Decorations for Affine Arithmetic

Stefan Kiel

$27~\mathrm{Mai}~2011$

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Decorations2.1 Current Status in P17882.2 Affine Decorations2.3 Discussion	1 1 2 2
3	Possible Combinations	3
4	Meanings	4
5	Mapping to Standard Affine Arithmetic	5
6	Interoperability with IA 6.1 Combination with IA	5 5
7	Implementation 7.1 Data Types 7.2 Limits	6 6

1 Introduction

YalAA [6] is a library for implementing affine arithmetic (AA). The library allows the user to plug in various policies for handling errors occurring during the calculation through a user defined ErrorPolicy. In this paper we

consider the use of decorations, a concept that came up recently during the standardization process for interval arithmetic (IEEE P1788) [5], [3], [2], [4], [7], for error handling in Yalaa . In the remaining sections we assume that the reader is familiar with the decoration concept for intervals.

2 Decorations

2.1 Current Status in P1788

The P1788 standard is still under heavy discussion. However, the following aspects of exception handling have been already voted on.

- Use of decorations (Motion 8) [5]
- Domain tetrit (Motion 18) [3]

While both motions have some ideas for specific decorations, their only definite decision are the use of decoration and the domain tetrit. Some more details are given in the position paper [2]. Besides, the author proposes two further decorations:

- Defined and Continuous CD(f, X)
- Defined and Bounded BD(f, X)

Both are combined into a single decoration $CB(f, X) = CD(f, X) \wedge BD(f, X)$. However, the bounded decoration is left out and only C(f, X) is considered in a motion currently under discussion by the same author[4].

2.2 Affine Decorations

The YalAA's ErrorPolDec policy class provides two types of decorations. Decorations directly associated with function graph are called F-decoration. They make claims about the function f independently of the used implementation. If a decoration is also dependent on the implementation it's called a C-decoration.

Supported *F-decorations*:

- D(f, X): tetrit, function f is defined over X
- CD(f, X) bool, function f is continuous and defined over X
- BD(f,X): bool, function f is bounded and defined over X

Supported *C-decorations*:

- $VR(f, X, aff_t)$: bool, f has a valid range over X in the current implementation $(B(f, X) \land \neg Overflow \land \neg NE)$
- NE(f, X, aff t): bool, no internal error occurred

B(f, X) denotes that the function is bounded over the intersection of its natural domain with X.

2.3 Discussion

The F-decorations are consistent with the decoration definition considered by the P1788 working group. The domain tetrit D(f, X) is defined as for IA in [3] and the continuous and defined flag CD(f, X) as described in [4]. Furthermore, we provide the BD(f, X) decorations meaning bounded and defined [2]. Note that the considerations in [4] regarding the bounded flag¹ are not relevant in the affine context.

The C-decorations not only depend on the function f and the affine form X but also on the specific arithmetic implementation². YalAA allows the user to detect overflows during computation through the $VR(f, X, aff_t)$ decoration. Furthermore, an *internal error* flag $NE(f, X, aff_t)$ is used for signaling an unexpected failure during the computation. We would like to point out that both flags make no claims on the function f but on its implementation.

3 Possible Combinations

Per definition we have the relationships

- $CD \rightarrow D^+ \land \neg D^- \lor \neg (D^+ \lor D^-)$
- BD \rightarrow D⁺ $\wedge \neg$ D⁻ $\vee \neg$ (D⁺ \vee D⁻)

between F-decorations³. Furthermore, we can deduce:

• $CD \rightarrow BD$ ("every continuous function over an closed set is bounded")

¹Currently it is discussed if unbounded intervals can be represented by using ∞ in interval bounds. However, as there is currently no distinction between *real infinities* and overflows in IEEE754, either one of these cases has to be a decoration or an additional infinity value is to be added for distinction of these cases.

²in YalAA especially on the used types for partial deviations and policies

³Following [4] functions are per definition continuous and bounded over the empty set.

This leads to the possible combinations:

$\overline{\mathrm{D}^{+}}$	D_{-}	CD	BD	Dec.
F	F	Т	Τ	\mathbb{D}_5
Τ	F	Τ	Τ	\mathbb{D}_4
Τ	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	Τ	\mathbb{D}_3
Τ	F	F	F	\mathbb{D}_2
Τ	Τ	F	\mathbf{F}	\mathbb{D}_1
F	Τ	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	\mathbb{D}_0

The valid range flag is linked with the bounded property B, the domain tetrit D and the general error flag NE:

- $\bullet \neg B \rightarrow \neg VR$
- $\bullet \neg D^+ \rightarrow \neg VR$
- $\bullet \neg NE \rightarrow \neg VR$

