```
#### Final Project Data Prep and EDA ####
library(reshape2)
library(ggplot2)
library(corrplot)
library(tree)
library(ISLR)
library(MASS)
library(randomForest)
library(gbm)
library(glmnet)
library(leaps)
library(e1071)
library(splines)
library(gam)
library(leaps)
library(pls)
library(rpart)
library(class)
library(caret)
library(neuralnet)
rm(list = ls()) # clear enironment
# Load Data
charity <- read.csv("C:/Users/Bivek/Documents/NorthWestern MSPA/Predict
422/Predict 422 R Stuff/charity.csv") # load the "charity.csv" file
summary(charity)
str(charity) # all but part are numeric/int
nums <- sapply(charity, is.numeric)</pre>
charity.numeric <- charity[nums]</pre>
# looking at dist of each variable
d <- melt(charity.numeric)</pre>
ggplot(d,aes(x = value)) +
 facet wrap(\simvariable,scales = "free x") +
 geom_histogram()
# Looked at outliers in boxplots
p <- ggplot(data = d, aes(x=variable, y=value)) +
 geom boxplot(aes())
p + facet_wrap( ~ variable, scales="free")
## Thoughts
 # ID: Won't be used in prediction
 # reg1-reg4: will convert to factors
 # Home: binary
 # child: could create dummy??
```

```
# hinc: could create dummy, but will most likely leave alone
 # genf: binary
 # wrat: we don't know exactly how this is calculated so probably factor, possible
create binary (wrat<=7, wrat >7)
 # avhv: right skewed, take log
 # incm: right skewed, take log
 # inca: right skewed, take log
 # plow: right skewed, take log
 # npro: ??
 # tgif: lots of 0s, convert to binary?
 # lgif: lots of 0s, convert to binary?
 # rgif: lots of 0s, convert to binary?
 # tdon: ??
 # tlag: right skewed, take log
 # agif: lots of 0s, convert to binary?
 # donr: response, binary
 # damt: response, normal
# Transformations ------
### Now looking ar all variables that aren't factors, binary, or response
charity.t <- charity # create transformed dataset, this is what we will use when
splitting
### Looking at histograms of variables to potentially be transformed
d2 <- melt(charity.numeric[11:21])
ggplot(d2,aes(x = value)) +
 facet_wrap(~variable,scales = "free_x") +
 geom_histogram()
## avhv
 par(mfrow=c(1,2))
 # original histogram
 hist(charity$avhv)
 # transformation
 charity.t$avhv <- log(charity.t$avhv)</pre>
 # transformed histogram
 hist(charity.t$avhv)
## incm
 # original histogram
 hist(charity$incm)
 # transformation
 charity.t$incm <- log(charity.t$incm)</pre>
 # transformed histogram
 hist(charity.t$incm)
## inca
 # original histogram
```

```
hist(charity$inca)
 # transformation
 charity.t$inca <- log(charity.t$inca)
 # transformed histogram
 hist(charity.t$inca)
## plow
 # original histogram
 hist(charity$plow)
 # transformation
 charity.t$plow <- sqrt(charity$plow) ## log produces -inf values b/c can't do
log(0). so im using sqrt
 # transformed histogram
 hist(charity.t$plow)
## tgif, lgif, rgif, and agif
 # histograms
 hist(charity$tgif)
hist(charity$lgif)
 # We could make both of these binary, then they would end up as the same variable
and we could delete one
## rgif
 # original histogram
 hist(charity$rgif)
 # transformation
 charity.t$rgif <- log(charity$rgif)</pre>
 # transformed histogram
 hist(charity.t$rgif)
## agif
 # original histogram
 hist(charity$agif)
 # transformation
 charity.t$agif <- log(charity$agif)</pre>
 # transformed histogram
 hist(charity.t$agif)
## tlag
 # original histogram
 hist(charity$tlag)
 # transformation
 charity.t$tlag <- log(charity$tlag)</pre>
 # transformed histogram
 hist(charity.t$tlag)
### Original histograms with transformed variables to show new distributions
 nums2 <- sapply(charity.t, is.numeric)</pre>
 charity.t.numeric <- charity.t[nums2]</pre>
 d <- melt(charity.t.numeric)</pre>
 ggplot(d,aes(x = value)) +
 facet wrap(\simvariable,scales = "free x") +
```

```
geom histogram()
par(mfrow=c(1,1))
charity.t2 <- charity.t # second transformed datset for factor dataframe
# Converting to factors for factor dataframe
 # reg1-reg4: will convert to factors
  charity.t2$reg1 <- as.factor(charity.t$reg1)</pre>
  charity.t2$reg2 <- as.factor(charity.t$reg2)</pre>
  charity.t2$reg3 <- as.factor(charity.t$reg3)</pre>
