

There will be a title – I promise

Nathan Adelgren *

September 28, 2021

Abstract

This paper talks about some stuff.

1 Updated Notation

1. Return to the use of θ rather than the decomposed (ϕ, v) .
2. To make it more clear that the sets previously denoted as $Z_{\mathcal{B}}$, $H_{\mathcal{B}}^i \forall i \in \mathcal{B}$, $E_{\mathcal{B}}$, $F_{\mathcal{B}}$, and $D_{\mathcal{B}}^i \forall i \in \mathcal{B}$ are each subsets of \mathcal{B} and are available within any Algorithm presented herein for which \mathcal{B} is an input, we modify the notation as follows:

- $Z_{\mathcal{B}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}.Z$
- $H_{\mathcal{B}}^i \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}.H^i \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{B}$
- $E_{\mathcal{B}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}.E$
- $F_{\mathcal{B}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}.F$
- $D_{\mathcal{B}}^i \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}.D^i \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{B}$

3. For each $i \in \mathcal{B}$, let

$$r_{\mathcal{B}}^i(\theta) = g_{\mathcal{B}}(\text{Adj}(G(\theta)_{\bullet\mathcal{B}}))_{i\bullet} q(\theta). \quad (1)$$

4. For each distinct pair of indices $i, j \in \mathcal{B}$, let

$$l_{\mathcal{B}}^{i,j}(\theta) = g_{\mathcal{B}}(\text{Adj}(G(\theta)_{\bullet\mathcal{B}}))_{i\bullet} G(\theta)_{\bullet j}. \quad (2)$$

5. For each $i \in \mathcal{B}$, define

$$\mathcal{B}.P^i := \left\{ \ell \in \mathcal{B} : \text{degree}((T_{\mathcal{B}}(\theta))_{\ell, \bar{i}}) > 0 \text{ or } (T_{\mathcal{B}}(\theta))_{\ell, \bar{i}} \text{ is a strictly positive constant} \right\} \quad (3)$$

6. Given a $\theta \in \Theta$ and $\epsilon > 0$, let $B_{\epsilon}(\theta)$ denote the k -dimensional open ball of radius ϵ centered at θ .

2 Updated Theory

1. We can sometimes identify elements of F_B when solving NLP_H .

Theorem 1 *Given a f.c.b. B and distinct $i, j \in \mathcal{B}$, let (λ, θ) be a feasible point of $NLP_H(\mathcal{B}, i, j)$. If $\lambda > 0$ and all inequality constraints of $NLP_H(\mathcal{B}, i, j)$ are satisfied strictly at (λ, θ) , then $i \in \mathcal{B}.F$.*

*Andlinger Center for Energy and the Environment, Princeton University, NJ, USA. Email: na4592@princeton.edu

Proof: Let $\lambda' = \max\{\lambda, \text{LHS's of inequalities of } NLP_H(\mathcal{B}, i, j) \text{ at } (\lambda, \theta)\}$. Note that $\lambda' > 0$ since all inequality constraints of $NLP_H(\mathcal{B}, i, j)$ are satisfied strictly. Moreover, (λ', θ) is a feasible point to $NLP_F(\mathcal{B}, i)$ and the objective value of $NLP_F(\mathcal{B}, i)$ at this point is $\lambda' > 0$. Hence, the optimal value of $NLP_F(\mathcal{B}, i)$ must be strictly positive showing that $i \in \mathcal{B}.F$ by Proposition 4.1 of [1]. ■

2. We can sometimes determine the dimension of $\mathcal{IR}_{\mathcal{B}}$ upon finding an element i of $\mathcal{B}.F$.

