ote: If there are multiple				reators as well as anyone using an already published dataset.
	datasets that are being used/propos	sed in a single work, please fill i	in a separate sheet for	each individual dataset
	Date Created:	28-April-2023	Date this template v	was first made publicly available
Template Details (not	Date Modified	NA	Date the template v	vas modified, if applicable
to be modified)	Date Modified		-	
	Version	v 1.0	Current Version Nu	ımber
Section I: I	Dataset Overview	Value	Additional Comments/Urls (as applicable)	Description of the field
	Dataset Name			Name of the dataset
	Dataset Abbreviation			Short form of the dataset name, if different from Dataset name
	Version			Version (default: v1.0)
	Creation/Publication Date/Year			Date the dataset was first published. If exact date not available, please add the year of creat
ovide generic information	Modification/Update Date (dd-mm-yyyy)			Date the dataset was last updated (write NA if not applicable/updated since creation)
bout your dataset here. It will allow the reader to	Repository			Link to the repository
ickly understand what this	Citation (BibTex/PlainTex/Url)			Please add the required citation(s) or point to an url providing the citation
ataset is about and if it is	License			MIT, Apache 2.0, BSD, etc.
elevant to their interests.	Open-Access?			Is the dataset fully open or password protected?
	Contact Information			Contact information of the authors/greaters to send questions or comments about the dates
				Contact information of the authors/creators to send questions or comments about the datase
	Dataset Size			(Approx) size of the full dataset (in GB)
	Additional Information?		1	Add any additional information, if available
Section II: Dataset Description		Value	Additional Comments/Urls (as applicable)	Description of the field
	Number of Source Sequences		(аз аррисавіс)	Number of source sequences considered (audio, videos, etc.)
	Content Genre			Nature of source sequences (gaming, natural, animation, computer generated, etc.)
	Source Sequence Available?			Are source sequences made available as part of the dataset?
	Source Data Repository(ies)			Please write NA if the dataset includes source videos as a contribution.
	Bit-depth (s)			8-bit, 10-bit, 12-bit, 16-bit, etc.
	Dynamic Range			SDR/HDR (please add details where possible, e.g., transfer char, gamma, etc.)
Source Videos	Frame rate(s)			Frame rate of the source videos, e.g., 24, 25, 30, 60, 120, 144, etc.
formation about the various	Resolution(s)			Resolution of source videos
ects about the source videos that are used in the study	Pristine/User Generated			Are the source sequences pristine or user generated/already compressed?
•	Video Format			Format of the source videos, e.g., RawVideo, ProRes, HEVC Encoded, etc.
	Video Container			MP4, MKV, WEBM, Y4M
	Audio Format (if applicable)			Audio format, if available
	Video/Audio/Audiovisual			Type of source sequences (e.g.,video only, audio only, audiovisual)
	SI/TI Information			Is SI/TI [ITU-T Rec. 913] available in the dataset?
	Additional Information?			Add any additional information, if available
	Encoder Implementation			e.g., FFmpeg, VTM, HM, etc.
	Encoder Type			Software/Hardware/Both
	Type of Software implementation			Reference/Practical/Both
Encoding Settings	Rate Control			VBV, CBR, ABR, etc.
ormation about the encoding	Encoding Speed/Mode			e.g., FFmpeg: ultrafast, medium, slow, etc.
ings used in the dataset (also eferred to as Hypothetical	Video Codec(s)			H.264, HEVC, VP9, AV1, VVC, AVS2, AVS3
eference Circuit (HRC)) in	Resolution(s) Framerate(s)			Encoding resolutions considered Encoding framerates considered
some works).				-
	Bit-depth Artifacts Considered			Encoded (video) sequences bitrates Encoding, Scaling, Network (Stalling, Quality Changes, Packet Loss, etc.). Please add deta
	Scenario considered			Type of streaming scenarios considered
	Additional Information?			Add any additional information, if available
	Additional Information:			rad any additional information, it available
	Number of PVSs			How many PVSs are in total?
Processed Video Sequences	Container Format			MP4, MKV, WEBM, Decoded Rawvideo (YUV), etc.
	Additional Information			Add any additional information, if available
			_	
Section III: Subjective Quality Assesment		Value	Additional Comments/Urls (as applicable)	Description of the field
	Subjective Test Environment			Controlled (Lab), Public, Home-based, Crowdsourcing, Hybrid
	ITU Rec			Please mention if the test followed any specific ITU Rec such as BT.500-14, P.910, P.913
	Subjective test methods and rating scales			ACR(1-5), ACR(0-10), DSIS, CCR? If not standardized method, please describe in details
	Rating Scale		+	Overall / Continuous (using a slider) / Both
	Display Type		+	TV, Mobile, Tablet, Desktop Monitor
	Display Size			Size of the display(s) used
ubjective Test Setup	Display Resolution			Link to the device model, if available
	Viewing Distance		1	Viewing distance from the screen
	Viewing Angle			
			1	Is there any schematic or photo showing the lab test set up? If yes, please mention where it
	Subjective test schematic or photo			available?
	Light Intensity in Test Room			Was device, room illuminance, gamma level, etc. measured? If yes, please add details
			1	Add any additional information about the test environment, if available
	Additional Information			
	Additional Information			
				Video player used to play the videos (e.g., VLC, MPV, Matlab, FFplay, etc.)
	Additional Information			

	Disable and 1 1			We do dedict a desired for the state of the
	Playlist randomized			Was the playlist randomized for each session/participant? Please explain.