  charity.t2$reg4 <- as.factor(charity.t$reg4)</pre>
 # Home: binary
  charity.t2$home <- as.factor(charity.t$home)</pre>
 # genf: binary
  charity.t2$genf <- as.factor(charity.t$genf)</pre>
 # wrat: we don't know exactly how this is calculated so probably factor, possible
create binary (wrat<=7, wrat >7)
  charity.t2$wrat <- as.factor(charity.t$wrat)</pre>
# EDA on Transformed Dataset ------
 summary(charity.t)
 str(charity.t)
 # Correlation of Numeric variables
 res <- cor(charity.t.numeric[!charity$part=="test",])
 cex.before <- par("cex")
 par(cex = 0.7)
 corrplot.mixed(res, mar=c(3,3,5,3), tl.pos="lt", tl.col = "black", tl.cex = .8, diag="u")
 par(cex = cex.before)
 # Thoughts
 # Number of children as largest correlation with response
 # As expected, plow has a strong negative correlation with variables related to
income (avhv, incm, inca)
 # Tgif and lgif have a low correlation (.15), should keep both in model
 # Frequency tables for categorical/bianry variables
 nums3 <- sapply(charity.t2, is.numeric)</pre>
 ft <- apply(charity.t[!nums3],2,ftable)
 plot(ft)
 ## End EDA on Transformed Dataset
# Splitting up and Standardizing Data -----
# Traing Set
```

```
data.train <- charity.t[charity$part=="train",]</pre>
x.train <- data.train[,2:21]
c.train <- data.train[,22] # donr
n.train.c <- length(c.train) # 3984
y.train <- data.train[c.train==1,23] # damt for observations with donr=1
n.train.y <- length(y.train) # 1995
# Validation Set
data.valid <- charity.t[charity$part=="valid",]
x.valid <- data.valid[,2:21]
c.valid <- data.valid[,22] # donr
n.valid.c <- length(c.valid) # 2018
y.valid <- data.valid[c.valid==1,23] # damt for observations with donr=1
n.valid.y <- length(y.valid) # 999
# Test Set
data.test <- charity.t[charity$part=="test",]
n.test <- dim(data.test)[1] # 2007
x.test <- data.test[,2:21]
# Standardization
x.train.mean <- apply(x.train, 2, mean)
x.train.sd <- apply(x.train, 2, sd)
x.train.std <- t((t(x.train)-x.train.mean)/x.train.sd) # standardize to have zero mean
and unit sd
apply(x.train.std, 2, mean) # check zero mean
apply(x.train.std, 2, sd) # check unit sd
data.train.std.c <- data.frame(x.train.std, donr=c.train) # to classify donr
data.train.std.y <- data.frame(x.train.std[c.train==1,], damt=y.train) # to predict
damt when donr=1
x.valid.std <- t((t(x.valid)-x.train.mean)/x.train.sd) # standardize using training
mean and sd
data.valid.std.c <- data.frame(x.valid.std, donr=c.valid) # to classify donr
data.valid.std.y <- data.frame(x.valid.std[c.valid==1,], damt=y.valid) # to predict
damt when donr=1
x.test.std \leftarrow t((t(x.test)-x.train.mean)/x.train.sd) # standardize using training mean
and sd
data.test.std <- data.frame(x.test.std)</pre>
# Factors Dataframe Creation -----
```

```
# Splitting Factor Dataframe
data.train.F <- charity.t2[charity$part=="train",]
x.train.F < - data.train.F[,2:21]
c.train.F <- data.train.F[,22] # donr
n.train.c.F <- length(c.train.F) # 3984
y.train.F <- data.train.F[c.train.F==1,23]# damt for observations with donr=1
n.train.y.F <- length(y.train.F) # 1995data.train.F[c.train.F==1,23]
# Validation Set - Factor Dataframe
data.valid.F <- charity.t2[charity$part=="valid",]
x.valid.F < - data.valid.F[.2:21]
c.valid.F <- data.valid.F[,22] # donr
n.valid.c.F <- length(c.valid.F) # 2018
y.valid.F <- data.valid.F[c.valid.F==1,23] # damt for observations with donr=1
n.valid.y.F <- length(y.valid.F) # 999
# Test Set - Factor Dataframe
data.test.F <- charity.t2[charity$part=="test",]
n.test.F \leftarrow dim(data.test.F)[1] # 2007
x.test.F <- data.test.F[,2:21]
# Standardization - Factor Dataframe
train.factors <- sapply(x.train.F, is.factor) # all variables converted to factors earlier
x.train.mean.F <- apply(x.train.F[,!train.factors], 2, mean) # take mean of all
variables except factors
x.train.sd.F <- apply(x.train.F[,!train.factors], 2, sd)
x.train.std.F <- t((t(x.train.F[,!train.factors])-x.train.mean.F)/x.train.sd.F) #
standardize to have zero mean and unit sd
apply(x.train.std.F, 2, mean) # check zero mean
apply(x.train.std.F, 2, sd) # check unit sd
data.train.std.c.F <- data.frame(x.train.std.F, donr=c.train.F) # to classify donr
