

Equivalences

1. $\forall x \forall y \phi$ is logically equivalent to $\forall y \forall x \phi$.
2. $\exists x \exists y \phi$ is (logically) equivalent to $\exists y \exists x \phi$.
3. $\neg \forall x A$ is equivalent to $\exists x \neg \phi$.
4. $\neg \exists x \phi$ is equivalent to $\forall x \neg \phi$.
5. $\forall x (\phi \wedge \psi)$ is equivalent to $\forall x \phi \wedge \forall x \psi$.
6. $\exists x (\phi \vee \psi)$ is equivalent to $\exists x \phi \vee \exists x \psi$.

Equivalences involving variables not occurring free

Suppose that x *doesn't occur free in ϕ* (for example, when x doesn't occur in ϕ at all — see slide 199 for free variables). Then 7–9 below hold. *The restriction is necessary:* see slide 191.

7. $\forall x \phi$ and $\exists x \phi$ are logically equivalent to ϕ .
 E.g., $\forall x \underbrace{\exists x P(x)}_{\phi}$ and $\exists x \underbrace{\exists x P(x)}_{\phi}$ are equivalent to $\underbrace{\exists x P(x)}_{\phi}$.

8. $\exists x (\phi \wedge \psi)$ is equivalent to $\phi \wedge \exists x \psi$, and
 $\forall x (\phi \vee \psi)$ is equivalent to $\phi \vee \forall x \psi$.

9. $\forall x (\phi \rightarrow \psi)$ is equivalent to $\phi \rightarrow \forall x \psi$, and
 $\exists x (\phi \rightarrow \psi)$ is equivalent to $\phi \rightarrow \exists x \psi$.

10. *Note:* if x does not occur free in ψ (x can occur free in ϕ) then
 $\forall x (\phi \rightarrow \psi)$ is equivalent to $\exists x \phi \rightarrow \psi$, and
 $\exists x (\phi \rightarrow \psi)$ is equivalent to $\forall x \phi \rightarrow \psi$.
The quantifier changes! Watch out!

Renaming bound variables

11. Suppose that x is any variable, y is a variable that does not occur in ϕ , and ψ is got from ϕ by
- replacing all *bound* occurrences of x in ϕ by y ,
 - replacing all $\forall x$ in ϕ by $\forall y$, and
 - replacing all $\exists x$ in ϕ by $\exists y$.

Then ϕ is equivalent to ψ .

E.g., $\forall x \exists y \text{Bought}(x, y)$ is equivalent to $\forall z \exists v \text{Bought}(z, v)$.

$\text{Human}(x) \wedge \exists x \text{Lecturer}(x)$ is equivalent to

$\text{Human}(x) \wedge \exists y \text{Lecturer}(y)$.

Equivalences/validities involving equality

12. $t = t$ is valid (equivalent to \top), for any term t .
13. For any terms t, u ,
 $t = u$ is equivalent to $u = t$
14. (Leibniz principle) If ϕ is a formula, y doesn't occur in ϕ at all, and ψ is got from ϕ by replacing one or more free occurrences of x by y , then

$$x = y \rightarrow (\phi \leftrightarrow \psi)$$

is valid.

Example:

$x = y \rightarrow (\forall z R(x, z) \leftrightarrow \forall z R(y, z))$ is valid.

⚠ Non-Equivalences

Depending on ϕ, ψ , the following need *NOT* be logically equivalent (though always, the first \models the second):

- $\forall x(\phi \rightarrow \psi)$ and $\forall x\phi \rightarrow \forall x\psi$
- $\exists x(\phi \wedge \psi)$ and $\exists x\phi \wedge \exists x\psi$.
- $\forall x\phi \vee \forall x\psi$ and $\forall x(\phi \vee \psi)$.

An Example

Using equivalences show that the argument $\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)) \models \forall xP(x) \rightarrow \forall xQ(x)$ is valid.

We take its corresponding implication formula and show that it's equivalent to \top .

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)) \rightarrow (\forall xP(x) \rightarrow \forall xQ(x)) && \text{[the original formula]} \\
 \equiv & \neg(\forall x(\neg P(x) \vee Q(x))) \vee \neg\forall xP(x) \vee \forall xQ(x) && \text{[by removing } \rightarrow \text{]} \\
 \equiv & \exists x\neg(\neg P(x) \vee Q(x)) \vee \exists x\neg P(x) \vee \forall xQ(x) && \text{[by } \neg\forall x\phi \equiv \exists x\neg\phi \text{]} \\
 \equiv & \exists x(\neg\neg P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x)) \vee \exists x\neg P(x) \vee \forall xQ(x) && \text{[De Morgan laws]} \\
 \equiv & \exists x(P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x)) \vee \exists x\neg P(x) \vee \forall xQ(x) && \text{[by removing } \neg\neg \text{]} \\
 \equiv & \exists x((P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x)) \vee \neg P(x)) \vee \forall xQ(x) && [\exists x\phi \vee \exists x\psi \equiv \exists x(\phi \vee \psi)]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \exists x((P(x) \vee \neg P(x)) \wedge (\neg Q(x) \vee \neg P(x))) \vee \forall x Q(x) \\
 & \hspace{20em} [\text{by distributivity of } \vee] \\
 & \equiv \exists x(\top \wedge (\neg Q(x) \vee \neg P(x))) \vee \forall x Q(x) \hspace{10em} [\text{by } \phi \vee \neg\phi \equiv \top] \\
 & \equiv \exists x(\neg Q(x) \vee \neg P(x)) \vee \forall x Q(x) \hspace{10em} [\text{by } \top \wedge \phi \equiv \phi] \\
 & \equiv \exists x\neg Q(x) \vee \exists x\neg P(x) \vee \forall x Q(x) \hspace{5em} [\text{by } \exists x\phi \vee \exists x\psi \equiv \exists x(\phi \vee \psi)] \\
 & \equiv \neg\forall x Q(x) \vee \exists x\neg P(x) \vee \forall x Q(x) \hspace{10em} [\text{by } \neg\forall x\phi \equiv \exists x\neg\phi] \\
 & \equiv \neg\forall x Q(x) \vee \forall x Q(x) \vee \exists x\neg P(x) \hspace{10em} [\text{by commutativity of } \vee] \\
 & \equiv \top \vee \exists x\neg P(x) \hspace{15em} [\text{by } \phi \vee \neg\phi \equiv \top] \\
 & \equiv \top \hspace{18em} [\text{by } \top \vee \phi \equiv \top]
 \end{aligned}$$