# yalaa - Yet Another Library for Affine Arithmetic

Implementation Manual

Stefan Kiel

June 1, 2011

#### 1. Introduction

. . . . .

As a template library, Yalaa is usable with arbitrary (arithmetic) base types. However, only a specialization for the IEEE754 double is currently provided and discussion in the following sections.

## 2. Preliminaries

- 2.1. Interval Arithmetic
- 2.2. Affine Arithmetic

# 3. Affine Approximations

We can not carry out a non affine operation or function directly on affine forms. However, it is possible to use an affine approximation and bound the (non-linear) approximation error with an error term. Methods for calculating affine approximations are outlined and discussed in [2] by de Figueiredo and Stolfi. Following them, we will also limit ourselves to affine approximations of the form

$$f^a(\epsilon_1, ..., \epsilon_n) = \alpha \hat{x} + \beta \hat{y} + \zeta$$

for the two input forms  $\hat{x}, \hat{y}$ . Two methods for deriving such approximations are discussed there: The Chebyshev optimal affine approximation and the min-range approximation. Both methods are only suitable for functions which are strictly convex or concave over the considered domain. The latter is implemented in Yalaa for those functions. For functions not satisfying these condition a Taylor series expansion is used, as described in Sect. ??.

## 4. Implementation

#### 4.1. Important Classes

In this section the most important classes of YalAA are described. Concepts are described in Sect. 4.2.

**AffineForm** AffineForm combines the several policy classes and concepts to a working object-oriented arithmetic type. It offers the usual operators and the elementary functions (Tab. ??). As a template class the function can be widely customized. The declaration is as follows:

```
template<typename T, \
    template<typename> class ET, \
    template<typename, template<typename> class class AC, \
    template<typename, template<typename> class, template<typename, template<typename> class class, class AR, \
    template<typename, template<typename> class, template<typename, template<typename> class> class class AP, \
    typename EP, \
    typename IV>
class AffineForm { ... };
```

The base type T is used for representing the partial deviations. AffineForm makes no assumptions about this type, but you have to provide a specialization of the base\_traits template for a custom type. Further the interval type IV and T have to fit into one another. A specialization of base\_traits is required for IV also. The template parameters ET, AC, AR, AP are also templates, but *their* template parameters are automatically determined through template template parameters. They model the concepts ErrorTerm, AffineCombination, AffinePolicy and ErrorPolicy described in Sect. 4.2.

```
ArithmeticError

+base_t: typedef = T
+kerror_t: enum = {DISCONT = 1, UNBOUND = 2, P_D_VIOL = 4, C_D_VIOL = 8, OVERFLOW = 16, I_ERROR = 32}

+ArithmeticError(gen_err:base_ref_t, repror:unsigned)
+ArithmeticError(gen_err:base_ref_t, sum:base_ref_t, neg_err:base_ref_t, error:unsigned)

+ArithmeticError(gen_err:base_ref_t, sum:base_ref_t, error:unsigned)

+ArithmeticError(gen_err:base_ref_t, repror:unsigned)

+ArithmeticError(gen_err:base_ref_t, repror:unsigned)

+<<const>> sum(): base_t
+<<const>> pos(): base_t
+<<const>> pos(): base_t
+<<const>> pos(): base_t
+<<const>> pos(): unsigned
```

Figure 1: ArithmeticError class

**ArithmeticError** The ArithmeticError class is responsible for handling rounding errors and approximation errors. Further it stores information about computational errors *inside* the ArithmeticKernel. An overview of its operations is given in Fig. 1.

Rounding and approximations errors are split into three groups: general, positive and negative. General errors are identically to the standard error term model where  $\epsilon_i$  is assumed to lie in the interval [-1,1]. The latter two can combined with noise variables lying inside [0,1] and [-1,0] (cf. [6]). However, it's up to the concrete ArithmeticKernel whether it provides the extended model and up to the AffinePolicy how it maps the

different error types. Obviously the both new terms can also combined with a standard  $\epsilon_i$ . This enlarges the enclosures but nevertheless provides a valid bound. The errors are accessed through the gen, pos, neg functions. If only the sum is needed, it can retrieved with the sum function.

Further ArithmeticError defines the kerror\_t type defining a minimum set of errors that every kernel should propagate:

**DISCONT** Function is discontinuous

UNBOUND Result has no finite bounds

P\_D\_VIOL Partial violation of natural domain

C\_D\_VIOL Complete violation of natural domain

OVERFLOW Overflow

ERROR Unknown error

The DISCONT error indicates that the operation is discontinuous over the affine form. An error of type UNBOUNDED is raised if the result of the operation is unbounded By contrast OVERFLOW is used for indicating that the result is bounded but can not represented with used types. The P\_D\_VIOL indicates that a function was called with an argument lying partially outside its natural domain. For example let  $\hat{x} = 0 + 2\epsilon_1$ . Its range is x = [-2, 2]. Then we can still evaluate  $\sqrt{\hat{x}}$ , but the negative parts of its range are ignored and P\_D\_VIOL is raised. If we modify our example and choose  $\hat{x} = -2 + -1\epsilon_1$  with x = [-3, -1],  $\sqrt{\hat{x}}$  will raise C\_D\_VIOL as the whole affine form lies outside of the square root's natural domain. or an overflow occurred. The last flag indicates a general error inside the kernel. Raised error flags can determined through the error() function, which returns an appropriate bitmask.