data.train.std.y.F <- data.frame(x.train.std.F[c.train.F==1,], damt=y.train.F) # to
predict damt when donr=1
valid.factors <- sapply(x.valid.F, is.factor)</pre>
x.valid.std.F <- t((t(x.valid.F[,!valid.factors])-x.train.mean.F)/x.train.sd.F) #
standardize using training mean and sd
data.valid.std.c.F <- data.frame(x.valid.std.F, donr=c.valid.F) # to classify donr
data.valid.std.y.F <- data.frame(x.valid.std.F[c.valid.F==1,], damt=y.valid.F) # to
predict damt when donr=1
```

test.factors <- sapply(x.test.F, is.factor)

```
x.test.std.F <- t((t(x.test.F[,!test.factors])-x.train.mean.F)/x.train.sd.F) # standardize
using training mean and sd
data.test.std.F <- data.frame(x.test.std.F)</pre>
# Add back in factors: Classification
data.train.std.c.F$reg1 <- x.train.F$reg1
data.train.std.c.F$reg2 <- x.train.F$reg2
data.train.std.c.F$reg3 <- x.train.F$reg3
data.train.std.c.F$reg4 <- x.train.F$reg4
data.train.std.c.F$home <- x.train.F$home
data.train.std.c.F$genf <- x.train.F$genf
data.train.std.c.F$wrat <- x.train.F$wrat
data.valid.std.c.F$reg1 <- x.valid.F$reg1
data.valid.std.c.F$reg2 <- x.valid.F$reg2
data.valid.std.c.F$reg3 <- x.valid.F$reg3
data.valid.std.c.F$reg4 <- x.valid.F$reg4
data.valid.std.c.F$home <- x.valid.F$home
data.valid.std.c.F$genf <- x.valid.F$genf
data.valid.std.c.F$wrat <- x.valid.F$wrat
```

data.test.std.F\$reg1 <- x.test.F\$reg1 data.test.std.F\$reg2 <- x.test.F\$reg2 data.test.std.F\$reg3 <- x.test.F\$reg3 data.test.std.F\$reg4 <- x.test.F\$reg4 data.test.std.F\$home <- x.test.F\$home data.test.std.F\$genf <- x.test.F\$genf data.test.std.F\$wrat <- x.test.F\$wrat

Add back in factors: Predictiction

```
data.train.std.y.F$reg1 <- x.train.F[c.train.F==1,'reg1'] data.train.std.y.F$reg2 <- x.train.F[c.train.F==1,'reg2'] data.train.std.y.F$reg3 <- x.train.F[c.train.F==1,'reg3'] data.train.std.y.F$reg4 <- x.train.F[c.train.F==1,'reg4'] data.train.std.y.F$home <- x.train.F[c.train.F==1,'home'] data.train.std.y.F$genf <- x.train.F[c.train.F==1,'genf'] data.train.std.y.F$wrat <- x.train.F[c.train.F==1,'wrat']
```

```
data.valid.std.y.F$reg1 <- x.valid.F[c.valid.F==1,'reg1'] data.valid.std.y.F$reg2 <- x.valid.F[c.valid.F==1,'reg2'] data.valid.std.y.F$reg3 <- x.valid.F[c.valid.F==1,'reg3']
```

```
data.valid.std.y.F$reg4 <- x.valid.F[c.valid.F==1,'reg4']
data.valid.std.y.F$home <- x.valid.F[c.valid.F==1,'home']
data.valid.std.y.F$genf <- x.valid.F[c.valid.F==1,'genf']
data.valid.std.v.F$wrat <- x.valid.F[c.valid.F==1,'wrat']
#### Classification Models ------
  # Response variable is DONR
  # 2 Different transformed/cleaned datasets:
str(data.train.std.c) # All data left as numeric and standardized
str(data.train.std.c.F) # reg1-4, home, genf, and wrat were converted to factors
# Logistic ------
# All variables - factor dataset gave better results
model.log1 \leftarrow glm(donr \sim reg1 + reg2 + reg3 + reg4 + home + chld + hinc + reg2 + reg3 + reg4 + home + chld + hinc + reg2 + reg3 + reg4 + home + chld + hinc + reg2 + reg3 + reg4 + home + chld + hinc + reg2 + reg3 + reg4 + home + chld + hinc + reg2 + reg3 + reg4 + home + chld + hinc + reg3 + reg4 + home + chld + hinc + reg3 + reg4 + home + chld + hinc + reg3 + reg4 + home + reg3 + reg4 + home + reg4 + home
I(hinc^2) + genf + wrat +
                     avhv + incm + inca + plow + npro + tgif + lgif + rgif + tdon + tlag + agif,
                   data.train.std.c.F, family=binomial("logit"))
post.valid.log1 <- predict(model.log1, data.valid.std.c.F, type="response") # n.valid
post probs
# calculate ordered profit function using average donation = $14.50 and mailing cost
= $2
profit.log1 <- cumsum(14.5*c.valid.F[order(post.valid.log1, decreasing=T)]-2)</pre>
plot(profit.log1) # see how profits change as more mailings are made
n.mail.valid.1 <- which.max(profit.log1) # number of mailings that maximizes
profits
c(n.mail.valid.1, max(profit.log1)) # report number of mailings and maximum profit
# 1251 11650
cutoff.log1 <- sort(post.valid.log1, decreasing=T)[n.mail.valid.1+1] # set cutoff based
on n.mail.valid
chat.valid.log1 <- ifelse(post.valid.log1>cutoff.log1, 1, 0) # mail to everyone above
