

# Naive Bayes

---

Author: Zhang Xiaozheng Date: 2019/10/31

When we do classification, we want to find the class of a given data. From the perspective of probability theory, we want to find the distribution function  $P(c|x)$ . So we can choose the class which makes its distribution function max.

Some algorithm like decision tree or logistic regression just want to find  $P(c|x)$  directly. But what Bayes Classifier do is using Bayes formula.

$$P(c|x) = \frac{P(c)P(x|c)}{P(x)}$$

So our task turns into find  $P(c)$  and  $P(x|c)$ .

To find  $P(c)$  and  $P(x|c)$ , we need to use MLE(Maximum Likelihood Estimation) to estimate  $P(x|c)$ .

But if we directly estimate  $P(x|c)$ , there will be so many possible combinations so it will take a long time to calculate. So what Naive Bayes do is to suppose all the features in  $X$  is independent. So we can rewrite our equation.

$$P(x|c) = \prod_{i=1}^K P(x_i|c)$$

In this way, we just need to estimate these  $K$  distribution function separately.

## MLE

---

After an observation, we have a data set

$$X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$$

Suppose we already know the form of its probability density function which is:

$$f(x; \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)$$

So we define Likelihood Function:

$$L(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)$$

As this data has been observed by us, so we can say that the probability of this kind of sample is quite large. What MLE do is to find a group of  $\theta$  which can make the value of Likelihood Function max.

---

So after use MLE, for a data set  $D$ , we can have:

$$P(y = c_k) = \frac{|D_k|}{|D|} \text{ where } |D_k| \text{ is the number of } y \text{ whose label is } c_k$$

$$P(x_i|c_k) = \frac{|D_{ik}|}{|D_k|} \text{ where } |D_{ik}| \text{ is the number of } x \text{ whose label is } c_k \text{ and whose feature is } x_i$$

Because  $P(x)$  has nothing to do with  $c$ , so we just need to maximize  $P(c) P(x|c)$  so that we can maximize  $P(c|x)$  too.

## Problem

---

What if there is no such  $x$  whose feature is  $x_i$  and whose label is  $c_k$ ? In this case, the  $P(x|c)=0$ , no matter what other features are, the  $P(c|x)$  will always equal to 0 which not make sense. So we use "Laplace smooth" for our formula:

$$P(y = c_k) = \frac{|D_k| + 1}{|D| + N}$$
$$P(x_i|c_k) = \frac{|D_{ik}| + 1}{|D_k| + N_i}$$

where  $N$  is the number of all the possible classes of  $y$ ,  $N_i$  is the number of all the possible classes of feature  $x$ .

In this way, it is impossible that  $P(x|c)=0$ .