Theorem 2 *Let a f.c.b. \mathcal{B} and an $i \in \mathcal{B}.F$ be given. If $\mathcal{B}.H^i = \emptyset$ and there exists a point (λ, θ) that is feasible to $NLP_F(\mathcal{B}, i)$ and for which $\lambda > 0$, then $\dim(\mathcal{IR}_{\mathcal{B}}) = k$.*

Proof: Since $\mathcal{B}.H^i = \emptyset$, from the structure of $NLP_F(\mathcal{B}, i)$ we know that all defining inequalities of $\mathcal{IR}_{\mathcal{B}}$ except the one associate with $i \in \mathcal{B}$ and those whose LHS's are identically zero are satisfied strictly at θ . Hence, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that these same defining inequalities of $\mathcal{IR}_{\mathcal{B}}$ are all satisfied strictly at all points in $B_\epsilon(\theta)$. Clearly, the intersection of $B_\epsilon(\theta)$ with the half-space $r_{\mathcal{B}}^i(\theta) \geq 0$ is contained within $\mathcal{IR}_{\mathcal{B}}$ and has dimension k . ■

3. There exists an alternate NLP to $NLP_A(\mathcal{B}, i, j)$ that can be used to determine the adjacency of $\mathcal{IR}_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\mathcal{IR}_{\mathcal{B}'}$ along $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}^i$.

Theorem 3 *Let a f.c.b. \mathcal{B} and $i \in \mathcal{B}$ be given such that $\dim(\mathcal{IR}_{\mathcal{B}}) \geq k-1$ and $\dim(\mathcal{IR}_{\mathcal{B}} \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}^i) = k-1$. For any f.c.b. $\mathcal{B}' \neq \mathcal{B}$ such that $|\mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{B}'| \geq h-2$, $\mathcal{IR}_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\mathcal{IR}_{\mathcal{B}'}$ are adjacent along $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}^i$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*

- (a) $\mathcal{B}' = (\mathcal{B} \setminus \{i\}) \cup \{\bar{i}\}$ and $(T_{\mathcal{B}}(\theta))_{i, \bar{i}} \neq 0$.
- (b) $\mathcal{B}' = (\mathcal{B} \setminus \{i, j\}) \cup \{\bar{i}, \bar{j}\}$, $(T_{\mathcal{B}}(\theta))_{i, \bar{i}} \equiv 0$, and the following NLP has a strictly positive optimal value:

$$\begin{aligned}
 NLP_{A'}(\mathcal{B}, i, j) := & \\
 & \max_{\lambda, \theta} \quad \lambda \\
 & \text{s.t.} \quad \begin{aligned} & l_{\mathcal{B}}^{j, i}(\theta) \geq \lambda \\ & r_{\mathcal{B}}^{\xi}(\theta) \geq \lambda \quad \forall \xi \in (\mathcal{B} \setminus (\mathcal{B}.Z \cup \mathcal{B}.H^i \cup \{i\})) \\ & r_{\mathcal{B}}^i(\theta) = 0 \\ & l_{\mathcal{B}}^{j, i}(\theta)r_{\mathcal{B}}^{\xi}(\theta) - l_{\mathcal{B}}^{\xi, i}(\theta)r_{\mathcal{B}}^j(\theta) \geq \lambda \quad \forall \xi \in (\mathcal{B}.P^i \setminus \{j\}) \\ & \theta \in \Theta \end{aligned} \quad (4)
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof: We focus only on condition (b) as the result is proved for condition (a) in [1].

(\Leftarrow) :

We establish the desired result by showing that there exists a $(k-1)$ -dimensional set $\Theta' \subseteq \Theta$ such that for all $\theta' \in \Theta'$: (I) $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{B}}(\theta')$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{B}'}(\theta')$ are adjacent along $\text{cone}(G(\theta')._{(\mathcal{B} \setminus \{i\})})$, (II) $q(\theta')$ lies in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{B}}(\theta')$, and (III) $q(\theta')$ lies in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{B}'}(\theta')$.

Let (λ^*, θ^*) be a point feasible to $NLP_{A'}(\mathcal{B}, i, j)$ for which $\lambda^* > 0$. Then there must exist an $\epsilon > 0$ such that for all $\theta' \in B_\epsilon(\theta^*)$: (i) $l_{\mathcal{B}}^{j, i}(\theta') > 0$, (ii) $r_{\mathcal{B}}^{\xi}(\theta') > 0$ for all $\xi \in (\mathcal{B} \setminus (\mathcal{B}.Z \cup \mathcal{B}.H^i \cup \{i\}))$, and (iii) $l_{\mathcal{B}}^{j, i}(\theta')r_{\mathcal{B}}^{\xi}(\theta') - l_{\mathcal{B}}^{\xi, i}(\theta')r_{\mathcal{B}}^j(\theta') > 0$ for all $\xi \in (\mathcal{B}.P^i \setminus \{j\})$. Define

$$\Theta' = B_\epsilon(\theta^*) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}^i \quad (5)$$

and recognize from (??) and (1) that because $r_{\mathcal{B}}^i(\theta') = 0$, we have $\theta^* \in \text{relint}(\Theta')$. Thus, $\dim(\Theta') = \dim(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}^i) = k-1$.