table(chat.valid.log1, c.valid) # classification table
mean(chat.valid.log1!= c.valid) # Error rate
                         c.valid
# chat.valid.log1 0 1
                       0 744 23
#
                       1 275 976
# check n.mail.valid = 275+976 = 1251
# check profit = 14.5*976-2*1251 = 11650
# Backwards Selection - factor dataset gave better results
```

```
model.logB < -step(glm(donr \sim reg1 + reg2 + reg3 + reg4 + home + chld + hinc +
I(hinc^2) + genf + wrat +
          avhv + incm + inca + plow + npro + tgif + lgif + rgif + tdon + tlag + agif,
         data.train.std.c.F, family=binomial("logit")))
post.valid.logB <- predict(model.logB, data.valid.std.c.F, type="response")</pre>
# Backwards Profit
profit.logB <- cumsum(14.5*c.valid[order(post.valid.logB, decreasing=T)]-2)
plot(profit.logB) # see how profits change as more mailings are made
n.mail.valid.B <- which.max(profit.logB) # number of mailings that maximizes
c(n.mail.valid.B, max(profit.logB)) # report number of mailings and maximum profit
# 1349.0 11642.5
# Forwards Selection
model.logF <- step(glm(donr \sim reg1 + reg2 + reg3 + reg4 + home + chld + hinc +
I(hinc^2) + genf + wrat +
             avhv + incm + inca + plow + npro + tgif + lgif + rgif + tdon + tlag + agif,
            data.train.std.c.F, family=binomial("logit")),direction="forward")
post.valid.logF <- predict(model.logF, data.valid.std.c.F, type="response")</pre>
# Forwards Profit - same results as original model, used factor dataset
profit.logF <- cumsum(14.5*c.valid[order(post.valid.logF, decreasing=T)]-2)</pre>
plot(profit.logF) # see how profits change as more mailings are made
n.mail.valid.F <- which.max(profit.logF) # number of mailings that maximizes profits
c(n.mail.valid.F, max(profit.logF)) # report number of mailings and maximum profit
# 1251 11650
# Stepwise Selection - factor dataset was best
model.logS < -step(glm(donr \sim reg1 + reg2 + reg3 + reg4 + home + chld + hinc +
I(hinc^2) + genf + wrat +
             avhv + incm + inca + plow + npro + tgif + lgif + rgif + tdon + tlag + agif,
            data.train.std.c.F, family=binomial("logit")),direction="both")
post.valid.logS <- predict(model.logS, data.valid.std.c.F, type="response")</pre>
# Stepwise Profit
```

```
profit.logS <- cumsum(14.5*c.valid[order(post.valid.logS, decreasing=T)]-2)
plot(profit.logS) # see how profits change as more mailings are made
n.mail.valid.S <- which.max(profit.logS) # number of mailings that maximizes profits
c(n.mail.valid.S, max(profit.logS)) # report number of mailings and maximum profit
# 1350.0 11640.5
## Of all variable selection methods, the full model performed the best
# LDA Model ------
# Slightly better than example code when using factor dataset. Not as good as
logistic
model.lda1 < -lda(donr \sim reg1 + reg2 + reg3 + reg4 + home + chld + hinc + I(hinc^2)
+ genf + wrat +
          avhv + incm + inca + plow + npro + tgif + lgif + rgif + tdon + tlag + agif,
         data.train.std.c.F)
post.valid.lda1 <- predict(model.lda1, data.valid.std.c.F)$posterior[,2] # n.valid.c</pre>
post probs
# calculate ordered profit function using average donation = $14.50 and mailing cost
= $2
profit.lda1 <- cumsum(14.5*c.valid.F[order(post.valid.lda1, decreasing=T)]-2)</pre>
plot(profit.lda1) # see how profits change as more mailings are made
n.mail.valid.lda1 <- which.max(profit.lda1) # number of mailings that maximizes
profits
c(n.mail.valid, max(profit.lda1)) # report number of mailings and maximum profit
# 1103.0 11646.5
cutoff.lda1 <- sort(post.valid.lda1, decreasing=T)[n.mail.valid.lda1+1] # set cutoff
based on n.mail.valid
chat.valid.lda1 <- ifelse(post.valid.lda1>cutoff.lda1, 1, 0) # mail to everyone above
table(chat.valid.lda1, c.valid.F) # classification table
mean(chat.valid.lda1!= c.valid.F) # Error rate
# 0.1719524
# QDA -----
## Build QDA Model
# Initial model contains all variables
qda.fit1 < -qda(donr \sim reg1 + reg2 + reg3 + reg4 + home + chld + hinc
        + genf + wrat + avhv + incm + inca + plow + npro
        + tgif + lgif + rgif + tdon + tlag + agif,
        data = data.train.std.c)
qda.fit1
```

