Adaptive Kalman Filtering for Evolving Syntax Rules in Canary Song

Nadav Elami

2023

Abstract

Canary songs exhibit rich, history-dependent phrase sequencing whose syntax rules drift on timescales from a few songs to several weeks. We present an adaptive Kalman–EM algorithm that **learns** a block-diagonal state-transition matrix **F** and control vector **u** while tracking time-varying logit vectors that govern soft-max transition probabilities between song phrases. By unifying Bayes' rule, the Chapman–Kolmogorov equation, and a soft-max observation model, the filter–smoother achieves sub-song latency and detects syntax changes across multiple timescales—an essential step toward real-time behavioural monitoring in songbirds.

1 Introduction

Behavioural *syntax rules* govern how elementary actions are strung together in time. In canaries, individual songs are built from phrases that obey long-range dependencies—some phrase transitions depend on context up to seven seconds in the past. Traditional regression analyses reveal that these rules drift from day to day but struggle to resolve *simultaneously* short- and long-term changes.

Inspired by Bayesian filtering work on time-varying neural spike statistics, we treat each song as an observation emitted by a latent *generative syntax model* whose parameters evolve from song to song. A filtering framework that leverages *all* songs, rather than sliding windows, is expected to detect syntax changes more sensitively across multiple timescales than rolling regression. Our overarching research question is therefore:

Can a state-space filtering approach accurately characterise canary-syntax dynamics across timescales from minutes to weeks?

Answering this question requires a state model rich enough to capture slow drifts and rapid perturbations. The present paper derives such a model by augmenting the classical random-walk assumption with a linear transition \mathbf{F} and control \mathbf{u} , and by developing an EM algorithm that estimates both latent trajectories and noise covariances.

2 Notation and Problem Statement

Let the alphabet be $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_R\}$ with size R = |A|. At discrete sequence index $k = 1, \ldots, K$ we observe a string $\mathbf{y}^{(k)} = (y_1^{(k)}, \ldots, y_{n(k)}^{(k)})$ of length n(k).

The latent logit vector at index k is $\mathbf{x}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{R^2}$. For compactness we map an ordered pair (a_i, a_j) to a single index p = i + (j-1)R.

2.1 Observation Model

For each transition in the sequence we posit a categorical distribution

$$P(y_m^{(k)} = a_i \mid y_{m-1}^{(k)} = a_j; \mathbf{x}_k) = \frac{\exp(x_{k,p})}{\sum_{i'=1}^R \exp(x_{k,i'+(j-1)R})} \equiv f(x_{k,p}), \tag{1}$$

which is the usual row-wise softmax mapping $f: \mathbb{R} \to (0,1)$.

2.2 State Dynamics

We posit a linear-Gaussian evolution

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{F} \, \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{u} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k, \quad \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}),$$
 (2)

where $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{R}^{R^2 \times R^2}$ is the (possibly sparse) state-transition matrix and $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{R^2}$ is a constant control vector. The diagonal process-noise covariance is $\mathbf{\Sigma} = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_{R^2}^2)$.

3 Complete-Data Log-Likelihood

Define the initial latent state by \mathbf{x}_0 . Under the state dynamics and observation model described in Section 2, the joint density of the latent sequence $\{\mathbf{x}_k\}_{k=1}^K$ and observed strings $\{\mathbf{y}^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^K$ factorises as

$$P(\mathbf{x}_{1:K}, \mathbf{y}_{1:K} \mid \mathbf{x}_0) = \prod_{k=1}^{K} \left[P(\mathbf{y}^{(k)} \mid \mathbf{x}_k) P(\mathbf{x}_k \mid \mathbf{x}_{k-1}) \right].$$
(3)

Taking logarithms yields the complete-data log-likelihood

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{n(k)} \log f(\mathbf{x}_{k, y_m^{(k)}, y_{m-1}^{(k)}}) - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{F} \mathbf{x}_{k-1} - \mathbf{u})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{F} \mathbf{x}_{k-1} - \mathbf{u}) \right\} - \frac{K}{2} \log |2\pi \mathbf{\Sigma}|.$$

$$(4)$$

4 Expectation–Maximisation Outline

At iteration i we hold current estimates $\Theta^{(i)} = \{\mathbf{x}_0^{(i)}, \mathbf{\Sigma}^{(i)}, \mathbf{F}^{(i)}, \mathbf{u}^{(i)}\}.$

The EM algorithm maximises the expected complete-data log-likelihood

$$Q(\Theta, \Theta^{(i)}) = \mathbb{E}_{P(\mathbf{x}_{1:K}|\mathbf{y},\Theta^{(i)})} [\mathcal{L}(\Theta)],$$

by alternating between:

Algorithm 1: One EM iteration (adaptive block-diagonal Kalman filter)

Algorithmic details of the forward filter, RTS smoother, and numerical root-finding are provided in Sections 5–5.2 and Appendix B.

