mmHg

\*\*SVR = [(MAP-CVP)/CO] x 80

Calculation of Systemic Vascular Resistance (SVR)

Using Pressures Measured from **Swan-Ganz Catheter**

# ROSE concept



## Resuscitation

- “When to start fluid therapy?”
- **Aim** “restoration of the organ perfusion”

## Optimization

- “When to stop fluid therapy?”
- **Aim** “avoid fluid overload”

## Stabilization

- Absence of shock
- **Aim** “maintenance and replacement fluids”

## Evacuation

- Recovery from shock
- **Aim** “directed fluid removal”

# ROSE concept

**Table 8.5** The ROSE concept avoiding fluid overload (adapted from Malbrain et al. with permission [28])

	Resuscitation	Optimization	Stabilization	Evacuation
Hit sequence	First hit	Second hit	Second hit	Third hit
Time frame	Minutes	Hours	Days	Days to weeks
Underlying mechanism	Inflammatory insult	Ischemia and reperfusion	Ischemia and reperfusion	Global increased permeability syndrome
Clinical presentation	Severe shock	Unstable shock	Absence of shock or threat of shock	Recovery from shock, possible Global Increased Permeability Syndrome
Goal	Early adequate goal-directed fluid management	Focus on organ support and maintaining tissue perfusion	Late conservative fluid management	Late goal-directed fluid removal (de-resuscitation)
Fluid therapy	Early administration with fluid boluses, guided by indices of fluid responsiveness	Fluid boluses guided by fluid responsiveness indices and indices of the risk of fluid administration	Only for normal maintenance and replacement	Reversal of the positive fluid balance, either spontaneous or active
Fluid balance	Positive	Neutral	Neutral to negative	Negative
Primary result of treatment	Salvage or patient rescue	Organ rescue	Organ support (homeostasis)	Organ recovery
Main risk	Insufficient resuscitation	Insufficient resuscitation and fluid overload (e.g., pulmonary edema, intra-abdominal hypertension)	Fluid overload (e.g., pulmonary edema, intra-abdominal hypertension)	Excessive fluid removal, possibly inducing hypotension, hypoperfusion, and a “fourth hit”

# ESICM 2025 part 2

*The column of resuscitation fluid*

# ESICM 2025 part 2

## Objective :

Provided guideline with evidence base on the **volume** of early resuscitation for **critical-ill adult patients**

## Methods :

Reviews literature and reanalyze, presented with PICO and applied **grade approach**

## Result :

10 PICO question

- SEPSIS	3 question
- Hemorrhagic shock	3 question
- Obstructive shock	2 question
- Left-side cardiogenic shock	1 question
- ARDS	1 question

“we recommendation” = strong recommendation  
“we suggest” = weak recommendation  
“best practice” = Ungraded  
“no recommendation” = very low evidence

# Sepsis – Q1

Question 1     Should 30 ml/kg fluid volume be used versus other approaches for **initial resuscitation** of circulatory failure in critically ill patients with sepsis or septic shock?

**Recommendation** *In adults with sepsis or septic shock who require fluid resuscitation for circulatory failure, we suggest administering up to 30 ml/kg of intravenous crystalloids in the initial phase (when hemodynamic monitoring is not yet available, typically within the first 3 h), with adjustments based on clinical context and frequent reassessments*

*Conditional Recommendation, For Very Low certainty of evidence*

**Remark** *Clinicians may choose to administer different volumes of crystalloids based on their clinical judgment, individual patient characteristics and clinical context, such as the origin of sepsis (e.g., lung versus abdomen), cardiovascular comorbidities, or the presence or not of fluid losses*


# Sepsis – Q1

## Initial phase :

- 1 – 6 hr of Treatment or within 3 hr (high income countries)

\*SCC suggest at least initial fluid 30 ml/kg

> Several observational study → Harm form positive cumulative fluid balance (High mortality)



# Sepsis – Q2


Question 2	Should a liberal vs. restrictive strategy be used in the optimization phase of resuscitation of circulatory failure in critically ill patients with sepsis or septic shock?		
Recommendation	<i>In adults with sepsis or septic shock who need fluid resuscitation for circulatory failure, we cannot recommend for or against systematic restrictive or liberal fluid administration</i>	No recommendation	<u>Moderate</u> Certainty of evidence
Remark	<i>In randomized trials, the use of a systematic restrictive strategy versus a systematic liberal strategy in the optimization phase of resuscitation had similar effects on patient-relevant outcomes (moderate certainty of evidence)</i>		

# Sepsis – Q2

## Optimization phase :

- 24 hr of Treatment

\*SCC, insufficient evidence to recommended restrictive or liberal fluid strategy in patient who has sign of hypoperfusion



# Sepsis – Q3

Question 3

Should an **individualized** approach vs a **non-individualized** approach be used for the optimization phase of resuscitation of circulatory failure in critically ill patients with sepsis or septic shock?