```
# Create predictions based on qda.fit1 and examine confusion matrix.
qda.fit1.preds <- predict(qda.fit1, data.valid.std.c)</pre>
qda.fit1.preds.class <- qda.fit1.preds$class
table(qda.fit1.preds.class, c.valid)
mean(qda.fit1.preds.class != c.valid)
qda.fit1.preds.posterior <- qda.fit1.preds$posterior[,2]</pre>
# Error 0.1620416
# Attempt reduced predictor set from above model to determine if performance is
improved
qda.fit2 <- qda(donr \sim reg2 + reg3 + reg4 + home*chld + I(hinc^2) + wrat +
incm*inca + avhy + plow + tdon + npro + tlag, data = data.train.std.c)
qda.fit2
# Create predictions based on qda.fit2 and examine confusion matri
qda.fit2.preds <- predict(qda.fit2, data.valid.std.c)</pre>
qda.fit2.preds.class <- qda.fit2.preds$class
table(qda.fit2.preds.class, c.valid)
mean(qda.fit2.preds.class!= c.valid)
qda.fit2.preds.posterior <- qda.fit2.preds$posterior[,2]</pre>
# Error 0.2180377
### QDA1 Profit
profit.qda1 = cumsum(14.5 * c.valid[order(qda.fit1.preds.posterior, decreasing = T)]
plot(profit.qda1, col = "darkolivegreen4", main = "QDA Model 1 - Profit") # see how
profits change as more mailings are made
n.mail.qda1 = which.max(profit.qda1) # number of mailings that maximizes profits
c(n.mail.qda1, max(profit.qda1))
# 1390.0 11241.5
View(qda.fit2.valid.preds.post)
### QDA2 Profit
profit.qda2 = cumsum(14.5 * c.valid[order(qda.fit2.preds.posterior, decreasing = T)]
-2)
plot(profit.qda2, col = "darkolivegreen4", main = "QDA Model 2 - Profit") # see how
profits change as more mailings are made
n.mail.qda2 = which.max(profit.qda2) # number of mailings that maximizes profits
c(n.mail.gda2, max(profit.gda2))
# 1378 11280
View(qda.fit2.valid.preds.post)
# Random Trees ------
## Basic Tree
```

```
data.train.std.c.F$donr <- as.factor(data.train.std.c.F$donr) # Make response
variable a factor for classification tree
myTree <- tree(donr~.,data.train.std.c.F)
summary(myTree)
plot(myTree)
text(myTree, pretty=0)
myTree
## Bagging
set.seed(1)
bag1 <- randomForest(donr~.,data=data.train.std.c.F, mtry=20,importance=TRUE)
bag1
# predict on validation set
data.valid.std.c.F$donr <- as.factor(data.valid.std.c.F$donr) # convert validation
response to factor
yhat.bag = predict(bag1,newdata=data.valid.std.c.F)
# Bag Profit
profit.bag <- cumsum(14.5*c.valid.F[order(yhat.bag, decreasing=T)]-2)</pre>
plot(profit.bag) # see how profits change as more mailings are made
n.mail.valid.bag <- which.max(profit.bag) # number of mailings that maximizes
profits
c(n.mail.valid.bag, max(profit.bag))
# 1038 11032
# Bag Accuracy
table(yhat.bag, c.valid.F) # classification table
mean(yhat.bag != c.valid.F) # Error rate
# 0.1134787
## Random Forest
set.seed(1)
RF1 <- randomForest(donr~.,data=data.train.std.c.F, mtry= 5,importance=TRUE)
# predict on validation set
yhat.RF1 = predict(RF1,newdata=data.valid.std.c.F)
# RF Profit
profit.RF1 <- cumsum(14.5*c.valid.F[order(yhat.RF1, decreasing=T)]-2)</pre>
plot(profit.RF1) # see how profits change as more mailings are made
n.mail.valid.RF1 <- which.max(profit.RF1) # number of mailings that maximizes
profits
c(n.mail.valid.RF1, max(profit.RF1))
# 1053.0 11132.5
```

```
# RF Accuracy
table(yhat.RF1, c.valid.F) # classification table
mean(vhat.RF1 != c.valid.F) # Error rate
# 0.1119921
## Boosting
set.seed(1)
boost1=gbm(donr~.,data=data.train.std.c.F,distribution=
"gaussian",n.trees=5000,interaction.depth=6)
summary(boost1)
par(mfrow=c(1,2))
plot(boost1,i="chld")
plot(boost1,i="hinc")
par(mfrow=c(1,1))
# Predict Boost
set.seed(1)
yhat.boost1 = predict.gbm(boost1, newdata = data.valid.std.c.F,n.trees = 5000, type
= "response")
# Boost Profit
profit.boost1 <- cumsum(14.5*c.valid.F[order(vhat.boost1, decreasing=T)]-2)
plot(profit.boost1) # see how profits change as more mailings are made
n.mail.valid.boost <- which.max(profit.boost1) # number of mailings that maximizes
profits
c(n.mail.valid.boost, max(profit.boost1))
# 1271.0 11885.5, depth 6 and default shrinkage
# Boost Accuracy
cutoff.boost <- sort(yhat.boost1, decreasing=T)[n.mail.valid.boost+1] # set cutoff
based on n.mail.valid
chat.valid.boost <- ifelse(yhat.boost1>cutoff.boost, 1, 0) # mail to everyone above
the cutoff
table(chat.valid.boost, c.valid.F) # classification table
mean(chat.valid.boost!= c.valid.F) # Error rate
# 0.1387512389
set.seed(1)