Test Methodology	Playback			How was the stimuli playback performed? E.g., fixed duration video followed by grey screen a fixed duration cold passed by the test mentionical
	I			a fixed duration, self-paced by the test participant.
	Upscaling (if used)			Upscaling filter used (Bicubic, Lanzcos-3, Lanzcos-5, Super Resolution)
	Test type			Video only, Audio-visual, audio only
	Number of Test Subjects			Number of participants that took part in the subjective test
	Test subjects per session			How many test subjects took part in a single session?
	Number of Test Sequences			Number of test sequences considered in subjective test
	Additional Information			Add any additional information about testing methodology, if available
	Test subject recruitment			How were the test subjects recruited for the study? University students and staff, Crowdso
				platforms, friends and family, etc.
	Pre-screening?			Was there any eye test or any othe pre-screening test performed on the subjective test participants? if yes, please mention which ones (visual acquity test such as Sellen or color-blindness test using Ishira charts, 3D vision check using stereo butterfly test, etc.)
				Please mention if the test subjects are experts (people working in video streaming) or
Test Participants	Experts or Non Experts			non-experts or mix of both?
rest i articipants	Gender Distribution			What is the gender distribution of the test subjects?
	Age Distribution			What is the age distribution of the test participants?
				Is additional demographic information data such as viewing device preferences, number o
	Additional Demographic Information			hours videos streaming, gaming experience, etc. (as applicable) available? If yes, please p details
	Additional Information			Add any additional information about test participants, if available
				Did you perform any outlier analysis? If we which methodology did you use? Eq. Correl
	Post-Screening			Did you perform any outlier analysis? If yes, which methodology did you use? Eg., Correl based, Z-score
	Subjective Scores Removal?			Were any subjective scores removed after outlier analysis?
	Subjective Score(s)			How are the subjective scores reported? MOS/DMOS only?
California C	, ,,			Did you perform any mapping or transformation on the raw subjective scores? If yes, which
Subjective Scores Analysis	Subjective Scores Transformation			(e.g., generalized linear models (GLMs) for estimation of the population average QoE [Ref-Pezulli]. Please add details?
	Individual subjective scores			Are individual subjective scores available?
	Statistical Analysis?			Was there any statistical analysis performed such as calculation of CI, etc.
	Statistical Alialysis?			
	Additional Information			Add any additional information about subjective scores post-processing and analysis, if available
Any other comments				Add any additional information about the subjective test which is not covered above and nobe relevant to the reader
Section IV: Object	tive Quality Assessment	Value	Additional Comments/Urls (as applicable)	Description of the field
	Quality Metrics Considered			Which quality metrics are you evaluating in this work? e.g., PSNR, SSIM, VMAF, LPIPS,
	Metric Type			What are the type of metrics that you are using? ML/DL/Traditional, Mixed
	Pooling			What type of pooling method (e.g., mean, minkowski summation, harmonic mean, etc.) is
Quality Metrics	Tooling			to obtain the final video quality score (if applicable).
Quanty Meeties				
- •	Implementation(s) and Version			Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availal (ffimpeg.github, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric ar
- • • • • •				Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availat (ffimpeg.github, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric arimplementation that you have used in this work
- • • •	Implementation(s) and Version Additional Information?			Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availat (ffimpeg.github, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric an implementation that you have used in this work
- • • • •				Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availat (ffitneg.github, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric arimplementation that you have used in this work Add any additional information about the quality metrics that might be relevant to the use
	Additional Information?			Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availat (ffitneg.github, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric an implementation that you have used in this work Add any additional information about the quality metrics that might be relevant to the user
- • • •	Additional Information? Performance Measures			Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availat (ffimpeg.github, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric an implementation that you have used in this work Add any additional information about the quality metrics that might be relevant to the user Which measures are considered to evaluate the performance of the quality metrics (e.g., P. SROCC, RMSE, Kendall, R2, etc.) Is there any transformation/fitting (linear/3rd order polynoimial/logistic regression) perfor
	Additional Information? Performance Measures Considered Mapping performed			Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availat (ffimpeg.github, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric an implementation that you have used in this work Add any additional information about the quality metrics that might be relevant to the user Which measures are considered to evaluate the performance of the quality metrics (e.g., P. SROCC, RMSE, Kendall, R2, etc.)
Model Evaluation	Additional Information? Performance Measures Considered			Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availat (ffinge_github, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric arimplementation that you have used in this work Add any additional information about the quality metrics that might be relevant to the user Which measures are considered to evaluate the performance of the quality metrics (e.g., P. SROCC, RMSE, Kendall, R2, etc.) Is there any transformation/fitting (linear/3rd order polynoimial/logistic regression) perfor before the computation of the performance scores?
	Additional Information? Performance Measures Considered Mapping performed			Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availat (ffinpeg.github, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric an implementation that you have used in this work Add any additional information about the quality metrics that might be relevant to the user Which measures are considered to evaluate the performance of the quality metrics (e.g., P. SROCC, RMSE, Kendall, R2, etc.) Is recommended to evaluate the performance of the proprietary of the computation of the performance scores? Was any statistical significance test performed to compare the performance of the metrics
	Additional Information? Performance Measures Considered Mapping performed Statistical Significance Test(s)			Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availal (ffinpeg.github, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric arimplementation that you have used in this work Add any additional information about the quality metrics that might be relevant to the use Which measures are considered to evaluate the performance of the quality metrics (e.g., P SROCC, RMSE, Kendall, R2, etc.) Is there any transformation/fitting (linear/3rd order polynoimial/logistic regression) perfor before the computation of the performance scores? Was any statistical significance test performed to compare the performance of the metrics compared/evaluated?
