

CS 224n Assignment #3: Dependency Parsing

In this assignment, you will build a neural dependency parser using PyTorch. For a review of the fundamentals of PyTorch, please check out the PyTorch review session on Canvas. In Part 1, you will learn about two general neural network techniques (Adam Optimization and Dropout). In Part 2, you will implement and train a dependency parser using the techniques from Part 1, before analyzing a few erroneous dependency parses.

Please tag the questions correctly on Gradescope, the TAs will take points off if you don't tag questions.

1. Machine Learning & Neural Networks (8 points)

- (a) (4 points) Adam Optimizer

Recall the standard Stochastic Gradient Descent update rule:

$$\theta_{t+1} \leftarrow \theta_t - \alpha \nabla_{\theta_t} J_{\text{minibatch}}(\theta_t)$$

where $t + 1$ is the current timestep, θ is a vector containing all of the model parameters, (θ_t is the model parameter at time step t , and θ_{t+1} is the model parameter at time step $t + 1$), J is the loss function, $\nabla_{\theta} J_{\text{minibatch}}(\theta)$ is the gradient of the loss function with respect to the parameters on a minibatch of data, and α is the learning rate. Adam Optimization¹ uses a more sophisticated update rule with two additional steps.²

- i. (2 points) First, Adam uses a trick called *momentum* by keeping track of \mathbf{m} , a rolling average of the gradients:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{m}_{t+1} &\leftarrow \beta_1 \mathbf{m}_t + (1 - \beta_1) \nabla_{\theta_t} J_{\text{minibatch}}(\theta_t) \\ \theta_{t+1} &\leftarrow \theta_t - \alpha \mathbf{m}_{t+1}\end{aligned}$$

where β_1 is a hyperparameter between 0 and 1 (often set to 0.9). Briefly explain in 2–4 sentences (you don't need to prove mathematically, just give an intuition) how using \mathbf{m} stops the updates from varying as much and why this low variance may be helpful to learning, overall.

Answer: By using \mathbf{m} , when gradient and \mathbf{m} are in the same why, the update value will be larger than that we didn't use it. This can accelerate the optimizing progress. If the items are different in signals, the update value in the end will be smaller, which can avoid varying as much. Thus, this low variance is useful to learning.

- ii. (2 points) Adam extends the idea of *momentum* with the trick of *adaptive learning rates* by keeping track of \mathbf{v} , a rolling average of the magnitudes of the gradients:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{m}_{t+1} &\leftarrow \beta_1 \mathbf{m}_t + (1 - \beta_1) \nabla_{\theta_t} J_{\text{minibatch}}(\theta_t) \\ \mathbf{v}_{t+1} &\leftarrow \beta_2 \mathbf{v}_t + (1 - \beta_2) (\nabla_{\theta_t} J_{\text{minibatch}}(\theta_t) \odot \nabla_{\theta_t} J_{\text{minibatch}}(\theta_t)) \\ \theta_{t+1} &\leftarrow \theta_t - \alpha \mathbf{m}_{t+1} / \sqrt{\mathbf{v}_{t+1}}\end{aligned}$$

where \odot and $/$ denote elementwise multiplication and division (so $\mathbf{z} \odot \mathbf{z}$ is elementwise squaring) and β_2 is a hyperparameter between 0 and 1 (often set to 0.99). Since Adam divides the update by $\sqrt{\mathbf{v}}$, which of the model parameters will get larger updates? Why might this help with learning?

¹Kingma and Ba, 2015, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1412.6980.pdf>

²The actual Adam update uses a few additional tricks that are less important, but we won't worry about them here. If you want to learn more about it, you can take a look at: <http://cs231n.github.io/neural-networks-3/#sgd>

Answer: Because of the deviation, the former model will get larger updates. By Dividing determined matrix $\sqrt{\mathbf{v}}$, we can change the learning rate from α to a matrix $\alpha/\sqrt{\mathbf{v}}$. Considering every parameters are different in convergence speed, by doing these, we can assign different learning rates determined by gradient for different parameters automatically. In the beginning, the learning rate will be big and closed to the optimization, the rate will be smaller adaptively.