# Use cross-validation to select the best parameters for the SVC.
tune.out = tune(svm, donr ~., data=data.train.std.c.F, kernel = "linear",
```

```
ranges = list(cost = c(0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1, 5, 10)))
summary(tune.out)
# Best cost parameter is 5
set.seed(1)
svm.fit1 = svm(donr \sim., data=data.train.std.c.F, kernel = "linear",cost = 5, scale =
FALSE)
summary(svm.fit1)
sympred = predict(sym.fit1, data.valid.std.c.F)
table(predict=sympred, truth=data.valid.std.c.F$donr)
svmError <- mean(svmpred != c.valid)</pre>
symError
# Error 0.1555996036
# SVM Profit
profit.svm <- cumsum(14.5*c.valid[order(svmpred, decreasing=T)]-2)
plot(profit.svm) # see how profits change as more mailings are made
n.mail.valid.svm <- which.max(profit.svm) # number of mailings that maximizes
profits
c(n.mail.valid.svm, max(profit.svm))
# 1085 10561
# KNN ------
# Cross validation using train() to find best value of K
set.seed(1)
data.train.std.c.F$donr <- as.factor(data.train.std.c.F$donr)
knn.t <- train(
donr~..
data=data.train.std.c.F,
method='knn',
tuneGrid=expand.grid(.k=1:15),
metric='Accuracy',
trControl=trainControl(
 method='repeatedcv',
 number=10,
 repeats=15))
knn.t
plot(knn.t) # looks to level off around 11, best around 13/14
confusionMatrix(knn.t)
dim(data.train.std.c)
dim(data.valid.std.c)
# Perform K-nearest neighbors classification and train on validation set
set.seed(1)
```

knn.pred=knn(data.train.std.c.F, data.valid.std.c.F,c.train,k=13) # Profit will be different every time we run it with the same value of K

```
# Check prediction accuracy
table(knn.pred, c.valid)
(887 + 971)/2018 # Percent correctly classified Prediction Error
(132 + 28)/2018 # Percent incorrectly classified Classification Error
# 0.9207136 Accuracy
# 0.07928642 Error
# KNN Profit
profit.knn <- cumsum(14.5*c.valid[order(knn.pred, decreasing=T)]-2)</pre>
plot(profit.knn) # see how profits change as more mailings are made
n.mail.valid.knn <- which.max(profit.knn) # number of mailings that maximizes
profits
c(n.mail.valid.knn, max(profit.knn))
# 1103.0 11873.5
# Neural Network ------
n <- names(data.train.std.c)
f <- as.formula(paste("donr ~", paste(n[!n %in% "donr"], collapse = " + ")))
set.seed(1)
neural.model <- neuralnet(f,data=data.train.std.c, hidden = 5, lifesign="minimal",
linear.output=FALSE, threshold=0.1)
plot(neural.model, rep = "best")
set.seed(1)
neural.model.results <- compute(neural.model, data.valid.std.c[,-21])
results <- data.frame(actual = data.valid.std.c$donr, prediction =
neural.model.results$net.result)
error = mean(results$actual - results$prediction)^2 #mean prediction error
error
# 0.00009876081308
# Neural Net Profit
profit.neural <- cumsum(14.5*c.valid[order(results[,2], decreasing=T)]-2)</pre>
plot(profit.neural) # see how profits change as more mailings are made
n.mail.valid <- which.max(profit.neural) # number of mailings that maximizes
profits
c(n.mail.valid, max(profit.neural))
# 1291 11715
#### Prediction Models ------
str(data.train.std.y) # All data left as numeric and standardized
str(data.train.std.y.F) # reg1-4, home, genf, and wrat were converted to factors
```

```
# Ridge Regression/LASSO ------
###LASSO w/ BestLam is best model in this section. MSE: 1.512279 SD: 0.1546922
# Building Rideg/LASSO Model
x.train.matrix=model.matrix(damt~.,data.train.std.y.F)[,-1]
y.train.matrix=data.train.std.y.F$damt # not a matrix, just simpler naming
convention
grid=10^seq(10,-2, length=100)
lasso.mod=glmnet(x.train.matrix,y.train.matrix,alpha=1,lambda=grid) # lasso
ridge.mod=glmnet(x.train.matrix,y.train.matrix,alpha=0,lambda=grid) # ridge
plot(lasso.mod, "lambda")
plot(ridge.mod, "lambda")
# Cross Validation to Select Lambda
set.seed(1)
cv.out.lasso <- cv.glmnet(x.train.matrix,y.train.matrix,alpha=1) # lasso</pre>
cv.out.ridge <- cv.glmnet(x.train.matrix,y.train.matrix,alpha=0) # ridge
plot(cv.out.lasso)
plot(cv.out.ridge)
