

IT University of Copenhagen

Software Architecture


Session #11

Reconstruction (II): Abstraction

Assoc. Prof. Mircea Lungu

mlun@itu.dk

The *source view* obtained last time



- **System:** Zeeguu-API
- **Source View:** Modules & Dependencies
- **Entities:** .py files in the project
- **Relationships:** import statements between .py files

Refining the source view to simplify it?

Starting from the [Basic Data Gathering](#) notebook...

1/ 🧑 Add labels to the nodes. Do you see irrelevant nodes?

Refining the source view to simplify it?

Starting from the [Basic Data Gathering](#) notebook...

1/ 🧑 Add labels to the nodes. Do you see irrelevant nodes?

- the view shows dependencies to external modules
- if goal is understanding *this system's structure* ... are they needed?

Refining the source view to simplify it?

Starting from the [Basic Data Gathering](#) notebook...

1/ 🧑 Add labels to the nodes. Do you see irrelevant nodes?

- the view shows dependencies to external modules
- if goal is understanding *this system's structure* ... are they needed?

2/ 🧑 Filter out the non-system dependencies (*approx. all that don't start with zeeguu*) Does the graph look better?

Refining the source view to simplify it?

Starting from the [Basic Data Gathering](#) notebook...

1/ 🧑 Add labels to the nodes. Do you see irrelevant nodes?

- the view shows dependencies to external modules
- if goal is understanding *this system's structure* ... are they needed?

2/ 🧑 Filter out the non-system dependencies (*approx. all that don't start with zeeguu*) Does the graph look better?

- Lesson: filtering is an important tool for AR

Refining the source view to simplify it?

Starting from the [Basic Data Gathering](#) notebook...

1/ 🧑💻 **Add labels to the nodes. Do you see irrelevant nodes?**

- the view shows dependencies to external modules
- if goal is understanding *this system's structure* ... are they needed?

2/ 🧑💻 **Filter out the non-system dependencies** (*approx. all that don't start with zeeguu*) **Does the graph look better?**

- Lesson: filtering is an important tool for AR

2 / 🧑💻 **Let's try another layout from networkx** (e.g. [draw_kamada_kawai](#)).
Can you spot other irrelevant modules?

Refining the source view to simplify it?

Starting from the [Basic Data Gathering](#) notebook...

1/ 🤖 **Add labels to the nodes. Do you see irrelevant nodes?**

- the view shows dependencies to external modules
- if goal is understanding *this system's structure* ... are they needed?

2/ 🤖 **Filter out the non-system dependencies** (*approx. all that don't start with zeeguu*) **Does the graph look better?**

- Lesson: filtering is an important tool for AR

2 / 🤖 **Let's try another layout from networkx** (e.g. [draw_kamada_kawai](#)).
Can you spot other irrelevant modules?

- tests are also not very relevant
- Lesson: layouts are important

-- 3 / 🤖 **Filter out tests. Does the view look cleaner?**

Refining the source view to simplify it?

Starting from the [Basic Data Gathering](#) notebook...

1/ 🤖 Add labels to the nodes. Do you see irrelevant nodes?

- the view shows dependencies to external modules
- if goal is understanding *this system's structure* ... are they needed?

2/ 🤖 Filter out the non-system dependencies (*approx. all that don't start with zeeguu*) Does the graph look better?

- Lesson: filtering is an important tool for AR

2 / 🤖 Let's try another **layout from networkx** (e.g. **draw_kamada_kawai**). Can you spot other irrelevant modules?

- tests are also not very relevant
- Lesson: layouts are important


-- 3 / 🤖 Filter out tests. Does the view look cleaner?

What else can we do here to simplify?

Knowledge Inference / Abstraction

Symphony... (Sec. 6.2): "The reconstructor creates the target view by ...

- **condensing the low-level details** of the source view, and
- **abstracting them** into architectural information.



"[...] domain knowledge is used to **define a map between the source and target view.**"

Approach #1: Mapping Using Naming Conventions

[..] if the mapping contains a rule about using naming conventions to combine classes into modules, the resulting map lists each class and the module to which it belongs."


Approach #1: Mapping Using Naming Conventions

[..] if the mapping contains a rule about using naming conventions to combine classes into modules, the resulting map lists each class and the module to which it belongs."