We now establish claim (I). From (??) and (2) we see that because $l_{\mathcal{B}}^{j,i}(\theta') > 0$ for all $\theta' \in B_\epsilon(\theta^*)$, we have that $(T_{\mathcal{B}}(\theta'))_{j,\bar{i}} > 0$ for all $\theta' \in \Theta'$. Therefore, by Proposition 4.4 of [1] we have that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{B}}(\theta')$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{B}'}(\theta')$ are adjacent along $\text{cone}(G(\theta')_{\cdot, (\mathcal{B} \setminus \{i\})})$ for all $\theta' \in \Theta'$.

Next, we establish claim (II). From (??), (??), (1), and (5) we see that because $r_{\mathcal{B}}^{\bar{\xi}}(\theta') > 0$ for all $\bar{\xi} \in (\mathcal{B} \setminus (\mathcal{B}.Z \cup \mathcal{B}.H^i \cup \{i\}))$ and all $\theta' \in \Theta'$, we have that $\theta' \in \text{relint}(\mathcal{IR}_{\mathcal{B}} \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}^i)$ for all $\theta' \in \Theta'$. Thus, from Observation 2.4 and Definition 2.15 of [1], we have $q(\theta') \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{B}}(\theta')$ for all $\theta' \in \Theta'$.

Finally, we establish claim (III). Recognize that $q(\theta')$ lies in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{B}'}(\theta')$ for all $\theta' \in \Theta'$ if and only if for each $\theta' \in \Theta'$, $q(\theta')$ can be represented as a conic combination of the columns of $G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}'}$, i.e., if and only if for each $\theta' \in \Theta'$, there exists $\alpha(\theta') \in \mathbb{R}^h$ such that $\alpha(\theta')_\ell \geq 0$ for all $\ell \in \{1, \dots, h\}$ and

$$q(\theta') = G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}'} \alpha(\theta'). \quad (6)$$

Recognize that because \mathcal{B} is a f.c.b., $\alpha(\theta')$ satisfies (6) if and only if it also satisfies

$$G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}}^{-1} q(\theta') = G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}}^{-1} G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}'} \alpha(\theta'). \quad (7)$$

Recognize that (7) represents a system of h equations. Assuming that the elements of $\alpha(\theta')$ and the individual equations of (7) are indexed by the elements of \mathcal{B} , we see that for each $\ell \in \mathcal{B}$, the ℓ^{th} equation of (7) is given by

$$(G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}}^{-1} q(\theta'))_\ell = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{B}} \alpha_n(\theta') (G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}}^{-1} G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}'})_{\ell n}. \quad (8)$$

Since $\mathcal{B}' = (\mathcal{B} \setminus \{i, j\}) \cup \{\bar{i}, \bar{j}\}$, notice that: (i) when $n = \ell$, we have $(G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}}^{-1} G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}'})_{\ell n} = 1$, and (ii) when $n \neq \ell$ and $n \notin \{i, j\}$, we have $(G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}}^{-1} G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}'})_{\ell n} = 0$. Thus, equation (8) can be expressed as

$$(G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}}^{-1} q(\theta'))_\ell = \alpha_\ell(\theta') + \alpha_i(\theta') (G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}}^{-1} G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}'})_{\ell i} + \alpha_j(\theta') (G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}}^{-1} G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}'})_{\ell j}. \quad (9)$$