# Lasso lambda
bestlam.lasso <- cv.out.lasso$lambda.min
OneSElam.lasso <- cv.out.lasso$lambda.1se
# Ridge Lambda
bestlam.ridge <- cv.out.ridge$lambda.min
OneSElam.ridge <- cv.out.ridge$lambda.1se
# prediction for LASSO based on best lambda and OneSE lambda
x.valid.matrix=model.matrix(damt~..data.valid.std.v.F)[,-1]
y.valid.matrix=data.valid.std.y.F$damt # not a matrix, just simpler naming
convention
lasso.pred.best=predict(lasso.mod ,s=bestlam.lasso ,newx=x.valid.matrix)
lasso.pred.OneSE=predict(lasso.mod ,s=OneSElam.lasso ,newx=x.valid.matrix)
# Prediction for Ridge
ridge.pred.best=predict(ridge.mod ,s=bestlam.ridge ,newx=x.valid.matrix)
ridge.pred.OneSE=predict(ridge.mod ,s=OneSElam.ridge ,newx=x.valid.matrix)
# MSE for BestLam LASSO Model
mean((y.valid.matrix - lasso.pred.best)^2) # mean prediction error
# 1.512279
sd((y.valid.matrix - lasso.pred.best)^2)/sqrt(n.valid.y) # std error
```

```
# MSE for OneSE Lam LASSO Model
mean((y.valid.matrix - lasso.pred.OneSE)^2) # mean prediction error
# 1.654438
sd((y.valid.matrix - lasso.pred.OneSE)^2)/sgrt(n.valid.y) # std error
# 0.1601282
# MSE for BestLam Ridge Model
mean((y.valid.matrix - ridge.pred.best)^2) # mean prediction error
# 1.521255
sd((y.valid.matrix - ridge.pred.best)^2)/sqrt(n.valid.y) # std error
# 0.1571182
# MSE for OneSE Lam Ridge Model
mean((y.valid.matrix - ridge.pred.OneSE)^2) # mean prediction error
# 1.635708
sd((y.valid.matrix - ridge.pred.OneSE)^2)/sqrt(n.valid.y) # std error
# 0.1663205
# Least Squares ------
### All Variables
# Factored Dataframe
model.ls1 <- lm(damt \sim .,
       data.train.std.y.F)
summary(model.ls1)
pred.valid.ls1 <- predict(model.ls1, newdata = data.valid.std.y.F) # validation</pre>
predictions
mean((y.valid - pred.valid.ls1)^2) # mean prediction error
# 1.472403
sd((y.valid - pred.valid.ls1)^2)/sqrt(n.valid.y) # std error
# 0.1541609
### Best Subset Selection (k=10 CV)
predict.regsubsets=function(object, newdata,id,...){
form=as.formula(object$call[[2]])
mat=model.matrix(form,newdata)
coefi=coef(object,id=id)
xvars=names(coefi)
mat[,xvars]%*%coefi
}
k=10
set.seed(1306)
folds=sample(1:k, nrow(data.train.std.y.F), replace=TRUE)
cv.errors=matrix(NA, k, 20,dimnames=list(NULL, paste(1:20)))
```

```
for(j in 1:k){
best.fit=regsubsets(damt~., data=data.train.std.y.F[folds!=j,], nvmax=20)
for(i in 1:20){
 pred=predict(best.fit, data.train.std.v.F[folds==i,l,id=i)
 cv.errors[j,i]=mean((data.train.std.y.F$damt[folds==j]-pred)^2)
}
mean.cv.errors=apply(cv.errors,2,mean)
mean.cv.errors
which.min(mean.cv.errors)
# 20=1.299088
# chose 14 for simplicity
plot(mean.cv.errors, type="b")
cv.best=regsubsets(damt~., data=data.train.std.y.F, nvmax=20)
coef(cv.best, 14)
pred.bestsub.ls <- predict.regsubsets(cv.best, newdata = data.valid.std.y.F, id=20) #
validation predictions
mean((y.valid -pred.bestsub.ls)^2) # mean prediction error
# 1.483712988
sd((y.valid - pred.bestsub.ls)^2)/sqrt(n.valid.y) # std error
# 0.1533872925
### Partial Least Squares
set.seed(1)
pls.fit=plsr(damt~., data=data.train.std.y.F,scale=TRUE, validation="CV")
summary(pls.fit)
validationplot(pls.fit, val.type="MSEP")
# M18=1.143
pls.pred=predict(pls.fit, newdata=data.valid.std.y.F, ncomp=18)
mean((pls.pred-y.valid)^2)
# 1.472454
sd((y.valid - pls.pred)^2)/sqrt(n.valid.y)
# 0.1541474
# GAM (Not Used)------
gam.charity =gam(damt~., data=data.train.std.v.F)
plot.gam(gam.charity, se=TRUE,col="red")
summary(gam.charity)
pred=predict(gam.charity, newdata=data.valid.std.y.F)
mean((pred-y.valid)^2)
# 1.472403
sd((y.valid - pred)^2)/sqrt(n.valid.y) # std error
```

```
# 0.1541609
gam.charity$coef
# RandomForest ------
set.seed(1)
# RF2 is better model
RF2 <- randomForest(y=data.train.std.y.F[,14],
         x =data.train.std.y.F[,-14], ntree=1000, replace=TRUE, do.trace = 100,
         importance = TRUE)
set.seed(1)
RF3 <- randomForest(damt~.,data=data.train.std.y.F, mtry= 4,importance=TRUE)
vhat.RF2 = predict(RF2.newdata=data.valid.std.v.F)
