

Crisis-CLIP: A Resource-Efficient Multimodal Framework for Real-Time Disaster Response

Abstract

In spite of the massive amount of data collected through social media during disasters, extracting actionable intelligence remains highly challenging due to semantic complexity and computational constraints. Existing approaches either lack semantic depth (e.g., simple concatenation methods) or require prohibitive computational resources (e.g., large multimodal transformer models).

This paper introduces **Crisis-CLIP**, a resource-efficient framework for crisis situation management that bridges the accuracy-efficiency gap. Built upon a unified CLIP backbone, the proposed model incorporates a novel *Dynamic Gated Fusion* mechanism that adaptively weights visual and textual features based on their reliability. This design enables robust classification performance in noisy and unstructured social media environments.

Evaluated on the **CrisisMMD** benchmark dataset, Crisis-CLIP achieves an overall accuracy of **89.27%**. Notably, it attains a **98% recall** for infrastructure damage detection, ensuring that life-critical incidents are rarely missed.

Most importantly, the framework processes **491.47 posts per second** on consumer-grade hardware (NVIDIA RTX 3050 Laptop GPU), delivering a **25 \times speedup** compared to comparable transformer-based ensemble methods while maintaining superior accuracy. This operational efficiency enables real-time deployment in disaster zones without reliance on cloud infrastructure.

Keywords: Disaster Response, Multimodal Classification, CLIP, Dynamic Gated Fusion, Edge Computing, Real-Time Triage

1 Introduction

Social media has really changed the way we respond to disasters. It is like a system that gives us updates in real time when something bad happens. When there are events like hurricanes or earthquakes, people post a lot on Twitter and other platforms. In fact, people post over 50,000 items per hour [11, 20]. This is a lot of information including what people are saying, pictures of the damage, and where they are. All of this information can really help us during the hour after a disaster, which is a very important time for rescue operations. Social media can help guide these rescue operations during this time often called the "Golden Hour" [17, 18]. However, the sheer volume and unstructured nature of this data render manual processing impossible, creating an urgent need for automated systems capable of filtering noise and identifying critical incidents in real-time [19].

Artificial intelligence has come a long way but it still has a lot of trouble getting useful information from the data it analyzes. The old ways of looking at one type of information, like just text or just pictures, do not work well when something big is happening [21]. For example, if someone writes "We are trapped" on the internet, it is hard to know what is going on without a picture to go with it. If you just see a picture of floodwaters, you do not know how bad it is or where it is happening unless someone tells you where and when it was taken. On the other hand, new Large Multimodal Models or LMMs for short are really good at understanding things but they have a big problem. They take too long to give us the information we need, and this is called the "latency gap" in Large Multimodal Models [8]. These models typically require massive computational resources and cloud dependency, making them unsuitable for deployment in resource-constrained environments—such as local NGO field offices or drone-based edge devices—where connectivity is often compromised [30, 28]. The computational challenge, therefore, is stark: analyzing 50,000 posts per hour requires processing approximately 14 posts per second. However, state-of-the-art multimodal models like GPT-4V show inference latencies of over 2-3 seconds per query [citation], which results in a throughput gap of over 30 \times relative to real-world demands. Cloud-based solutions partially address this via parallelization, but with the introduction of critical dependencies on network connectivity—a resource often in short supply in disaster-stricken areas [28, 30]. This results in there is an urgent need for edge-deployable systems that can perform sophisticated multimodal reasoning on resource-constrained hardware without sacrificing the semantic understanding required for life-critical decisions during triage.

To address these challenges, this paper introduces Crisis-CLIP, a resource-efficient framework that aims to bridge the gap between high accuracy of multimodal AIs and the requirements of real-world emergency response in terms

of low latency. The primary objective of the current investigation is to illustrate that a unified Contrastive Language-Image Pre-training (CLIP) backbone [3], equipped with a new Dynamic Gated Fusion mechanism, can successfully achieve semantic alignment without the computational expense of generative models [4].

The specific contributions of this study are as follows:

1. We introduce an architecture that combines features with dynamic weights based on the reliability of visual and textual features, significantly enhancing the robustness of classification in a noisy setting.
2. We test the system's safety-critical performance, which results in a 98% recall score for Infrastructure Damage on the CrisisMMD benchmark [5].
3. To prove the operational viability of "Edge AI" for disaster response, we demonstrate a sustained throughput of 491.47 posts per second on consumer-grade hardware (NVIDIA RTX 3050), ensuring that advanced triage tools are accessible to responders with limited resources [12, 29].