Additionally, note that for any $n \in \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{B}'$, we have $(G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}}^{-1} G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}'})_{\ell n} = (G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}}^{-1} G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}})_{\ell n}$ and, as a result, equation (9) can be written as

$$(G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}}^{-1} q(\theta'))_\ell = \begin{cases} \alpha_i(\theta') (G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}}^{-1} G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}})_{\ell \bar{i}} + \alpha_j(\theta') (G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}}^{-1} G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}})_{\ell \bar{j}} & \text{if } \ell = i \\ \alpha_i(\theta') (G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}}^{-1} G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}})_{\ell \bar{i}} + \alpha_j(\theta') (G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}}^{-1} G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}})_{\ell \bar{j}} & \text{if } \ell = j \\ \alpha_\ell(\theta') + \alpha_i(\theta') (G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}}^{-1} G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}})_{\ell \bar{i}} + \alpha_j(\theta') (G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}}^{-1} G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}})_{\ell \bar{j}} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

$$= \begin{cases} \alpha_i(\theta') (T_{\mathcal{B}}(\theta'))_{i, \bar{i}} + \alpha_j(\theta') (T_{\mathcal{B}}(\theta'))_{i, \bar{j}} & \text{if } \ell = i \\ \alpha_i(\theta') (T_{\mathcal{B}}(\theta'))_{j, \bar{i}} + \alpha_j(\theta') (T_{\mathcal{B}}(\theta'))_{j, \bar{j}} & \text{if } \ell = j \\ \alpha_\ell(\theta') + \alpha_i(\theta') (T_{\mathcal{B}}(\theta'))_{\ell, \bar{i}} + \alpha_j(\theta') (T_{\mathcal{B}}(\theta'))_{\ell, \bar{j}} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

where in (11) follows from (??). We now show that for each $\ell \in \mathcal{B}$, $\alpha_\ell(\theta') > 0$ follows from (11) and the fact that each $\theta' \in \Theta'$ satisfies: (i) $l_{\mathcal{B}}^{j,i}(\theta') > 0$, (ii) $r_{\mathcal{B}}^{\bar{\xi}}(\theta') > 0$ for all $\bar{\xi} \in (\mathcal{B} \setminus (\mathcal{B}.Z \cup \mathcal{B}.H^i \cup \{i\}))$, and (iii) $l_{\mathcal{B}}^{j,i}(\theta') r_{\mathcal{B}}^{\bar{\xi}}(\theta') - l_{\mathcal{B}}^{\bar{\xi},i}(\theta') r_{\mathcal{B}}^j(\theta') > 0$ for all $\bar{\xi} \in (\mathcal{B}.P^i \setminus \{j\})$. To begin, recall that $(T_{\mathcal{B}}(\theta))_{i, \bar{i}} \equiv 0$. Next, notice from (??), (??), (1), and (5) that $(G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}}^{-1} q(\theta'))_i = 0$ for all $\theta' \in \Theta'$. Thus, from (11) we have that for every $\theta' \in \Theta'$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_j(\theta') (T_{\mathcal{B}}(\theta'))_{i, \bar{j}} = 0 \\ \implies & \alpha_j(\theta') = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Furthermore, equations (11) and (12) show that for each $\theta' \in \Theta'$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_i(\theta') (T_{\mathcal{B}}(\theta'))_{j, \bar{i}} = (G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}}^{-1} q(\theta'))_j \\ \implies & \alpha_i(\theta') = \frac{(G(\theta')_{\cdot, \mathcal{B}}^{-1} q(\theta'))_j}{(T_{\mathcal{B}}(\theta'))_{j, \bar{i}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

