

Tools 3

- So far, most tools we've covered have already existed (not all though).
- But I said at the beginning: *When necessary: don't be afraid to build your own tools!*
- And I meant it!

Today, we're going to cover building tools at a range of scales:

- Tiny: Building *shortlived tools on the fly*.
- Medium: *Generating prototypes automatically: proto*.
- Large: *Reusable ADT modules: hashes, sets, lists, trees etc.*
- Large: *Generating ADT modules automatically.*

The Pragmatic Programmers exhort us to: Learn a Text Manipulation Language (tip 28) - such as Perl - and Write Code that Writes Code (tip 29)

Example 1

- Suppose we find ourselves writing hundreds of repetitive “pattern instances” like this:

```
int plus( int a, int b ) { return (a+b); }
int minus( int a, int b ) { return (a-b); }
int times( int a, int b ) { return (a*b); }
...
```

- If we need to write 10 of them - do it in your favourite [programmer's editor](#) using clone-and-alter.
- What if we need to write 50 of them? Or 100 of them? Or 100 int functions and another 100 double functions?
- Are we bored yet? Is clone-and-alter too error-prone? Then why not..
- Generate such function instances automatically using a [shortlived tool](#), scaffolding that you [build](#) on demand, [use](#) a few times, then [discard](#):
- Clearly, all that varies from instance to instance is (funcname,operator), eg. (plus,+).
- Let's assume the input format is an F,Op pair. In C terms, the corresponding output would be produced by:

```
printf( "int %s( int a, int b ) { return (a%sb); }\n", F, Op )
```

- Simple job for a scripting language like [Perl](#).
- Here's a Perl oneliner I composed in a minute or two:

```
perl -nle '($f,$op)=split(/,/); printf "int %s( int a, int b ) { return (a%sb); }\n", $f, $op' < input
```

- The basic structure:

```
perl -nle 'PERL CODE' < input
```

means execute that chunk of Perl code for every line of the input.

- The Perl code:

```
($f,$op)=split(/,/)
```

means split the current line on "," into two strings, storing the part before the comma into the variable \$f, and the part after the comma into \$op.

- The Perl code:

```
printf "int %s( int a, int b ) { return (a%sb); }\n", $f, $op
```

should be understandable to any C programmer (as Perl takes [printf](#) from C).

- Don't want to do it in Perl? (weirdo). Then use a different tool! (Ruby, Python, Awk, Bash).
- I wrote it in C in 15 minutes using standard library function [strtok\(\)](#) to split on comma: See [01.tiny-tool/genfuncs1.c](#).

- Note that our tool doesn't have to be perfect; just good enough to save us time.
- Once you have a tiny tool, don't be afraid to modify it:
- Left-justify the function names in a field of some suitable width:

```
perl -nle '($f,$op)=split(/,/); printf "int %-15s( int a, int b ) { return (a%sb); }\n", $f, $op' < input
```

- Or, prefix the typename onto function names, eg. `int_plus`:

```
perl -nle '($f,$op)=split(/,/); printf "int %-15s( int a, int b ) { return (a%sb); }\n", "int_". $f, $op' < input
```

- Why not let the user change the type at any point in the input:

```
TYPE,int
plus,+
minus,-
TYPE,double
plus,+
minus,-
```

generates:

```
int    int_plus      ( int a, int b ) { return (a+b); }
int    int_minus     ( int a, int b ) { return (a-b); }
double double_plus   ( double a, double b ) { return (a+b); }
double double_minus  ( double a, double b ) { return (a-b); }
```

- To implement this, we'll need to treat lines where `$f eq "TYPE"` specially:

```
perl -nle '($f,$op)=split(/,/); if( $f eq "TYPE" ) { $t=$op; next; }
printf "%s %-15s( %s a, %s b ) { return (a%sb); }\n", $t, $t."_". $f, $t, $t, $op' < input
```