An ArithmeticKernel should support at least these flags. Otherwise error policies are not able to work correctly. It's up to the kernel to provide additional informations through extra flags. However, in this case a custom ErrorPolicy tightly coupled with the kernel is necessary for exploiting them.

#### 4.2. Concepts

YalAA is a template library and can customized with policy classes<sup>2</sup>. It is possible to exchange policy classes in order to alter YalAA's behavior. Policy classes do not have common base classes. Nonetheless they are sort of polymorphic, often called *static* or *compile-time* polymorphism. They achieve this by following a *concept*: An interface with a common set of static functions and *typedef*'s. In contrast to runtime-polymorphism there is currently no formal support for defining concept's in C++. So it's up to the programmer to follow the concepts or to face awful template related error messages;-).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>As affine forms cannot represent improper intervals like  $[x, \infty)$ , the UNBOUNDED flag is raised in this case.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See [?] for more information on policy-based design.




Figure 2: Concept defining an ErrorTerm

**ErrorTerm** An error term  $\epsilon_i x_i$  is a combination of a symbolic noise variable  $\epsilon_i$  and a partial deviation  $x_i$ . The partial deviation's type determines the base type of the affine form. While the concrete type of the  $\epsilon$ 's is implementation defined, it is necessary to define an ordering on them. If the constructor is called, the error term is responsible for acquiring a new previously unused noise symbol. The rest of the concept is straightforward, see Fig. 2 for a complete overview.




Figure 3: Concept defining an AffineCombination

**AffineCombination** This concept represents an affine combination  $x_1\epsilon_i + \cdots + x_n\epsilon_n$  of error terms and a central value  $x_0$  not associated with a symbolic noise variable. The iterators have to respect the ordering defined by the error terms. For the full concept cf. Fig. 3.

**Affine operations** Affine operations are not a template class but a bunch of free functions which can work on classes fulfilling the **AffineCombination** concept. A valid implementation has to provide functions with the following signatures:

```
template<typename AC>
unsigned mul_ac_s(AC* ac, typename AC::base_ref_t s);
```

```
template<typename AC>
unsigned add_ac_s(AC* ac, typename AC::base_ref_t s);
template<typename AC>
unsigned neg_ac(AC* ac);
template<typename AC, bool CENTRAL = true>
unsigned add_ac_ac(AC* ac1, const AC& ac2);
template<typename AC, bool CENTRAL = true>
unsigned sub_ac_ac(AC* ac1, const AC& ac2);
```

providing the usual affine operations. These consist of scaling (mul\_ac\_s) with a scalar of type T::base\_ref\_t, adding a scalar (add\_ac\_s) and negate an entire form. Further adding (add\_ac\_ac) and subtracting (sub\_ac\_ac) two affine combinations has to be supported. The result is always stored in the first affine combination supplied as argument.



Figure 4: Concept defining an ArithmeticKernel

**ArithmeticKernel** The arithmetic kernel is the core of YalAA and carries out the actual mathematical operations. This design ensures easy interchangeability of operations. All operations are given in Fig. 4. All operations work on a given affine combination, which is altered during computation. However, they *must not* add any new error terms to the combination. Instead they deliver bounds of the occurring errors to the caller through an ArithmeticError<T> object. New noise terms are added through the AffinePolicy, which is described later on.

**AffinePolicy** The **AffinePolicy** controls the way new affine noise symbols are introduced. All operations are given in Fig. 5. The difference between the functions are




Figure 5: Concept defining an AffinePolicy

subtle. The add\_errors function is called after performing an affine or non-affine combination. The function is responsible for adding the rounding and/or approximation error to the form. In contrast new\_form is called if a new affine form is created, i.e. a new partially unknown quantity is introduced into the computation process. With add\_uncert an uncertainty is introduced into the calculation process, this function is for example called if an affine form is combined with an interval quantity.

Figure 6: Concept defining an ErrorPolicy

ErrorPolicy The ErrorPolicy is responsible for handling errors during the computation process. These errors are propagated through the error flags of the ArithmeticError class. Its methods are listed in Fig. 6. The pre\_op functions are called prior to performing an operation. If the arguments generate independent of the concrete function a special form, the functions should return false and modify its first argument accordingly. Then the calculation step is skipped and the special form is returned. Otherwise, the regular ArithmeticKernel operation is called and the resulting ArithmeticError object is passed to the post\_op operation. Once again, the ErrorPolicy should check whether to generate an appropriate special form.