	Additional Information? Performance Measures Considered Mapping performed Statistical Significance Test(s) Model Training			Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availat (ffinpeg.github, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric arimplementation that you have used in this work Add any additional information about the quality metrics that might be relevant to the use. Which measures are considered to evaluate the performance of the quality metrics (e.g., P SROCC, RMSE, Kendall, R2, etc.) Is there any transformation/fitting (linear/3rd order polynoimial/logistic regression) perfor before the computation of the performance scores? Was any statistical significance test performed to compare the performance of the metrics compared/evaluated? Was the model(s) trained/retrained? If yes, how?
	Additional Information? Performance Measures Considered Mapping performed Statistical Significance Test(s) Model Training Model Complexity			Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availat (ffitneg.github, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric arimplementation that you have used in this work Add any additional information about the quality metrics that might be relevant to the use Which measures are considered to evaluate the performance of the quality metrics (e.g., P SROCC, RMSE, Kendall, R2, etc.) Is there any transformation/fitting (linear/3rd order polynoimial/logistic regression) perfor before the computation of the performance scores? Was any statistical significance test performed to compare the performance of the metrics compared/evaluated? Was the model(s) trained/retrained? If yes, how? If available, please add how the model complexity was evaluated
Model Evaluation	Additional Information? Performance Measures Considered Mapping performed Statistical Significance Test(s) Model Training Model Complexity			Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availat (ffinpeg.github, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric arimplementation that you have used in this work Add any additional information about the quality metrics that might be relevant to the user Which measures are considered to evaluate the performance of the quality metrics (e.g., P. SROCC, RMSE, Kendall, R2, etc.) Is there any transformation/fitting (linear/3rd order polynoimial/logistic regression) perfor before the computation of the performance scores? Was any statistical significance test performed to compare the performance of the metrics compared/evaluated? Was the model(s) trained/retrained? If yes, how? If available, please add how the model complexity was evaluated Add any additional information about model evaluation that might be relevant to the user
Model Evaluation	Additional Information? Performance Measures Considered Mapping performed Statistical Significance Test(s) Model Training Model Complexity Additional Information?			Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availat (ffitneg.github, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric an implementation that you have used in this work Add any additional information about the quality metrics that might be relevant to the user Which measures are considered to evaluate the performance of the quality metrics (e.g., P. SROCC, RMSE, Kendall, R2, etc.) Is there any transformation/fitting (linear/3rd order polynoimial/logistic regression) perfor before the computation of the performance scores? Was any statistical significance test performed to compare the performance of the metrics compared/evaluated? Was the model(s) trained/retrained? If yes, how? If available, please add how the model complexity was evaluated Add any additional information about model evaluation that might be relevant to the user
Model Evaluation Any oth	Additional Information? Performance Measures Considered Mapping performed Statistical Significance Test(s) Model Training Model Complexity Additional Information?	Value	Additional Comments/Urls (as annificable)	Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availat (ffinpeg.github, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric arimplementation that you have used in this work Add any additional information about the quality metrics that might be relevant to the user Which measures are considered to evaluate the performance of the quality metrics (e.g., P. SROCC, RMSE, Kendall, R2, etc.) Is there any transformation/fitting (linear/3rd order polynoimial/logistic regression) perfor before the computation of the performance scores? Was any statistical significance test performed to compare the performance of the metrics compared/evaluated? Was the model(s) trained/retrained? If yes, how? If available, please add how the model complexity was evaluated Add any additional information about model evaluation that might be relevant to the user
Model Evaluation Any oth	Additional Information? Performance Measures Considered Mapping performed Statistical Significance Test(s) Model Training Model Complexity Additional Information? The comments ical Considerations	Value		Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availat (ffitneg.github, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric an implementation that you have used in this work Add any additional information about the quality metrics that might be relevant to the user Which measures are considered to evaluate the performance of the quality metrics (e.g., P. SROCC, RMSE, Kendall, R.2, etc.) Is there any transformation/fitting (linear/3rd order polynoimial/logistic regression) perfor before the computation of the performance scores? Was any statistical significance test performed to compare the performance of the metrics compared/evaluated? Was the model(s) trained/retrained? If yes, how? If available, please add how the model complexity was evaluated Add any additional information about model evaluation that might be relevant to the user Please add any additional information about the objective evaluation which is not covered and might be relevant to the reader
Model Evaluation Any oth	Additional Information? Performance Measures Considered Mapping performed Statistical Significance Test(s) Model Training Model Complexity Additional Information? The comments ical Considerations Ethical review	Value	Comments/Urls	Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availat (ffinge_github, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric an implementation that you have used in this work Add any additional information about the quality metrics that might be relevant to the user Which measures are considered to evaluate the performance of the quality metrics (e.g., P. SROCC, RMSE, Kendall, R.2, etc.) Is there any transformation/fitting (linear/3rd order polynoimial/logistic regression) perfor before the computation of the performance scores? Was any statistical significance test performed to compare the performance of the metrics compared/evaluated? Was the model(s) trained/retrained? If yes, how? If available, please add how the model complexity was evaluated Add any additional information about model evaluation that might be relevant to the user Please add any additional information about the objective evaluation which is not covered and might be relevant to the reader Description of the field Were any ethical review processes conducted before the dataset creation?