- See [01.tiny-tool/genfuncs3.c](#) for a C implementation.
- Final thought, instead of hardcoding the output format in the `printf`, we could replace TYPEs with TEMPLATEs in the input, for example:

```
TEMPLATE,int int_<0>( int a, int b ) { return (a<1>b); }
plus,+
minus,-
TEMPLATE,double double_<0>( double a, double b ) { return (a<1>b); }
plus,+
minus,-
```

- Here, the marker `<0>` means "replace this marker with the current value of the first field". Our Perl one-liner becomes more powerful but shorter:

```
perl -nle '@f=split(/,/, $_, 2); if( $f[0] eq "TEMPLATE" ) { $t=$f[1]; next; }
$_=$t; s/<(\d+)>/ $f[$1]/g; print' < input
```

- This is now a simple template processor. See [01.tiny-tool/README](#) for further extensions, allowing any number of marker fields, and how to turn our one-liner into a proper command with a man page (install it via `make install`).

Example 2

- Let's move on to an example medium scale tool I built.
- While developing C code, you may find certain things irritate you.
- The Pragmatic Programmers describe such things as **broken windows**, and tell us - in tip 4 - **Don't live with broken windows**. Find a way to fix the problem!
- One particular thing irritated me some years ago: keeping the **prototype declarations** in .h files in sync with the **function definitions** in the paired .c files that form modules.
- Whenever you **add a public function** to **intlist.c** you need to remember to add the corresponding prototype to **intlist.h**.
- Even **adding or removing parameters** to existing functions means you need to make a corresponding change in the prototype too. What a pain!
- The problem here is that there's a lot of repetition between the .c file and the .h file. This violates the single most important Pragmatic Programmers tip:
DRY - Don't Repeat Yourself (tip 11).
- So let's generate the prototypes **from the function definitions**. Does a tool exist to do this? Couldn't find one at the time. So: write a tool to solve this problem, then integrate it into our editor for convenience!
- So I wrote **proto** to do this: It reads a C file looking for function definitions, and produces a prototype for each function.
- But this sounds pretty hard. Don't we need a complete C parser?
- I found an easier way. I imposed **LIMITATIONS** on my layout approach to make the tool easier to construct: I decided that the **whole function heading must be placed on one line**, and also that the function heading could **only use simple type declarations** eg. `typename [**...] paramname` (use **typedef** for complex declarations). Recently, I added **const** (as a simple prefix on parameter declarations) support.
- Then I wrote a vi macro bound to an unused key that piped the next paragraph into **proto %** (current filename). Let's see **proto** in action!
- See <http://www.doc.ic.ac.uk/~dcw/PSD/article4/> for an

Example 3

- Most problems are made a lot easier by having a library of trusted reusable ADT modules:
 - indefinite length **dynamic strings**
 - indefinite length **dynamic arrays**
 - **linked lists** (single or double linked)
 - **stacks** (can just use lists)
 - **queues** and **priority queues**
 - **binary trees**
 - **hashes** (aka maps/dictionaries/associative arrays).
 - **sets** of strings - several possible implementations.
 - **bags** - frequency hashes, mapping strings to integers.
- Unlike C++, the C standard library fails to provide any of the above. So, either find a collection of such modules that others have written, or **build them yourself** as and when you need them, and **reuse them** at every opportunity.
- Note: Reuse can be done without OO or generics, *Make it Easy to Reuse* (PP Tip 12) - in C, use `void *` for generic pointers, and use pointers to functions for callbacks.

- To get you started, **tarball 03.adts** includes a group of half a dozen ADTs (plus unit test programs) that I've written over the years, plus a Makefile to package them as the **libADTs.a** library.
 - You will recognise a couple: our running example **intlist.[ch]** and our old friend **hash.[ch]**, after Lecture 2's memory-leak fixes and profiling-led optimizations.
 - Investigate them all at your own leisure - but **make install** them now so they're installed in your TOOLDIR (`~/c-tools`) directory.
 - Next, **tarball 04.hash-set.eg** contains an example application that uses some of those ADTs, specifically:
 - **Hashes** and **Sets of strings**,
 - Then combines them to represent family information, i.e. a mapping from a **named parent** to **set of named children**.
 - It's left for you to examine and play with.
 - **C+hashes+sets** makes it easy to pretend that you're programming in Perl:-)
 - Note also **tarball 05.utils** contains a couple of reusable utility
- include a .ini file parser too; but I've never needed one:-) Do