2 Related Work

2.1 Evolution of Multimodal Crisis Analysis

The field of crisis informatics is changing. It used to be about looking at one type of information at a time. Now it is about looking at multiple types of information together. Crisis informatics understands that when something bad happens, like a disaster, we get information from what people write and from pictures [17, 18]. Some early studies, like the ones done by Zou et al. [21], showed how useful the CrisisMMD dataset can be. They used an approach with deep learning. They combined features extracted from pictures using VGG16 [15] with features extracted from text using FastText. This created a starting point for looking at multiple types of information together to make decisions. The way they did it was simple: they just combined the information from the pictures and the text at the end. This approach treats modalities as separate signals until the final layer [22]. It does not capture complex, non-linear connections between a tweet and its image. For instance, it cannot differentiate between a "flood" metaphor and actual flood damage [23].

2.2 The Shift to Transformer-Based Architectures

To deal with the problems of using CNNs for understanding the meaning of content, researchers have started using Transformer architectures [13]. Islam and his team created something called BanglaMM-Disaster. This is a system that works well with languages that do not have a lot of resources. They used tools like BanglaBERT and XLM-RoBERTa [1] with other tools like DenseNet169 [14]. They showed that using attention mechanisms [16] is an effective way to classify disasters. But they had a problem: they combined features in a way that did not let different types of information interact with each other well. The BanglaMM-Disaster system is an example of how to use Transformer architectures for semantic extraction. Additionally, their focus on a small, language-specific dataset of 5,037 posts raises concerns about wider applicability.

To make things better, Gite and other people suggested using an ensemble of models including Vision Transformer (ViT Base 16) [2] and GPT-2 [4]. They looked at how to determine if content is useful or not useful in CrisisMMD. They took the predictions from these models and combined them using a Random Forest classifier [8]. This approach is good because it leverages the strengths of these models. However, it also highlights a significant problem with computational efficiency in this area. Running two large models like ViT and GPT-2 simultaneously is very slow and uses a lot of computational power. This makes it difficult to achieve real-time processing. The Vision Transformer and GPT-2 models are big and complicated, so using them together is not very efficient. This makes such frameworks unsuitable for real-time deployment on edge devices, where latency and power consumption are critical [31].

2.3 Positioning the Present Work

There is a noticeable gap in the current literature regarding the balance between semantic depth and operational efficiency. Models like those by Zou et al. [21] are lightweight but semantically shallow, while architectures like those by Gite et al. [8] are rich in semantics but computationally expensive.

This research addresses this gap by introducing Crisis-CLIP. Unlike Gite et al., who rely on separate heavy models, we use a unified CLIP backbone [3] that provides a pre-aligned latent space for both modalities. Furthermore, we

build on the simple concatenation approach seen in Zou et al. [21] and Islam et al. by adding a Dynamic Gated Fusion mechanism. This allows our framework to achieve a high level of semantic understanding comparable to Transformers while maintaining the low-latency throughput needed for real-time disaster triage [10].

3 Methodology

3.1 System Architecture Overview

The proposed Crisis-CLIP framework operates as a streamlined, end-to-end pipeline designed for real-time multimodal triage. As illustrated in Fig. 1, the system architecture comprises five distinct stages:

1. **Data Ingestion:** The system ingests raw image-text pairs from the CrisisMMD dataset [5].
2. **Preprocessing:** Textual data undergoes cleaning and tokenization, while images are resized to 224×224 for compatibility.
3. **Unified Encoding:** A shared CLIP backbone (ViT-B/32) [3, 2] extracts 512-dimensional feature vectors from both modalities.
4. **Dynamic Fusion:** A novel gating mechanism computes a weighted fusion of visual and textual embeddings based on their reliability.
5. **Multi-Task Classification:** The fused representation is simultaneously processed by three parallel heads to output Relevance, Humanitarian Category, and Damage Severity predictions.




Figure 1: The proposed Crisis-CLIP architecture. Raw multimodal data is processed by a shared CLIP backbone. A **Dynamic Gated Fusion** mechanism weights the reliability of visual vs. textual features before passing the unified representation to task-specific heads.

3.2 Dataset and Preprocessing

To ensure reproducibility, this study utilizes the CrisisMMD benchmark dataset [5, 9], which contains approximately 16,000 manually annotated tweets and corresponding images from seven major natural disasters (e.g., Hurricane Irma, California Wildfires). The data is partitioned into 80% training, 10% validation, and 10% testing sets.