Model Evaluation Any oth Section V: Eth	Additional Information? Performance Measures Considered Mapping performed Statistical Significance Test(s) Model Training Model Complexity Additional Information? Her comments ical Considerations Ethical review Intended Use	Value	Comments/Urls	Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availat (ffitneg.github, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric an implementation that you have used in this work Add any additional information about the quality metrics that might be relevant to the user Which measures are considered to evaluate the performance of the quality metrics (e.g., P. SROCC, RMSE, Kendall, R2, etc.) Is there any transformation/fitting (linear/3rd order polynoimial/logistic regression) perfor before the computation of the performance scores? Was any statistical significance test performed to compare the performance of the metrics compared/evaluated? Was the model(s) trained/retrained? If yes, how? If available, please add how the model complexity was evaluated Add any additional information about model evaluation that might be relevant to the user Please add any additional information about the objective evaluation which is not covered and might be relevant to the reader Description of the field Were any ethical review processes conducted before the dataset creation? Please describe the intended use of this dataset?
Model Evaluation Any oth Section V: Eth	Additional Information? Performance Measures Considered Mapping performed Statistical Significance Test(s) Model Training Model Complexity Additional Information? ter comments ical Considerations Ethical review Intended Use Consent Form (used for subjective)	Value	Comments/Urls	Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availat (ffitneg.github, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric an implementation that you have used in this work Add any additional information about the quality metrics that might be relevant to the user Which measures are considered to evaluate the performance of the quality metrics (e.g., P. SROCC, RMSE, Kendall, R2, etc.) Is there any transformation/fitting (linear/3rd order polynoimial/logistic regression) perfor before the computation of the performance scores? Was any statistical significance test performed to compare the performance of the metrics compared/evaluated? Was the model(s) trained/retrained? If yes, how? If available, please add how the model complexity was evaluated Add any additional information about model evaluation that might be relevant to the user Please add any additional information about the objective evaluation which is not covered and might be relevant to the reader Description of the field Were any ethical review processes conducted before the dataset creation? Please describe the intended use of this dataset? Link to the sample consent form that was provided to the test subjects before their particip
Model Evaluation Any oth Section V: Eth	Additional Information? Performance Measures Considered Mapping performed Statistical Significance Test(s) Model Training Model Complexity Additional Information? ser comments Ethical Considerations Ethical review Intended Use Consent Form (used for subjective tests)	Value	Comments/Urls	Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availat (ffitneg.github, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric an implementation that you have used in this work Add any additional information about the quality metrics that might be relevant to the user Which measures are considered to evaluate the performance of the quality metrics (e.g., P. SROCC, RMSE, Kendall, R2, etc.) Is there any transformation/fitting (linear/3rd order polynoimial/logistic regression) perfor before the computation of the performance scores? Was any statistical significance test performed to compare the performance of the metrics compared/evaluated? Was the model(s) trained/retrained? If yes, how? If available, please add how the model complexity was evaluated Add any additional information about model evaluation that might be relevant to the user Please add any additional information about the objective evaluation which is not covered and might be relevant to the reader Description of the field Were any ethical review processes conducted before the dataset creation? Please describe the intended use of this dataset? Link to the sample consent form that was provided to the test subjects before their particip if available
Model Evaluation Any oth Section V: Eth Please use this section to describe any Ethical/GDPR	Additional Information? Performance Measures Considered Mapping performed Statistical Significance Test(s) Model Training Model Complexity Additional Information? ter comments ical Considerations Ethical review Intended Use Consent Form (used for subjective tests) Ethical/GDPR concerns?	Value	Comments/Urls	Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availat (ffitnege,github, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric ar implementation that you have used in this work Add any additional information about the quality metrics that might be relevant to the use: Which measures are considered to evaluate the performance of the quality metrics (e.g., P SROCC, RMSE, Kendall, R.2, etc.) Is there any transformation/fitting (linear/3rd order polynoimial/logistic regression) perfor before the computation of the performance scores? Was any statistical significance test performed to compare the performance of the metrics compared/evaluated? Was the model(s) trained/retrained? If yes, how? If available, please add how the model complexity was evaluated Add any additional information about model evaluation that might be relevant to the user Please add any additional information about the objective evaluation which is not covered and might be relevant to the reader Description of the field Were any ethical review processes conducted before the dataset creation? Please describe the intended use of this dataset? Link to the sample consent form that was provided to the test subjects before their participit available Are there any ethical concerns? that might be relevant to the reader/user of this dataset
Model Evaluation Any oth Section V: Eth Please use this section to describe any Ethical/GDPR	Additional Information? Performance Measures Considered Mapping performed Statistical Significance Test(s) Model Training Model Complexity Additional Information? ser comments Ethical Considerations Ethical review Intended Use Consent Form (used for subjective tests)	Value	Comments/Urls	Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availal (ffitnege,github, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric at implementation that you have used in this work Add any additional information about the quality metries that might be relevant to the use Which measures are considered to evaluate the performance of the quality metries (e.g., P SROCC, RMSE, Kendall, R2, etc.) Is there any transformation/fitting (linear/3rd order polynoimial/logistic regression) perfor before the computation of the performance scores? Was any statistical significance test performed to compare the performance of the metrics compared/evaluated? Was the model(s) trained/retrained? If yes, how? If available, please add how the model complexity was evaluated Add any additional information about model evaluation that might be relevant to the user Please add any additional information about the objective evaluation which is not covered and might be relevant to the reader Description of the field Were any ethical review processes conducted before the dataset creation? Please describe the intended use of this dataset? Link to the sample consent form that was provided to the test subjects before their particip if available Are there any ethical concerns? that might be relevant to the reader/user of this dataset