### 4.3. Supplied Implementations

YalAA is delivered with some standard implementations for the above described concepts.

**ErrorTerm** The supplied standard implementation ErrorTermImpl<T> uses an unsigned long long<sup>3</sup> and the GCC's built-ins for atomic memory access. However, overflows in the  $\epsilon$ 's are not handled. You should replace the default implementation, if you need more than  $2^{64}$  independent error terms.

**AffineCombImpl** The provided standard implementation AffineCombImpl uses a vector for storing the error terms. (TODO: Spezielle Formen wie AF1, AF2, GQF,...)

**Affine operations** A standard implementation is supplied in the file affinecombopimpl.hpp.

ArithmeticKernel Yalaa contains a complete kernel for AA which can work with the IEEE 754 floating-point types float, double, long double. The implementation ExactErrorFP follows the approach described in [2] where possible. However, the there described approximation methods for non-affine operations are only applicable for strictly convex or concave functions. For other functions (e.g. cos, sin, tan) Yalaa uses a Chebyshev interpolation based approach as outlined in Sect. A.1. To ease reuse, we have split the ExactErrorFP kernel into several components (cf. Tab. 1).

Name	Operations	Remarks
ExactErrorAffineFPf	add, sub, scale,	Affine operations with exact floating-point
	neg	error calculation, [2]
${\bf MinRangeBuiltInFP}$	sqrt, inv	Min-range, [2]
MinRangeFP	exp, ln	Min-range, needs IA library, cf. [2]
MultiplicationFP	mul, sqr, pow	Stolfi approach to multiplication, needs IA
		lib, [2], Sect. A.2
ChebyshevFP	cos, sin, tan	Chebyshev interpolation approach, needs IA
		lib, Sect. A.1

Table 1: Components of the ExactErrorFP kernel

**AffinePolicy** The policy class AFO supplied with YalAA mimics the behavior of the original affine arithmetic as described in [2]. All policy functions add the error with a new independent error symbol.

**ErrorPolicy** Three implementations of the ErrorPolicy concept are supplied with YalAA: ErrorPolStd, ErrorPolNone and ErrorPolDec. The ErrorPolStd resembles the approach described in [2] and thus provides two special forms:

NONE No special value

R Whole real line

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>According to C99 at least 64-bits wide

Flag	Mapping	0	NONE	R	EMPTY
DISCONT	NONE	NONE	NONE	R	EMPTY
UNBOUND	R	R	R	R	EMPTY
P_D_VIOL	NONE	EMPTY	EMPTY	EMPTY	EMPTY
$C_D_VIOL$	EMPTY				
OVERFLOW	R				
ERROR	EMPTY				

Table 2: Mapping of ArithmeticError flags to ErrorPolStd special values and outcome of combinations of them

#### **EMPTY** Empty affine form

Tab. 2 shows how the ArithmeticError flags are mapped to these values and how they behave under combinations. As YalAA allows combination of affine forms with the scalars and intervals of the base type, it is also necessary to *their* special values. In general ErrorPolStd uses the function is\_special of the respective base\_traits specialization for checking if the operand is valid. If it is not the outcome is EMPTY. Further, we provide a specialization for floating-point base types handling  $\pm \infty$  values and NaN separately. A  $\pm \infty$  operand or an unbounded interval generates R and NaN yields EMPTY.

In ErrorPolNone no error handling at all is done and ErrorPolDec tries to provide the decorations concept of the upcoming interval standard [3] for affine arithmetic. A detailed description is given in [4].

# A. Function Implemention

This section briefly summarizes the concrete floating-point implementation for some functions provided by the Yalaa built-in kernels.

#### A.1. Chebyshev Interpolation

The min-range approximation for calculating affine approximations to non-affine functions described in [2] is only applicable to differentiable strict convex or concave functions. As YalAA also supports elementary functions not satisfying these requirements, namely the trigonometric functions cos, sin tan, another method is required. The standard arithmetic kernels supplied with YalAA use interpolation at the Chebyshev nodes for this. Readers interested in the mathematical details are referred to [1] and [5].

The Chebyshev nodes  $x_k$  are defined as

$$x_k = \cos\left(\frac{\pi(2k+1)}{2n+2}\right), k = 0...n$$

and are at the zeros of the Chebyshev polynomials

$$T_i(x) = \cos i\theta$$
, if  $x = \cos \theta$ .