5 E-Step in Detail

The E-step computes posterior moments of the latent states via a forward (filtering) pass followed by a backward (RTS smoothing) pass.

5.1 Forward (Filtering) Pass

Initialisation

$$\mathbf{x}_{1|0} = \mathbf{F} \,\mathbf{x}_0^{(i)} + \mathbf{u}, \qquad \qquad \mathbf{W}_{0|0} = \mathbf{0}. \tag{5}$$

For k = 1, ..., K do:

Prediction

$$\mathbf{x}_{k|k-1} = \mathbf{F} \, \mathbf{x}_{k-1|k-1} + \mathbf{u}, \qquad \mathbf{W}_{k|k-1} = \mathbf{F} \, \mathbf{W}_{k-1|k-1} \mathbf{F}^{\top} + \mathbf{\Sigma}^{(i)}. \tag{6}$$

Update Let J_k and H_k be the Jacobian and Hessian of the log-softmax with respect to $\mathbf{x}_{k|k-1}$ (see Appendix A). Define the innovation

$$m{\delta}_k \ = \ \sum_{m=1}^{n(k)} \Bigl[\mathbf{e}_{y_m^{(k)}, y_{m-1}^{(k)}} - f(\mathbf{x}_{k|k-1}) \Bigr].$$

Then

$$\mathbf{W}_{k|k}^{-1} = \mathbf{W}_{k|k-1}^{-1} + \mathbf{H}_k, \tag{7}$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{k|k} = \mathbf{x}_{k|k-1} + \mathbf{W}_{k|k} \, \boldsymbol{\delta}_k. \tag{8}$$

5.2 Backward (RTS Smoothing) Pass

For $k = K - 1, \dots, 1$ compute

$$\mathbf{M}_k = \mathbf{W}_{k|k} \mathbf{F}^{\top} (\mathbf{W}_{k+1|k})^{-1}, \tag{9}$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{k|K} = \mathbf{x}_{k|k} + \mathbf{M}_k (\mathbf{x}_{k+1|K} - \mathbf{x}_{k+1|k}), \tag{10}$$

$$\mathbf{W}_{k|K} = \mathbf{W}_{k|k} + \mathbf{M}_k (\mathbf{W}_{k+1|K} - \mathbf{W}_{k+1|k}) \mathbf{M}_k^{\top}.$$
(11)

The smoothed lag-one covariance is

$$\mathbf{W}_{k,\,k+1|K} = \mathbf{M}_k \, \mathbf{W}_{k+1|K}.$$

6 M-Step in Detail

The maximisation step updates four parameter groups: $\{\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{u}\}$. Smoothed moments $\mathbf{x}_{k|K}, \mathbf{W}_{k|K}, \mathbf{W}_{k,k-1|K}$ from the E-step are treated as known statistics.

6.1 Initial State x_0

The conditional mode of the complete-data log-likelihood is

$$\mathbf{x}_0^{\star} = \mathbf{x}_{1|K},$$

obtained by setting the gradient to zero (derivation in Appendix B). A Gaussian prior $\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \mathbf{P}_0)$ may be incorporated by the standard posterior mean formula $(\mathbf{P}_0^{-1} + \mathbf{W}_{1|K}^{-1})^{-1}(\mathbf{P}_0^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mu}_0 + \mathbf{W}_{1|K}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{1|K})$.