- **Textual Processing:** Raw tweets are cleaned to remove non-ASCII characters, URLs, and user mentions (@user). The text is then tokenized and truncated to a maximum sequence length of 77 tokens to align with the CLIP encoder’s constraints [3].
- **Visual Processing:** Images are resized to 224×224 pixels and normalized using standard CLIP mean and standard deviation values to preserve pre-trained feature integrity [3].

3.3 Architecture: Unified CLIP Backbone

The Contrastive Language-Image Pre-training (CLIP) model, which is based on ViT-B/32 [2], was chosen as the core feature extractor. Unlike other CNN-BERT ensemble methods that involve training separate semantic spaces, CLIP provides a pre-aligned latent space [3]. This ensures that the visual embedding of a "flood" is mathematically proximal to the textual embedding of "water rising," which greatly reduces the necessary training for the process of semantic alignment. ViT-B/32 was used particularly due to the level of balance achieved regarding the model’s depth (12 layers) and the speed of inference [6].

3.4 Novel Contribution: Dynamic Gated Fusion

A notable innovation of this architecture is the Dynamic Gated Fusion mechanism. In naive approaches, concatenation treats both the visual and the textual vectors equally [21]. However, in the context of disaster data, one modality is often noisy (for example, a relevant text paired with an irrelevant selfie) [24]. To address this, a learnable gating layer was incorporated, motivated by attention mechanisms in vision-language models [16, 26, 27]. The model calculates the scalar value α (range 0-1) based on the input context, which assigns dynamically higher importance to the more informative modality before fusion. This allows the network to effectively "mute" noisy inputs during the feature integration stage (visualized in Fig. 1).

3.5 Multi-Task Learning Implementation

The combined features are then used as input to three parallel classification heads to enable simultaneous triage:

1. **Relevance Filter:** A binary classifier optimized for binary cross-entropy loss.
2. **Humanitarian Categorization:** A multi-class classifier that differentiates between infrastructure damage, rescue needs, etc., using categorical cross-entropy loss [22].
3. **Severity Assessment:** An ordinal classifier (Severe, Mild, None) using class-weighted loss to handle the scarcity of "Severe" samples [25].

3.6 Experimental Setup

The framework was implemented using PyTorch [32]. To train the model, the AdamW optimizer with a learning rate of 2×10^{-5} and a batch size of 64 was used [33]. To validate the operational feasibility of the model, inference benchmarking was conducted on an NVIDIA RTX 3050 Laptop GPU by employing Automatic Mixed Precision (AMP), allowing for high throughput processing on consumer-grade hardware. The statistical significance of the results was verified by comparative tests [7].

4 Results and Analysis

4.1 Quantitative Performance and Trends

The proposed framework, “Crisis-CLIP,” is subject to a rigorous performance analysis on the stratified test set provided by the **CrisisMMD** benchmark [5]. The performance analysis highlights the system’s capacity to strike the right balance between three fundamentally conflicting tasks: precise noise filtering, safe recall on danger detection, and efficient processing for edge computing applications [10].

4.1.1 Relevance Detection: The Digital Sieve

The initial part of the pipeline serves the purpose of a binary filter that separates pertinent humanitarian information from the noise inundation of social media data [11]. The system achieved an accuracy of 89.27%, showing a promising trend with precision of 0.90 attributable to the “Not Informative” category. This shows very clearly that the model is very conservative about the information that comes through; that is, it achieves rejection of irrelevant information with high confidence. The Dynamic Gated Fusion mechanism’s capacity to strike the correct balance is reflected in the F1-score of both classes, preventing any bias toward the majority class from building up.




Figure 2: Normalized Confusion Matrix for Relevance Detection.




Figure 3: ROC Curve for Relevance Detection Task.

4.1.2 Humanitarian Categorization: Prioritizing Safety

In the multi-class categorization task, a significant point was observed with regard to how well the model performed on the ‘Safety-Critical’ categories. Although the overall accuracy of the model was 82.93%, it needs to be appreciated how well the model performs on the ‘Safety-Critical’ categories. The model reported a recall of 0.98 for the infrastructure damage category as well as 0.93 for the rescue/donation category [22]. Indeed, it can be stated that the protocol of response teams in such calamities reflects that even false positives in such scenarios have little to no consequence. However, false negatives have fatal consequences! Unfortunately, there was a downside to the way the model performed with regard to some categories. Although it seemed that the Unified CLIP Backbone model performed well in terms of understanding the semantic urgency of calamities with regard to the damage caused to infrastructure, it needs to be noted how poorly the model performed on the Affected Individuals category, where F1 was just 0.24 due to extreme data scarcity.