Model Evaluation Any oth Section V: Eth Please use this section to describe any Ethical/GDPR related information	Additional Information? Performance Measures Considered Mapping performed Statistical Significance Test(s) Model Training Model Complexity Additional Information? ter comments ical Considerations Ethical review Intended Use Consent Form (used for subjective tests) Ethical/GDPR concerns?	Value	Comments/Urls	Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availat (ffitneg.github, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric ar implementation that you have used in this work Add any additional information about the quality metrics that might be relevant to the use. Which measures are considered to evaluate the performance of the quality metrics (e.g., P SROCC, RMSE, Kendall, R2, etc.) Is there any transformation/fitting (linear/3rd order polynoimial/logistic regression) perfor before the computation of the performance scores? Was any statistical significance test performed to compare the performance of the metrics compared/evaluated? Was the model(s) trained/retrained? If yes, how? If available, please add how the model complexity was evaluated Add any additional information about model evaluation that might be relevant to the user Please add any additional information about the objective evaluation which is not covered and might be relevant to the reader Description of the field Were any ethical review processes conducted before the dataset creation? Please describe the intended use of this dataset? Link to the sample consent form that was provided to the test subjects before their particip if available Are there any ethical concerns? that might be relevant to the reader/user of this dataset Is there any additional ethical considerations/information that would be relevant to the use
Model Evaluation Any oth Section V: Eth Please use this section to describe any Ethical/GDPR related information	Additional Information? Performance Measures Considered Mapping performed Statistical Significance Test(s) Model Training Model Complexity Additional Information? The comments Ethical Considerations Ethical review Intended Use Consent Form (used for subjective tests) Ethical/GDPR concerns? Additional Comments?		Comments/Urls (as applicable) Additional	Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availat (ffitneggithub, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric arimplementation that you have used in this work Add any additional information about the quality metrics that might be relevant to the user Which measures are considered to evaluate the performance of the quality metrics (e.g., P. SROCC, RMSE, Kendall, R2, etc.) Is there any transformation/fitting (linear/3rd order polynoimial/logistic regression) perfor before the computation of the performance scores? Was any statistical significance test performed to compare the performance of the metrics compared/evaluated? Was the model(s) trained/retrained? If yes, how? If available, please add how the model complexity was evaluated Add any additional information about model evaluation that might be relevant to the user Please add any additional information about the objective evaluation which is not covered and might be relevant to the reader Description of the field Were any ethical review processes conducted before the dataset creation? Please describe the intended use of this dataset? Link to the sample consent form that was provided to the test subjects before their particip if available Are there any ethical concerns? that might be relevant to the reader/user of this dataset Is there any additional ethical considerations/information that would be relevant to the use this dataset?
Model Evaluation Any oth Section V: Eth Please use this section to describe any Ethical/GDPR related information	Additional Information? Performance Measures Considered Mapping performed Statistical Significance Test(s) Model Training Model Complexity Additional Information? Ical Considerations Ethical review Intended Use Consent Form (used for subjective tests) Ethical/GDPR concerns? Additional Comments?		Comments/Urls (as applicable) Additional Comments/Urls	Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availat (ffitnege,github, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric arimplementation that you have used in this work Add any additional information about the quality metrics that might be relevant to the use: Which measures are considered to evaluate the performance of the quality metrics (e.g., P SROCC, RMSE, Kendall, R2, etc.) Is there any transformation/fitting (linear/3rd order polynoimial/logistic regression) perfor before the computation of the performance scores? Was any statistical significance test performed to compare the performance of the metrics compared/evaluated? Was the model(s) trained/retrained? If yes, how? If available, please add how the model complexity was evaluated Add any additional information about model evaluation that might be relevant to the user Please add any additional information about the objective evaluation which is not covered and might be relevant to the reader Description of the field Were any ethical review processes conducted before the dataset creation? Please describe the intended use of this dataset? Link to the sample consent form that was provided to the test subjects before their participit available Are there any ethical concerns? that might be relevant to the reader/user of this dataset Is there any additional ethical considerations/information that would be relevant to the use this dataset? Description of the field Is there any preview/sample sequences available for someone to view/listen to understand dataset? This is useful when the dataset is of huge size and the reader would like to make it would be accompanied.
Model Evaluation Any oth Section V: Eth Please use this section to describe any Ethical/GDPR related information	Additional Information? Performance Measures Considered Mapping performed Statistical Significance Test(s) Model Training Model Complexity Additional Information? Per comments Icial Considerations Ethical review Intended Use Consent Form (used for subjective tests) Ethical/GDPR concerns? Additional Comments?		Comments/Urls (as applicable) Additional Comments/Urls	Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availat (ffitneg.github, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric arimplementation that you have used in this work Add any additional information about the quality metrics that might be relevant to the uses Which measures are considered to evaluate the performance of the quality metrics (e.g., P. SROCC, RMSE, Kendall, R2, etc.) Is there any transformation/fitting (linear/3rd order polynoimial/logistic regression) perfor before the computation of the performance scores? Was any statistical significance test performed to compare the performance of the metrics compared/evaluated? Was the model(s) trained/retrained? If yes, how? If available, please add how the model complexity was evaluated Add any additional information about model evaluation that might be relevant to the user Please add any additional information about the objective evaluation which is not covered and might be relevant to the reader Description of the field Were any ethical review processes conducted before the dataset creation? Please describe the intended use of this dataset? Link to the sample consent form that was provided to the test subjects before their participit available Are there any ethical concerns? that might be relevant to the reader/user of this dataset Is there any additional ethical considerations/information that would be relevant to the use this dataset? Description of the field Is there any preview/sample sequences available for someone to view/listen to understand dataset? This is useful when the dataset is of huge size and the reader would like to make that it suits their requirements before downloading the same.