**Recommendation** *In adults with sepsis or septic shock who require fluid resuscitation for circulatory failure, we suggest using an individualized approach compared with a non-individualized approach during the optimization phase*

*Conditional recommendation, For*


**Very low certainty of evidence**

# Sepsis – Q3

## Optimization phase :

- 24 hr of Treatment
- Individualize or non-individualize approach → **Recommended individualization**
- Aim improve preload/systemic blood flow and reserving tissue perfusion

\*Individualization usually perform in ICU due to requires hemodynamic monitoring (CO<sub>2</sub> Gab, SCVO<sub>2</sub>, lactate, Cap-refill)



# Hemorrhagic shock – Q4

## Penetrating trauma

Question 4 Should a **restrictive** or **liberal** strategy be used for fluid resuscitation of circulatory failure in critically ill patients with hemorrhagic shock following **penetrating trauma**?

Recommendation *In adults with hemorrhagic shock after penetrating trauma, we suggest using a **restrictive fluid resuscitation strategy** (as part of a permissive hypotension approach) compared with a **liberal fluid resuscitation strategy** prior to definitive hemorrhage control*

Conditional recommendation, For Moderate Certainty of evidence

Remark *This recommendation does **not apply to the intraoperative management** of these patients. In patients with **penetrating trauma and associated traumatic brain injury**, there are insufficient data to make a recommendation*

- **Decrease mortality rate** (RR = 0.80; 95% CI [0.65,0.90])
- **Permissive hypotension (SBP 80-90 mmHg, MAP 50-60 mmHg):**
  - Lower BP → May slow rate of bleeding
  - Reduce hydrostatic pressure → Prevent dislodgement of formed hemostasis clot
  - Limiting volume resuscitate → Prevent dilutional coagulopathy and hypothermia

\*In **TBI** keep SBP 100-110 mmHg or MAP at least 80 mmHg

# Hemorrhagic shock – Q5

## Blunt trauma

Question 5 Should a **restrictive** or **liberal** strategy be used for fluid resuscitation of circulatory failure in critically ill patients with hemorrhagic shock following **blunt trauma**?

Recommendation: In adults with hemorrhagic shock following blunt trauma, we suggest a restrictive fluid resuscitation strategy (as part of a permissive hypotensive approach) compared with a liberal fluid resuscitation strategy before definitive hemorrhage control

*Conditional recommendation, For* Low Certainty of evidence

**Remark** This recommendation does not apply to the intraoperative management of these patients. In patients with blunt trauma and associated traumatic brain injury, there is insufficient data to make a recommendation

- Permissive hypotension (SBP 80-90 mmHg, MAP 50-60 mmHg):

- Lower BP → May slow rate of bleeding
  - Reduce hydrostatic pressure → Prevent dislodgement of formed hemostasis clot
  - Limiting volume resuscitate → Prevent dilutional coagulopathy and hypothermia

\*In **TBI** keep SBP 100-110 mmHg or MAP at least 80 mmHg

# Hemorrhagic shock – Q4-5

## Penetrating and Blunt trauma with TBI

■ Table 7-12: Optimal Values in TBI Management.

Optimal Values in TBI Management		
Category	Parameter	Optimal Value
<b>Clinical Parameters</b>	Systolic blood pressure	≥100 mm Hg
	Mean arterial pressure	>80 mm Hg
	Temperature	36–38°C
	Pulse oximetry	≥94%
<b>Laboratory Parameters</b>	Glucose	100–180 mg/dL
	Hemoglobin	> 7 g/dL
	International normalized ratio (INR)	≤1.4
	Serum sodium	135–145 meq/dL
	Serum osmolality	≤320 mOsm
	PaO <sub>2</sub>	80–100 mm Hg
	PaCO <sub>2</sub>	35–45 mm Hg
	pH	7.35–7.45
	Platelets	≥75 X 10 <sup>3</sup> mm <sup>3</sup>
<b>Neurologic Monitoring Parameters</b>	Cerebral perfusion pressure	60–70 mm Hg*
	Intracranial pressure	<22 mm Hg*
	PbtO <sub>2</sub>	≥15 mm Hg

TBI, Traumatic brain injury; PbtO<sub>2</sub>, Partial brain tissue oxygenation.