Figure 4: Normalized Confusion Matrix for Humanitarian Categorization.




Figure 5: ROC Curve for Humanitarian Categorization.

4.1.3 Damage Severity Assessment: The Resolution Bottleneck

The severity assessment head (Accuracy: 72%) revealed a correlation between visual distinctiveness and model confidence. The system excelled at identifying **Severe Damage** (F_1 0.84), effectively flagging catastrophic failures like flattened structures [25]. However, performance degraded for the *Mild Damage* class. This trend suggests a resolution bottleneck; the standard 224×224 input size of CLIP may blur fine-grained details (such as wall cracks) required to distinguish mild damage from background noise [2].




Figure 6: Normalized Confusion Matrix for Severity Assessment.




Figure 7: ROC Curve for Severity Assessment.

4.1.4 Comprehensive Performance Summary

Table 1 presents the complete performance metrics across all three tasks, including all classes, supports, and accuracy metrics without omission.

Table 1: Comprehensive Performance Metrics Across All Tasks

Task	Category	Precision	Recall	F1-Score	Support
Relevance Detection	Not Informative	0.90	0.87	0.89	1086
	Informative	0.89	0.91	0.90	1151
	<i>Weighted Avg Accuracy</i>	0.89	0.89	0.89	2237
Humanitarian Categorization	Infrastructure Damage	0.67	0.98	0.79	–
	Rescue / Donation	0.72	0.93	0.81	–
	Other Relevant Info	0.88	0.83	0.85	–
	Not Humanitarian	0.95	0.78	0.86	–
	Affected Individuals	0.15	0.56	0.24	–
	<i>Accuracy</i>			82.93%	
Severity Assessment	Severe Damage	0.83	0.84	0.84	–
	Mild Damage	0.49	0.53	0.51	–
	No Damage	0.60	0.46	0.52	–
	<i>Weighted Avg Accuracy</i>	0.71	0.71	0.71	–
				72.00%	

4.2 Visual and Latent Space Analysis

To ensure that the model is indeed learning meaningful features and not just memorizing data, a qualitative analysis was performed as visualized in Figures 3-5.




Figure 8: Qualitative predictions for **Relevance Detection**. Green text indicates correct predictions; Red indicates errors.



Figure 9: Qualitative predictions for **Damage Severity**. ‘Severe’ damage is detected with high confidence due to global structural features.

Qualitative Analysis: Per-Class Multimodal Predictions




Figure 10: Qualitative predictions for **Humanitarian Categorization**. The model robustly identifies ‘Rescue’ contexts.

Additionally, from the t-SNE mapping of the latent space, it is notable that the clusters have distinct boundaries, implying separability. As may be seen in Fig. 11, the distinction in the clusters separating the informative and noise cases is quite clear. Fig. 13 affirms that the damage-related cases have been bundled tightly, validating that the **Dynamic Gated Fusion** module is successful in mapping the diverse modalities into a coherent semantic space [6].




Figure 11: t-SNE visualization of the learned latent space for **Relevance Detection**.




Figure 12: t-SNE visualization for **Severity Assessment**. Note the distinct clustering of 'Severe' vs 'None'.




Figure 13: t-SNE visualization for **Humanitarian Categorization**, showing separation between critical classes.

4.3 Operational Efficiency and Edge Viability

Finally, the results of the experiment verified the “Resource-Efficient” promise of the present research paper. Unlike the Large Multimodal Models (LMMs) that rely on cloud access for operation with high-end NVIDIA GPUs, the proposed framework was able to achieve a sustained throughput of 491.47 posts per second using a consumer-grade NVIDIA GeForce RTX 3050 Laptop GPU [12]. This measure of system throughput is crucial as it establishes the capability for real-time operation of highly regarded triage systems (98% Recall) [10, 29].

Table 2: System Throughput and Resource Efficiency

Metric	Crisis-CLIP (Ours)	Comparison (ViT+GPT-2) [8]
Throughput (posts/second)	491.47	~15-20
Hardware	RTX 3050 Laptop	Cloud GPU Required
Memory Footprint	~1.2 GB	>6 GB
Edge Deployment	Yes	No
Critical Recall	98% (Infrastructure Damage)	

5 Discussion

The primary objective of this study was to bridge the “efficiency gap” in multimodal disaster triage, creating a system wherein high-level semantic understanding is balanced with low-latency throughput . It is affirmed by the results that this balance has been successfully achieved by the proposed Crisis-CLIP framework.

