


Metadata Services Unit (MSU) quarterly report | January 1–March 31, 2019


Metadata approvals by research center

- **Approvals:** When a digitized item has approved metadata and public-facing rights, it appears on Digital Collections.
- **Items/captures:** A single item often represents multiple captures. For example, a fully-digitized book (one item) could have hundreds of pages (many captures).



Collections with the highest number of approved captures

Mass digitization x metadata approvals: Brown Brothers represents 67% of approved captures but just 1.6% of approved items.




Metadata Management System (MMS) training

- **Newly onboarded** metadata creators
- **Advanced skillsets** for existing users
- **New** “read only” users

Collection highlight


Century Company records: Culmination of a three-year-long project



Metadata Services Unit (MSU) quarterly report | January 1–March 31, 2019

AMI metadata approval and remediation


- **Remediation:** the process of editing metadata to correct inaccuracies and align with standards to facilitate user discovery and data interoperability.



Metadata quality assessment kicks off

The project will identify the frequency and distribution of our most common metadata errors and provide opportunities for enhancement to help us strategize more targeted remediation projects. The aim is to integrate this data analysis into our “business as usual” workflow.

The chart below compares metadata quality by element between the previous assessment in July 2016 and March 2019. **Green** is a passing score, **Orange** is a failing score, and **Yellow** is a partial score (i.e., some but not all of the quality criteria dimensions were met).



Detailed reports available

- [Metadata quality assessment initial summary for March 2019](#)
- [MSU statistics for January 1-March 31, 2019](#)