As we discussed when we established claim (I), the fact that $l_{\mathcal{B}}^{j,i}(\theta') > 0$ for all $\theta' \in \Theta'$ implies that $(T_{\mathcal{B}}(\theta'))_{j,\bar{i}} > 0$ for all $\theta' \in \Theta'$. Additionally, from (1) and the facts that $j \in (\mathcal{B} \setminus (\mathcal{B}.Z \cup \mathcal{B}.H^i \cup \{i\}))$ and $r_{\mathcal{B}}^{\xi}(\theta') > 0$ for all $\xi \in (\mathcal{B} \setminus (\mathcal{B}.Z \cup \mathcal{B}.H^i \cup \{i\}))$ and all $\theta' \in \Theta'$, we have that $(G(\theta')^{-1}_{\mathcal{B}} q(\theta'))_j > 0$ for all $\theta' \in \Theta'$. Hence, equation (13) shows that $\alpha_i(\theta') > 0$ for all $\theta' \in \Theta'$. Finally, from (12) and (13) we see that for any $\ell \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \{i, j\}$, equation (11) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\ell} + \frac{(G(\theta')^{-1}_{\mathcal{B}} q(\theta'))_j}{(T_{\mathcal{B}}(\theta'))_{j,\bar{i}}} (T_{\mathcal{B}}(\theta'))_{\ell,\bar{i}} &= (G(\theta')^{-1}_{\mathcal{B}} q(\theta'))_{\ell} \\ \implies \alpha_{\ell}(\theta') &= (G(\theta')^{-1}_{\mathcal{B}} q(\theta'))_{\ell} - (G(\theta')^{-1}_{\mathcal{B}} q(\theta'))_j \frac{(T_{\mathcal{B}}(\theta'))_{\ell,\bar{i}}}{(T_{\mathcal{B}}(\theta'))_{j,\bar{i}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Now recall that $l_{\mathcal{B}}^{j,i}(\theta') r_{\mathcal{B}}^{\xi}(\theta') - l_{\mathcal{B}}^{\xi,i}(\theta') r_{\mathcal{B}}^j(\theta') > 0$ for all $\xi \in (\mathcal{B}.P^i \setminus \{j\})$ and all $\theta' \in \Theta'$. Using the fact that $l_{\mathcal{B}}^{j,i}(\theta') > 0$ for all $\theta' \in \Theta'$, this can be rewritten as $r_{\mathcal{B}}^{\xi}(\theta') - r_{\mathcal{B}}^j(\theta') \frac{l_{\mathcal{B}}^{\xi,i}(\theta')}{l_{\mathcal{B}}^{j,i}(\theta')} > 0$ for all $\xi \in (\mathcal{B}.P^i \setminus \{j\})$ and all $\theta' \in \Theta'$. By substituting from (1) and (2) and simplifying, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mathcal{B}}(Adj(G(\theta')_{\mathcal{B}}))_{\xi} \cdot q(\theta') - g_{\mathcal{B}}(Adj(G(\theta')_{\mathcal{B}}))_j \cdot q(\theta') \frac{g_{\mathcal{B}}(Adj(G(\theta')_{\mathcal{B}}))_{\xi} \cdot G(\theta')_{\bar{i}}}{g_{\mathcal{B}}(Adj(G(\theta')_{\mathcal{B}}))_j \cdot G(\theta')_{\bar{i}}} &> 0 \\ \text{for all } \xi \in (\mathcal{B}.P^i \setminus \{j\}) \text{ and all } \theta' \in \Theta' \\ \iff \frac{(Adj(G(\theta')_{\mathcal{B}}))_{\xi} \cdot q(\theta')}{\det(G(\theta')_{\mathcal{B}})} - \frac{(Adj(G(\theta')_{\mathcal{B}}))_j \cdot q(\theta')}{\det(G(\theta')_{\mathcal{B}})} \frac{\frac{(Adj(G(\theta')_{\mathcal{B}}))_{\xi} \cdot G(\theta')_{\bar{i}}}{\det(G(\theta')_{\mathcal{B}})}}{\frac{(Adj(G(\theta')_{\mathcal{B}}))_j \cdot G(\theta')_{\bar{i}}}{\det(G(\theta')_{\mathcal{B}})}} &> 0 \\ \text{for all } \xi \in (\mathcal{B}.P^i \setminus \{j\}) \text{ and all } \theta' \in \Theta' \\ \iff (G(\theta')^{-1}_{\mathcal{B}})_{\xi} \cdot q(\theta') - (G(\theta')^{-1}_{\mathcal{B}})_j \cdot q(\theta') \frac{(G(\theta')^{-1}_{\mathcal{B}})_{\xi} \cdot G(\theta')_{\bar{i}}}{(G(\theta')^{-1}_{\mathcal{B}})_j \cdot G(\theta')_{\bar{i}}} &> 0 \\ \text{for all } \xi \in (\mathcal{B}.P^i \setminus \{j\}) \text{ and all } \theta' \in \Theta' \\ \iff (G(\theta')^{-1}_{\mathcal{B}} q(\theta'))_{\xi} - (G(\theta')^{-1}_{\mathcal{B}} q(\theta'))_j \frac{(T_{\mathcal{B}}(\theta'))_{\xi,\bar{i}}}{(T_{\mathcal{B}}(\theta'))_{j,\bar{i}}} &> 0 \\ \text{for all } \xi \in (\mathcal{B}.P^i \setminus \{j\}) \text{ and all } \theta' \in \Theta' \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