Model Evaluation Any oth Section V: Eth Please use this section to describe any Ethical/GDPR related information Section VI: Suppl	Additional Information? Performance Measures Considered Mapping performed Statistical Significance Test(s) Model Training Model Complexity Additional Information? Interded Use Consent Form (used for subjective tests) Ethical/GDPR concerns? Additional Comments? Interded Use Consent Form (used for subjective tests) Ethical/Interded Use Consent Form (used for subjective tests) Ethical review (used for subjective tests)		Comments/Urls (as applicable) Additional Comments/Urls	Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availat (ffitnege,github, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric arimplementation that you have used in this work Add any additional information about the quality metrics that might be relevant to the use: Which measures are considered to evaluate the performance of the quality metrics (e.g., P SROCC, RMSE, Kendall, R2, etc.) Is there any transformation/fitting (linear/3rd order polynoimial/logistic regression) perfor before the computation of the performance scores? Was any statistical significance test performed to compare the performance of the metrics compared/evaluated? Was the model(s) trained/retrained? If yes, how? If available, please add how the model complexity was evaluated Add any additional information about model evaluation that might be relevant to the user Please add any additional information about the objective evaluation which is not covered and might be relevant to the reader Description of the field Were any ethical review processes conducted before the dataset creation? Please describe the intended use of this dataset? Link to the sample consent form that was provided to the test subjects before their participit available Are there any ethical concerns? that might be relevant to the reader/user of this dataset Is there any additional ethical considerations/information that would be relevant to the use this dataset? Description of the field Is there any preview/sample sequences available for someone to view/listen to understand dataset? This is useful when the dataset is of huge size and the reader would like to make it would be accompanied.
Model Evaluation Any oth Section V: Eth Please use this section to describe any Ethical/GDPR related information Section VI: Suppl Answer the additional questions mentioned here, as applicable. If there is any	Additional Information? Performance Measures Considered Mapping performed Statistical Significance Test(s) Model Training Model Complexity Additional Information? Inter comments Ethical review Intended Use Consent Form (used for subjective tests) Ethical/GDPR concerns? Additional Comments?		Comments/Urls (as applicable) Additional Comments/Urls	Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availat (ffitneg.github, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric ar implementation that you have used in this work Add any additional information about the quality metrics that might be relevant to the use: Which measures are considered to evaluate the performance of the quality metrics (e.g., P SROCC, RMSE, Kendall, R2, etc.) Is there any transformation/fitting (linear/3rd order polynoimial/logistic regression) perfor before the computation of the performance scores? Was any statistical significance test performed to compare the performance of the metrics compared/evaluated? Was the model(s) trained/retrained? If yes, how? If available, please add how the model complexity was evaluated Add any additional information about model evaluation that might be relevant to the user Please add any additional information about the objective evaluation which is not covered and might be relevant to the reader Description of the field Were any ethical review processes conducted before the dataset creation? Please describe the intended use of this dataset? Link to the sample consent form that was provided to the test subjects before their participit available Are there any ethical concerns? that might be relevant to the reader/user of this dataset Is there any additional ethical considerations/information that would be relevant to the use this dataset? Description of the field Is there any preview/sample sequences available for someone to view/listen to understand dataset? This is useful when the dataset is of huge size and the reader would like to make that it suits their requirements before downloading the same. Company, University, Collaboration
Any oth Section V: Eth Please use this section to describe any Ethical/GDPR related information Section VI: Suppl Answer the additional questions mentioned here, as applicable. If there is any other information that you	Additional Information? Performance Measures Considered Mapping performed Statistical Significance Test(s) Model Training Model Complexity Additional Information? Per comments Ethical Considerations Ethical review Intended Use Consent Form (used for subjective tests) Ethical/GDPR concerns? Additional Comments?		Comments/Urls (as applicable) Additional Comments/Urls	Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availat (ffitneg.github, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric arimplementation that you have used in this work Add any additional information about the quality metrics that might be relevant to the uses Which measures are considered to evaluate the performance of the quality metrics (e.g., P. SROCC, RMSE, Kendall, R2, etc.) Is there any transformation/fitting (linear/3rd order polynoimial/logistic regression) perfor before the computation of the performance scores? Was any statistical significance test performed to compare the performance of the metrics compared/evaluated? Was the model(s) trained/retrained? If yes, how? If available, please add how the model complexity was evaluated Add any additional information about model evaluation that might be relevant to the user Please add any additional information about the objective evaluation which is not covered and might be relevant to the reader Description of the field Were any ethical review processes conducted before the dataset creation? Please describe the intended use of this dataset? Link to the sample consent form that was provided to the test subjects before their participit available Are there any ethical concerns? that might be relevant to the reader/user of this dataset Is there any additional ethical considerations/information that would be relevant to the use this dataset? Description of the field Is there any preview/sample sequences available for someone to view/listen to understand dataset? This is useful when the dataset is of huge size and the reader would like to make that it suits their requirements before downloading the same.