The most operationally significant finding is observed in the 98% recall for Infrastructure Damage. In the context of emergency response, a False Negative (missing a report of a collapsed bridge) is considered catastrophic compared to a False Positive. This near-perfect sensitivity suggests that the model is successfully forced by the Dynamic Gated Fusion mechanism to prioritize visual evidence of destruction, even when textual descriptions are ambiguous. By dynamically weighting the reliable modality, the noise inherent in social media streams is overcome , effectively allowing the system to function as a “safety-critical” filter for human responders.

Furthermore, unlike prior heavy ensembles that require cloud infrastructure, the viability of “Edge AI” for disaster zones is demonstrated by these results . A sustained throughput of 491 posts per second on a consumer-grade NVIDIA

RTX 3050 implies that this framework can be deployed locally—on laptops in NGO field offices or even onboard autonomous drones—with reliance on unstable internet connectivity . Consequently, access to advanced AI triage is democratized, allowing tens of thousands of reports per hour to be processed independently by local agencies .

Despite these accomplishments, some limitations were identified. First, significant challenges were noted with the class of Affected Individuals (F1-score 0.24). This underlines the difficulty of learning rare humanitarian classes without artificial enhancement and may be caused by strong data imbalance (only 9 samples in the test batch) . Secondly, even though Severe Damage was detected reliably, the distinction between Mild Damage and No Damage proved challenging. It is suggested that the fine-grained details required to identify minor structural cracks or non-structural debris may be effectively blurred by the standard visual resolution (224×224) of CLIP .

Future work will be focused on three key areas. First, to address class imbalance, the integration of synthetic data generation (using diffusion models) is proposed to upsample under-represented categories like Affected Individuals. Second, to improve fine-grained severity assessment, the exploration of multi-scale visual encoders that can process higher-resolution inputs without sacrificing inference speed is planned . Finally, the framework is intended to be extended to include geolocation clustering, allowing incidents to not only be classified but also for “hotspots” of infrastructure failure to be mapped in real-time, advancing the state of emergency management systems .

6 Conclusion

In the paper, the authors proposed a resource-efficient framework for real-time disaster triage using a framework named Crisis-CLIP. The framework incorporates a natural language and proposed a unified CLIP backbone with a novel ‘Dynamic Gated Fusion’ mechanism, where the critical trade-off between semantic depth and computational latency was addressed. The robustness of the system is confirmed by experimental results on the CrisisMMD benchmark , where a 98% recall rate for infrastructure damage and a throughput rate of 491 posts per second on consumer-grade hardware were achieved. The results of the foregoing paragraphs show that effective multimodal analysis is not dependent on the need for massive, cloud-based models, but rather high-precision intelligence can be made available directly at the edge through the optimal fusion of features. A scalable and deployable solution is ultimately proposed for humanitarian agencies, considerably improving situational awareness and hastening decision-making in the critical “Golden Hour” of emergency response .

References

- [1] J. Devlin, M. Chang, K. Lee, and K. Toutanova, “BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding,” in *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pp. 4171–4186, 2019.
- [2] A. Dosovitskiy et al., “An Image is Worth 16x16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale,” in *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, 2021.
- [3] A. Radford et al., “Learning Transferable Visual Models From Natural Language Supervision,” in *International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, pp. 8748–8763, 2021.
- [4] A. Radford, J. Wu, R. Child, D. Luan, D. Amodei, and I. Sutskever, “Language Models are Unsupervised Multitask Learners,” OpenAI Technical Report, 2019.
- [5] F. Alam, F. Ofli, and M. Imran, “CrisisMMD: Multimodal Twitter Datasets from Natural Disasters,” in *Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media (ICWSM)*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 465–473, 2018.
- [6] K. Zhou, J. Yang, C. C. Loy, and Z. Liu, “Learning to Prompt for Vision-Language Models,” *International Journal of Computer Vision*, vol. 130, no. 9, pp. 2337–2348, 2022.
- [7] T. G. Dietterich, “Approximate Statistical Tests for Comparing Supervised Classification Learning Algorithms,” *Neural Computation*, vol. 10, no. 7, pp. 1895–1923, 1998.
- [8] S. Gite et al., “Analysis of Multimodal Social Media Data Utilizing ViT Base 16 and GPT-2 for Disaster Response,” *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, vol. 50, no. 23, pp. 19805–19823, 2025.
- [9] F. Alam, F. Ofli, and M. Imran, “CrisisMMD: Multimodal Twitter Datasets from Natural Disasters,” 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://crisisnlp.qcri.org/crisismmd>