yhat.RF3 = predict(RF3,newdata=data.valid.std.y.F)
mean((yhat.RF2-y.valid)^2)
# 1.64065585
sd((y.valid - yhat.RF2)^2)/sqrt(n.valid.y)
# 0.1715645
mean((yhat.RF3-y.valid)^2)
# 1.646324
sd((y.valid -yhat.RF3)^2)/sqrt(n.valid.y)
# 0.1729773
# Boost -----
set.seed(1)
boost.damt = gbm(damt \sim ., data = data.train.std.y, distribution = "gaussian",
       n.trees=5000, interaction.depth=4)
summary(boost.damt)
set.seed(1)
pred.boost.damt <- predict(boost.damt, newdata = data.valid.std.y, n.trees = 5000)
boost.error <- mean((v.valid - pred.boost.damt)^2)
boost.standardError <- sd((y.valid - pred.boost.damt)^2/sqrt(n.valid.y))
boost.error # Error 1.539575259
boost.standardError # Error 0.1668604649
# PCR -----
pcr1 <- pcr(damt~., data=data.train.std.y.F,scale = TRUE, validation = "CV")
summary(pcr1)
validationplot(pcr1, val.type="MSEP")
validationplot(pcr1,val.type="MSEP")
pcr.pred <- predict(pcr1,newdata=data.valid.std.y.F, ncomp=28)</pre>
mean((pcr.pred-y.valid)^2)
# 1.472403118
sd((v.valid -pcr.pred)^2)/sqrt(n.valid.y)
# 0.1541608612
```

```
# RPart (Not Used)------
rpart1 <- rpart(damt~., data=data.train.std.y.F)</pre>
summary(rpart1)
str(rpart1)
rpart.pred <- predict(rpart1, newdata=data.valid.std.y.F)</pre>
mean((rpart.pred-y.valid)^2)
# 2.211895
sd((y.valid -rpart.pred)^2)/sqrt(n.valid.y)
# 0.1900094
#### Results ------
# Best Classification model: boost1
summary(boost1)
# Best Predictive Model: model.ls1
summary(model.ls1)
# Classification on Test Data with Boost1 Model------
post.test <- predict(boost1, data.test.std.F, n.trees=5000,type="response") # post
probs for test data
# Boost Profit
profit.boost1 <- cumsum(14.5*c.valid.F[order(vhat.boost1, decreasing=T)]-2)
plot(profit.boost1) # see how profits change as more mailings are made
n.mail.valid.boost <- which.max(profit.boost1) # number of mailings that maximizes
profits
c(n.mail.valid.boost, max(profit.boost1))
# 1271.0 11885.5, depth 6 and default shrinkage
# Oversampling adjustment for calculating number of mailings for test set
n.mail.valid <- which.max(profit.boost1)
tr.rate <- .1 # typical response rate is .1
vr.rate <- .5 # whereas validation response rate is .5
adj.test.1 <- (n.mail.valid/n.valid.c)/(vr.rate/tr.rate) # adjustment for mail yes
adj.test.0 <- ((n.valid.c-n.mail.valid)/n.valid.c)/((1-vr.rate)/(1-tr.rate)) # adjustment
for mail no
adj.test <- adj.test.1/(adj.test.1+adj.test.0) # scale into a proportion
n.mail.test <- round(n.test*adj.test, 0) # calculate number of mailings for test set
cutoff.test <- sort(post.test, decreasing=T)[n.mail.test+1] # set cutoff based on
n.mail.test
chat.test <- ifelse(post.test>cutoff.test, 1, 0) # mail to everyone above the cutoff
table(chat.test)
# based on this model we'll mail to the 319 highest posterior probabilities
# Prediction on Test Data with Least Squares Model ------
yhat.test <- predict(model.ls1, newdata = data.test.std.F) # test predictions</pre>
```

```
# Final Results ------
# Save final results for both classification and regression
length(chat.test) # check length = 2007
length(yhat.test) # check length = 2007
chat.test[1:10] # check this consists of 0s and 1s
yhat.test[1:10] # check this consists of plausible predictions of damt
ip <- data.frame(chat=chat.test, yhat=yhat.test) # data frame with two variables:
chat and yhat
write.csv(ip, file="CTG5_TV_MK_JZ_BA.csv", row.names=FALSE) # use your initials
for the file name
# submit the csy file in Canyas for evaluation based on actual test donr and damt
values
# Calculate total and average
hat.data.frame <- data.frame(chat = chat.test, yhat = yhat.test)
View(hat.data.frame)
# Check expected profit from mailing.
PredictedDonors <- subset(hat.data.frame, chat==1)
FinalRev <- sum(PredictedDonors$yhat)</pre>
FinalProfit <- FinalRev - (2*length(PredictedDonors[,1]))</pre>
# 3990.797675
AverageDonation <- FinalRev/length(PredictedDonors[,1])
# 14.51033754
```