Any oth Section V: Eth Please use this section to describe any Ethical/GDPR related information Section VI: Suppl Answer the additional questions mentioned here, as applicable. If there is any other information that you would like to add, please add it at the end of these	Additional Information? Performance Measures Considered Mapping performed Statistical Significance Test(s) Model Training Model Complexity Additional Information? Intercomments Intercomments Ethical review Intended Use Consent Form (used for subjective tests) Ethical/GDPR concerns? Additional Comments? Intercomments Are preview/web version of source videos available? Who created the dataset? Who funded the creation of the dataset? Is there anything in the dataset that is confidential or might		Comments/Urls (as applicable) Additional Comments/Urls	Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availat (ffitneg.github, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric ar implementation that you have used in this work Add any additional information about the quality metrics that might be relevant to the use: Which measures are considered to evaluate the performance of the quality metrics (e.g., P SROCC, RMSE, Kendall, R2, etc.) Is there any transformation/fitting (linear/3rd order polynoimial/logistic regression) perfor before the computation of the performance scores? Was any statistical significance test performed to compare the performance of the metrics compared/evaluated? Was the model(s) trained/retrained? If yes, how? If available, please add how the model complexity was evaluated Add any additional information about model evaluation that might be relevant to the user Please add any additional information about the objective evaluation which is not covered and might be relevant to the reader Description of the field Were any ethical review processes conducted before the dataset creation? Please describe the intended use of this dataset? Link to the sample consent form that was provided to the test subjects before their participit available Are there any ethical concerns? that might be relevant to the reader/user of this dataset Is there any additional ethical considerations/information that would be relevant to the use this dataset? Description of the field Is there any preview/sample sequences available for someone to view/listen to understand dataset? This is useful when the dataset is of huge size and the reader would like to make that it suits their requirements before downloading the same. Company, University, Collaboration
Any oth Section V: Eth Please use this section to describe any Ethical/GDPR related information Section VI: Suppl Answer the additional questions mentioned here, as applicable. If there is any other information that you would like to add, please add	Additional Information? Performance Measures Considered Mapping performed Statistical Significance Test(s) Model Training Model Complexity Additional Information? Interded Use Consent Form (used for subjective tests) Ethical/GDPR concerns? Additional Comments? Interded Use Consent Form (used for subjective tests) Ethical/GDPR concerns? Additional Comments? Interded Use Interded Us		Comments/Urls (as applicable) Additional Comments/Urls	Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availal (ffitneg-github, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric at implementation that you have used in this work Add any additional information about the quality metries that might be relevant to the use Which measures are considered to evaluate the performance of the quality metries (e.g., P SROCC, RMSE, Kendall, R2, etc.) Is there any transformation/fitting (linear/3rd order polynoimial/logistic regression) perforbefore the computation of the performance scores? Was any statistical significance test performed to compare the performance of the metrics compared/evaluated? Was the model(s) trained/retrained? If yes, how? If available, please add how the model complexity was evaluated Add any additional information about model evaluation that might be relevant to the user Please add any additional information about the objective evaluation which is not covered and might be relevant to the reader Description of the field Were any ethical review processes conducted before the dataset creation? Please describe the intended use of this dataset? Link to the sample consent form that was provided to the test subjects before their participate available Are there any ethical concerns? that might be relevant to the reader/user of this dataset. Is there any additional ethical considerations/information that would be relevant to the use this dataset? Description of the field Is there any preview/sample sequences available for someone to view/listen to understand dataset? This is useful when the dataset is of huge size and the reader would like to make that it suits their requirements before downloading the same. Company, University, Collaboration Project, company funding, self-funded, no funding For example, is the dataset meant only for research use and non-commercial usage
Any oth Section V: Eth Please use this section to describe any Ethical/GDPR related information Section VI: Suppl Answer the additional questions mentioned here, as applicable. If there is any other information that you would like to add, please add it at the end of these	Additional Information? Performance Measures Considered Mapping performed Statistical Significance Test(s) Model Training Model Complexity Additional Information? Intercomments Intercomments Ethical review Intended Use Consent Form (used for subjective tests) Ethical/GDPR concerns? Additional Comments? Intercomments Are preview/web version of source videos available? Who created the dataset? Who funded the creation of the dataset? Is there anything in the dataset that is confidential or might		Comments/Urls (as applicable) Additional Comments/Urls	Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availat (ffitneg-github, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric ar implementation that you have used in this work Add any additional information about the quality metrics that might be relevant to the use: Which measures are considered to evaluate the performance of the quality metrics (e.g., P SROCC, RMSE, Kendall, R2, etc.) Is there any transformation/fitting (linear/3rd order polynoimial/logistic regression) perfor before the computation of the performance scores? Was any statistical significance test performed to compare the performance of the metrics compared/evaluated? Was the model(s) trained/retrained? If yes, how? If available, please add how the model complexity was evaluated Add any additional information about model evaluation that might be relevant to the user Please add any additional information about the objective evaluation which is not covered and might be relevant to the reader Description of the field Were any ethical review processes conducted before the dataset creation? Please describe the intended use of this dataset? Link to the sample consent form that was provided to the test subjects before their participit available Are there any ethical concerns? that might be relevant to the reader/user of this dataset Is there any additional ethical considerations/information that would be relevant to the use this dataset? This is useful when the dataset is of huge size and the reader would like to make: that it suits their requirements before downloading the same. Company, University, Collaboration Project, company funding, self-funded, no funding For example, is the dataset meant only for research use and non-commercial usage