This results in the following possible combinations:

$\overline{D_{+}}$	(B)	VR	NE	No.
T	Τ	Τ	Τ	1
Τ	Τ	F	Τ	2
Τ	\mathbf{F}	F	Τ	3
\mathbf{F}	?	F	Τ	4
?	?	F	F	5

For the user the cases two and three are identically because the bounded flag is invisible to him. Case 4 is same as either F-decoration \mathbb{D}_0 or \mathbb{D}_5 . The *internal error* flag NE is a special case. If NE is false, all other flags are in an undefined state with the exception of VR which is also false in this case. This convention allows checking only the VR flag in order to detect whether the computation was error-free and the affine part has a meaningful value.

4 Meanings

The F-decorations make claims about the function f over X:

Dec	Description
\mathbb{D}_5	X is the empty set
\mathbb{D}_4	f is certainly defined, continuous and bounded over X
\mathbb{D}_3	f is certainly defined bounded over X
\mathbb{D}_2	f is certainly defined and unbounded over X
\mathbb{D}_1	f is possibly defined over X
\mathbb{D}_0	f is certainly undefined over X

Just like their archetypes in [4], [2] they are ordered:

$$\mathbb{D}_0 < \mathbb{D}_1 < \mathbb{D}_2 < \mathbb{D}_3 < \mathbb{D}_4 < \mathbb{D}_5$$

by their quality. If a computation yields \mathbb{D}_i over X, it is guaranteed that the same computation over some subbox $X' \subseteq X$ yields a decorations \mathbb{D}_j with $j \geq i$. ErrorPolDec supports property tracking as defined in [4], Def. 3. It is also possible to interpret the C-decorations:

Dec.	Description
1	No errors during calculation
2/3	Unbounded and/or Overflow
4	\mathbb{D}_0 or \mathbb{D}_5
5	Internal error

5 Mapping to Standard Affine Arithmetic

AA as described by de Figueiredo and Stolfi [1] provides two special values for error handling:

- R denoting the whole real line
- [] denoting the empty affine form (set)

We define the following mapping from the decorations to these special values:

Dec .	Special Value
$\overline{\mathbb{D}_5,\mathbb{D}_0}$	
$\mathbb{D}_2,\mathfrak{D}_1$	${f R}$
\mathfrak{D}_0	

This maps all error conditions to their respective counterparts in the affine model. Mapping \mathfrak{D}_0 to [] might look a bit controverse at the first glance. However, as the special values refer to both the mathematical function and the implementation⁴ we can interpret \mathfrak{D}_0 as if the implementation is not defined over X.

⁴R is used for indicating overflows in [1].

6 Interoperability with IA

6.1 Combination with IA

Affine forms can combined with interval using addition, subtraction, As the proposed affine decorations mainly follow the decorations under discussion for intervals, YalAA aims at providing proper property tracking for mixed calculation. However, specific details depend on the final P1788 standard and concrete implementation of decorations in IA libraries.

6.2 Conversion to IA

All special functions which can produce some error state are implemented through non-affine approximations over an interval enclosure of the affine form. That is, the decoration part of an affine form can propagated to a decorated interval without loss of correctness.

7 Implementation

7.1 Data Types

Following the original approach of [1] every affine form in Yalaa has a field to store whether the form has a special value. Its type is determined by the used ErrorPolicy [6]. ErrorPolDec defines this type to unsigned short. The F- and C-decorations are stored separately. While the first three bits store the F-decoration in form of the \mathbb{D}_i , $0 \le i \le 5$ defined above, the following two store the negated VR and the NE flags separately.

7.2 Limits

Because decorated interval are up to today not supported by interval libraries, the interoperability is not implemented in Yalaa. The ErrorPolDec class is subject to change whereas P1788 evolves.

References

- [1] L.H. de Figueiredo and J. Stolfi. Self-Validated Numerical Methods and Applications. IMPA, Rio de Janeiro, 1997.
- [2] N. T. Hayes. Exception handling for interval arithmetic, 2010. P1788, Position Paper.

- [3] N. T. Hayes. Trits to tretris, 2010. P1788, Motion 18.
- [4] N. T. Hayes. Property tracking with decorations, May 2011. P1788, Proposed Motion.
- [5] N. T. Hayes and A. Neumaier. Exception handling for interval arithmetic. P1788, Motion 8.
- [6] Stefan Kiel. yalaa yet another library for affine arithmetic implementation manual, 2011.
- [7] Vladik Kreinovich. How to check, while performing straightforward interval computations, whether the resulting function is continuous, everywhere defined, etc.: Towards foundations of decorations, 2011.