Example 4

- **Principle:** It's often an excellent idea to **import cool features from other languages**.
- Many years ago, I realised that one of the best features of **functional programming languages** such as Haskell is the ability to define **inductive data types**, as in:

```
intlist = nil or cons( int head, intlist tail );
```
- I'd dearly love to have that ability in C.
- If only there was a tool that **reads such type definitions** and automatically writes a **C module that implements them**..
- I looked around, *but I couldn't find one*. Noone seemed to have ever suggested that such a tool could be useful!
- Decision time: do I abandon my brilliant idea, or **build the tool**?
- Cost/benefit analysis: a serious tool, a mini-compiler (with parser, lexical analyser, data structures, tree walking code generator): at least a week's work! Think hard!

- I built the tool! After a fortnight's work, the result was **datadec** - in the **06.datadec** directory (also installed throughout DoC labs). After installing it, use as follows:

- In **07.datadec-eg** you'll find an input file **types.in** containing:

```
TYPE {
    intlist = nil or cons( int head, intlist tail );
    tree    = leaf( string name )
             or node( tree left, tree right );
}
```

- To generate a C module called **datatypes** from **types.in**, invoke:

```
datadec datatypes types.in
```
- This creates **datatypes.c** and **datatypes.h**, two normal looking C files, you can read them, write test programs against the interface, use them in production code with no license restrictions. But don't modify these files - if you do then you can't...
- ... change **types.in** later - suppose you realise that a tree node also needs to store a name (just as the leaves do). Change the type defn, rerun **datadec**. The **tree_node()** constructor now takes 3 arguments!

- Let's look inside `datatypes.h`, to find what `tree` functions `datadec` generates, and how to use them.

- There are two `constructor functions`, one for each *shape of tree*:

```
extern tree tree_leaf( string name );
extern tree tree_node( tree l, tree r );
```

- So, this allows us to build trees as in:

```
tree t1 = tree_leaf( "absolutely" );
tree t2 = tree_leaf( "fabulous" );
tree t = tree_node( t1, t2 );
```

- Then a function telling you *which shape a tree is*: is it a leaf or a node?

```
typedef enum { tree_is_leaf, tree_is_node } kind_of_tree;
extern kind_of_tree tree_kind( tree t );
```

- Then two `deconstructor functions` which, given a tree of the appropriate shape, breaks it into it's constituent pieces:

```
extern void get_tree_leaf( tree t, string *namep );
extern void get_tree_node( tree t, tree *lp, tree *rp );
```

- These allow you to write `tree-walking` code like this leaf-counter:

```
int nleaves( tree t )
{
    if( tree_kind(t) == tree_is_leaf )
    {
        string name; get_tree_leaf( t, &name );
        return 1;           // leaf( name ): contains 1 leaf.
    } else
    {
        tree l, r; get_tree_node( t, &l, &r );
        // node( l, r ): process l and r trees.
        return nleaves(l) + nleaves(r);
    }
}
```

- In Haskell, this'd be:

```
nleaves(leaf(name)) = 1
nleaves(node(l,r)) = nleaves(l) + nleaves(r)
```


- The final function prints a tree to a writable file handle, in human readable format:

```
extern void print_tree( FILE *out, tree t );
```
- To see all the above in use, see `mintesttree.c`.
- By default, `datadec` does not generate free functions. Why? Hard to do right due to shallow vs deep considerations.
- You can now run `datadec -f..` to get experimental `free_TYPE()` functions, although you still have to be careful using these - see the README file for details.
- Looking back, I now view the `fortnight` I spent building `datadec` (and, more recently, the day or two adding `free_TYPE()` support) as the `single best investment of programming time` in my career. I have saved `hundreds of days` programming time using it - `and so can you!`
- You can read a 3-part article I wrote about how I designed `datadec` here:

<http://www.doc.ic.ac.uk/~dcw/PSD/article8/>