- (b) (4 points) Dropout³ is a regularization technique. During training, dropout randomly sets units in the hidden layer \mathbf{h} to zero with probability p_{drop} (dropping different units each minibatch), and then multiplies \mathbf{h} by a constant γ . We can write this as:

$$\mathbf{h}_{\text{drop}} = \gamma \mathbf{d} \odot \mathbf{h}$$

where $\mathbf{d} \in \{0, 1\}^{D_h}$ (D_h is the size of \mathbf{h}) is a mask vector where each entry is 0 with probability p_{drop} and 1 with probability $(1 - p_{\text{drop}})$. γ is chosen such that the expected value of \mathbf{h}_{drop} is \mathbf{h} :

$$\mathbb{E}_{p_{\text{drop}}}[\mathbf{h}_{\text{drop}}]_i = h_i$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, D_h\}$.

- i. (2 points) What must γ equal in terms of p_{drop} ? Briefly justify your answer or show your math derivation using the equations given above.

Answer: Consider the expected value \mathbf{h}_{drop}

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{p_{\text{drop}}}[\mathbf{h}_{\text{drop}}]_i &= \mathbb{E}_{p_{\text{drop}}}[\gamma \mathbf{d} \odot \mathbf{h}]_i \\ &= \gamma \mathbb{E}_{p_{\text{drop}}}[\mathbf{d}]_i \odot \mathbf{h} \\ &= \gamma(1 - p_{\text{drop}}) \cdot 1 \cdot \mathbf{h} + \gamma p_{\text{drop}} \cdot 0 \cdot \mathbf{h} \\ &= \gamma(1 - p_{\text{drop}}) \cdot \mathbf{h} \end{aligned}$$

To ensure the expected value are common after dropout. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(1 - p_{\text{drop}}) \cdot \mathbf{h} &= \mathbf{h} \\ \gamma &= \frac{1}{1 - p_{\text{drop}}} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, γ must equal to $\frac{1}{1 - p_{\text{drop}}}$ in terms of p_{drop} .

- ii. (2 points) Why should dropout be applied during training? Why should dropout **NOT** be applied during evaluation? (Hint: it may help to look at the paper linked above in the write-up.)

Answer: During training, random dropout trains exponentially many smaller networks at once and averaging over their predictions, which breaks up co-adaptations build up working for the training data but not generalized to unseen data. Therefore, dropout improve neural networks by reducing overfitting. However, during evaluation, what we what is using all of the features we learned to make the most precise prediction. So dropout are useless. Moreover, if we apply dropout these time,

³Srivastava et al., 2014, <https://www.cs.toronto.edu/~hinton/absps/JMLRdropout.pdf>

because of the random choice, we may get rather different outputs of same input during many trials.

2. Neural Transition-Based Dependency Parsing (46 points)

In this section, you'll be implementing a neural-network based dependency parser with the goal of maximizing performance on the UAS (Unlabeled Attachment Score) metric.

Before you begin, please follow the README to install all the needed dependencies for the assignment. We will be using PyTorch 1.13.1 from <https://pytorch.org/get-started/locally/> with the CUDA option set to None, and the tqdm package – which produces progress bar visualizations throughout your training process. The official PyTorch website is a great resource that includes tutorials for understanding PyTorch's Tensor library and neural networks.

A dependency parser analyzes the grammatical structure of a sentence, establishing relationships between *head* words, and words which modify those heads. There are multiple types of dependency parsers, including transition-based parsers, graph-based parsers, and feature-based parsers. Your implementation will be a *transition-based* parser, which incrementally builds up a parse one step at a time. At every step it maintains a *partial parse*, which is represented as follows:


- A *stack* of words that are currently being processed.
- A *buffer* of words yet to be processed.
- A list of *dependencies* predicted by the parser.

Initially, the stack only contains ROOT, the dependencies list is empty, and the buffer contains all words of the sentence in order. At each step, the parser applies a *transition* to the partial parse until its buffer is empty and the stack size is 1. The following transitions can be applied:

- SHIFT: removes the first word from the buffer and pushes it onto the stack.
- LEFT-ARC: marks the second (second most recently added) item on the stack as a dependent of the first item and removes the second item from the stack, adding a *first_word* → *second_word* dependency to the dependency list.
- RIGHT-ARC: marks the first (most recently added) item on the stack as a dependent of the second item and removes the first item from the stack, adding a *second_word* → *first_word* dependency to the dependency list.

On each step, your parser will decide among the three transitions using a neural network classifier.