Case Study: **Software Reflexion Models: Bridging the Gap between Design and Implementation**, *Murphy et al.*


- Ask Linux maintainers to
 1. draw dependencies between subsystems (*as-expected* architecture)
 2. provide mappings from file names to subsystems
- Recover the *as-implemented* module view
- Compare the *as-implemented* architecture with the *as-expected* architecture

Step 1.a. Maintainers draw dependencies between subsystems



From: [Software Reflexion Models: Bridging the Gap ...](#)

Step 1.b. Maintainers provide mappings from file names to subsystems




The Mapping

file= .*pager.*	mapTo=Pager
file= vm_map.*	mapTo=VirtAddressMaint
file=vm_fault\.c	mapTo=KernelFaultHandler
dir=[un]fs	mapTo=FileSystem
dir=sparc/mem.*]	mapTo=Memory
file=pmap.*	mapTo=HardwareTrans
file=vm_pageout\.c	mapTo=VMPolicy

Provided by the developers

From: [Software Reflexion Models: Bridging the Gap...](#)

Step 2. Comparing the As-Implemented and the As-Expected Dependencies



From: [Software Reflexion Models: Bridging the Gap ...](#)

Reflexion Model

= an architectural viewpoint that indicates **where the source model and high-level model differ**

1. Convergences
2. Divergences
3. Absences

Obtaining it is an **iterative process**

Repeat

1. Define/Update high-level model of interest
2. Extract a source model
3. Define/Update declarative mapping between high-level model and source model
4. Reflexion model computed by system
5. Interpret the software reflexion model.

Until “happy”

From: [Software Reflexion Models: Bridging the Gap ...](#)

Approach #2: Using the Folder Hierarchy for Aggregation


Developers hierarchically organize files in folders. *Let us use that!*

1. Aggregate nodes
2. Aggregate dependencies
3. Show the aggregated dependencies & nodes

Advantages

1. Works for most languages & most systems!
2. Can be used in a MSc thesis :) (e.g. [topic1](#), [topic2](#))

Approach #2 - Example from ArgoUML



Two types of dependencies:

1. Explicit
2. Implicit

From: [Evolutionary and Collaborative Software Architecture Recovery with Softwareonaut](#), by Lungu et al.

Approach #2 - Basic Implementation in Python

 Code: [Basic Abstraction](#)

Complementary Tool: Software Metrics

A software **metric** is a **measure of software characteristics** which are measurable or countable

Types of metrics:

1. Product - measure the resulting product, e.g. source code
2. Process - measure the process, e.g. frequency of change

Q: So how is this a complementary tool?

Complementary Tool: Software Metrics

A software **metric** is a **measure of software characteristics** which are measurable or countable

Types of metrics:

1. Product - measure the resulting product, e.g. source code
2. Process - measure the process, e.g. frequency of change

Q: So how is this a complementary tool?

Remember the def of architecture: "[...] **modules, their properties, and the relationships between them**"

Complementary Tool: Software Metrics

A software **metric** is a **measure of software characteristics** which are measurable or countable

Types of metrics:

1. Product - measure the resulting product, e.g. source code
2. Process - measure the process, e.g. frequency of change

Q: So how is this a complementary tool?

Remember the def of architecture: "**[...] modules, their properties, and the relationships between them**"

A: Metrics can express these "properties".

Product metrics

For **Files/Methods**

- **Cyclomatic Complexity** (aggregated from file level)
 - CYCLO - Cyclomatic Complexity ([wiki](#))
 - number of linearly independent code paths through source code (functions of the number of branches)
 - often used in quality: too much complexity is a bad thing
 - hidden partially by polymorphism

For **Modules**


- **Size** (Aggregated from file level)
 - LOC - lines of code
 - NOM - number of methods

For **Dependencies**

- **Total count** of explicit low-level dependencies
- **Number of distinct** explicit low-level dependencies

Augmenting Recovered Views with Metrics

Useful in top-down interactive exploration, e.g. Softwrenaut ([video](#), [paper](#))



e.g., Augmenting nodes and dependencies with metrics in ArgoUML packages with a *polymetric view*

🤖 Coding Assignment: Compute size metrics, and map them on the nodes in your module view at the end of the [Abstraction](#) notebook


Approach #3 (research!): Keep Only the Most Essential Elements Based on Network Analysis

e.g. Paper: [Ranking software artifacts](#). by Perin, Renggli, and Ressia

- Use the PageRank algorithm of Google
- Abstracts by filtering out the less relevant nodes

Consider trying it out in your project if you're interested in network analysis!

networkx supports various methods of network analysis, e.g. [centrality](#), [HITS](#), [pagerank](#)




Importance of Dependencies

To tell a story we need subjects and actions

To tell the story of a module view we need

- subjects - the modules in the view
- actions - the meanings of the dependencies



In your project aim to describe also the reason for the dependencies (at least the most essential ones)

To Think About

- Mapping metrics on visualizations helps make sense of the data
- Semi-automatic (~*automation with human in the loop*) solutions are always required in Architecture Reconstruction
- The difference between the views recovered today and a hand-drawn UML diagram?
 - what we created today is always telling the truth (*live diagrams*)
 - but, **maybe not all the truth?**

Personalizing your Project

- Can you complete the implementation of the import extractor with the missing part?
- Can you visualize also dependency metrics with networkx? E.g. a stronger dependency as a thicker arrow?
- Consider using pyvis instead of networkx -- it has much nicer visualizations!
- Consider [exporting the data from networkx](#) into specialized graph visualization tools

To Do: start working on your project! Don't leave it all for the last moment!