From (14) and (15), it is clear that $\alpha_{\ell}(\theta') > 0$ for all $\theta' \in \Theta'$ whenever $\ell \in \mathcal{B}.P^i \setminus \{i, j\}$. Now suppose $\ell \notin (\mathcal{B}.P^i \cup \{i, j\})$. From (3) we see that in this case $(T_{\mathcal{B}}(\theta'))_{\ell,\bar{i}}$ must be a nonpositive constant. Furthermore, we have already established that $(T_{\mathcal{B}}(\theta'))_{j,\bar{i}} > 0$ and $(G(\theta')^{-1}_{\mathcal{B}} q(\theta'))_j > 0$ for all $\theta' \in \Theta'$. Also notice from (??), (??), and (1) that for each $\ell \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \{i, j\}$, we have that $(G(\theta')^{-1}_{\mathcal{B}} q(\theta'))_{\ell} \geq 0$ for all $\theta' \in \Theta'$ since $r_{\mathcal{B}}^{\xi}(\theta') > 0$ for all $\xi \in (\mathcal{B} \setminus (\mathcal{B}.Z \cup \mathcal{B}.H^i \cup \{i\}))$ and all $\theta' \in \Theta'$. From these facts and (14), we see that $\alpha_{\ell}(\theta') \geq 0$ even when $\ell \notin (\mathcal{B}.P^i \cup \{i, j\})$. We have now proved that for each $\theta' \in \Theta'$ there exists $\alpha(\theta') \in \mathbb{R}^h$ such that $\alpha(\theta')_{\ell} \geq 0$ for all $\ell \in \{1, \dots, h\}$ and $q(\theta') = G(\theta')_{\mathcal{B}} \alpha(\theta')$ and hence, claim (III) above is proved.

(\Rightarrow):

The forward direction of the proof is straightforward as $\mathcal{IR}_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\mathcal{IR}_{\mathcal{B}'}$ can only be adjacent along $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}^i$ if $\dim(\mathcal{IR}_{\mathcal{B}} \cap \mathcal{IR}_{\mathcal{B}'} \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}^i) = k - 1$. Then, by selecting $\theta' \in \text{relint}(\mathcal{IR}_{\mathcal{B}} \cap \mathcal{IR}_{\mathcal{B}'} \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}^i)$, the logic of the reverse direction of this proof can be reversed to show that the equality constraint of $NLP'_A(\mathcal{B}, i, j)$ is satisfied at θ' and, moreover, all inequality constraints of $NLP'_A(\mathcal{B}, i, j)$ are satisfied strictly at $(\lambda, \theta) = (0, \theta')$. This strict satisfaction of the inequalities of $NLP'_A(\mathcal{B}, i, j)$ when

$\lambda = 0$ implies that there must exist an $\epsilon > 0$ such that for all $\lambda' \in B_\epsilon(0)$, all the inequalities of $NLP'_A(\mathcal{B}, i, j)$ are satisfied strictly at (λ', θ') . As $B_\epsilon(0) \cap \{\lambda : \lambda > 0\} \neq \emptyset$, this completes the proof. ■

3 Updated Algorithms

Algorithm 1 PARTITION $\Theta(\mathcal{B}_0)$ – Partition the parameter space Θ .

Input: An initial f.c.b. \mathcal{B}_0 such that $\dim(\mathcal{IR}_{\mathcal{B}_0}) = k$.