Any oth Section V: Eth Please use this section to describe any Ethical/GDPR related information Section VI: Supple Answer the additional questions mentioned here, as applicable. If there is any other information that you would like to add, please add it at the end of these	Additional Information? Performance Measures Considered Mapping performed Statistical Significance Test(s) Model Training Model Complexity Additional Information? Interded Use Consent Form (used for subjective tests) Ethical/GDPR concerns? Additional Comments? Additional Comments? Who graded the dataset? Who funded the creation of the dataset? Is there anything in the dataset that is confidential or might restrict its usage? Who performed the subjective tests? Existing Works where the dataset Existing Works where the dataset		Comments/Urls (as applicable) Additional Comments/Urls	Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availat (ffitneg-github, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric arimplementation that you have used in this work Add any additional information about the quality metrics that might be relevant to the user Which measures are considered to evaluate the performance of the quality metrics (e.g., P. SROCC, RMSE, Kendall, R.2, etc.) Is there any transformation/fitting (linear/3rd order polynoimial/logistic regression) perfor before the computation of the performance scores? Was any statistical significance test performed to compare the performance of the metrics compared/evaluated? Was the model(s) trained/retrained? If yes, how? If available, please add how the model complexity was evaluated Add any additional information about model evaluation that might be relevant to the user Please add any additional information about the objective evaluation which is not covered and might be relevant to the reader Description of the field Were any ethical review processes conducted before the dataset creation? Please describe the intended use of this dataset? Link to the sample consent form that was provided to the test subjects before their particip if available Are there any ethical concerns? that might be relevant to the reader/user of this dataset Is there any additional ethical considerations/information that would be relevant to the use this dataset? Description of the field Is there any preview/sample sequences available for someone to view/listen to understand dataset? This is useful when the dataset is of huge size and the reader would like to make sthat it suits their requirements before downloading the same. Company, University, Collaboration Project, company funding, self-funded, no funding For example, is the dataset meant only for research use and non-commercial usage Was the subjective test performed by the authors/creators of the dataset? Or was it outsour an external 3rd-p
Any oth Section V: Eth Please use this section to describe any Ethical/GDPR related information Section VI: Suppl Answer the additional questions mentioned here, as applicable. If there is any other information that you would like to add, please add it at the end of these	Additional Information? Performance Measures Considered Mapping performed Statistical Significance Test(s) Model Training Model Complexity Additional Information? Intercomments Ethical review Intended Use Consent Form (used for subjective tests) Ethical/GDPR concerns? Additional Comments? Intercomments Intended Use Consent Form (used for subjective tests)		Comments/Urls (as applicable) Additional Comments/Urls	Add the list of urls to the open-source implementation links for the metrics used, if availab (ffinge.github, commercial, proprietary, etc.). Please also add the version of the metric an implementation that you have used in this work Add any additional information about the quality metrics that might be relevant to the user Which measures are considered to evaluate the performance of the quality metrics (e.g., PI SROCC, RMSE, Kendall, R2, etc.) Is there any transformation/fitting (linear/3rd order polynoimial/logistic regression) perform before the computation of the performance scores? Was any statistical significance test performed to compare the performance of the metrics compared/evaluated? Was the model(s) trained/retrained? If yes, how? If available, please add how the model complexity was evaluated Add any additional information about model evaluation that might be relevant to the user Please add any additional information about the objective evaluation which is not covered and might be relevant to the reader Description of the field Were any ethical review processes conducted before the dataset creation? Please describe the intended use of this dataset? Link to the sample consent form that was provided to the test subjects before their participal fravailable Are there any ethical concerns? that might be relevant to the reader/user of this dataset Is there any additional ethical considerations/information that would be relevant to the user this dataset? Description of the field Is there any preview/sample sequences available for someone to view/listen to understand dataset? This is useful when the dataset is of huge size and the reader would like to make s that it suits their requirements before downloading the same. Company, University, Collaboration Project, company funding, self-funded, no funding For example, is the dataset meant only for research use and non-commercial usage Was the subjective test performed by the authors/creators of the dataset? Or was it outsour.

Please use this space to add	Note 2	Additional Comments/Information					
any additional information that you think might be	Note 3	Additional Comments/Information					
that you tilling in oc	Note 4	Additional Comments/Information					
was not covered in this	Note 5	Additional Comments/Information					
datasheet questionnaire	Note 6	Additional Comments/Information					
	-						
	References						
[1]							
[2]							
[3]							
[4]							
[5]							
[6]							
[7]							
[8]							
[9]							
[10]							
Bibliography (provides references which are used in the template description)							
Ref-ITUP913	ITU-T Rec. Methods for the subjective assessment of video quality, audio quality and audiovisual quality of Internet video and distribution quality television in any environment. https://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC-P.913-202106-1 . June 2021						
Ref-LPIPS	Zhang, R., Isola, P., Efros, A. A., Shechtman, E., and Wang, O., "LEARNED PERCEPTUAL IMAGE PATCH SIMILARITY (LPIPS)." https://torchmetrics.readthedocs.io/en/stable/image/learned_perceptual_image_patch_similarity.html (2023)						
Ref-Pezulli	S. Pezzulli, M. G. Martini and N. Barman, "Estimation of Quality Scores From Subjective Tests-Beyond Subjects' MOS," in IEEE Transactions on Multimedia, vol. 23, pp. 2505-2519, 2021, doi: 10.1109/TMM.2020.3013349.						
Ref-SSIM	Wang, Z., Bovik, A. C., Sheikh, H. R., and Simoncelli, E. P., "Image Quality Assessment: From Error Visibility to Structural Similarity," IEEE Transactions on Image Processing 13(4), 600–612 (2004)						
Ref-VMAF	Netflix, "VMAF - Video Multi-Method Assessment Fusion," https://github.com/Netflix/vmaf						
Where not explicitly mentioned, this proposed template uses terminologies as defined in ITU-T P.10/G.100 and in other related ITU-T Rec (P.910, P.913, P.1401) and ITU-R BT.500							
	End of the Datasheet						