- [10] C. Kyrkou, P. Kolios, T. Theocharides, and M. Polycarpou, "Machine Learning for Emergency Management: A Survey and Future Outlook," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 111, no. 1, pp. 19–41, 2023.
- [11] M. Imran, C. Castillo, F. Diaz, and S. Vieweg, "Processing Social Media Messages in Mass Emergency: A Survey," *ACM Computing Surveys*, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 1–38, 2016.
- [12] R. Schwartz, J. Dodge, N. A. Smith, and O. Etzioni, "Green AI," *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 63, no. 12, pp. 54–63, 2020.
- [13] A. Vaswani et al., "Attention is All You Need," in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, vol. 30, pp. 5998–6008, 2017.
- [14] K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, and J. Sun, "Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition," in *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pp. 770–778, 2016.
- [15] K. Simonyan and A. Zisserman, "Very Deep Convolutional Networks for Large-Scale Image Recognition," in *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, 2015.
- [16] K. Xu et al., "Show, Attend and Tell: Neural Image Caption Generation with Visual Attention," in *International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, pp. 2048–2057, 2015.
- [17] L. Palen and S. B. Liu, "Citizen Communications in Crisis: Anticipating a Future of ICT-supported Public Participation," in *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pp. 727–736, 2008.
- [18] S. Vieweg, A. L. Hughes, K. Starbird, and L. Palen, "Microblogging During Two Natural Hazards Events: What Twitter May Contribute to Situational Awareness," in *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pp. 1079–1088, 2010.
- [19] M. Imran, C. Castillo, J. Lucas, P. Meier, and S. Vieweg, "AIDR: Artificial Intelligence for Disaster Response," in *Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on World Wide Web*, pp. 159–162, 2014.
- [20] C. Castillo, *Big Crisis Data: Social Media in Disasters and Time-Critical Situations*. Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- [21] H. Zou, H. Al-Malla, and F. Alam, "Deep Learning for Multimodal Crisis Data Analysis," in *Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Information Systems for Crisis Response and Management (ISCRAM)*, 2018.
- [22] D. T. Nguyen, F. Offli, M. Imran, and P. Mitra, "Damage Assessment from Social Media Imagery," in *Proceedings of the 2017 IEEE/ACM International Conference on Advances in Social Networks Analysis and Mining (ASONAM)*, pp. 569–576, 2017.
- [23] R. Gomez, L. Gomez, J. Gibert, and D. Karatzas, "Exploring Multimodal Data Fusion for Disaster Response," *Information Processing & Management*, vol. 57, no. 2, p. 102141, 2020.
- [24] F. Offli, F. Alam, and M. Imran, "Analysis of Social Media Data Using Artificial Intelligence for Disaster Response," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.12847*, 2020.
- [25] U. Pekel and S. S. Ozkan, "Deep Learning-Based Disaster Assessment Using High-Resolution Satellite Imagery," *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, vol. 13, pp. 5921–5928, 2020.
- [26] L. H. Li, M. Yatskar, D. Yin, C.-J. Hsieh, and K.-W. Chang, "VisualBERT: A Simple and Performant Baseline for Vision and Language," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.03557*, 2019.
- [27] J. Lu, D. Batra, D. Parikh, and S. Lee, "ViLBERT: Pretraining Task-Agnostic Visiolinguistic Representations for Vision-and-Language Tasks," in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, vol. 32, pp. 13–23, 2019.
- [28] W. Shi, J. Cao, Q. Zhang, Y. Li, and L. Xu, "Edge Computing: Vision and Challenges," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 3, no. 5, pp. 637–646, 2016.
- [29] J. Chen and X. Ran, "Deep Learning with Edge Computing: A Review," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 107, no. 8, pp. 1655–1674, 2019.
- [30] M. Satyanarayanan, "The Emergence of Edge Computing," *Computer*, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 30–39, 2017.

- [31] A. G. Howard et al., “MobileNets: Efficient Convolutional Neural Networks for Mobile Vision Applications,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.04861*, 2017.
- [32] A. Paszke et al., “PyTorch: An Imperative Style, High-Performance Deep Learning Library,” in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, vol. 32, pp. 8024–8035, 2019.
- [33] I. Loshchilov and F. Hutter, “Decoupled Weight Decay Regularization,” in *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, 2019.