- (a) (4 points) Go through the sequence of transitions needed for parsing the sentence “I attended lectures in the NLP class”. The dependency tree for the sentence is shown below. At each step, give the configuration of the stack and buffer, as well as what transition was applied this step and what new dependency was added (if any). The first three steps are provided below as an example.



Answer:

Stack	Buffer	New dependency	Transition
[ROOT]	[I, attended, lectures, in, the, NLP, class]		Initial Configuration
[ROOT, I]	[attended, lectures, in, the, NLP, class]		SHIFT
[ROOT, I, attended]	[lectures, in, the, NLP, class]		SHIFT
[ROOT, attended]	[lectures, in, the, NLP, class]	attended→I	LEFT-ARC
[ROOT, attended, lectures]	[in, the, NLP, class]		SHIFT
[ROOT, attended]	[in, the, NLP, class]	attended→lectures	RIGHT-ARC
[ROOT, attended, in]	[the, NLP, class]		SHIFT
[ROOT, attended, in, the]	[NLP, class]		SHIFT
[ROOT, attended, in, the, NLP]	[class]		SHIFT
[ROOT, attended, in, the, NLP, class]	[]		SHIFT
[ROOT, attended, in, the, class]	[]	class→NLP	LEFT-ARC
[ROOT, attended, in, class]	[]	class→the	LEFT-ARC
[ROOT, attended, class]	[]	class→in	LEFT-ARC
[ROOT, attended]	[]	attended→class	RIGHT-ARC
[ROOT]	[]	ROOT→attended	RIGHT-ARC

- (b) (2 points) A sentence containing n words will be parsed in how many steps (in terms of n)? Briefly explain in 1–2 sentences why.

Answer: Considering the added ROOT, there are $n + 1$ words which will be shifted to the stack and be removed at a time. And every steps are one of the two conditions. Because ROOT will not be removed, there are:

$$2(n + 1) - 1 = 2n + 1$$

steps in all.

- (c) (6 points) Implement the `__init__` and `parse_step` functions in the `PartialParse` class in `parser_transitions.py`. This implements the transition mechanics your parser will use. You can run basic (non-exhaustive) tests by running `python parser_transitions.py part_c`.
- (d) (8 points) Our network will predict which transition should be applied next to a partial parse. We could use it to parse a single sentence by applying predicted transitions until the parse is complete. However, neural networks run much more efficiently when making predictions about *batches* of data at a time (i.e., predicting the next transition for any different partial parses simultaneously). We can parse sentences in minibatches with the following algorithm.

Implement this algorithm in the `minibatch_parse` function in `parser_transitions.py`. You can run basic (non-exhaustive) tests by running `python parser_transitions.py part_d`.

Algorithm 1 Minibatch Dependency Parsing

Input: sentences, a list of sentences to be parsed and model, our model that makes parse decisions

Initialize partial_pares as a list of PartialPares, one for each sentence in sentences

Initialize unfinished_pares as a shallow copy of partial_pares

while unfinished_pares is not empty **do**

 Take the first batch_size pares in unfinished_pares as a minibatch

 Use the model to predict the next transition for each partial parse in the minibatch

 Perform a parse step on each partial parse in the minibatch with its predicted transition

 Remove the completed (empty buffer and stack of size 1) pares from unfinished_pares

end while

Return: The dependencies for each (now completed) parse in partial_pares.

Note: You will need minibatch_parse to be correctly implemented to evaluate the model you will build in part (e). However, you do not need it to train the model, so you should be able to complete most of part (e) even if minibatch_parse is not implemented yet.

- (e) (12 points) We are now going to train a neural network to predict, given the state of the stack, buffer, and dependencies, which transition should be applied next.

First, the model extracts a feature vector representing the current state. We will be using the feature set presented in the original neural dependency parsing paper: *A Fast and Accurate Dependency Parser using Neural Networks*.⁴ The function extracting these features has been implemented for you in `utils/parser_utils.py`. This feature vector consists of a list of tokens (e.g., the last word in the stack, first word in the buffer, dependent of the second-to-last word in the stack if there is one, etc.). They can be represented as a list of integers $\mathbf{w} = [w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m]$ where m is the number of features and each $0 \leq w_i < |V|$ is the index of a token in the vocabulary ($|V|$ is the vocabulary size). Then our network looks up an embedding for each word and concatenates them into a single input vector:

$$\mathbf{x} = [\mathbf{E}_{w_1}, \dots, \mathbf{E}_{w_m}] \in \mathbb{R}^{dm}$$

where $\mathbf{E} \in \mathbb{R}^{|V| \times d}$ is an embedding matrix with each row \mathbf{E}_w as the vector for a particular word w . We then compute our prediction as:

$$\mathbf{h} = \text{ReLU}(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{W} + \mathbf{b}_1)$$

$$\mathbf{l} = \mathbf{h}\mathbf{U} + \mathbf{b}_2$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \text{softmax}(\mathbf{l})$$

where \mathbf{h} is referred to as the hidden layer, \mathbf{l} is referred to as the logits, $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ is referred to as the predictions, and $\text{ReLU}(z) = \max(z, 0)$. We will train the model to minimize cross-entropy loss:

$$J(\theta) = CE(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\mathbf{y}}) = - \sum_{i=1}^3 y_i \log \hat{y}_i$$

To compute the loss for the training set, we average this $J(\theta)$ across all training examples.

⁴Chen and Manning, 2014, <https://nlp.stanford.edu/pubs/emnlp2014-depparser.pdf>

We will use UAS score as our evaluation metric. UAS refers to Unlabeled Attachment Score, which is computed as the ratio between number of correctly predicted dependencies and the number of total dependencies despite of the relations (our model doesn't predict this).

In `parser_model.py` you will find skeleton code to implement this simple neural network using PyTorch. Complete the `__init__`, `embedding_lookup` and `forward` functions to implement the model. Then complete the `train_for_epoch` and `train` functions within the `run.py` file.

Finally execute `python run.py` to train your model and compute predictions on test data from Penn Treebank (annotated with Universal Dependencies).

Note:

- For this assignment, you are asked to implement Linear layer and Embedding layer. Please **DO NOT** use `torch.nn.Linear` or `torch.nn.Embedding` module in your code, otherwise you will receive deductions for this problem.
- Please follow the naming requirements in our TODO if there are any, e.g. if there are explicit requirements about variable names you have to follow them in order to receive full credits. You are free to declare other variable names if not explicitly required.

Hints:

- Each of the variables you are asked to declare (`self.embed_to_hidden_weight`, `self.embed_to_hidden_bias`, `self.hidden_to_logits_weight`, `self.hidden_to_logits_bias`) corresponds to one of the variables above (\mathbf{W} , \mathbf{b}_1 , \mathbf{U} , \mathbf{b}_2).
- It may help to work backwards in the algorithm (start from $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$) and keep track of the matrix/vector sizes.
- Once you have implemented `embedding_lookup` (e) or `forward` (f) you can call `python parser_model.py` with flag `-e` or `-f` or both to run sanity checks with each function. These sanity checks are fairly basic and passing them doesn't mean your code is bug free.
- When debugging, you can add a debug flag: `python run.py -d`. This will cause the code to run over a small subset of the data, so that training the model won't take as long. Make sure to remove the `-d` flag to run the full model once you are done debugging.
- When running with debug mode, you should be able to get a loss smaller than 0.2 and a UAS larger than 65 on the dev set (although in rare cases your results may be lower, there is some randomness when training).
- It should take about **1 hour** to train the model on the entire the training dataset, i.e., when debug mode is disabled.
- When debug mode is disabled, you should be able to get a loss smaller than 0.08 on the train set and an Unlabeled Attachment Score larger than 87 on the dev set. For comparison, the model in the original neural dependency parsing paper gets 92.5 UAS. If you want, you can tweak the hyperparameters for your model (hidden layer size, hyperparameters for Adam, number of epochs, etc.) to improve the performance (but you are not required to do so).


Deliverables:

- Working implementation of the transition mechanics that the neural dependency parser uses in `parser_transitions.py`.
- Working implementation of minibatch dependency parsing in `parser_transitions.py`.
- Working implementation of the neural dependency parser in `parser_model.py`. (We'll look at and run this code for grading).
- Working implementation of the functions for training in `run.py`. (We'll look at and run this code for grading).


- Report the best UAS your model achieves on the dev set and the UAS it achieves on the test set in your writeup.