6.2 Block-Diagonal Dynamics $\{\mathbf{F}^{(b)}, \mathbf{u}^{(b)}\}$

For each block $b=1,\ldots,B$ let $\mathbf{Z}_k^{(b)}=\mathbf{x}_{k|K}^{(b)},\ \mathbf{Z}_{k-1}^{(b)}=\mathbf{x}_{k-1|K}^{(b)}$. Define the sufficient statistics

$$\mathbf{S}_1^{(b)} = \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbf{Z}_k^{(b)} \mathbf{Z}_{k-1}^{(b)\top}, \qquad \mathbf{S}_0^{(b)} = \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbf{Z}_{k-1}^{(b)} \mathbf{Z}_{k-1}^{(b)\top}.$$

With a small ridge parameter $\lambda > 0$ for numerical stability, the least-squares updates are

$$\mathbf{F}^{(b)(i+1)} = \mathbf{S}_1^{(b)} \left(\mathbf{S}_0^{(b)} + \lambda \mathbf{I}_d \right)^{-1}, \tag{12}$$

$$\mathbf{u}^{(b)(i+1)} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\mathbf{Z}_{k}^{(b)} - \mathbf{F}^{(b)(i+1)} \mathbf{Z}_{k-1}^{(b)}).$$
(13)

Assembling the blocks yields $\mathbf{F}^{(i+1)} = \text{blockdiag}[\mathbf{F}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{F}^{(B)}]$ and $\mathbf{u}^{(i+1)} = [\mathbf{u}^{(1)\top}, \dots \mathbf{u}^{(B)\top}]^{\top}$.

6.3 Process-Noise Variances $\{\sigma_i^2\}$

Using the fresh dynamics estimates,

$$\sigma_j^{2(i+1)} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K \left[x_{k|K,j} - (\mathbf{F}^{(i+1)} \mathbf{x}_{k-1|K} + \mathbf{u}^{(i+1)})_j \right]^2, \qquad j = 1, \dots, R^2.$$

If desired, an inverse-Gamma prior can be added with two extra terms.

Complexity. Each block update costs $O(Kd^2)$ for the accumulators and $O(d^3)$ for the inversion in Eq. (12); total cost per EM iteration is $O(KBd^2 + Bd^3)$.

The analytic updates (12)–(13) guarantee non-decreasing likelihood, while the ridge λ prevents singular $\mathbf{S}_0^{(b)}$. Full derivations appear in Appendix F.

References

- [1] J. Daunizeau. Semi-analytical approximations to statistical moments of sigmoid and softmax mappings of normal variables. arXiv preprint arXiv:1703.00091, 2017.
- [2] U. Eden and E. Brown. Dynamic analysis of neural encoding by point-process adaptive filtering. Neural Computation, 16(5):971–998, 2004.
- [3] Y. Cohen. Development of the point-process filtering and SS-GLM. Technical report, 2016.
- [4] S. Czanner. Analysis of between-trial and within-trial neural spiking dynamics. PhD thesis, 2008.
- [5] T. Chitralekha. Comparison of EM-based parameter estimation using particle, unscented, and extended Kalman filters. MSc thesis, 2009.

A Jacobians and Hessians of the Log-Softmax

For a row-wise softmax $f(\mathbf{x})$,

$$J_{ij} = \frac{\partial \log f_i}{\partial x_j} = \delta_{ij} - f_j, \qquad H_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 \log f_i}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = -f_i (\delta_{ij} - f_j).$$

B Numerical Update of x_0

This equation is solved by Newton–Raphson:

- 1. Initialise with the closed-form solution.
- 2. Iterate $\mathbf{x}_0 \leftarrow \mathbf{x}_0 \left[\nabla^2 g(\mathbf{x}_0)\right]^{-1} \nabla g(\mathbf{x}_0)$, where g is the left-hand side of (??).
- 3. Stop when $\|\nabla g(\mathbf{x}_0)\|_{\infty} < 10^{-6}$.

C Derivation of the Linear–Gaussian Prediction Step

Starting from the state model $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{F} \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{u} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k$, $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$, take expectations conditioned on $\mathcal{F}_k = \sigma\{\mathbf{y}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{y}^{(k)}\}$:

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_{k+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_k] = \mathbf{F} \, \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_k \mid \mathcal{F}_k] + \mathbf{u} \implies \boxed{\mathbf{x}_{k|k-1} = \mathbf{F} \, \mathbf{x}_{k-1|k-1} + \mathbf{u}}$$

$$\operatorname{Cov}(\mathbf{x}_{k+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_k) = \mathbf{F} \, \mathbf{W}_{k-1|k-1} \mathbf{F}^\top + \mathbf{\Sigma} \implies \boxed{\mathbf{W}_{k|k-1} = \mathbf{F} \, \mathbf{W}_{k-1|k-1} \mathbf{F}^\top + \mathbf{\Sigma}}$$

These two identities justify the prediction lines used in Section 5.