A function  $f:[-1,1]\to\mathbb{R}$  can approximated using the n-th degree Chebyshev interpolant

$$p_n(x) = \frac{c_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n c_k T_k(x)$$

with the Chebyshev coefficients

$$c_i = \frac{2}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} f(x_k) T_i(x_k).$$

For approximating a function on a general finite interval [a, b] a linear transformation to [-1, 1] is necessary. The new Chebyshev nodes are obtained through the inverse transformation as

$$x'_{k} = \frac{1}{2} ((b-a)x_{k} + a + b)$$

and thus the coefficients as

$$c'_i = \frac{2}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} f(x'_k) T_i(x_k).$$

The new interpolant is

$$p_n(x) = \frac{c_0}{2} + \sum_{k=0}^{n} c'_k T_k(x)$$

for  $x \in [-1, 1]$ . We can transform any  $x' \in [a, b]$  using a linear transformation  $t : [a, b] \to [-1, 1]$  with

$$t(x') = \left(\frac{2x' - (a+b)}{b-a}\right).$$

The final polynomial for x' is thus

$$p_n(x') = \frac{c_0}{2} + \sum_{k=0}^{n} c'_k T_k(\left(\frac{2x' - (a+b)}{b-a}\right)).$$

Following [2] we are searching an affine operation of the form  $\alpha \hat{x} + \zeta \pm \delta$  over the domain  $\mathbf{x} = [a, b]$  of  $\hat{x}$ . This is a degree one polynomial, thus only the coefficients  $c'_0$  and  $c'_1$  are required. We calculate enclosures

$$\alpha = \frac{2c_1'}{b-a}$$

and

$$\zeta = \frac{c_0'}{2} - \frac{a+b}{b-a}$$

for  $\alpha$  and  $\zeta$  utilizing IA. The rounding error is shifted into the error term  $\delta$  using

$$\delta = \frac{1}{2} \left( (\operatorname{len}(\hat{x}) + 1) \operatorname{wid} \boldsymbol{\alpha} + \operatorname{wid} \boldsymbol{\zeta} + \operatorname{wid} R_1(\boldsymbol{x}) \right)$$

where len( $\hat{x}$ ) denotes the number of noise symbols in  $\hat{x}$ . Then we can use the midpoints mid  $\alpha$  and mid  $\zeta$  as  $\alpha$  and  $\zeta$ . A bound for  $R_1(x)$  can derived with Lagrange remainder's formula<sup>4</sup>

$$R_1(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{(\operatorname{wid} \boldsymbol{x})^2 f^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{x})}{16}$$

!!!! Ueberarbeiten, so wird es nicht gemacht !!!! The final result  $\hat{x}'$  of the operation can determined by using the affine transformation

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_0' &= \alpha x_0 + \zeta \\
 x_i' &= \alpha x_i' 
 \end{aligned}$$

The occurring rounding errors are also shifted into  $\delta$ , which is added as new error term  $\delta \epsilon_k$ , where  $\epsilon_k$  is a new independent symbolic noise variable.

## A.2. Integer Power-Function

The integer power function  $pow(\hat{x}, n)$  for positive integers n. Using the binomial coefficients we can derive the formula

$$\hat{x}^{n} = \left(x_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} \epsilon_{i}\right) 
= x_{0}^{n} + nx_{0}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} \epsilon_{i}\right) + 
\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) x_{0}^{n-2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} \epsilon_{i}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{n}{3}\right) x_{0}^{n-3} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} \epsilon_{i}\right)^{3} + \dots + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} \epsilon_{i}\right)^{n}$$

The first two terms of the sum are the affine part of the power function. Following the affine multiplication routine by de Figueiredo and Stolfi [2] the these terms are directly used for our affine approximation. The non linear terms are enclosed by a new error term. Let

$$\operatorname{rad} \hat{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} |x_i|$$

so we get the error as

$$e = \binom{n}{k} (\operatorname{rad} \hat{x})^k.$$

We split the error into an unsigned part  $e^+$  for all terms with an even k and a signed  $e^{\pm}$  one for all odd terms. The final error

$$e = \frac{1}{2}e^{+} + e^{\pm}$$

is then added with a new noise symbol to the result. Finally the central value is adjusted by  $\frac{1}{2}e^+$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>If a second derivative is available.

# References

- [1] S. Ackleh, E. J Allen, R. B. Kearfott, and Seshaiyer P. Classical and Modern Numerical Analysis. CRC Press, 2010.
- [2] L.H. de Figueiredo and J. Stolfi. Self-Validated Numerical Methods and Applications. IMPA, Rio de Janeiro, 1997.
- [3] John Pryce (Tech. Eds.). P1788: IEEE Standard for Interval Arithmetic Version 02.2.
- [4] Stefan Kiel. Decorations for affine arithmetic, 2011.
- [5] J. C Mason and D. C. Handscomb. Chebyshev Polynomials. CRC Press, 2003.
- [6] F. Messine. Extentions of affine arithmetic: Application to unconstrained global optimization. *Journal of Universal Computer Science*, 8(11):992–1015, 2002.