

Orderings

Let R be a binary relation on a set A .

R is a **pre-order**: R is **reflexive** and **transitive**

R is **anti-symmetric**: for all $a, b \in A$

$$a R b \wedge b R a \implies a = b$$

R is a **partial order** (po): R is **reflexive**, **transitive** and **anti-symmetric**

R is **irreflexive**: $\forall a \in A (\neg(a R a))$

R is a **strict partial order**: R is **irreflexive** and **transitive**.

A partial order R is a **total order** if:

$$\forall a, b \in A (a R b \vee b R a)$$

The numerical orders \leq on \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z} , and \mathbb{R} are total orders. The orders $<$ are strict partial orders.

Ordering of Products

For any two partially ordered sets (A, \leq_A) and (B, \leq_B) , there are two important orders on the product set $A \times B$:

Product Order:

$$\langle a_1, b_1 \rangle \leq_P \langle a_2, b_2 \rangle \triangleq a_1 \leq_A a_2 \wedge b_1 \leq_B b_2$$

Lexicographic order:

$$\langle a_1, b_1 \rangle \leq_L \langle a_2, b_2 \rangle \triangleq a_1 <_A a_2 \vee (a_1 =_A a_2 \wedge b_1 \leq_B b_2)$$

If (A, \leq) and (B, \leq) are total orders, then the lexicographic order on $A \times B$ is total.

In general, the product order is partial.

Analysing Partial Orders

Let (A, \leq) be a partial order, and $a \in A$

a is **minimal** $\triangleq \forall b \in A (b \leq a \Rightarrow b = a)$

a is **least** $\triangleq \forall b \in A (a \leq b)$

a is **maximal** $\triangleq \forall b \in A (a \leq b \Rightarrow a = b)$

a is **greatest** $\triangleq \forall b \in A (b \leq a)$

Well-founded Partial Orders

A partial order (A, \leq) is **well founded** when it has **no infinite decreasing chain of elements**: for every infinite sequence a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots of elements in A with $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq a_3 \geq \dots$, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_n = a_m$ for every $n \geq m$.

A well-founded order need not be total, like the subset relation on the set of finite subsets of \mathbb{N}

Proposition

If two partial orders (A, \leq) and (B, \leq) are well founded, then the lexicographical order on $A \times B$ is also well founded.

Proof Suppose $\langle a_1, b_1 \rangle \geq_L \langle a_2, b_2 \rangle \geq_L \langle a_3, b_3 \rangle \geq_L \dots$

Then $a_1 \geq_A a_2 \geq_A a_3 \geq_A \dots$ by the definition of \geq_L .

Since (A, \leq_A) is well founded, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_n = a_m$ for every $n \geq m$.

We also have $b_m \geq_B b_{m+1} \geq_B b_{m+2} \geq_B \dots$

This sequence also ends up being constant because (B, \leq_B) is well founded. Thus, the original sequence is ultimately constant.

The Ackermann Function

Take the function $\text{Ack} : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ defined by:

$$\text{Ack}(0, y) = y + 1$$

$$\text{Ack}(x + 1, 0) = \text{Ack}(x, 1)$$

$$\text{Ack}(x + 1, y + 1) = \text{Ack}(x, \text{Ack}(x + 1, y))$$

We will prove that this function always terminates using a **well-founded partial order**. Consider the **strict** lexicographical order on \mathbb{N}^2 by

$\langle x, y \rangle < \langle x', y' \rangle$ when $x < x'$ or ($x = x'$ and $y < y'$)

Notice:

$$\langle x + 1, 0 \rangle > \langle x, 1 \rangle$$

$$\langle x + 1, y + 1 \rangle > \langle x, \text{Ack}(x + 1, y) \rangle$$

$$\langle x + 1, y + 1 \rangle > \langle x + 1, y \rangle$$

Evaluating the Ack function takes us down the order, which is **well founded**.

Hence, the Ack program always gives an answer.