# Hemorrhagic shock – Q6

## Non trauma

Question 6      Should a **restrictive** or **liberal** strategy be used for fluid resuscitation of circulatory failure in critically ill patients with hemorrhagic shock of **non-traumatic** origin?

Recommendation: *The panel recommends (ungraded best practice statement) that in adults with hemorrhagic shock of non-traumatic origin, fluid administration should be guided by hemodynamic and biochemical parameters in the context of the primary disease state prior to definitive hemorrhage control*

**Best Practice Statement**

**Ungraded**


Remark      *The biochemical parameters that the clinician should aim to correct include metabolic acidosis, hypothermia, platelet counts and coagulation factors*

- **Use of vasopressors is controversial**
- Early administration vasopressors in high doses → **increase mortality**
- Should be **correct several condition** : metabolic acidosis, hypothermia and coagulopathy

# Hemorrhagic shock – Q6

## Non trauma

- Should be correct several condition : metabolic acidosis, hypothermia and coagulopathy



- Septic Shock 55 vs 53%

- Hemorrhagic Shock 14 vs 14%

# Obstructive shock – Q7

## Pulmonary embolism


Question 7 Should a **restrictive** or **liberal** strategy be used for fluid resuscitation of circulatory failure in critically ill patients with **pulmonary embolism**?

Recommendation: *The panel recommends (ungraded best practice statement) that in adult patients with circulatory failure due to acute pulmonary embolism, clinicians should be cautious about administering fluids and should base their decision on measured surrogate markers of right heart congestion*

Best Practice Statement

Ungraded

- **Circulatory failure** is The major cause of death in patient with **massive pulmonary embolism**
- Use of fluid resuscitation in intermediate and high-risk is **controversial**
- Any signs of severe right side heart congestion (CVP > 10 mmHg, RV dilate, VEXUS >1)  
→ **Not recommended** fluid resuscitate



# Obstructive shock – Q8

## Cardiac tamponade

Question 8      Should a **restrictive** or **liberal** strategy be used for fluid resuscitation of circulatory failure in critically ill patients with **cardiac tamponade**?

Recommendation: *The panel recommends (ungraded best practice statement) that in adult patients with circulatory failure due to cardiac tamponade, fluid should be given cautiously as a temporary measure until definitive management can be undertaken*

Best Practice Statement

Ungraded

- **ESC guideline** recommended volume resuscitation and discourage the use of vasodilators and diuretic (IIC)
- **Fluid boluses**
  - Maintain systemic venous return
  - Correct hypovolemia
  - Prevent right ventricular diastolic collapse despite very high right atrial pressure
- **Must not delay** pericardial drainage

# Left sided cardiogenic shock – Q9

Question 9      Should a **restrictive** or **liberal** strategy be used for fluid resuscitation of circulatory failure in critically ill patients with **left-sided cardiogenic shock**?

*Recommendation: In adults with circulatory failure due to left-sided cardiogenic shock, the panel recommends (ungraded best practice statement) that fluid resuscitation should not be the primary treatment*

**Best Practice Statement**

**Ungraded**

*Remark      If fluids are administered, the patient should be monitored closely, especially for pulmonary edema*

- **Characterized** by inability of the heart to pump enough blood to meet metabolic demands
- **Primary goal** of fluid administration to **improve cardiac output and oxygen delivery**
- **Fluid administration** can exacerbate heart failure and lead pulmonary edema
- **Tailored approach**
- Close monitoring of the patient's hemodynamic status (Advanced hemodynamic monitoring may be used) and adjustment of fluid therapy based on the observed individual response

# ARDS – Q10

Question 10     Should a **restrictive** or **liberal** strategy be used for fluid resuscitation of circulatory failure in critically ill patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS)?

Recommendation: *In adults with circulatory failure and ARDS, we cannot make a recommendation about the volume of fluid administration*

**No recommendation**

**Very Low certainty of evidence**

Remark        Future trials should focus on patients with circulatory failure and ARDS, taking into account its different subtypes

- **Characterized** by varying degrees of alteration in pulmonary capillary permeability → **Pulmonary edema**
- **Requiring Fluid resuscitate** due to hypovolemia and hemodynamic instability associated with ARDS
- **ARDS** cause fluid leakage from the intravascular space
- **Fluid accumulation** associated with **Higher mortality rate**

# Q & A



Ref data



Calculation of Systemic Vascular Resistance (SVR)  
Using Pressures Measured from **Swan-Ganz Catheter**



Shock simulation