Output: A partition of $\hat{\Theta}$, denoted \mathcal{P} .

- 1: Let $\mathcal{S} = \{\mathcal{B}_0\}$ and $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathcal{IR}_{\mathcal{B}_0}\}$.
 - 2: **while** $\mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$ **do** select \mathcal{B} from \mathcal{S} .
 - 3: $\mathcal{B}.F = \text{BUILD}F(\mathcal{B})$
 - 4: **for** $i \in F_{\mathcal{B}}$ **do**
 - 5: Let $(\mathcal{S}', \mathcal{B}) = \text{GETADJACENTREGIONSACROSS}(\mathcal{B}, i, \mathcal{B})$ and set $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{S}'$.
 - 6: **for** $\mathcal{B}' \in \mathcal{S}'$ **do** set $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{IR}_{\mathcal{B}'}$.
 - 7: **Return** \mathcal{P} .
-

Algorithm 2 BUILD $F(\mathcal{B})$ – Build $\mathcal{B}.F$.

Input: A f.c.b. \mathcal{B} such that $\dim(\mathcal{IR}_{\mathcal{B}}) = k$.

Output: The set $\mathcal{B}.F$.

- 1: **for** $i \in (\mathcal{B} \setminus (\mathcal{B}.Z \cup \mathcal{B}.E \cup \mathcal{B}.F))$ **do** solve $NLP_F(\mathcal{B}, i)$ to find an optimal solution (λ^*, θ^*) .
 - 2: **if** $\lambda^* > 0$ **then** add $(i \cup \mathcal{B}.H^i)$ to $\mathcal{B}.F$.
 - 3: **Return** $\mathcal{B}.F$.
-

Algorithm 3 BUILD $ZEH(\mathcal{B})$ – Build $\mathcal{B}.Z$, $\mathcal{B}.E$, and $\mathcal{B}.H^i$ for each $i \in \mathcal{B}$.

Input: A f.c.b. \mathcal{B} such that $\dim(\mathcal{IR}_{\mathcal{B}}) \geq k - 1$.

Output: The sets $\mathcal{B}.Z$, $\mathcal{B}.E$, $\mathcal{B}.F$, and $\mathcal{B}.H^i$ for each $i \in \mathcal{B}$.

- 1: Let $\mathcal{B}.Z = \mathcal{B}.E = \mathcal{B}.F = \emptyset$.
 - 2: Let $\mathcal{B}.H^\ell = \emptyset$ for each $\ell \in \mathcal{B}$.
 - 3: **for** $i \in \mathcal{B}$ **do**
 - 4: **if** $r_{\mathcal{B}}^i(\theta) \equiv 0$ **then** add i to $\mathcal{B}.Z$.
 - 5: **for** $i \in (\mathcal{B} \setminus (\mathcal{B}.Z \cup \mathcal{B}.E))$ **do**
 - 6: **for** $j \in (\mathcal{B} \setminus (\mathcal{B}.Z \cup \mathcal{B}.E \cup \{i\}))$ **do**
 - 7: **if** $j \notin \mathcal{B}.H^i$ **then** solve $NLP_H(\mathcal{B}, i, j)$ to obtain an optimal solution (λ^*, θ^*) .
 - 8: **if** $\lambda^* = 0$ **then** add $(j \cup \mathcal{B}.H^j)$ to $\mathcal{B}.H^i$.
 - 9: **else if** $\lambda^* < 0$ **then** add i to $\mathcal{B}.E$ and exit the **for** loop beginning on Line 6.
 - 10: **else if** $r_{\mathcal{B}}^\ell(\theta^*) > 0$ for all $\ell \in (\mathcal{B} \setminus (\mathcal{B}.Z \cup \{i, j\}))$ **then** add i to $\mathcal{B}.F$.
 - 11: **Return** $\mathcal{B}.Z$, $\mathcal{B}.E$, $\mathcal{B}.F$, and $\mathcal{B}.H^\ell$ for each $\ell \in \mathcal{B}$.
-

References

- [1] Nathan Adalgren. *Advancing Parametric Optimization*. Springer, 01 2021. ISBN 978-3-030-61820-9. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-61821-6.