Answer: **Best dev UAS:88.56 and Best text UAS:89.32**

- (f) (12 points) We'd like to look at example dependency parses and understand where parsers like ours might be wrong. For example, in this sentence:



the dependency of the phrase *into Afghanistan* is wrong, because the phrase should modify *sent* (as in *sent into Afghanistan*) not *troops* (because *troops into Afghanistan* doesn't make sense, unless there are somehow weirdly some troops that stan Afghanistan). Here is the correct parse:



More generally, here are four types of parsing error:

- **Prepositional Phrase Attachment Error:** In the example above, the phrase *into Afghanistan* is a prepositional phrase⁵. A Prepositional Phrase Attachment Error is when a prepositional phrase is attached to the wrong head word (in this example, *troops* is the wrong head word and *sent* is the correct head word). More examples of prepositional phrases include *with a rock*, *before midnight* and *under the carpet*.
- **Verb Phrase Attachment Error:** In the sentence *Leaving the store unattended, I went outside to watch the parade*, the phrase *leaving the store unattended* is a verb phrase⁶. A Verb Phrase Attachment Error is when a verb phrase is attached to the wrong head word (in this example, the correct head word is *went*).
- **Modifier Attachment Error:** In the sentence *I am extremely short*, the adverb *extremely* is a modifier of the adjective *short*. A Modifier Attachment Error is when a modifier is attached to the wrong head word (in this example, the correct head word is *short*).
- **Coordination Attachment Error:** In the sentence *Would you like brown rice or garlic naan?*, the phrases *brown rice* and *garlic naan* are both conjuncts and the word *or* is the coordinating conjunction. The second conjunct (here *garlic naan*) should be attached to the first conjunct (here *brown rice*). A Coordination Attachment Error is when the second conjunct is attached to the wrong head word (in this example, the correct head word is *rice*). Other coordinating conjunctions include *and*, *but* and *so*.

In this question are four sentences with dependency parses obtained from a parser. Each sentence has one error type, and there is one example of each of the four types above. For each sentence, state the type of error, the incorrect dependency, and the correct dependency. While each sentence should have a unique error type, there may be multiple possible correct dependencies for some of the sentences. To demonstrate: for the example above, you would write:


⁵For examples of prepositional phrases, see: <https://www.grammarly.com/blog/prepositional-phrase/>

⁶For examples of verb phrases, see: <https://examples.yourdictionary.com/verb-phrase-examples.html>


- **Error type:** Prepositional Phrase Attachment Error
- **Incorrect dependency:** troops → Afghanistan
- **Correct dependency:** sent → Afghanistan

***Note:** There are lots of details and conventions for dependency annotation. If you want to learn more about them, you can look at the UD website: <http://universaldependencies.org>⁷ or the short introductory slides at: <http://people.cs.georgetown.edu/nshneid/p/UD-for-English.pdf>. Note that you **do not** need to know all these details in order to do this question. In each of these cases, we are asking about the attachment of phrases and it should be sufficient to see if they are modifying the correct head. In particular, you **do not** need to look at the labels on the the dependency edges – it suffices to just look at the edges themselves.*


i.



ii.




iii.



iv.

⁷But note that in the assignment we are actually using UDv1, see: <http://universaldependencies.org/docsv1/>

**Answer:**

- i.
 - **Error type:** Verb Phrase Attachment Error
 - **Incorrect dependency:** acquisition → citing
 - **Correct dependency:** blocked → citing
- ii.
 - **Error type:** Modifier Attachment Error
 - **Incorrect dependency:** left → early
 - **Correct dependency:** afternoon → early
- iii.
 - **Error type:** Prepositional Phrase Attachment Error
 - **Incorrect dependency:** declined → decision
 - **Correct dependency:** reasons → decision
- iv.
 - **Error type:** Coordination Attachment Error
 - **Incorrect dependency:** affects → one
 - **Correct dependency:** plants → one

- (g) (2 points) Recall in part (e), the parser uses features which includes words and their part-of-speech (POS) tags. Explain the benefit of using part-of-speech tags as features in the parser?

Answer: POS tags will offer more information about the syntactic and semantic meaning, which will improve the accuracy.
 What's more, the parser will be more efficient in parsing by using these tags.

Submission Instructions

You shall submit this assignment on GradeScope as two submissions – one for “Assignment 3 [coding]” and another for ‘Assignment 3 [written]’:

1. Run the collect_submission.sh script to produce your assignment3.zip file.
2. Upload your assignment3.zip file to GradeScope to “Assignment 3 [coding]”.
3. Upload your written solutions to GradeScope to “Assignment 3 [written]”.