

Peano Arithmetic

Peano defined a set of axioms for the natural numbers.

The set N is defined as the set satisfying:

- $0 \in N$
- If $n \in N$, then $Succ(n) \in N$
- For all $n \in N$, $Succ(n) \neq 0$ ($Succ$ is not surjective)
- For all $n, m \in N$, if $Succ(n) = Succ(m)$, then $n = m$ ($Succ$ is injective)
- Let V be a set such that $0 \in V$ and, for all $n \in N$, if $n \in V$ then $Succ(n) \in V$, then $N \subseteq V$.

The last point is called the **principle of induction**

Do natural numbers (mathematically) exist?

Accepting that sets are 'real', in set theory we can define the natural numbers recursively by:

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket 0 \rrbracket &\triangleq \emptyset \\ \llbracket Succ(n) \rrbracket &\triangleq \llbracket n \rrbracket \cup \{ \llbracket n \rrbracket \} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\llbracket n \rrbracket = \{ \llbracket 0 \rrbracket, \llbracket 1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket n-2 \rrbracket, \llbracket n-1 \rrbracket \}$$

for each natural number n . For example,

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket 0 \rrbracket &= \emptyset \\ \llbracket 1 \rrbracket &= \llbracket 0 \rrbracket \cup \{ \llbracket 0 \rrbracket \} = \emptyset \cup \{ \emptyset \} = \{ \emptyset \} = \{ \llbracket 0 \rrbracket \} \\ \llbracket 2 \rrbracket &= \llbracket 1 \rrbracket \cup \{ \llbracket 1 \rrbracket \} = \{ \emptyset \} \cup \{ \{ \emptyset \} \} = \{ \emptyset, \{ \emptyset \} \} = \{ \llbracket 0 \rrbracket, \llbracket 1 \rrbracket \} \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

This gives a model of Peano arithmetic.

Integers and Rationals

Having the set N at hand, we can now define Z by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z} &\triangleq \{0, 1\} \times \mathbb{N} \\ =_{\mathbb{Z}} &\triangleq \{ \langle \langle i, m \rangle, \langle j, n \rangle \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid i =_{\mathbb{N}} j \wedge m =_{\mathbb{N}} n \} \cup \\ &\quad \{ \langle \langle 0, 0 \rangle, \langle 1, 0 \rangle \rangle \} \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $N \subseteq Z$ does not come for free; we can at most embed N in Z . On the other hand, we now can define Q via:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Q} &\triangleq \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}) \\ =_{\mathbb{Q}} &\triangleq \{ \langle \langle n_1, m_1 \rangle, \langle n_2, m_2 \rangle \rangle \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \mid \\ &\quad n_1 \times m_2 =_{\mathbb{Z}} n_2 \times m_1 \} \end{aligned}$$

Notice we have shown that $=_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is an equivalence relation.

‘Smaller than’ relation on Natural Numbers

We can define a smaller-than relation $<_1$ on numbers through:

$$<_1 \triangleq \{ \langle n, m \rangle \in \mathbb{N}^2 \mid m = \text{Succ}(n) \}$$

So this relation contains only the pairs of consecutive numbers. Now the ‘normal’ smaller-than relation on numbers is defined as the transitive closure of this relation.

$$<_{\mathbb{N}} \triangleq (<_1)^+$$

And

$$\leq_{IN} \triangleq <_{IN} \cup Id_{IN}$$