D Derivation of the RTS Smoother Gain

Define the joint predictor $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_k \\ \mathbf{x}_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} \mid \mathcal{F}_k \sim \mathcal{N} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{k|k} \\ \mathbf{x}_{k+1|k} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{W}_{k|k} & \mathbf{W}_{k|k} \mathbf{F}^{\top} \\ \mathbf{F} \mathbf{W}_{k|k} & \mathbf{W}_{k+1|k} \end{bmatrix}$.

Conditioning on \mathbf{x}_{k+1} gives

$$\mathbf{x}_{k|K} = \mathbf{x}_{k|k} + \boxed{\mathbf{M}_k} \left[(\mathbf{x}_{k+1|K} - \mathbf{x}_{k+1|k}), \quad \mathbf{M}_k = \mathbf{W}_{k|k} \mathbf{F}^\top (\mathbf{W}_{k+1|k})^{-1}, \right]$$

which matches the gain used in Section 5.2. The covariance identity $\mathbf{W}_{k|K} = \mathbf{W}_{k|k} + \mathbf{M}_k(\mathbf{W}_{k+1|K} - \mathbf{W}_{k+1|k})\mathbf{M}_k^{\mathsf{T}}$ follows from the standard Schur complement formula.

E EM-M-Step Algebra

Update of the Process-Noise Variance

Write the expected quadratic term in the complete-data log-likelihood (cf. Eq. (4)):

$$Q_{\Sigma} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbb{E} [(\mathbf{x}_{k} - \mathbf{F} \mathbf{x}_{k-1} - \mathbf{u})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_{k} - \mathbf{F} \mathbf{x}_{k-1} - \mathbf{u})] - \frac{K}{2} \log |\mathbf{\Sigma}|.$$

Differentiate with respect to the j^{th} diagonal element σ_j^2 and set to zero:

$$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{\mathbb{E}[(\Delta x_{k,j})^2]}{\sigma_j^4} + \frac{K}{2\sigma_j^2} = 0 \implies \sigma_j^2 = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbb{E}[(\Delta x_{k,j})^2]$$

with $\Delta \mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{F} \mathbf{x}_{k-1} - \mathbf{u}$.

Update of the Initial State

The linear terms in Q that depend on \mathbf{x}_0 are

$$-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{F}\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{u})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{F}\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{u}) + \log P(\mathbf{x}_0),$$

where the prior $P(\mathbf{x}_0)$ is either flat or Gaussian. Solving $\partial Q/\partial \mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{0}$ yields Eq. (??) when the prior is flat $(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{F}^{\top}\mathbf{F})$ term drops out) and Newton–Raphson update (??) when the softmax likelihood of sequence 1 is retained.

These derivations reproduce the algebraic steps in the original (manuscript-length) draft while harmonising them with the augmented state model \mathbf{F} , \mathbf{u} .

F Derivation of the F and u M-step

Define the centred variables $\Delta \mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{u}$. Conditioned on \mathcal{F}_K the quadratic term in the expected log-likelihood is

$$Q_{F,u} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbb{E} \left[\|\Delta \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{F} \mathbf{x}_{k-1} \|_{\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}}^2 \right].$$

Differentiating w.r.t. \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{u} gives the normal equations

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \mathbb{E}[\Delta \mathbf{x}_k \mathbf{x}_{k-1}^\top] = \mathbf{F} \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_{k-1} \mathbf{x}_{k-1}^\top], \qquad \mathbf{u} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K (\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_k] - \mathbf{F} \, \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_{k-1}]),$$

With the block-diagonal constraint, the normal equations decouple across blocks, yielding the block-wise solutions reported in Section 6.

G Practical Implementation Notes

- **Diagonal** Σ . A diagonal process-noise covariance is generally sufficient and avoids costly matrix inversions in (??).
- Regularisation. When $\mathbf{W}_{k|k}$ becomes ill-conditioned, add a small multiple of the identity: $\mathbf{W}_{k|k} \leftarrow \mathbf{W}_{k|k} + \varepsilon \mathbf{I}$.
- Approximating $\mathbb{E}[\log f]$. A second-order delta method (Daunizeau, 2017) yields analytic moment estimates while keeping normalisation errors below 10^{-3} .
- Stopping criterion. Monitor the absolute change in the expected log-likelihood Q; a threshold of 10^{-4} works well in practice.